

# Prevalence of Erosions in Metacarpophalangeal Joints and Proximal Interphalangeal Joints in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Khudir Z. Mayouf\*, Faiq. I. Gorial \*, Warda S. Lasso \*\*

## ABSTRACT:

### BACKGROUND:

Bone erosion is a central pathophysiological process and an important outcome parameter in rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

### OBJECTIVE:

To assess prevalence of erosions in metacarpophalangeal joints (MCPJs) & proximal interphalangeal joints (PIPJs) in RA using ultrasonography and to evaluate the associates if present.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS:

Ninety two patients with RA diagnosed according to The 1987 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for RA were compared with 100 healthy individuals matched for age and sex. Disease activity score 28 joints (DAS28), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and rheumatoid factor (RF) were measured. Ultrasonography was performed using Siemens Elegra with 7.0 MHz linear array transducer by radiologist for MCPJs and PIPJs.

### RESULTS:

Erosions in RA were significantly more than those of controls (44 (47.8%) versus 0 (0%) ( $p = 0.000$ ). Erosions in MCPJs were more than PIPJs and both MCPJs & PIPJs (33 (36%) versus 4 (4.4%) versus 10 (11%) respectively) ( $p = 0.000$ ). High ESR, positive CRP, and positive RF were significant associates with the erosions ( $p = 0.000$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ,  $p = 0.000$  respectively).

### CONCLUSION:

Prevalence of erosions in MCPJs and PIPJs of RA patients was high (47.8%). High ESR, positive CRP, and positive RF were significant associates with erosions.

**KEYWORDS:** rheumatoid arthritis, ultrasonography, metacarpophalangeal joints, proximal interphalangeal joints.

## INTRODUCTION:

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic inflammatory polyarthropathy characterized by progressive joint damage and non-articular complications such as osteoporosis<sup>(1)</sup> and associated with an increased risk of premature morbidity and mortality, predominantly due to increased cardiovascular disease (CVD) due to accelerated atherosclerosis<sup>(2)</sup>. The earliest appropriate initiation of treatment after disease onset offers the best chance of permanent remission and a normal lifespan so early diagnosis is essential<sup>(3)</sup>.

Joint erosions identified by conventional radiography (CR) are late findings indicating a poor

prognosis<sup>(4)</sup>. More sensitive diagnostic tools for the early detection of joint damage include magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and ultrasound (US)<sup>(5,6)</sup>. MRI provides excellent details for articular defects but it is expensive and less accessible to rheumatologists compared with US, which can be used at the bedside or in the clinic<sup>(7)</sup>.

Previous studies have revealed high prevalence of erosions in RA detected by US more than those detected by CR<sup>(8,9)</sup>. This study was designed to assess the prevalence & associates of erosions in MCPJs & PIPJs in Iraqi patients with RA using ultrasonography.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS:

A cross-sectional study was conducted at Baghdad Teaching Hospital / Rheumatology Unit, Medical Department; and Ultrasonography Unit from January 2010 till June 2011.

\*Baghdad University, College of Medicine, Medical Department, Baghdad, Iraq.

\*\* Baghdad Teaching Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq.

Ninety two randomly selected RA patients diagnosed according to The 1987 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for RA<sup>(11)</sup> were compared with 108 healthy individuals matched for age and sex recruited from healthy accompanying persons of another patients attending to the Ultrasonography Unit as a control group.

Patients with RA only included in the study & those with other inflammatory arthritis or comorbid diseases were excluded.

We assessed RA activity using Disease Activity Score 28 (DAS28 score)<sup>(11)</sup>. A blood sample was taken for the measurement of Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and rheumatoid factor (RF).

Ultrasonography of the MCPJs and PIPJs was performed using Seimens Elegra with 7,0 MHz linear array transducer by same radiologist with expertise in musculoskeletal ultrasonography without knowing the clinician's assessment or X-rays data<sup>(11)</sup>. A signed consent was taken from patients and controls to enroll in the study and ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Baghdad University, College of Medicine, Medical Department.

Statistical analysis was done using statistical package for social sciences software (SPSS 19) for

Windows. Association between different categorical variables was measured using Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test where appropriate. Difference between continuous variables was measured using t-test. Odd ratio (OR) was measured to find the predictors of erosions in RA patients. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS:**

Ninety two patients with RA, 74 (79,1%) females & 18 (19,4%) males, their mean ages was 53,81 ± 11,24 years, and 108 healthy control group, 116 (73,1%) females & 42 (26,7%) males, their mean ages was 52,86 ± 10,24 years, were included in this study (p > 0.05, Table 1).

