

Available online at: www.basra-science-journal.org



ISSN .1817 .2695

A new Combining Algorithm and Its Global Convergence for Unconstraint Optimization Problem

Ivan S. Latif

Dept. of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Salahaddin, Erbil-Iraq ivansubhi2001@yahoo.com Received 22-10-2013, Accepted 25-3-2014

Abstract

In this paper, an algorithm for solving nonlinear unconstrained optimization problem by combining extended Conjugate Gradient (CG) and the damped-technique of Powell for the *BFGS* method to the Broyden family of quasi-Newton method is proposed. The basic idea is to choose a combination of the damped-technique of Powell and some pervious search directions using inexact line search as new search direction. We show that the global convergence for the new methods is possible and present, in particular. The global convergence property of the new algorithm is investigated under few weak conditions.

Key words: Unconstrained Optimization, Quasi Newton method, Gradient and related Algorithms, Damped –technique, inexact line search.

1. Introduction.

This paper considers the unconstrained Optimization problem

Min

$$f(x) x \in \mathfrak{R}^n, (1)$$

where the objective function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^1$ is a continuously differentiable function in \Re^n and \Re^n is the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space and n may be very large in some sensesee [16]. If one uses a variant of Newton's method to solve this problem. Then each iteration of the algorithm uses the first three terms of the objective and decide on a direction in which a better approximate solution can be found or at least descent can be obtained .Such algorithms are well-known and a well established convergence theory to support their typically good numerical performances, a typical iteration of such a method determines at most of the wellknown iterative algorithms for solving (1) take the form:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \tag{2}$$

where d_k is a search direction and α_k is a positive step-size along the search direction. This class of methods is called line search gradient method. If x_k is the current iterative point, then denote $\nabla f(x_k)$ by g_k , $f(x_k)$ by f_k and $f(x^*)$ by f^* , respectively. If we take $d_k = -g_k$, then the corresponding method is called Steepest Descent (SD) method; a simple one in gradient methods. It has wide applications in large scale optimization; see[16]. Generally CG-method is a useful technique

for solving large-scale nonlinear problems because it avoids the computation and storage of some matrices associated with the Hessian of objective functions. The CGmethod has the form:

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} -g_{k} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ -g_{k} + \beta_{k} d_{k-1} & \text{if } k \geq 2 \end{cases}$$
 of β_{k} and can be taken a
$$\beta_{k}^{FR} = \frac{\|g_{k}\|^{2}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^{2}}, \qquad \beta_{k}^{PR} = \frac{g_{k}^{T}(g_{k} - g_{k-1})}{\|g_{k-1}\|^{2}}, \qquad \beta_{k}^{HS} = \frac{g_{k}^{T}(g_{k} - g_{k-1})}{d_{k-1}^{T}g_{k-1}} ,$$

which respectively, correspond to the FR (Fletcher-Reeves)[12], PR (Polak-Ribiere)[18] and HS (HestenesStiefel)[13]. CG-method with exact line search (ELS) has finite convergence when they are used to minimize strictly convex quadratic function; However, if the objective function is not quadratic or ELS is not used then a CG-method has no finite convergence. Also a CG-method has no global convergence if the objective function is non- quadratic. Similarly, Miele and Cantrell [14] studied the memory gradient method for (1), the memory gradient method and the FR-CG method identical in the particular case of a quadratic function. Cragg and Levy [5], Wolfe and Viazminsky[21] proposed a super-memory gradientinvestigated also a super-memory descent method for (1) showed both memory and super-memory gradient methods are more efficient than CG and SD by mount of computation and storages. Shi-Shen[20] combined the CGmethod supper-memory and method to form a new gradient method that may be more effective than the standard CG-method for solving large optimization problems, Al-Bayatiand Latif[4] combined conjugate gradient (CG) (VM)method and variable -metric investigate that the new method is convergence under few conditions.

