Direct Primary Trocar Insertion without Prior Pneumoperitoneum is a Safe, Feasible and Quick Laparoscopic Entry Technique

Jawad Kadhim S. Al-Dhahiry

ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND:

In laparoscopic surgery, the primary (first) trocar entry is of a great importance because of it's association with serious complications such as visceral and vascular injuries. There are several techniques for laparoscopic entry, the commonly used ones are Veress Needle (closed) and Hasson's (open) techniques. Recently, the Direct Primary Trocar Insertion (DPTI) without prior pneumoperitoneum was reported as safe alternative laparoscopic entry technique .

OBJECTIVE:

This study assesses the safety ,feasibility ,complications and time of DPTI without a prior pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic surgery.

PATIENTS METHODS:

This is a prospective study included <code>Y\9</code> patients , <code>\97</code> females (<code>\^9,0</code> %) and <code>YT</code> males (<code>*,0</code> %), prepared for different laparoscopic procedures using only DPTI .Open laparoscopic entry (Hasson's technique) was reserved for patients with associated small umbilical hernias or previous mid-line lapararotomy. Veress Needle (VN) and other methods of laparoscopic entry were not used. This study was performed in AL-Karama Teaching Hospital/ College of Medicine / Wasit University, IRAQ from April <code>Y*\\Y</code> till July <code>Y*\\Y</code> .Recorded data were : age, sex, indications for laparoscopic surgery, time of DPTI , laparoscopic entry related complications, conversion to laparotomy , length of hospital stay and the mortality.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

DPTI technique was feasible in $\Upsilon \cdot \Lambda$ patients ($\P \circ \%$) while open laparoscopy was reserved for the remaining $\Upsilon \cdot (\circ \%)$ patients. Conversion to laparotomy was done for $\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon$ patients ($\P \circ \%$). This study has no major complications nor deaths.

Immediate minor complications occurred in $\ ^{\vee}$ patients ($\ ^{\vee}$, $\ ^{\vee}$). Late minor complications occurred in $\ ^{\vee}$ patients($\ ^{\vee}$, $\ ^{\vee}$). Time of DPTI was $\ ^{\vee}$, $\ ^{\vee}$ $\ ^{\vee}$ SD minutes, P-value= $\ ^{\vee}$. The follow-up period ranged from $\ ^{\vee}$ - $\ ^{\vee}$ months .

CONCLUSION:

DPTI entry is a safe alternative to the Veress Needle and other techniques of laparoscopic entry and creation of pneumoperitoneum. It has shorter laparoscopic entry time than the other laparoscopic entry techniques.

KEY WORDS: Laparoscopy, Direct trocar insertion, Pneumoperitoneum.

INTRODUCTION:

Insertion of the primary trocar and creation of successful pneumoperitoneum are the first crucial steps for laparoscopic surgery because more than ° · % of laparoscopic surgery complications occur at the time of Veress Needle (VN) or primary trocar entry , independent of the complexity of surgery ((',')'). Bateman et al reviewed YTT & laparoscopic

College of Medicine, Wasit University, Al-Karama Teaching Hospital, Wasit-Iraq.

procedures performed by the same surgical team, he reported that more complications occurred during VN and primary trocar placement than during the operative procedures being performed^(T) .Therefore, optimizing the entry technique is essential. Methods ,today used for laparoscopic entry, are: the standard technique of insufflation by insertion of VN, open laparoscopy (Hasson's technique), optical trocar, threaded or radially expanding devices and direct primary trocar insertion DPTI without prior Pneumoperitoneum(\$\xi\$) .The existence of numerous techniques for laparoscopic creation entry and of

pneumoperitoneum indicates that no one has been totally proven efficacious or complication free $^{(\circ)}$. Laparoscopic entry and creation pneumoperitoneum with the VN may be associated with a recognized incidence of complications such as preperitoneal insufflation which makes the procedure more difficult and time-consuming (1). A meta-analysis study ,performed by Xuezhi Jiang and his collegues, suggests that the commonly used VN entry technique carries a significantly increased risk of minor complications. In addition, the likelihood of multiple insertion attemps and failed entry are significantly higher in the VN group (Y). Although generally safe, this technique may be associated with life-threatening complications such as, abdominal vascular and visceral injuries. This is especially true in patients with suspected intraabdominal adhesions and obesity (A).