Erosions detected by US in RA patients were significantly more than those detected in the controls (44 (47,8%) versus 0 (0%) (p = 0.000) (Table 2).

MCPJs erosions were significantly more than those in PIPJs and both MCPJs & PIPJs (33 versus 4 versus 9 respectively) (p = 0.000, Table 3)

High ESR, positive CRP, and positive RF were significant associates with the erosions (p = 0.004, p = 0.000, p = 0.002 respectively), (Table 4).

There was no significant association between the erosions and: patients age, disease duration, smoking status, DAS28, and medications taken (P > 0.05, Table 4)

**Table 1: Demographic distribution of 92 RA patients and 108 controls.**

Variables	Patients=92	Controls=108	P-value
Age ±SD, years	53,81 ± 11,24	52,86 ± 10,24	0,794
Sex			0,060
Males n.(%)	18 (19,4%)	42 (26,7%)	
Females n.(%)	74 (79,6%)	116 (73,1%)	

n., number; %, percentile

**Table 2: Comparison of distribution of erosions detected by US in 92 RA patients and 108 controls.**

Variables	Erosion present n.(%)	Erosion absent n.(%)	P-value
Patients=92	44 (47,8)	48 (52,2)	0,000*
Controls=108	0 (0)	108 (100)	

\*, p-value is significant; n., number; %, percentile

Table 3: Distribution of erosions detected by US according to the site and number.

Erosions site	Erosion number =1	Erosion number >1	Total number of erosions	p-value
MCPJ	10(40,0%)	18(64,0%)	28(100%)	*,***
PIPJ	4(100%)	0(0%)	4(100%)	
Both(MCPJ+PIPJ)	0(0%)	4(100%)	4(100%)	
Total	14(43,2%)	20(56,8%)	34(100%)	

\*, p-value is significant

Table 4: Risk factor for erosions detected by US in 92 RA patients.

variables	Erosion present	Erosion absent	OR(95%CI)	P-value
Age (mean±SD) years	44,89±11,40	42,27±10,97	-	0,27
Sex				
Males n(%)	11(30,6%)	17(39,3%)		
Females n(%)	23(61,4%)	26(58,7%)	1,70(0,67-4,81)	0,37
Duration (Mean ±SD) years	7,30±6,29	7,13±5,90	-	0,343
DAS28				
Remission n (%)	4(11,4%)	10(22,2%)		
Active n (%)	27(68,6%)	33(72,8%)	2,40 (0,87-6,61)	0,09
Smoking				
Never n (%)	29(77,7%)	37(80,4%)		
Ex-smoker n (%)	2(5,3%)	3(6,5%)	-	0,47
Current n (%)	13(32,3%)	9(19,6%)		
RF +Ve	29(74,4%)	28(61,1%)	0,07(1,87-17,63)	0,002*
CRP Increased	41(104,7%)	29(63,3%)	8,90(2,42-33,09)	0,000*
ESR mm/h	09,36±17,81	40,00±29,26	-	0,008*
Drugs				
HCQ n.(%)	11(28,9%)	18(39,3%)	0,06 (0,23-1,36)	0,262
SSZ n.(%)	37(91,1%)	37(80,4%)	0,70 (0,27-1,87)	0,478
AZT n.(%)	43(107,7%)	48(104,4%)	2,07	0,203
MTX n.(%)	30(76,9%)	27(58,7%)	0,47 (0,38-0,59)	0,03
Prednisolon n.(%)	17(43,7%)	22(47,8%)	1,81 (0,77-4,20)	0,20
NSAIDS n.(%)	30(76,9%)	34(73,7%)	0,74 (0,32-1,71)	0,47

\*, p-value is significant; n., number; %, percentile; DAS28, disease activity score; RF, rheumatoid factor; CRP, c-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HCQ, hydroxychloroquine; SSZ, sulfasalazine; AZT, azathioprine; MTX, methotrexate; NSAIDS, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

**DISCUSSION:**

This study showed high frequency of erosions detected by US in Iraqi patients with RA. Positive RF, positive CRP, and increased ESR were significant associates with erosive RA. Possible explanation of erosions in RA may be a chronic

inflammatory arthritis that leads to damage of articular cartilage and development of bone erosion (17).

Up to the best of our knowledge this is the 1<sup>st</sup> time to report prevalence of erosive changes in Iraqi patients with RA by ultrasonography.

Our findings agreed with Lopez-Ben R et al<sup>(4)</sup> and Marcin S et al<sup>(17)</sup> who found significant erosions detected by US in RA compared to controls. Also Sonia Bajaj et al<sup>(15)</sup> found that US detected erosions more at baseline and on follow up than those detected by radiography in RA patients.