The theoretical and practical merits of the Quasi Newton (QN) family of methods for unconstrained optimization have been systematically explored since the classic paper of Fletcher and Powell analyzed by

where β_k is a parameter that determines the different CG-methods; see for example the following references: Crowder Wolfe[6]; Dai and Yuan [7],[8] Fletcher-Reeves [12]. Well known choices of β_k and can be taken as:

$$\beta_{k}^{HS} = \frac{g_{k}^{T}(g_{k} - g_{k-1})}{d_{k-1}^{T}g_{k-1}} , \qquad (4)$$

Davidon VM method[9]. On each iteration k of these methods, an estimate of a solution x_k and a positive definite Hessian approximation B_k are used to obtain a new estimate x_{k+1} then B_k is updated to a new family in terms of the differences:

$$s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k {5}$$

$$y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k (6)$$

Where gradient g_k denotes the Fletcher[11], Denmis and Schnable[10], Nocedal and Wright[16] they defined the effective among variable metric method. In (1970) the self-scaling VM algorithms were introduced, showing significant improvement in efficiency over earlier methods.

Recently, Al-Baali and Grandintti[2] show that the performance of the BFGS method can be improved if y_k modified before updating to the damped -technique ,Al-Baali and Purmama[3] applied several members of Broyeden family of methods work substantiallybefore BFGS method, showed that a class of a damped Quasi-Newton methods have the global convergence property.

The aim of this paper is to combine the damped technique of Quasi-Newton with modified VM-algorithm .The basic idea is to choose a combination of the current gradient and some pervious search direction algorithms, which may be more effective than the standard conjugate algorithm. We report and discuss some computational results obtained of standard

test problem. It is shown that the performance of the new switching

2. A New Proposed Algorithm.

In this section, we used inexact modified Armijo step size rules fully described in Armijoline search rule[1] to find the best step size parameter along the search direction at each iteration α_k is chosen by modified namely for given

 $q > 1, \mu_1 \in (0,1), \alpha_k = -q^r$ and is the smallest nonnegative integer such that :

algorithm in substantially better than the *BFGS* methods.

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) \le \mu_1 \alpha_k g_k^{\mathrm{T}} g_k$$
(7)

of the following new algorithm we defined a search direction by the form

$$d_{k} = -\gamma_{k} g_{k} + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_{k-i} d_{k-i}$$
 (8)

Where

$$d_{k}(\beta_{k-r+1}^{(k)},...,\beta_{k}^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} -B_{k}^{-1}g_{k} & if & k \leq r-1 \\ -B_{k}^{-1}\{\beta_{k}^{(k)}g_{k} + \sum_{i=2}^{r}\beta_{k-i+1}^{(k)}d_{k-i+1}\} & if & k > r \end{cases}$$

$$(9)$$

$$\beta_{k-i+1}^{(k)} = \max\{\frac{s_k^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{y}_k}{s_k^{\mathrm{T}} B_k s_k}, 0\}$$
 (10)

where Al-Baali and Grandintti[2] show that the performance of the BFGS method can be improved if y_k is modified before updating the damped –technique.

$$\hat{y}_{k} = \psi_{k} y_{k} + (1 - \psi_{k}) B_{k} s_{k} \tag{11}$$

where ψ_k is a parameter chosen appropriately and sufficiently large in the interval (0,1], The resulting damped

$$B_{k+1} = B_k - \frac{B_k s_k s_k^{\mathsf{T}} B_k}{s_k^{\mathsf{T}} B_k s_k} + \frac{\hat{y}_k \hat{y}_k^{\mathsf{T}}}{s_k^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{y}_k} + \Theta_k (s_k^{\mathsf{T}} B_k s_k) \hat{v}_k \hat{v}_k^{\mathsf{T}}$$

(D) – BFGS method is proposed by Powell[19] for the lagrangian function in constrained optimization and used many times with only values of $\psi_k \ge 0.8$

