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#### Abstract

In this paper we study and investigate a mapping free action on a prime ring and semiprime ring $R$ by using some concepts, when $R$ admits to satisfy some conditions, we give some results about that.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

This paper has been motivated by the work of M.A.Chaudhry and M.S.Samman [4],F.Ali and M.A.Chaudhry[2].Some researchers have studied the notion of free action on operator algebras,Murray and Von Neumann [9] and Von Neumann [10] introduced the notion of free action on abelian Von Neumann algebras and used it for the construction of certain factors (see Dixmier[6]).Kallman[8] generalized the notion of free action of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras, not necessarily abelian, by using implicitly the dependent elements of an automorphism. Choda, Kashahara and Nakamoto [5] generalized the concept of freely acting automorphisms to $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras by introducing dependent elements associated to automorphisms.Several other authors have studied dependent elements on operator algebras.Abrief account of dependent elements in $\mathrm{W}^{*}$-algebras has also appeared in the book of Stratila [11].It is well-known that all C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras are semiprime rings; in particular, a von Neumann algebra is prime if and only if its center consists of scalar multiples of identity.Thus a natural extension of the notions of dependent elements of mappings and free actions on $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras and von Neumann algebras is the study of these notions in the context of semiprime rings and prime rings.Laradji and Thaheem [16] initiated a study of dependent elements of endomorphisms of semiprime rings and generalized a number of results of H.Choda, I.Kasahara, R.Nakamoto[5] to semiprime rings.

Vukman and Kosi-Ulbl [12] and Vukman [13] have made further study of dependent elements of various mappings related to automorphisms,derivations, $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivations and generalized derivations of semiprime rings.The main focus of the authors of J.Vukman, I.Kosi-Ulbl [13] and [14] has been to identify various freely acting mappings related to these mappings, on semiprime and prime rings. The theory of centralizers (also called multipliers) of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras and Banach algebras is well established(see C.A.Akemann,G.K.Pedersen,J.Tomiyama[1]and P.Ara, M.Mathieu [3]).Zalar[15]and Vukman and Kosi-Ulbl [14] have studied centralizers in the general framework of semiprime rings.
Throughout, R will stand for associative ring with center $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{R})$.As usual,the commutator $\mathrm{xy}-\mathrm{yx}$ will be denoted by $[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]$ and (xoy) stand for anti-commutator $\mathrm{xy}+\mathrm{yx}$. We shall use the basic commutator identities $[\mathrm{xy}, \mathrm{z}]=[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}] \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]$ and $[x, y z]=[x, y] z+y[x, z]$.A ring $R$ is said to be $n$-torsion free, where $n$ is non-zero an integer, if whenever $n x=0$, with $x \in R$, then $x=0$. Recall that a ring $R$ is prime if $a R b=(0)$ implies that either $a=0$ or $b=0$. We and it is $R$ is semiprime if $x R x=(0)$ implies $x=0$. A prime ring is semiprime but the converse is not true in general.. By Zalar [15],an additive mapping $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is called a left (right) centralizer if T $(x y)=T(x) y(T(x y)=x T(y))$ for all $x, y \in R$.If $a \in R$, then $\operatorname{La}(x)=a x$ and $\mathrm{Ra}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{xa}(\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R})$ define a left centralizer and a right centralizer of R , respectively. An additive mapping $T: R \rightarrow R$ is called a centralizer if $T(x y)=T(x) y=x T(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(x y)=d(x) y+x d(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$ and $d$ is called left centralizer if $d(x y)=d(x) y$ for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $\mathrm{D}: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is said to be a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ such that $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{xy})=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{xd}(\mathrm{y})$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{R}$. However , generalized derivation covers the concept of derivation. Also with $d=o$, $a$ generalized derivation covers the concept of left multiplier (left centralizer) that is , an additive mapping $D$ satisfying $D(x y)=D(x) y$ for all $x, y \in R$. [2] ). Following A.Laradji, A.B.Thaheem [16], an element $a \in R$ is called a dependent element of a mapping $T: R \rightarrow R$ if $T(x) a=$ ax holds for all $x \in R$.A mapping $T: R \rightarrow R$ is called a free action or ( act freely) on R if zero is the only dependent element of T.For a mapping $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{T})$ denotes the collection of all dependent elements of T.For other ring theoretic notions used but not defined here we refer the reader to I.N.Herstein [7]. In this paper we study and investigate a mapping free action or ( act freely) on a prime ring R , we give some results about that.

## 2.The main results

In this section, the main results related to this paper are presented which are given as theorems with their proofs.