Open laparoscopy ,as described by Hasson , has been shown to minimize vascular injuries but does not reduce bowel injuries ⁽³⁾. This may reflect a selection bias because the Hasson's technique may be ,more likely, used in high-risk patients ⁽³⁾. The DPTI entry without prior pneumoperitoneum was reported to be associated with minimal complications and preferred by some laparoscopic surgeons (1·).

method DPTI prior The of without pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic entry was first described by Dingfelder JR. in 1944 (11). The reported benefits of this method are: a shorter operation time, immediate recognition of visceral and vascular injuries, and near exclusion of entry failure (1). Jansen et al found that ov % of complications occurred during primary trocar insertion and $\xi \vec{r}$ of them were related to surgical skill (°). Failure to achieve and maintain pneumoperitoneum may predispose to these complications.

It is confirmed in the literature that DPTI is not contraindicated in niether thin nor obese patients in non-emergency situations (''). In these patients, Palmer's point for the DPTI can be the chosen as a site of the primary trocar entry. Despite being a blind technique, DPTI reduces the number of "blind"

steps" from " with V N (insertion, insufflation, and trocar introduction) to just one .The most important advantage of DPTI entry is the avoidance of complications related to the use of the VN such as; failed pneumoperitoneum, preperitoneal insufflation, intestinal insufflation, or the more serious CO $_{\tau}$ embolism $^{(1\tau)}$. It is not CO $_{\tau}$ pneumoperitoneum or the trocars but skills and experience of the performer that determine whether a successful laparoscopic access can be achieved $^{(1\tau)}$. A controllable easy-to-follow technique and the experience of the performer are far more reliable than any instruments.

The majority of the laparoscopic surgery injuries are due to the insertion of the primary umbilical trocar $^{(1\circ)}$. DPTI entry method is faster than any other methods of entry (11), however, it is the least performed laparoscopic technique in clinical practice today $^{(1\vee)}$. DPTI is associated with less insufflation-related complications such as gas embolism $^{(1\wedge)}$.

This study reports the experience of a single laparoscopic surgeon using DPTI without prior pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic entry over a Y,o-year period.

PATIENT AND METHODS:

This is a prospective clinical study included ^۲¹⁹ patients , ¹⁹⁷ females (¹⁹⁷ %) and ¹⁹⁷ males (¹⁹⁷ %) prepared for different laparoscopic procedures using DPTI. Open laparoscopy (Hasson's technique) was reserved for patients having associated small umbilical hernias and patients in whom closed laparoscopic entry is highly risky. Veress Needle and other methods of laparoscopic entry such as optical trocars, were not used in this study. It was performed in AL-Karama Teaching Hospital/ College of Medicine / Wasit University, IRAQ from April ¹⁹⁷ till July ¹⁹⁷ Routine investigations; CXR, Abdominal Ultrasonography

Direct Primary Trocar Insertion.

