Response of forage mass to cutting date and forage mixtures ratios

استجابة الكتلة العلفية لمواعيد القطع (الحش) و نسب الخلط العلفية

Mundher Khammas Jabbar Dept. of Field Crops Coll. of agric.-Univ. of Green Qassim

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted during winter of the season 2012-2013 in one of fields of (Mahaweel / Bada`a Kabeer) north city center of Babel , to investigate the response of Forage mass to dates of cutting and ratios of forage mixtures(barley+barseem), A factorial arrangement in randomized complete block design in split plot arrangement with three replication was used , In main plots was cutting dates : T_1 (50 days after planting) , T_2 (60 days after planting) and T_3 (70 days after planting) , sub plots was forage mixtures ratios : D_1 (barley 1 : 1 clover) , D_2 (barley 1 : 2 clover) and D_3 (barley 1 : 3 clover) .

. the studied traits was : number of leaves $/m^2$, cover leaves (m^2 / ha) , net asdsimilation rate $(g/cm^2/week)$, leaves per stems (%), green forage yield (tan/ha), dry forage yield (tan/ha), percentage of Protein (%) and protein yield (tan/ha); The treatment third date (T_3) was superior in number leaf $/m^2$, leaves cover, net asdsimilation rate, leaves per stems, green forage yield, dry matter yield, percentage of protein and protein yield. The treatment forage mixtures ratios (D_2) was superior in net asdsimilation rate, green forage yield and dry mater yield while treatment (D_3) was superior in No. leaf/m², leaves cover, percentage of protein and protein yield; A linear relationship was found between cutting dates with forage mixtures ratios in yield traits was found in treatment $(D_3 \times T_3)$ and $(D_2 \times T_3)$, So the treatment $(D_3 \times T_3)$ was superior in net asdsimilation rate, leaves per Stems, green forage yield raits was found in treatment ($D_3 \times T_3$) and $(D_2 \times T_3)$, so the treatment $(D_3 \times T_3)$ was superior in number leaf/m², leaves cover, percentage of protein and protein yield; The treatment ($D_2 \times T_3$) was superior in net asdsimilation rate, leaves per Stems, green forage yield, dry mater yield.

الخلاصة:

أجريت هذه التجربة الحقلية خلال الموسم الشتوي 2012-2013 في احدى الحقول الزراعية (البدع الكبير - قضاء المحاويل) شمال مركز مدينة محافظة بابل (40كم) ،بهدف دراسة استجابة الشعير مع البرسيم للزراعة المتداخلة و لمواعيد الحش ونسب المخاليط العلفية ، استخدم في تنفيذ التجربة تصميم القطاعات العشوائية ضمن ترتيب الالواح المنشقة وبثلاث مكررات اذ شملت الألواح الرئيسية مواعيد الحش والألواح الثانوية نسب المخاليط العلفية ، وكانت الصفات المدروسة :

(عدد الاوراق\م² – الغطاء الورقي م²\هكتار حمعدل صافي تمثيل الضوئي غم\سم²\اسبوع –نسبة الاوراق الى السيقان (%) – حاصل العلف الاخضر طن\هكتار - حاصل مادة جافة طن\هكتار –النسبة المئوية للبروتين (%) – حاصل البروتين طن/هكتار ، تم الحصول على النتائج التالية :

تفوق الموعد ثالث (T_3) في كلّ من عدد الاوراق/م² والغطاء الورقي ومعدل صافي التمثيل الضوئي ونسبة الاوراق الى السيقان وحاصل العلف الاخضر وحاصل المادة الجاف والنسبة المئوية للبروتين وحاصل البروتين ، في نسب المخاليط العلفية تقوقت نسبة الخط العلفية و(D₂) في كلّ من معدل الصافي التمثيل الضوئي وحاصل العلف الاخضر وحاصل المادة الجاف والنسبة المئوية للبروتين وحاصل العلف الاخضر وحاصل المادة الجاف والنسبة المئوية للبروتين وحاصل العلف الاخضر وحاصل المادة الجاف والنسبة المئوية للبروتين وحاصل البروتين ، في نسب المخاليط العلفية تقوقت نسبة الخلط العلفية (D₂) في كلّ من معدل الصافي التمثيل الضوئي وحاصل العلف الاخضر وحاصل المادة الجاف ، بينما تفوقت نسبة الخلط العلفية (D₃) في كلّ من معدل الصافي التمثيل الضوئي وحاصل العلق المؤوية البروتين وحاصل المادة الجافة ، بينما تفوقت نسبة الخلط العلفية (D₃) في كلّ من عدد الاوراق/م² والغطاء الورقي والنسبة المؤوية للبروتين وحاصل المادة الجافة ، بينما تفوقت نسبة الخلط العلفية (D₃) في كلّ من عدد الاوراق/م² والغطاء الورقي والنسبة المئوية للبروتين وحاصل المادة الجافة ، بينما تفوقت نسبة الخلط العلفية (T₃) في كلّ من عدد الاوراق/م² والغطاء الورقي والنسبة المئوية للبروتين وحاصل البروتين ، تفوق التداخل (T₃×D₃) في كلّ من صفة عدد الاوراق/م² والغطاء الورقي والنسبة المئوية للبروتين وحاصل البروتين ، نفوق التداخل (T₃×D₃) في كلّ من صفة عدد الاوراق/م² والغطاء الوروقي والنسبة المئوية للبروتين وحاصل البروتين ، ينما اظهر التداخل (T₃×D₃)</sub> في كلّ من معدل الصافي التمثيل ونسبة الاوراق الى السيقان حاصل العلف الاخضر وحاصل المادة الجافة .