,see for example[11],[16] $.B_k$ is updated to a new Hessian approximation:

$$+\Theta_k(s_k^1 B_k s_k) \hat{v}_k \hat{v}_k^1 \tag{12}$$

where Θ_k is a parameter defined ($\xi_k < \Theta_k < 1$,where ξ_k is a certain negative value sufficiently close to zero)see Powell[19].

$$\hat{v}_k = \frac{\hat{y}_k}{s_k^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{y}_k} - \frac{B_k s_k}{s_k^{\mathsf{T}} B_k s_k} \tag{13}$$

And \hat{y}_k is defined by (11) for a suitable value of ψ_k . This class of damped updates is reduced to the Broyden family if $\hat{y}_k = y_k$ (which corresponds to $\psi_k = 1$). Thus ,if the equality holds for all iterations we obtain the Broydenfamily of methods. Otherwise

we obtain the D-Broyden class of methods. In particular, the choices ($\Theta_k = 0$ and $\Theta_k = 1$) yield the (D) - BFGS and (D) - DFP methods which correspond (for these choices and $\psi_k = 1$) to the well known BFGS and DFP methods, respectively.

3. Algorithm

Step1:Given a starting point x_0 , a symmetric and positive definite matrix $B_0 = I$ positive value of $\psi_k, \mu_1, q, \Theta_k$ and tolerance $\varepsilon > 0$, set k = 1.

Step2:terminate $||g_k|| \le \varepsilon$, then go to step9; else go to Step3.

Step3: compute the search direction defined (9).

Step4: find a step length α_k is chosen by (7) and a new point as (2) go to step (5)

4. Convergent Algorithm property:

Now to ensure that the new algorithm has a global convergence, let us consider the following theorems[7],[16]:

Proposed the following assumptions:

 H_1 : The objective function f has lower bound on the level set $L_0 = \{x \in \Re^n \big| f(x) \le f(x_0) \}$, where x_0 is an available initial point.

Step5: If available storage is exceeded, then employ a restart option either with k = n or

$$g_{k+1}^{\mathrm{T}}g_{k+1} > g_{k+1}^{\mathrm{T}}g_{k}$$
.

Step6: compute s_k , y_k using (5), (6)

Step7: update y_k to \hat{y}_k and B_k to B_{k+1} using formulas (11),(12)&(13)

Step8: Set k = k + 1 and go to Step 3.

Step9: output NOI, NOF.

Step10:stop.

 H_2 : The gradient g(x) of f(x) is Lipschitz continuous in an open convex set B which contains L_0

i.e. there exist a constant L > 0 such that:

$$||g(x) - g(y)|| \le L||x - y||, \quad \forall x, y \in B$$

 H_3 : The gradient g(x) is uniformly continuous in an open convex set B containing L_0 . Obviously

assumption (H_2) implies (H_3) .

4.1. Theorem(1)

The new Algorithmgenerates an infinite sequence $\{x_k\}$ If (H_1) and (H_2) hold, then

$$\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \frac{\left\|g_k\right\|^4}{\gamma_k} < +\infty \tag{14a}$$

where

$$\gamma_k = \max_{2 \le i \le r} (\|g_k\|^2, \|d_{k-r+1}\|^2)$$
(14b)

Proof.

Since $\{f_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence and satisfies assumption (H_1) and assumption (H_2) also B_{k+1} has the global rate of

convergent see Al-Baali and Purama[3]hence the proof is complete.

4.2. Theorem(2).

Let d_k bedefined by the formula(2), if we choose γ_k and β_k that satisfy (4) and (10)

for all k. Then our method satisfies the descent condition for all k.

Proof.