After induction of general anesthesia, the patient is placed in the dorsal supine position . She / he is then prepared and draped in the usual sterile fashion.One centimeter infraumbilical incision is made sharply with a scalpel. In obese patients,the incision is done $^{\psi}$ - $^{\xi}$ centimeter supraumbilically while in patients with previous upper mid-line laparotomy, left subcostal , Palmer's point, is the site of DPTI entry .The anterior abdominal wall is then elevated by pulling up with left hand of the operating surgeon and his assisstant .While elevating the anterior abdominal wall away from the underlying viscera, the surgeon holds a \.-mm trocar with his right index finger positioned 7 cm away from the trocar tip to guard against sudden uncontrolled entry into the abdomen. The trocar is inserted at a 9 ·- degree angle and advanced in a controlled fashion into the peritoneal cavity with a twisting semicircular motion. In contrast to Veress needle insertion, where surgeon can feel the penetration through the fascia and peritoneum separately, with DPTI, a distinct and single "pop" signifies that the trocar has pierced the fascia and peritoneum .The laparoscope is then introduced, proper intraperitoneal placement is ascertained, and a pneumoperitoneum is created with high-flow insufflation. Intraperitoneal placement is, also ascertained by observing initial gas flow rate and the intraabdominal pressure. The underlying structures are then carefully inspected for any injury. The patient's position the is then changed to Reverse –Trendeliburg's position .Other trocars are inserted under direct vision.

Laparoscopic entry complications are classified as following: I-major complications that are veseral injuries and major abdominal vascular injuries and CO₇ embolisim and II- minor injuries that are: A-immidiate complications that are; subcutaneous emphysema, preperitoneal insufflations, abdominal wall vascular injuries. and B-late minor complications that are; infection, port hernia port granuloma (\(^{\mathbb{T}}\)). Statical Analysis

RESULTS:

laparoscopy (Hasson's technique) was used in the remaining '\' patients (o%) because: \' patients had chromic calculous cholecystitis with small-sized umbilical hernias and \(\xi\) patients had lower vertical laparotomies extending to the umbilicus (Tables 'and '\').

The pathologic distribution of the laparoscopic procedures was: Y· ½ patients (٩٣, ٢%) had chronic calculous cholecystitis, Y patients (Y, Y %) had chronic calculous cholecystitis with umbilical hernias, T patients (Y, Y %) had acute appendicitis and T female patients (Y, Y %) had migrating intrauterine device (IUD).

Immediate minor complications occured in ^V patients (^{V, Y} % of the total study group); ^o patients (^{V, Y} %) of ^{V, A} patients (DPTI group) and ^V patients (^{V, Y, Z}) of II patients (Hasson'technique group) as following: three patients (from DPTI group) had extraperitoneal insufflation, one patient (from DPTI group) had small liver injury caused by the epigastric trocar needed no any intervention. Three patients (one patient from DPTI group and two patients from Hasson's technique group) had bleeding at the secondary trocar sites that stoped spontanously. Table. ^V.

Late minor complications occured in 7 patients (Y, Y% of the total study group), £ patients (Y, Y%) of Y · A (DPTI group) and Y patients (Y, Y%) of Y · Patients (Hasson's technique group) as following: Y patients (DPTI group) had ecchymosis, three patients had wound infections (two patients from DPTI group and one patient in Hasson's technique group), one patient (Hasson's technique group) had epigastric port site granuloma. There were no major abdominal vascular or visceral complications in this study. Table . Y.

٧.

 $\textbf{Table $^{\prime}$: Pathologies / Techniques Of Laparoscopic Entry And Conversion To Laparotomy .}$

	Patients	Direct Primary	Trocar Insertion	(DPTI)	Hasson's	Conversion to
Pathology	n = 719	Infraumbilical	Supraumbili	Palmer's	Technique	Laparotomy
			cal	Point		
Chronic calculous	۲۰٤	19.	٦	٤	٤	11
cholecystitis only	(9٣,٢%)					
Chronic calculous	٧	•	•	•	٧	١
cholecystitis with	(٣,٢ %)					
umbilical Hernia						
Acute Appendicitis	٦	٦	•	•	•	•
	(۲,۷ %)					
Migrating IUD*	۲	۲	•	•	•	•
	(•, 9 %)					
Total	719	191 (90,0%)	٦	٤	11	17
	(1%)		(۲,۷ %)	(1,4%)	(%)	(°, £Y %)

IUD*= Intrauterine device .

Table 7: Statistical Analysis Of Patient's Gender Vs Age, DPTI Time And Hospital Stay.