In our study, the erosions were more common in MCPJs. This contrasted Marcin et al<sup>(17)</sup> who found PIPJs were more common sites of erosions. This difference may be explained by different study sample size, different ultrasonographer expert, and to the variation of view of detecting erosions.

The presence of positive RF and increased CRP were significant associates with erosive RA in our study. This agreed with Katherine et al<sup>(17)</sup> and

Hilde et al<sup>(15)</sup> who found that both positive RF and increased CRP were significant predictors of erosive RA.

Increased ESR was a significant predictor for RA erosions in our study which disagreed with Hilde BH et al study<sup>(15)</sup>. This may be explained by variation of laboratory methods.

Limitation of our study were small sample size and short period of the study which can be improved by large prospective study with long duration of follow up.

Early detection of erosive changes in RA may improve the management of patients by monitoring disease activity and aggressive therapeutic adjustment, which has emerged as an approach to improve long-term outcomes for patients with rheumatoid arthritis<sup>(17)</sup>.

In summary, our study found that the frequency of erosions detected by US of MCPJs & PIPJs was high in Iraqi RA patients. Also High ESR, positive CRP, and positive RF were significant predictors of presence of erosions. These findings can improve early diagnosis and treatment of Iraqi RA patients.

**REFERENCES:**

1. Haugeberg G, Uhlig T, Falch JA, et al. Bone mineral density and frequency of osteoporosis in female patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from 394 patients in the Oslo County rheumatoid arthritis register. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; 43:222-30.
2. Marks JL, Edwards CJ. Protective effect of methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and cardiovascular comorbidity. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis.* 2012; 4:149-57.
3. Visser H. Early diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis. *Best PractnRes Clin Rheumatol* 2005; 19: 55- 72.

4. Combe B, Cantagrel A, Goupille P, et al. Predictive factors of 2-year health assessment questionnaire disability in early rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2003; 30:2344-49.
5. Wakefield RJ, Gibbon WW, Conaghan PG, et al. The value of sonography in the detection of bone erosions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison with conventional radiography. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; 43:2722-7.
6. Szkudlarek M, Narvestad E, Klarlund M, et al. Ultrasonography of the metatarsophalangeal joints in rheumatoid arthritis: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging, conventional radiography, and clinical examination. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004; 47:103-12.
7. Wakefield RJ, Green MJ, Marzo-Ortega H, et al. Should oligoarthritis be reclassified? Ultrasound reveals a high prevalence of subclinical disease. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004; 23:382-86.
8. Sheane B J, Beddy P, O'connor M, et al. Targeted Ultrasound of the Fifth Metatarsophalangeal Joint in an Early Inflammatory Arthritis Cohort. *Arthritis Care & research* 2009; 21:104-8.
9. Lopez-Ben R, Bernreuter WK, Moreland LW, Alarcón GS. Ultrasound detection of bone erosions in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison to routine radiographs of the hands and feet. *Skeletal Radiol* 2004; 33:80-84.
10. Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, et al. The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1988; 31:315-24.
11. Prevo ML, van't Hof MA, Kuper HH, et al. Modified disease activity scores that include twenty-eight-joint counts. Development and validation in a prospective longitudinal study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990; 33:16-22.
12. Marcin Szkudlarek, Mette Klarlund, Eva Narvestad, et al. Ultrasonography of the metacarpophalangeal and proximal interphalangeal joints in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison with magnetic resonance imaging, conventional radiography and clinical examination. *Arthritis Research & Therapy* 2006; 8: R22 (doi:10.1186/ar1904). Available online at: <http://arthritis-research.com/content/8/1/R22>
13. Katherine P L, Michael E W , Jing Cui. Clinical predictors of erosion-free status in

## JOINTS IN RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS EROSIONS IN

- 
- rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective cohort study. Rheumatology Advance Access published March 29, 2011. (doi:10.1093/rheumatology/ker129)
- 14-Sonia Bajaj, Robert Lopez-Ben, Robert Oster, Graciela S. Alarcón. Ultrasound detects rapid progression of erosive disease in early rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective longitudinal study. *Skeletal Radiol* 2007;36:123-28.
- 15-Hilde B H, Espen A H , Pernille B, and Tore KK. Bone erosions at the distal ulna detected by ultrasonography are associated with structural damage assessed by conventional radiography and MRI: a study of patients with recent onset rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2009;48:1030-32.
- 16-Wells AF, Haddad RH. Emerging role of ultrasonography in rheumatoid arthritis: optimizing diagnosis, measuring disease activity and identifying prognostic factors. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2011;37: 1173-84.