From (2) and (3), we get

$$\beta_k = \|g_k\|^2 \psi_{ki}^{\mathrm{T}}$$

where

$$\psi_{ki} = \max_{2 \le i \le r} \left(\frac{g_k^{\mathrm{T}} d_k}{\gamma_k}, 0 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\gamma_{k} \|g_{k}\|^{2} + \beta_{ki} g_{k}^{T} d_{k-i} &\leq -\gamma_{k} \|g_{k}\|^{2} + \beta_{ki} \max\{d_{k-i}^{T} \hat{y}_{k}, 0\} \\ &\leq -\gamma_{k} \|g_{k}\|^{2} + \|g_{k}\|^{2} \psi_{ki}^{T} \max\{d_{k-i}^{T} g_{k-i}, 0\} \\ &\leq -\gamma_{k} \|g_{k}\|^{2} + \|g_{k}\|^{2} \psi_{ki}^{T} \psi_{ki} \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$g_{k}^{T} d_{k} = -\gamma_{k} \|g_{k}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_{ki} g_{k}^{T} d_{k}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \{-\gamma_{k} \|g_{k}\|^{2} + \beta_{ki} g_{k}^{T} g_{k-i}\}$$

$$\leq 0$$

Therefore, the descent condition (7) satisfied.

4.3. Theorem(3).

If conditions of Theorem (1) are hold, then either $\lim_{k\to\infty} ||g_k|| = 0$ or $\{x_k\}$ has no bound.

Proof.

If $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|g_k\| \neq 0$, then there exists an infinite subset $B_0 \subset \{r,r+1,...\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that:

$$\|g_k\| > \varepsilon$$
 , $k \in B_0$ (15)

Thus

$$\frac{\varepsilon^4}{\gamma_k} \le \frac{\|g_k\|^4}{\gamma_k} \qquad \forall \ k \in B_0 \tag{16}$$

By Theorem (1) and for $k \ge 1$, we obtain

$$\|d_k\|^2 \le \max_{1 \le i \le r} \{\|g_i\|^2\}$$
 (17)

Now if $k \le r$, then the conclusion is obvious. Otherwise k > r, then by induction process we obtain the following conclusion;

$$\sum_{k \in B_0} \frac{\varepsilon^4}{\gamma_k} \le \sum_{k=r}^{+\infty} \frac{\left\| g_k \right\|^4}{\gamma_k} < +\infty \tag{18}$$

Then there exists at least one $i: 2 \le i \le r$ such that:

$$\lim_{k \in B_0, k \to \infty} \left\| d_{k-i+1} \right\| = +\infty \tag{19}$$

Therefor $\{x_k\}$ has no bound.

5. Numerical Results

In this section, we investigate how the number r effects the number performance. We test our algorithm the various storage, where r is changed from $2 \le r \le n$ for more we compare with the CG methods.

We report some numerical results with the proposed algorithm we test the performance of new algorithm the test problem we used is described in Nocedal[15],[[17].The numerical results of our experiment are reported from table[1] each problem was tested with various values of n changing n = 10 to 1000. The numerical results in the form of NOI denoted the number of iteration functions and NOF denoted the number of function.

Our line search subroutine computes α_k such that the modification Armijo line search rule (7) hold with $\mu_1 = 0.01$, the initial value of α_k is always set to 1.

All the results shown in Table 1 show that new algorithm successfully for all initial points .We then compare performance of new algorithm with the CG ,there are about (91.03-91.8)% improvements of NOI for all dimensions.Also there (94.58are 94.99)%improvements of NOF for all test functions.In each case the convergence $||g_k|| < 1 \times 10^{-6}$. The new criterion is algorithm seems to be suitable to solve illconditioned problem.