Gender		Number of the patients & age statistics / year		DPIT Hospital stay statistics / day
Male	n = ۲۳	74	19	19
	Mean ± SD	٤٦,٧٥ <u>+</u> ١١,٩٢	Υ, • Λ <u>+</u> • , Λο	1,27 ± .,0.
	Range	79 ₋ 70	۲ _٣	1 _ 7
	% of Total N	1.,0%	9,17 %	9,17 %
Female	n = ۱۹٦	197	114	1 / 9
	Mean ± SD	۲۷,۷۲ <u>+</u> ۱۱,۵٤	۱,٧٦ <u>+</u> ٠,٦١	1, 47± ., 77
	Range	17 ₋ Y £	۱ _ ٤	۱ _ ٤
	% of Total N	۸۹,0%	۹۰,۸٧٪	۹۰,۸٧٪
Total	N = 719	719	۲.۸	۲۰۸
	Mean ± SD	$^{\text{TA,V1}}$ \pm 11,A9	۱,۸۰ ± ۰,٦٤	1, TA ± ., T1
	Range	17 - 7 £	1,0 _ 0	۱ _ ٤
	% of Total N	1 , . ½	90 %	90 %

n = number of the patients of each gender group.

N = total mumber of the patients of the study.

Table $\tilde{\ }$: Laporoscopic entry related complications of this study .

11			
The Complications n*= ۲۱۹	Method of La	aparoscopic En	try
	DPTI	Hasson's Tec	chnique n=\\
	n=Υ•Λ		•
Immidiate Minor Complications	٣	•	
Extraperitoneal insufflations.	١	•	
Small liver injury by epigastric trocar.	١	۲	
Bleeding from the secondary port sites.	•	•	
Bleeding from the primary port site.			
Total ((, , ۲ ½)	٥ (٢,٤ %)	۲	٠,٣٥٨
P-value	٠,٠٠٣		
Late Minor Complications			
Ecchymosis of the abdominal wall	۲	•	
Wound infection	۲	١	
Granuloma of the port site	•	١	
Total 7 (۲,۷ %)	٤(١,٩%)	۲	
P-value	٠,٠٠٨	٠,٣٥٨	
Major complications			
Total of immediate & late Minor & Major Compl	ications	۹ (٤,٣%)	٤ (٣٦,٤ %)
15 (0,9 %)			
P- value			
		٠,٠٠٤	٠,٣٥٨
n*=*19		۹ (٤,١ %)	٤ (١,٧ %)

 $n^* = number of patients of the study$,

Table 4: Time of The laparoscopic entry technique: comparison between this study and other studies .

•		pur obcopie circi	······································	Jan puribon Seeme	ar trans states	mile ourer break
	Time of	F.Argesta .et al	Byron JW	Mahmood S.	Prieto et	This Study
	Laparoscopic Entery	[17]	et al. [١٦]	Zakherah [19]	al [۲۰]	
	/minute					
	DPTI	۰,٥٥± ۰,۱۳	۲,۲	۲,۲± ۰,۷ SD	1,0±1,0	1, A · ± · , 7 & SD
	VN	Not used	0,9	۸,۲ ± ۱,٤SD	۳,۰±۰,٤	Not used

Table \circ : DPTI & veress needle entery complications: comparison between this study and other studies .

Methods Of Laparoscopic Entery And Their Complications		Mahmood S. Zakherah [۱۹]	Mary T. Jacobson et al [٩]	Günenç MZ et al [\•]	This Study
DPTI	Immediate minor complications %	٠,٤	•		۲, ٤
	Late minor complications %	?	۲, ٤		1,9
	Immediate major complications%	•	•	?	•
	Late major complications %	?	٠,١٦		•
	Total complications %	?	۲,۱٦	٣,٣	٤,٣
	P-value	< • • • • • • • •	?	< • , • • •	٠,٠٠٤
Veress Needle	Immediate minor complications %	١٤	•		Veress Needle
	Late minor complications %	?	٠,٧		was not used in
	Immediate major complications %	•	•	?	this study
	Late major complications %	•	٤,٧		