INTRODUCTION

Livestock have operated important place and essential in stable agricultural system because of its active role in achieving food security, The decline in productivity forage, limited forage resources, inability to meet food needs necessary and sufficient for the animals as well as higher prices is one of the problems that stand in front of the evolution, development of these wealth and cover the current deficit in animal products due to of growing demand. Forage mixtures is a concept had known human since more than 4000 years BC., for the purpose of increasing nutritional value of yield forage and easily submission of the animal, Forage mixtures is known as feeds consisting of two crops or more are often planted randomly either single or intercropping, the competition in this case are among crops on environmental factors and soil factors, the intercropping among plants determines the optimal use of growth conditions and thus affect the amount of vegetative growth and nutritional value of forage (2),(1).

Forage mixtures consisting of crops (cereal-legume) are important source of energy to the contain of protein, carbohydrate and mineral elements, In the forage mixture, The Cereal component is a source of carbohydrate and legume source compo- nent provides proteins in forage (3). Nutritionally balanced forage is obtained from mixtures of leguminous and grassy forage had been mainly motive to plant forage mixtures.

<u>Trifolium alexandreum</u> L. is one of important forage crops leguminous in most countries in world, So it is a source of protein and carbohydrates in food animal, because of high proportion of protein and low fiber in vegetative parts, So it is longer foraging perfect food integrated to livestock therefore we make increase the density of the crop legumes in the mixture gives an

increase yield protein in forage mixture (4).

Increase in rates of intensities legume crop may face several problems, including the nutrition leguminous forage cause bloat to animals (5), So we resort to provide forage mixtures as balanced proportions shall give the highest protein yield in order to avoid bloat problem, Planting clover loaded with barley have faced several problems, including rapid vegetative growth of barley therefore it caused poor vegetative growth of clover (6), So we try to find a solution by knowing the cutting date and plant densities in balanced proportions.

<u>Hordeum</u> spp. is one of strong cereal fields which give big forage yield (qualitative and quantitive), So it's giving carbohydrate to forage mass(7),the competing among different plant is one of important factors which limit growth plant (8), although the will to increase protein in forage mass by increase legume forage to cereal forage, So we should advertence to per cereal plant in forage mass by effect on its growth (9).

The best cutting date have effected in growth plant species in forage mass which become slow growth because of competitiveness(10), (11). losing time, cutting date in intercropping may be not giving increase in forage yield (12).

So we conducted this experiment to study many per of forage mixture with best cutting date which give the best forage yield (qualitative and quantitive).

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The experiment was conducted during winter season 2012-2013 in one of (Mahaweel / Bada`a Kabeer) fields – north Babel city, The experiment was carried out as a split-plot design based on Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications, In main plots was cutting dates : T_1 (50 days after planting), T_2 (60 days after planting) and T_3 (70 days after planting), sub plots was forage mixtures ratios : D_1 (barley 1 : 1 clover), D_2 (barley 1 : 2 clover) and D_3 (barley 1 : 3 clover).

Analysis of variance(ANOVA) and means comparison was carried out by L.S.D. test under incorporeity level 5% (13) with S.A.S. program (14).