Table 1: Comparison between the New and CG algorithms using three different values of r and four different values of n the total of tools for each test function

| N0. | TEST | | CG NOI/NOF | N. | EW NOI(NOF) | |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| OF TEST | FUNCTION | n | | r=10 | r=100 | r=300 |
| | GEN-Wolf | 5 10 | 102/340 190/388 | 12/15 14/17 | 12/15 14/17 | 12/15 14/17 |
| 1 | | 100 1000 | 206/412 216/560 | 15/16 16/26 | 15/16 16/26 | 15/16 16/26 |
| | | 5 | 26/60 | 34/44 | 34/44 | 34/44 |
| 2 | Non-diagonal (Shanno-78) | 10 100 | 30/65 102/206 | 12/18 16/24 | 12/18 16/24 | 12/18 16/24 |
| | | 1000 | 292/580 | 16/24 | 16/24 | 16/24 |
| | | 5 10 | 82/194 82/198 | 10/24 10/25 | 19/22 19/22 | 19/22 19/22 |
| 3 | Ex- Tridigonal-2 | 100 1000 | 102/205 103/212 | 10/22 10/16 | 21/23 21/23 | 21/23 21/23 |
| 4 | GEN-Recipe | 5 10 100 1000 | 69/183 72/187 80/204 85/210 | 11/14 12/16 12/16 12/16 | 11/14 12/16 12/16 12/16 | 11/14 12/16 12/16 12/16 |
| 5 | EX- Tridiagonal-1 | 5 10 100 1000 | 56/140 58/118 58/118 58/118 | 16/28 16/31 16/20 16/20 | 16/20 16/20 16/20 16/20 | 16/20 16/20 16/20 16/20 |
| 6 | exponential | 5 10 100 1000 | 26/55 20/40 22/45 22/45 | 5/8 5/8 5/8 5/8 | 5/8 5/8 5/8 5/8 | 5/8 5/8 5/8 5/8 |

| | | 5 10 | 1260/3036 1371/2781 | 20/25 16/21 | 20/21 16/21 | 20/25 16/21 |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 7 | Dquadratic | 100 | 925/1853 | 12/16 | 12/16 | 12/16 |
| | | 1000 | 925/1850 | 12/16 | 12/16 | 12/16 |
| | | 5 | 52/120 | 16/39 | 16/28 | 16/28 |
| 8 | GEN- | 10 | 56/116 | 16/39 | 16/28 | 16/28 |
| | Tridiagonal-1 | 100 | 58/127 | 16/20 | 16/20 | 16/20 |
| | | 1000 | 58/127 | 16/20 | 16/20 | 16/20 |
| 9 | | 5 | 107/220 | 50/56 | 50/56 | 50/56 |
| | | 10 | 107/217 | 50/56 | 50/56 | 50/56 |
| | GEN-Powell | 100 | 115/230 | 50/56 | 50/56 | 50/56 |
| | | 1000 | 125/251 | 50/56 | 50/56 | 50/56 |
| | | 5 | 9/24 | 10/15 | 10/15 | 10/15 |
| | | 10 | 9/24 | 10/15 | 10/15 | 10/15 |
| 10 | GEN-Strait | 100 | 9/24 | 10/15 | 10/15 | 10/15 |
| | | 1000 | 9/24 | 10/15 | 10/15 | 10/15 |
| | | 5 | 503/1049 | 20/23 | 20/23 | 20/23 |
| | GEN-Beale | 10 | 457/915 | 20/23 | 20/23 | 20/23 |
| 11 | | 100 | 509/1019 | 22/25 | 22/25 | 22/25 |
| | | 1000 | 535/1072 | 22/25 | 22/25 | 22/25 |
| | | 5 | 25/76 | 4/7 | 4/7 | 4/7 |
| 12 | | 10 | 25/76 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 |
| | Full Hessian | 100 | 15/75 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 |
| | | 1000 | 17/78 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 |
| | The Total of 12 | | 2317/5497 | 208/298 | 217/273 | 217/277 |
| functions for four | | 10 | 2477/5125 | 184/277 | 193/252 | 193/252 |
| different dimensions | | 100 | 2201/4518 | 187/246 | 198/247 | 198/247 |
| | | 1000 | 2445/5127 | 188/250 | 199/257 | 199/257 |

6. Conclusions and Discussions.

We defined a search direction and consider the sufficient condition for descent search direction. We present the algorithm for our memory gradient method with inexact Armijo line search techniques and global convergence of our method, we see how choice of r and γ_k affect the numerical performance and compared three kinds of our methods with CG method by changing value of r, we see that the choice

of sizing parameter γ_k has great effect of our algorithm. But the algorithm has stability for the evaluation of number of iteration and the evaluation of number of function for different choice of r to improve performance and accelerate the gradient relates which need a few iterations.