Total complications % ≥ 1 $\leq 0, \xi$ $\leq 0, \gamma$

DISCUSSION:

The rationale for DPTI without prior pneumoperitoneum is based on the fact that many complications reported during laparoscopic procedures are directly related to the use of the VN $^{(\Upsilon,\Upsilon\Upsilon)}$. DPTI has been reported as a safe alternative to VN $^{(\Upsilon,\Upsilon\Upsilon)}$. DPTI has been reported to be associated with fewer insufflation-related complications, such as gas embolism and to be a faster technique than the VN technique $^{(\Upsilon^{\circ})}$.

The objective of this study was to assess the safety, feasibility and quickness of the DPTI technique. Theodoropoulou et al (1) reported that DPTI feasibility rate was 99,0%, while in this study, the feasibility rate of DPTI was 90% which is comparable with Theodoropoulou et al study.

In a randomized prospective study of A5 patients, Prieto-Diaz-Chavez et al (Y·) reported complication rates of Y,T% and YT,A% after DPTI and VN insertion, respectively. In another study of 1074 patients, Mehmel Ali Verdel et al (YE) reported VN insertion, respectively. Argesta et al (⁽¹¹⁾ found that, in a population of ogh thin and very obese patients, DPTI is safe, having a slightly higher feasibility rate compared with the VN technique and is associated with fewer minor complications ,but reported no differences in the incidence of major complications.DPTI of this study has no major complications but it's minor complications rate was 5,7 %, which slightly higher than DPTI minor complication rate but ,significantly lower than that of VN in the studies $(^{(*,(*),(*),(*)})$.

In this study, DPTI time was $^{1},^{1}$ 1 1 SD minute . Mahmood S. Zakherah $^{(1)}$ reported DPTI time $^{1},^{1}$ 1 1 SD minute and VN time 1 1 SD minutes . Byron et al. $^{(1)}$ reported DPTI time 1 1 minute and VN time 1 minute .Thus, this study DPTI time is comparable with DPTI time of

either studies (17,19) but ,is significantly shorter than their VN time .

Argesta .T.et al⁽¹⁷⁾ study shows that DPTI was feasible in 1... of cases, and no conversion to open laparoscopy was necessary. Duration of DPTI was $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim} \pm 17$ seconds, while for open laparoscopy, it was $\stackrel{1}{\sim} 1.1$ seconds. Although the open trocar technique with a Hasson's cannula is considered a safe alternative, it is not complication free and its time consuming entry technique having made many laparoscopic surgeons use it very selectively

Reviewing of the studies (16,71,71) reveals that none of the available methods of laparoscopic entry for creation of pneumoperitoneum are free of complications. Each has its individual advantages and disadvantages and similar morbidity when performed by experienced operators with appropriate indications (17).

CONCLUSION:

In conclusion,DPTI entry is a safe alternative to the Veress Needle and other techniques of laparoscopic entry and creation of pneumoperitoneum. It has shorter laparoscopic entry time than the other laparoscopic entry techniques.

REFERENCES:

- Theodoropoulou et al.Direct Trocar Insertion Technique: an Alternative for Creation of Pneumoperitoneum . JSLS . ۲ · · › ; ۱۲: ۱٥٦-٥٨ .
- Vilos GA. Litigation of laparoscopic major vessel injuries in Canada. Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc Y...; V:0. 7-9.
- T. Bateman BG, Kolp LA, Hoeger K. Complications of laparoscopy ,operative and diagnostic. Fertil Steril. 1997;77:50.
- t. McKernan JB, Finley CR. Experience with optical trocar in performing laparoscopic procedures. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. Y •• Y; 17:97-99.
- Jansen FW, Kapiteyn K, Trimbos-Kemper T, Hermans J, Trimbos JB. Complications of laparoscopy: a prospective multicenter observational study. Br J Obstet Gynaecol.
- Gynecologic laparoscopy; A review article. Obstet Gynecol Surv. ۱۹۸۰: ۲۰:۳۸۰–۹٦.
- V. Xuezhi Jiang, Charmaine Anderson, and Peter F. Schnatz. The Safety of Direct Trocar Versus Veress Needle for Laparoscopic Entry: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials Journal of Laparoendoscopic & A Advanced