Treatments was randomized distributed in $(8 \times 3)m^2$ plot, distance among sub-plots 0.5 m and lines 20 cm (15), time of planting 10/11/2012 (16), The cultivars for studying was (mascawi) clover and (warka) barley, Cutting of plants was at least 50 cm height (10), (11). N fertilizer added as

Journal of Kerbala University, Vol. 12 No.2 Scientific . 2014

Urea (46%) in rate (20 kg N/ h) on two defrayments : first at planting stage , second after 40 days from first (17) , Studies traits was :

Number of leaves $/m^2$, cover leaves (m^2/ha) (plant leaf area ×plant density), net asdsimilation rate $(g/cm^2/week)$, leaves per stems (%), green forage yield (tan/ha), dry forage yield (tan/ha), percentage of Protein to dry weight (%)(kjldal method) and protein yield (tan/ha).

Soil of the field was checking to know (physical and chemical traits) in Soil department laboratories /collage of agriculture, The result was in table (1):

table (1) physical and chemical analysis for soil before planting :

Studies traits	Rate
(PH)	7.8
(Ec)	3.1
Sand(%)	30.5
Clay(%)	38.4
Silt(%)	29.8
Contexture	Admixture Clay

RESULTS AND DISSCUSION

Cutting date was given differences incorporeity in yield traits table (2), treatment third date (T_3) was superior in No. leaf /m² (1020.7), leaves cover (28751.8 m²/ha), net asdsimilation rate (39.9g/cm²/week), leaves per stems (102.2 %), green forage yield (12.078 tan/ha), dry matter yield (1.495 tan/ha), Per. of protein (43.6%) and protein yield (5.249 tan/ha), this data agree with (11), (15) who found the best stage for cutting after 70 days from date of planting that give best forage traits, make plant cutting in late will give increase in plant vegetable growth in to leaf area, number of leaves and accumulation of dry matter consequently increase protein in plant parts.

Forage mixtures ratios was too given differences incorporeity in yield traits table(2), treatment (D₂) was superior in net asdsimilation rate (38.4 g/cm²/week), green forage yield (11.911 tan/ha), dry mater yield (1.667 tan/ha) This data is agree with (8) who found best plant density between barley and clover (1:2) to give best quality and quantity green yield traits, So falling in plant competition among species on growth factors ; Treatment (D₃) was superior in No. leaf/m² (978.9), leaves cover (25863.3 m²/ha), per. of protein (44.9%) and protein yield (4.870 tan/ha), it is found because increase plant density in area unit.

The interaction table(2) between cutting dates with forage mixtures ratios in yield traits was found in treatment $(D_3 \times T_3)$ and $(D_2 \times T_3)$, So the treatment $(D_3 \times T_3)$ was superior in No. leaf/m² (1024.9), leaves cover (28792.4 m²/ha), Per. of protein (48.6 %) and protein yield (5.674 tan/ha); The treatment $(D_2 \times T_3)$ was superior in net asdsimilation rate (40.83 g/cm²/week), leaves per Stems (105.9 %), green forage yield (12.633 tan/ha), dry mater yield (1.768 tan/ha).

Journal of Kerbala University, Vol. 12 No.2 Scientific . 2014

		0		0			0	
Treatment	No. leaf /m ²	leaves cover m ² /ha	net asdsimil- ation rate g/cm ² /wee k	leaves per stems (%)	green forage yield (tan/h a)	dry matter yield (tan/ha)	Per. of protei n (%)	protein yield (tan/ha)
Cutting Date								
$T_1 = 50$ days	854.9	19914. 0	31.3	71.8	10.02 2	1.242	36.2	3.619
$T_2 = 60 \text{ days}$	981.9	28544.	38.7	95.2	11.73	1.453	42.4	4.949
$T_3 = 70 \text{ days}$	1020. 7	28751. 8	39.9	102.2	12.07 8	1.495	43.6	5.249
L.S.D	87.71	193.1	3.75	12.57	0.479	0.048	2.19	0.221
Forage mixtures ratios								
D ₁ =1:1	923.5	25551. 3	34.6	86.02	11.18 9	1.343	34.8	4.163
D ₂ =1:2	955.8	25795. 6	38.4	93.3	11.91 1	1.667	42.5	4.783
D ₃ =1:3	978.9	25863. 3	36.9	89.9	10.73 3	1.180	44.9	4.870
L.S.D	25.18	136.0	1.49	N.S	0.303	0.037	1.09	0.223
Interaction								
T ₁ D ₁	799.9	19487. 3	28.8	69.2	10.00 0	1.200	32.4	3.454
D_2	857.7	20094. 1	33.9	74.9	10.66 7	1.493	37.9	3.796
D ₃	907.1	20160. 6	31.3	71.3	9.400	1.034	38.3	3.606
T ₂ D ₁	955.3	28458. 1	36.5	89.5	11.63	1.396	35.2	4.372
D_2	985.8	28538.	40.4	99.1	12.43	1.740	44.2	5.145
D ₃	1004.	28637.	39.2	97.0	11.13	1.223	47.9	5.330
T ₃ D ₁	1015.	28708.	38.77	99.3	5 11.93 2	1.432	36.9	4.663
D_2	1022.	28754.	40.83	105.9	12.63	1.768	45.3	5.409
D ₃	1024.	з 28792.	40.33	101.2	3 11.66 7	1.283	48.6	5.674
L.S.D	<u> </u>	240.0	9.02	22.8	1.439	0.154	4.34	0.351