## Theorem 2.1

Let R be a 3-torsion free prime ring , d be a left centralizer of R and a mapping $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\psi(x)=\left[d\left(x^{2}\right), x\right]$ for all $x \in R$. Then $\Psi$ is free action on $R$.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{D}(\psi)$,then $\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}\right), \mathrm{x}\right] \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ax}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Linearzing (2.1),we obtain
$\left[d\left(x^{2}\right), x\right] a+\left[d\left(x^{2}\right), y\right] a+[d(x y), x] a+[d(x y), y] a+[d(y x), x] a+[d(y x), y] a+$ $\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}^{2}\right), \mathrm{x}\right] \mathrm{a}+\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}^{2}\right), \mathrm{y}\right] \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{R}$.
According to (2.1), we get
$\left[d\left(x^{2}\right), y\right] a+[d(x y), x] a+[d(x y), y] a+[d(y x), x] a+[d(y x), y] a+\left[d\left(y^{2}\right), x\right] a=0$
for all $x, y \in R$.
Since $d$ is a left centralizer then(2.2) become $[d(x), y] x a+[d(x), x] y a+[d(x), y] y a+[d(y), x] x a+[d(y), y] x a+[d(y), x] y a=0$
for all $x, y \in R$.
Putting $x=-x$ and using (2.3), we obtain
$[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{y}] \mathrm{xa}+[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{x}] \mathrm{ya}+[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}), \mathrm{x}]$ xa $=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Replacing y by $x$,we get
$3[d(x), x] \times a=0$ for all $x \in R$.
Also, since $d$ is a left centralizer from(2.1), we obtain
$[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{x}] \mathrm{xa}=\mathrm{ax}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$. According to (2.4),we get
$3 \mathrm{ax}=0$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Since R is 3-torsion free prime ring, we obtain
$\mathrm{a}=0$. Thus, $\Psi$ is free action on R.

## Theorem 2.2

Let R be a 6 -torsion free prime ring , d be a left centralizer mapping of R and a mapping $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\psi(x)=\left[d(x), x^{2}\right]$ for all $x \in R$. Then $\psi$ is free action on R.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{D}(\psi)$,then $\left[d(x), x^{2}\right] a=a x$ for all $x \in R$.
Linearzing (2.5)(i.e. $x=x+y$ ), we obtain
$\left[d(x), x^{2}\right] a+[d(x), x y] a+[d(x), y x] a+\left[d(x), y^{2}\right] a+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[d(y), x^{2}\right] a+[d(y), x y] a+[d(y), y x] a+\left[d(y), y^{2}\right] a=a(x+y) \text { for all } x \in R . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to(2.5),the equation(2.6) reduced to $[d(x), x y] a+[d(x), y x] a+\left[d(x), y^{2}\right] a+\left[d(y), x^{2}\right] a+[d(y), x y] a+[d(y), y x] a=0$ for all $x \in R$.
Replacing y by x and using (2.5),we obtain $6 a x=0$ for all $x \in R$. Since $R$ is 6 -torsion free prime ring, we get $a=0$. Hence $\psi$ is free action on $R$.

## Theorem 2.3

Let $R$ be a 3-torsion free prime ring, $d$ be a left centralizer mapping of $R$ and a mapping $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\psi(x)=\left[d(x)^{2}, x\right]$ for all $x \in R$. Then $\psi$ is free action on R.

Proof: Let a $\in D(\psi)$,then
$\left[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})^{2}, \mathrm{x}\right] \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ax}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Linearzing x by $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$ and complete our proof by same method of Theorem 2.1.

## Theorem 2.4

Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring , d be a left centralizer mapping of R and a mapping $\psi: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ defined by $\psi(\mathrm{x})=\left[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})^{2}, \mathrm{x}^{2}\right]$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$.Then $\psi$ is free action on R.
Proof: Let $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{D}(\psi)$, then
$\left[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})^{2}, \mathrm{x}^{2}\right] \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ax}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$. Replacing x by -x and using the result with our relation,we obtain
$2\left[d(x)^{2}, x^{2}\right]=0$ for all $x \in R$. According to our relation and $R$ is 2-torsion free, we get
$a x=0$ for all $x \in R$. Again since $R$ is prime ring, then
$\mathrm{a}=0$. Hence $\Psi$ is a free action on R .
By the same method we can prove the following theorem.

## Theorem 2.5

Let R be a 2 -torsion free prime ring, d be a left centralizer mapping of R and a mapping $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\psi(x)=\left[d\left(x^{2}\right), x^{2}\right]$ for all $x \in R$.Then $\psi$ is free action on R.

## Corollary 2.6

Let R be a 2 -torsion free prime ring, d is additive mapping and let a mapping $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\psi(x)=[d(x), x]$ for all $x \in R$.Then $\psi$ is free action on $R$.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{D}(\psi)$.Then
$[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{x}] \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ax}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Linearizing (2.7) and using the result with (2.7), we obtain $[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{y}] \mathrm{a}+[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}), \mathrm{x}] \mathrm{a}=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Replacing $y$ by $x$ with using $R$ is 2-torsion free, we get $[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{x}] \mathrm{a}=0$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$.According to (2.7), we obtain
$a x=0$ for all $x \in R$. Since $R$ is prime ring ,then
$a=0$. Then $\psi$ is a free action.

