

The Strong Approximation by Linear Positive Operator In terms of the Averaged Modulus of Order One

Zainab Esa Abdul Naby

Al – Mustansiriya University-College of Science.



ARTICLE INFO

Received: 20 / 11 /2012
 Accepted: 22 / 11 /2022
 Available online: 16/2/2014
 DOI: 10.37652/juaps.2013.85022

Keywords:

Strong Approximation ,
 Linear Positive Operator,
 Averaged Modulus ,
 Order One.

ABSTRACT

In this work, we introduce Bernstein- ein linear positive operators $B_{n,k}(f, x)$ in the space of all continuous functions C_I where $I = [0,1]$ with some properties of this operator so to find the strong approximation of continuous functions with the averaged modulus of order one.

1-Introduction

The strong approximation of function connected with Fourier series was examined in many papers published in last 40 years. The problem of strong approximation with power $q > 0$ is well known for 2π - periodic functions and their Fourier series [1], [2]. For example [3], if $S_n(f, x)$ is the n-th partial sum of trigonometric Fourier series of f , then the n-th $(C, 1)$ -mean of this series is defined by the formula :

$$\sigma_n(f, x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k(f, x), \quad n \in N_0$$

where $N_0 = \{0,1, \dots\}$. The n-th strong $(C, 1)$ - mean of this series is defined as follows:

$$H_n^q(f, x) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |S_k(f, x) - f(x)|^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad n \in N_0$$

. Where q is a fixed positive number, It is clear that:
 $|\sigma_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq H_n^1(f, x)$

And $H_n^q(f, x) \leq H_n^p(f, x), 0 < q < p < \infty$ (1.1) In [4] is

investigated the strong approximation of functions $f \in C_I$ some linear operators.

Definitions and Lemmas:

In this paper we examine this problem for $f \in C_I(I = [0,1])$ and introduced $B_{n,k}(f, A, x)$ linear positive operators. Let C_I be the space of all functions, continuous and bounded on $f: I \rightarrow R$ with the norm:
 $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in I\}$ (1.2) Let $r \in N_0$

be a fixed number and let $C_I^r = \{f \in C_I : f^{(r)} \in C_I\}$ and the norm C_I^r is defined by (1.2), where $C_I^0 \equiv C_I$. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $n \in N$. Where \mathcal{M} the set of all infinite matrices $A = [a_{n,k}(x)]$. The Bernstein operators

$$[5]: B_{n,k}(f, A, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \dots \dots (1.3)$$

Defined for continuous f on the interval $I = [0,1]$ with the matrix $A = [a_{n,k}(x)]$ where:

$$a_{n,k}(x) = \left\{ \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \right\} \dots \dots (1.4)$$

Lemma (1.1): [3]

Let $A = [a_{n,k}(x)], n \in N, k \in N_0$ then $a_{n,k}(x) \leq 0$, for $x \in R, n \in N, k \in N_0$.

$$a_{n,k}(x) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = 1 & \text{if } k=n \\ \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = 0 & \text{if } k > n \end{cases} \dots \dots (1.5)$$

Lemma (1.2): [3]

Let $A = [a_{n,k}(x)], n \in N, k \in N_0, x \in [0, \infty)$ as in (1.4) then:

$$\begin{aligned} 1-B_{n,k}(1, A, x) &= 1 \\ 2-B_{n,k}\left(\frac{k}{n} 1, A, x\right) &= x \\ 3-B_{n,k}\left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2, A, x\right) &= x^2 \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) + \frac{x}{n} \end{aligned}$$

For every matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}, p \in N_0$ and $B_{n,k}(f, A, x)$. Then strong deference $H_n^q(f, x)$ is well – defined for every $f \in C_q, x \in I = [0,1], n \in N$ with power $q > 0$ as follows [6]: $H_n^q(f, x) =$

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \dots \dots (1.6)$$

Let the function f be defined and bounded in the interval $[a, b]$ then [4]: $\omega(f, \delta) = \{\sup(|f(x) - f(y)|) : x, y \in [a, b], |x - y| \leq t, t \geq 0\}$ (1.7)

* Corresponding author at: Al – Mustansiriya University-College of Scienc e.E-mail address: esazainab@yahoo.com