The new algorithm converges faster and is more efficient than the others.

Appendix.

All the test functions used in this paper are from general literature Nocedal[15],[17].

1. Generalized Wolfe Function:

$$f(x) = (-x_1(3 - x_1/2) + 2x_2 - 1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{i-1} - x_i(3 - x_i/2 + 2x_{i+1} - 1))^2 + (x_{n-1} - x_n(3 - x_n/2) - 1)^2,$$

$$x_0 = [-1, ..., -1].$$

2. Non-diagonal (Shanno-78) Function (cute):

$$f(x) = (x_i - 1)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} 100(x_1 - x_{i-1}^2)^2,$$

$$x_0 = [-1, -1, ..., -1].$$

3. Extended Tridiagonal-2 Function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i x_{i+1} - 1)^2 + c(x_i + 1)(x_{i+1} + 1),$$

$$x_0 = [1,1,...,1], c = 0.1.$$

4. Generalized Recipe Function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/3} \left[(x_{3i-1} - 5)^2 + x_{9i-1}^2 + \frac{x_{3i}^2}{(x_{3i-1} - x_{3i} - 2)^2} \right],$$

$$x_0 = [2,5,1,...,2,5,1].$$

5. Extended Tridigonal-1Function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} (x_{2i-1} + x_{2i} - 3)^2 + (x_{2i-1} - x_{2i} + 1)^4,$$

$$x_0 = [2, 2, ..., 2].$$

6. Extended Three Exponential Terms Function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \left(\exp(x_{2i-1} + 3x_{2i} - 0.1) + \exp(x_{2i-1} - 3x_{2i} - 0.1) + \exp(-x_{2i-1} - 0.1) \right),$$

$$x_0 = [0.1, 0.1, ..., 0.1].$$

7. Dquadratic Function (cute):

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (x_i^2 + cx_{i+1}^2 + dx_{i+2}^2),$$

$$x_0 = [3,3,...,3], c = 100, d = 100.$$

8. Generalized Tridiagonal-1 Function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{2i-1} + x_{2i} - 3)^2 + (x_{2i-1} - x_{2i} + 1)^4,$$

$$x_0 = [2, 2, ..., 2].$$

9. Generalized Powell function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/3} \left\{ 3 - \left[\frac{1}{1 + (x_i - x_{2i})^2} \right] - \sin\left(\frac{\pi x_{2i} x_{3i}}{2} \right) - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_i + x_{3i}}{x_{2i}} - 2 \right)^2 \right] \right\},$$

$$x_0 = [0,1,2,...,0,1,2].$$

10. Generalized Strait Function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} (x_{2i-1}^2 - x_{2i})^2 + 100(1 - x_{2i-1})^2,$$

$$x_0 = [-2, ..., -2].$$

11. Generalized Beale Function:

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \left[1.5 - x_{2i} + (1 - x_{2i})\right]^2 + \left[2.25 - x_{2i-1}(1 - x_{2i}^2)\right]^2 + \left[2.625 - x_{2i-1}(1 - x_{2i}^2)\right]^2, \\ x_0 &= [-1, -1, \dots, -1, -1]. \end{split}$$

12. Full Hessian Function:

$$f(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i \exp(x_i) - 2x_i - x_i^2),$$

$$x_0 = [1,1,...,1].$$

References.

[1]Armijo,L. (1966), Minimization of functions having Lipschitz continuous first partial derivatives, Pacific Journal of Math., 16, 1-13.