## Theorem 2.7

Let R be a 2 -torsion free prime ring and , d is a additive mapping and a mapping $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\psi(x)=\left[d^{2}(x)^{2}, x^{2}\right]$ for all $x \in R$. Then $\psi$ is free action on $R$.

Proof: We have $\left[d^{2}(x)^{2}, x^{2}\right] a=a x$ for all $x \in R$.
Replacing x by -x and comparing the result with (2.8),we obtain $2\left[\mathrm{~d}^{2}(\mathrm{x})^{2}, \mathrm{x}^{2}\right] \mathrm{a}=0 \quad$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Since $R$ is 2-torsion free, we get
$\left[\mathrm{d}^{2}(\mathrm{x})^{2}, \mathrm{x}^{2}\right] \mathrm{a}=0 \quad$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Substituting (2.9) in (2.8), we obtain
$a x=0$ for all $x \in R$. Since $R$ is prime ring, then
$a=0$. Then $\psi$ is a free action.
By the same method in Theorem 2.7,we can prove the following

## Theorem 2.8

Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring , d is additive mapping and a mapping $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\psi(x)=\left[d^{3}(x), x\right]$ for all $x \in R$. Then $\psi$ is free action on $R$.

## Remark2.9

In the preceding theorems, we cannot exclude the condition "n-torsion free", and the following example demonstrates that

## Example 2.10

Let R be a ring of all $2 \times 2$ matrices over a field $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}n a & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) / a \in \mathrm{~F}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{q}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}n h & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, where n is a positive integer. Let d be an additive map induced by $w$
, that is, $d(x)=[w, x]$ for all $x \in R, d(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) x-x\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, where $w=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ then $d$ is left centralizer of R . It is readily verified that d satisfies the conditions in preceding theorems where R is n -torsion free i.e., $\mathrm{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and the mapping $\psi$ is free action on $R$.

## Theorem 2.11

Let R be a semiprime ring, T be a left centralizer and d a non-zero derivation on R ,then a mapping $\psi: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ defined by $\psi=\mathrm{Tod}$ is free action on R .
Proof: Let $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{D}(\psi)$.Then
$\Psi(x) a=a x$ for all $x \in R$.
(Tod )(x) $a=a x$ for all $x \in R$.Then
$T(d(x) a)=a x \quad$ for all $x \in R$.
$T(a) d(x)=a x$
Replacing $x$ by $x y$ in (2.11),we obtain
$T(a) d(x y)=a x y \quad$ for all $x, y \in R$.Then
$T(a) d(x) y+T(a) x d(y)=a x y$ for all $x, y \in R$.
According to (2.11) above equation reduces to
$T(a) x d(y)=0$ for all $x, y \in R$.
Replacing $x$ by $d(y) r T(a)$ in (2.13), we obtain
$\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{rT}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y})=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{R}$. Then by using the semiprimeness, we obtain
$\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y})=0 \quad$ for all $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Substituting (2.14) and (2.13) in (2.12), we get
$a x y=0$ for all $x, y \in R$.
Replacing y by a with using the semiprimeness, we obtain
$\mathrm{a}=0$. Then $\psi$ is a free action.
The proof of theorem is completes.

## Theorem 2.12

Let $R$ be a prime ring, $D: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized derivation and $a \in R$ be dependent element of $D$ then either $a \in Z(R)$ or $D(x)=x$ for all $x \in R$.

Proof: From our hypothesis, we get
$D(x) a=a x \quad$ for all $x \in R$.
Replacing $x$ by $x y$,we obtain
$(D(x) y+x d(y)) a=a x y \quad$ for all $x, y \in R$.

By using the fact that D can be written in the form $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{d}$, where T is the left centralizer, then according this we can in (2.16) replacing $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y})$ a by $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{a}$, with using (2.15),we get
$D(x) y a+x D(y) a-x T(y) a=a x y$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then
$D(x) y a+[a, x] y-x T(y) a=0 \quad$ for all $x, y \in R$.
Replacing y by $\mathrm{yD}(\mathrm{x})$ in above equation with using (2.15), we get
$D(x) y a x+[a, x] y D(x)-x T(y) a x=0$ for all $x, y \in R$.
Right-multiplying (2.17) by x , we obtain
$D(x) y a x+[a, x] y x-x T(y) a x=0 \quad$ for all $x, y \in R$.
Subtracting (2.18) and (2.19), we get
$[a, x] y(D(x)-x)=0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then
$[a, x] R(D(x)-x)=0$. Thus by the primeness of $R$, we obtain
either $[a, x]=0$ for all $x, y \in R$, which gives $a \in Z(R)$
or $D(x)=x$ for all $x \in R$.
The proof of theorem is completes.
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الملخص:في هذا البحث سوف ندرس ونتحرى بخصوص النطبيقات طليقة الفعالية على الحلقات الأولية وشبه الأولية R باستخدام بعض المفاهيم ، عندما الحلقة الأولية R تسمح بتحقيق بعض الشروط ،سوف نعطي بعض النتائج حول ذلك.