In [5] if $f \in R_0 = [0, \infty)$, then:

$$\omega(f, \lambda t) \leq (\lambda + 1)\omega(f, t), \text{ for } \lambda, t \in R_0 \dots (1.8)$$

And if $f \in R_0$ are uniformly continuous functions then $\lim_{n \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(f, t) = 0$. The k^{th} averaged modulus of smoothness for $f \in R_0$ is defined by [7]:

$$\tau_k(f, \delta)_p = \|\omega_k(f, \delta)\|_p$$

The averaged modulus of order one defined by:

$$\tau_1(f, \delta)_p = \|\omega_1(f, \delta)\|_p \dots (1.9) \text{ in [7] 1- if}$$

f is measurable bounded function on $[a, b]$, $p \geq 1$ then

$$\omega_k(f, \delta)_p \leq \tau_k(f, \delta)_p$$

2- If $\delta \geq \delta'$ then

$$\omega_k(f, x, \delta) \geq \omega_k(f, x, \delta'), \text{ and } \tau_k(f, x, \delta) \geq \tau_k(f, x, \delta') \dots (1.10)$$

where $\omega_k(f, x, \delta) = \{\sup|\Delta_h f(t)|: t \in [x - \frac{h}{2}, x + \frac{h}{2}], x \in [0, \infty)\}, k \in N, \delta \in [0, \infty]$.

2- Main results

First we prove some properties of $B_{n,k}(f, A, x)$ and Lemma to using them in the proof of our theorems.

Lemma (2.1):

Let $A = [a_{n,k}(x)], n \in N, k \in N_0$ as in (1.4), $x \in I = [0, 1]$ then:

$$B_{n,k} \left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^3, A, x \right) = x^3 \left(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n^2} \right) + 3x^2 + \frac{x}{n^2}$$

Proof:

From (1.3), (1.4) and lemma (1.2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,k} \left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^3, A, x \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^3 \\ &= x \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= x \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} x^{k-1} (1-x)^{(n-1)-(k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $j = k - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &= x \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{j+1}{n}\right)^2 \binom{n-1}{j} x^j (1-x)^{(n-1)-j} \\ &= x \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^2 \binom{n-1}{j} x^j (1-x)^{(n-1)-j} + \\ &\quad 2x \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{j}{n^2} \binom{n-1}{j} x^j (1-x)^{(n-1)-j} + \\ &\quad x \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n^2} \binom{n-1}{j} x^j (1-x)^{(n-1)-j} = \\ &= x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{j}{n} \binom{n-2}{j} x^{j-1} (1-x)^{(n-2)-j+1} + \\ &\quad 2x \frac{(n-1)}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{j-1}{n} \binom{n-1}{j-1} x^{j-1} (1-x)^{(n-1)-j+1} + \\ &\quad x \frac{1}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let $v = j - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &= x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-2} \frac{v+1}{n} \binom{n-2}{v} x^v (1-x)^{(n-2)-v} + \\ &\quad 2x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n^2} \\ &\quad \sum_{v=0}^{n-2} \frac{v+1}{n} \binom{n-2}{v} x^v (1-x)^{(n-2)-v} + x \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &= x^2 \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-2} \frac{v+1}{n} \binom{n-2}{v} x^v (1-x)^{(n-2)-v} + \\ &\quad 2x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n^2} + x \frac{1}{n^2} = x^2 \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-2} \frac{v}{n} \binom{n-2}{v} x^v (1-x)^{(n-2)-v} + \\ &\quad x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n^2} \sum_{v=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{v} x^v (1-x)^{(n-2)-v} + 2x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n^2} + x \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &= x^3 \frac{(n-1)}{n} \sum_{v=1}^{n-3} \frac{v}{n} \binom{n-2}{v} x^{v-1} (1-x)^{(n-2)-v+1} + \\ &\quad 3x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n^2} + x \frac{1}{n^2} = x^3 \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{n^2} + 3x^2 \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} + \\ &\quad x \frac{1}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (2.2):

Let $A = [a_{n,k}(x)], n \in N, k \in N_0$ as in (1.4), $x \in I = [0, 1]$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,k} \left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^4, A, x \right) &= \\ &= x^4 \left(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{n^3} \right) + 3x^2 \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n^3} + \\ &\quad 2x^2 \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n^3} + 7x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n^3} + x \frac{1}{n^3} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