[2]Al-Baali, M. and Grandintti,L. (2009), On practical modifications of the quasi-Newton BFGS method, Journal of advanced modeling and optimization, 11,63-76.

[3]Al-Baali, M. and Purnama, A. (2012), Numerical experience with damped quasi-Newton optimization methods when the objective function is quadratic ,SQU Journal for numerical optimization, 17, 1-12.

[4]Al-Bayati,a.,y. and Latiif,I.,S.(2012), Anew CG-Algorithem with self –scaling VM-update for unconstraint optimization ,AAM Journal for application &applied mathematics, 6, 1-12.

[5]Cragg, E. andLevy, A. (1969), Study on a super memory gradient method for the minimization of function, JOTA, 4(3), 191-205.

[6]Crowder, H. and Wolfe, P. (1972), Liner convergence of the conjugate gradient methods, IBM Journal of research and development, 16, 431-433.

[7]Dai Y. and Yuan, Y. (1999), Nonlinear conjugate gradient methods, Shanghai science and technology press, Shanghai.

[8]Dai, Y. and Yuan, Y. (1996), Convergence properties of the Fletcher-Reeves method, IMA.J. of numerical analysis, 16, 155-164.

[9]Davidon, W.C. (1991), Variable metric method for minimization, SIMA. J.on optimization, 1, 1-17.

[10]Dennis, J.E. and Schnabel, R.B. (1996), Numerical methods for unconstrained optimization and nonlinear equations, SIAM publications, Philadelphia.

[11]Fletcher, R.,(1987), Practical methods of optimization, 2ndedution, Wiley, Chichester, England.

[12]Fletcher, R. and Revees, C. (1964), Function minimization by conjugate gradients, J. computer, 7, 149-154.

[13]Hestenes, M. and Stiefel, E. (1952), Methods of conjugate gradients for solving linear systems, J. Res. natbureau stand., 29, 409-430.

[14]Miele, A. and Cantrell, J. (1969), Study on a memory gradient method for the minimization of function, JOTA, 3(6), 459-470.

[15]Nocedal, J. (2005), Unconstrained optimization test function research institute for informatics, Center for advanced modeling and optimization, Bucharest1, Romania.

[16]Nocedal, J. and Wright, S. (1999), Numerical optimization, Spring series in operations research, Springer Verlag, New York, USA.

[17]Nocedal, J. (1980), Updating quasi-Newton matrices with limited storage, mathematics of computation, 35, 773-782.

[18]Polak, E. and Ribière, G. (1969), Note sur la Convergence methods de directionsconjugates. Rev.,francaise, Inform. Rech.,operation., 16, 35-43.

[19] Powell,M.J.D. (1987), Algorithms for nonlinear constraints that use Lagrange functions., Math., programming, J., 14,34-47.

[20] Shi, Z. and Shen, J. (2004), A gradient-related algorithm with inexact line searcher, J.computational and applied mathematics, 170, 349-370.

[21] Wolfe, M. and Viazminsky, C. (1976), Super memory descent methods for unconstrained minimization, JOTA., 18(4), 455-468.

تركيب خوارزمية جديدة وتقاربها الامثل لمسائل التصغيرية غير المقيدة

الملخص

في هذا البحث .تم أقتراح خوارزمية لحل المسائل التصغيرية غير المقيدة وذلك بتركيب طريقة التدرج المترافق الممتد مع حزمة طرق متخامده لباول الى حزمة برويد لطرق متماثلة نيوتن.الفكرة الاساسية هو اختيار تركيب لحزمة تخامد باول لاتجاه خط البحث مع خطوات السابقة الاولية لاتجاه البحث لطرق تدرج المترافق باستخدام بحث خطى غير تام .وقد تم الثبات ان هذه الطريقة تمتلك خاصية التقارب الشامل وقد اظهرت النتائج العددية فعالية الطريقة جديدة بشروط بسيطة.