Table(2) Effect Cutting Date and Forage Mixtures Ratios on Forage Mass

REFERANCE

- 1. Gous , P. W. , A. Martin and W. Lawson (2012). QTL associated with barley (Hordeum vulgare) feed quality traits measured through in situ digestion , Euphytica J. Vol. 185 : 37-45.
- 2. Tamado,T.,C.Fininsa and W.worku (2007). Agronomic performance and productivity of common bean varieties in double intercropping with maize in eastern ethiopea .asian J. of Plant- Science. 6(5): 749-756.
- 3. Snyder, H. (2012). Forage Crops of high, medium and low Protein content. Col. Of Agric. ,Uni. of Texas , Vol. :97-107.
- 4. Ross¹, Sh. M. ; J. R. King , J. T. O'Donovan and D. Spaner(2004). Inter- cropping Berseem Clover with Barley and Oat Cultivars for Forage. Ameri- can Soci. Of Agronomy , Agron. J., Vol. 96(1): 1719-1729.
- 5. Taylor, R. E. and T. G. Field(2011). Scientific farm animal production. 10th edition , coll. of Agric.–Uni. Of Texas.
- 6. Al-Suhaibani , N. A. (2010). Estim- ation Yield and Quality of Alfalfa and Clover for Mixture Cropping Pattern at Different Seeding Rates. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ Sci. , Vol. 8(2) : 189-196.
- Igartua , E. , M.P. Gracia , J.M. Lasa , B. Medina , J.L. Molina-Cano , J.L. Montoya and I. Romagosa (1998). The Spanish barley core collection , Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution J. , Vol. 45 : 475-481.
- 8. Rahimi, A., A. Negintaji and H. Pouzesh (2012). Study the effect of intercropping on morphological charac- teristics of *Barley* and *Trifolium* in Boyerahmad Region, Ann. of Bio. Rese- arch J. ,USA, Vol. 3(6) :2741-2744.
- 9. Nooshkam A.; mazaheri, D.; Hos- seni, S.M.B.and M.M. Ardakani (2009). Effect of plant density and planting time on seed yield, forage yield and quality of Egyptian clover, Iranian s. of crop sci., vol.11 NO (4): 325-336.
- 10. Lazaridou M., B. Noitsakis and Z. Parisi (2004). Cutting effects on water balance in the leaves of Trifolium alexandrinum L. CIHEAM Zaragoza, Vol. 62 :95-98.
- 11. Royo, C., A. Lopez, J. Serra and F. Tribo (1997). Effect of Sowing Date and Cutting Stage on Yield and Quality of Irrigated Barley and Triticale Used for Forage and Grain. J. Of Agro. And Crop Sci., Vol. 179(4) :227-234.
- 12. Stevovic ,V. ; R. Stanisav-Jevic ; D. D Ukic and D. Jurovic (2008). The effect of plant density on forage and seed yields and Quality of red clover, Adria Scientific J., Vol.3(1): 10-20.
- 13. Dawood Kh. and Z. AbdElias (1990). Statistical methods for Agricul- tural Research, Uni. Of Mosel , Ministry of higher education and Scientific Research.
- 14. SAS(1992) .SAS STAT Users Guide for personal computer-.release.6.08 SAS Institute Inc. cary .Ne USA.
- Ross², Sh. M.; J. R. King, J. T. O'Donovan and D. Spaner (2005). The productivity of oats and berseem clover intercrops. II. Effects of cutting date and density of oats on annual forage yield. American Soci. Of Agronomy, Agron. J., Vol. 60(1): 87-98.
- Gul, H., B. Saeed, A. Said, F. Mohammed and I. Ahmad (2011). Influ- ence of late planting dates and plantig methods on seed production of clovers. ARPN J. of Agric. And Bio. Sci., Vol. 6(5):1-5.
- Zaki,N.M.(1993). Effect of mixture rate and nitrogen fertilizer on the yield and protein content of Egyptian clover and rye grass mixture . annals of agricultural science, moshtohor vol. 31 (3) : 1393-1405.