By (1.3), (1.4) and lemma (1.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,k} \left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^4, A, x \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^4 \\ &= x \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^3 \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= x \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^3 \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^{k-1} (1-x)^{(n-1)-(k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of the lemma (1.2) and (2.1) we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} &= \\ &= x^4 \left(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{n^3} \right) + 3x^2 \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n^3} + \\ &\quad 2x^2 \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n^3} + 7x^2 \frac{(n-1)}{n^3} + x \frac{1}{n^3} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (2.3):

Let $k, n, x \in [0, b]$, and $\lambda \geq 0$ then $\left| f \left(\frac{k}{n} \right) - \right.$

$$\left. f(x) \right| \leq (1 + \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right)^2 \lambda^{-1}) \omega(f, \lambda)$$

Proof:

If $\left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right| \leq \lambda$, by (1.10) we have $\omega(f, \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|) \leq \omega(f, \lambda)$

If $\left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right| \geq \lambda$ then $\omega(f, \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|) \leq \omega(f, \frac{\left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|^2}{\lambda})$

Let $\frac{k}{n}, x \in [0, b]$, from (1.10), (1.8) we have

$$\left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| \leq \omega\left(f, \left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|\right) \leq \omega\left(f, \frac{\left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|^2}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 + \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2 \lambda^{-1} \omega(f, \lambda)$$

Theorem (2.1):

For every matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}$, and $s \in N$ there exists a positive constant $M_1(A, x, 2s)$ independent on $x \in [0,1]$ and $n \in N$ such that : $B_{n,k}(A, x, 2s) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^{2s}$ (2.1)

Then

$$\|B_{n,k}(A, x, 2s)\| \leq \frac{M_1(A, x, 2s)}{n^s}, n \in N . (2.2)$$

Proof:

By (2.2) and (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{n,k}(A, x, 2s)\| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^{2s} \right| \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|^{2s} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ \text{If } s = 1 \text{ from lemma (2.1), (2.3) and (1.2), we get} \\ B_{n,k}(A, x, 2s) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 - 2x\frac{k}{n} + x^2\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = \\ \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} - 2x \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &+ x^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{x^2(n-1)}{n} + \frac{x}{n} - 2x^2 + x \\ &= \frac{M_1(A, x, 2s)}{n^s} \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the strong approximation of the functions by using the linear positive operators $B_{n,k}(f, A, x)$.

Theorem (2.2):

Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{M}$, then for $n \in N, x \in [0,1], p > 0$ we have:

$$\left| B_{n,k}(f, A, x) - f(x) \right| \leq H_n^1(f, x) \dots (2.3)$$

And

$$H_n^p(f, x) \leq H_n^q(f, x) \text{ If } 0 < p < q < \infty \dots (2.4)$$

Proof:

By using (1.3) and (1.6) we get

$$\left| B_{n,k}(f, A, x) - f(x) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \left(f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|$$

For $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and lemma (1.2) $(B_{n,k}(1, A, x) - 1 = 0)$, which by (1.6) yield (2.3) let $\varphi_x\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)$. Applying by the holder inequality and lemma (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(B_{n,k} \left(\left| \varphi_x \left(\frac{k}{n} \right) \right|^p, A, x \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\ &\left(B_{n,k} \left(\left| \varphi_x \left(\frac{k}{n} \right) \right|^q, A, x \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, x \in [0,1], n \in N \end{aligned} \dots (2.5)$$

For every $\varphi \in C_I, 0 < p < q < \infty$ and from (1.6), (2.5) immediately follows (2.4).

Theorem (2.3):

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}, f \in C_I^1$ and $p > 0$, then there exists $M_2(A, x, 2s)$ such that:

$$\|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| \leq \frac{M_2(A, x, 2s) \|f'(x)\|}{n^{2s}} \text{ for all } x \in [0,1]$$

and $n \in N$.