- Surgical Techniques. May ۲۰۱۲; ۲۲: ۳۲۲-۷۰. doi: ۱۰, ۱۰۸۹/lap. ۲۰۱۱, ۱ ٤٣٢.
- A. Santala M, Jarvela I, Kauppila A. Transfundal insertion of a Veress needle in laparoscopy of obese patients: a practical approach. Hum Reprod . 1999; 12: TYVV- VA.
- 4. Mary T.Jacobson et al .The Direct Trocar Technique: An Alternative Approach to Abdominal Entry for Laparoscopy. JSLS. Y.Y;7:719-75.
- Yesildaglar N, Bingöl B, Onalan G, Tabak S, Gökmen B. The safety and efficacy of direct trocar insertion with elevation of the rectus sheath instead of the skin for pneumoperitoneum. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. Y. O: YO: A.-A).
- 11. Dingfelder JR. Direct laparoscopic trocar insertion without prior pneumoperitoneum. J Reprod Med. 1944; Y1: 50-54.
- 1*. Catarci M, Carlini M, Gentileschi P, Santoro E, for the Lap Group Roma.Major and minor injuries during the creation of pneumoperitoneum: a multicenter study on 17.919 cases. Surg Endos . 7...; 10:011-19.
- 14. Altun H, et al. Comparison between direct trocar and Veress needle insertion in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. Y. V; YY. 9-1Y.
- Ye. Fuller J, Scott W, Ashar B, Corrado J. Laparoscopic trocar injuries: a report from a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) Systematic Technology Assessment of Medical Products (STAMP) Committee.

 A/Ye/Y··e; Y-Y: Available at:
 http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/medicaldevicesafety/s tamp/trocar.html. Accessed April £, Y··V.
- 17. Byron JW, Markenson G, Miyazawa K. A randomized comparison of Veress needle and direct trocar insertion for laparoscopy. Surg Gynecol Obstet . 1997; 1997–19.
- Y. Molloy D, Kalloo PD, Cooper M, Nguyen TV. Laparoscopic entry: a literature review and analysis of techniques and complications of primary port entry. Aust NZJ Obstet Gynaecol . Y . Y; \(\forall Y; \(\forall Z; \)\(\forall Z; \)\(\forall Z; \)\(\forall Z; \(\forall Z; \)\(\forall Z;
- No. George A. Vilos, MD, FRCSC . Laparoscopic Entry: A Review of Techniques, Technologies, and Complications . MAY JOGC MAI. Y. V; \97:577-5V.

- Veress Needle Entry for Laparoscopy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Gynecol Obstet Invest. Y. V. 19: 79: 77: 77.
- Copeland C, Wing R, Huka JF. Direct trocar insertion at laparoscopy: an evaluation. Obstet Gynecol.
 19AT; 77:770-79.
- YY. Jarrett JC Ynd. Laparoscopy: direct trocar insertion without pneumoperitoneum. Obstet Gynecol. 199: Yo: YYo-YY.
- Yr. Philips PA, Amacal FA. Abdominal access complications in laparoscopic surgery. *J Am Coll Surg.* Y · · · · ; \94 · · o · r · .
- Yt. Yerdel MA, Karayalcin K, Koyuncu A, et al. Direct trocar insertion versus Veress needle insertion in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg.* 1999;199:150-59.
- Yo. Vilos GA, Ternamian A, Dempster J, Laberge PY. Laparoscopic entry: a review of techniques, technologies, and complications. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. Y. V; Y9: £TT- To.
- YV. Woolcott R. The safety of laparoscopy performed by direct trocar insertion and carbon dioxide insufflation under vision. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 1997; TV: TVI 19.