Proof:

For $f \in C_I^1$ and $t, x \in [0,1]$ we have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \|f'(x)\| |t - x|$$

From this we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| &\leq \\ &\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, x \in [0,1], n \in N. \\ &\leq \|f'(x)\| \left(B_{n,k} \left(\left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|^p \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Then obtain $p \leq 2s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| &\leq \\ &\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, x \in [0,1], n \in N \\ &\leq \|f'(x)\| \left(B_{n,k} \left(\left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|^{2s}, A, x \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \\ &\leq \|f'(x)\| \left(B_{n,k} \left(\left| \varphi_x \left(\frac{k}{n} \right) \right|^{2s}, A, x \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), (2.5) and (2.2) we get

$$\|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| \leq \frac{M_2(A, x, 2s) \|f'(x)\|}{n^{2s}}$$

Theorem (2.4):

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}, f \in C_I$ and $p > 0$, then there exists $M_3(A, p, 2) > 0$ for all $x \in [0,1]$ and $n \in N$ such that :

$$\|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| \leq \frac{M_3(A, p, 2)}{\sqrt{n}} \tau\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Proof:

For all $f \in C_I$ and $n \in N, p > 0$ we get from (1.5)

$\|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$
by (1.6), (1.7), lemma (2.3) we get

$$\left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| \leq \omega\left(f, \left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|\right) \leq (\sqrt{n} \left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|)^2 + 1 \leq \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

for all $x \in [0,1], n \in N$. Consequently

$$\|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| \leq \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \left| \sqrt{n} \left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|^2 + 1 \right|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Applying the Minkowski inequality for sum we get

$$\|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| \leq \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}(x) \left| \sqrt{n} \left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|^2 + 1 \right|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} + 1$$

From (1.10) and theorems (2.3), (2.1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_n^p(f, A, x)\| &\leq \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \sqrt{n} \frac{M_2(A,p,2)}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{M_3(A,p,2)}{\sqrt{n}} \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{M_3(A,p,2)}{\sqrt{n}} \tau\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary (1):

For all $f \in C_I$ and $n \in N, p > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|H_n^p(f, A, \cdot)\| = 0$$

Implies that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_n^p(f, A, x) = 0$ at every $x \in [0,1]$.

Corollary (2):

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}, n \in N$ and $p > 0$, then there exists $M_4(A, x, 2)$ such that for every $f \in C_I$

$$\|B_{n,k}(f, A, \cdot) - f(\cdot)\| \leq \|H_n^1(f, A, \cdot)\| \leq \frac{M_4(A, \cdot)}{\sqrt{n}} \tau\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Conclusions:

- 1- We prove lemma (2.1), (2.2) about the linear positive operate.
- 2- We fined the strong approximations by using the linear positive operators in terms of the averaged modulus of order one.

References:

- 1- B. S. (2009). On The Degree of Strong Approximation of Continuous Functions by Special Matrix . J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. V .10: N. 4,132-139.
- 2- S.M. (2003). Strong Approximation by Fourier Series. International J. of pure and Appl. Math. V.7 : N.1, 59-66.
- 3- L. R. & M. S. (2004). On The Strong Approximation of Functions by Certain Operators. Math. J. Okayama Univ. V.46 :N.1, 153-161.
- 4- L. R. & M. S. (2006). On Strong Approximation by Modified Meyer-Konig and Zeller Operators. Tamkang J. of Math. V.37 : N.2 ,123-130.
- 5- S. B. & P. V. A. (1983). The Averaged Moduli of Smoothness. 1-20, series 519.4 , A Wiley-Interscience Publication, USA.
- 6- L.R.&M.S.(2006). The strong approximation of functions of two variables by certain operators .Soochow J. of Math. V.32 :N.1 ,37-49.
- 7- L. A. & D. D. (1989). One sided Multidimensional Approximation by Entire Functions and Trigonometric Polynomials in L_p - Metric, $0 < p \leq \infty$. Math. Balkanica V.3 , 215-224.

التقريب الاقوى بواسطة المؤثر الخطي الموجب في ضوء معدل القياس من الرتبة الاولى

زينب عيسى عبد النبي

E-mail: esazainab@yahoo.com

الخلاصة:

في بحثنا هذا قدمنا المؤثر الخطي الموجب (برنشتاين) في فضاء كل الدوال المستمرة $C_I = [0,1]$ مع بعض الخواص لهذا المؤثر وذلك لإيجاد أقوى الفروق للدوال معتمدين في ذلك على معدلات القياس من الرتبة الاولى.