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#### Abstract

Let $U$ denote the unit ball in the complex plane, the Hardy space $H^{2}$ is the set of functions $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(n) z^{n}$ holomorphic on $U$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|f^{\wedge}(n)\right|^{2}<\infty$ with $f^{\wedge}(n)$ denotes then the Taylor coefficient of $f$.

Let $\psi$ be a holomorphic self-map of U , the composition operator $\mathrm{C}_{\psi}$ induced by $\psi$ is defined on $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ by the equation $$
\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f} \circ \psi \quad\left(\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\right)
$$

In this paper we have studied the composition operator induced by the automorphism $\phi$ and discussed the adjoint of the composition of the symbol $\phi$.We look also for some known properties on composition operators and tried to see the analogue properties in order to show how the results are changed by changing the function $\psi$ in U .

In order to make the work accessible to the reader, we have included some known results with the details of the proofs for some cases and proofs for the properties .


## Introduction

This paper consists of two sections. In section one ,we are going to study the automorphism $\phi$ and properties of $\phi$, and also discuss the interior and the exterior fixed points of $\phi$ and also discuss $\phi$ is a rotation around the origin and $\phi$ is elliptic and $\phi$ is a linear fractional transformation .

In section two, we are going to study the composition operator $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ induced by the symbol $\phi$ and properties of $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$, and also discuss the adjoint of composition operator $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ induced by the symbol $\phi$ and also discuss $C_{\phi}$ is an invertible operator and $C_{\phi}$ is a unitary operator and define the eigenvalue of $C_{\phi}$

## Section One

## The properties of the Function $\phi$

we are going to study the automorphism $\phi$ and properties of $\phi$, and also discuss the interior and the exterior fixed points of $\phi$ and also discuss $\phi$ is a rotation around the origin and $\phi$ is elliptic and $\phi$ is a linear fractional transformation .

## Definition(1.1) : [4]

Let $\mathrm{U}=\{\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{C}:|\mathrm{z}|<1\}$ which is called unit ball in the complex plane C and $\partial \mathrm{U}=\{\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{C}:|\mathrm{z}|=1\}$ is called the boundary of $U$.

## Definition (1.2):

For $\beta \in U$, define $\phi(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}(z \in U)$. Since the denominator equal zero only at $z=\frac{1}{2 \bar{\beta}}$, the function $\phi$ is holomorphic on the ball $\left\{|z|<\frac{1}{2|\beta|}\right\}$. Since $\beta \in U$, then this ball contains $U$. Hence $\phi$ take U into U and holomorphic on U .

Definition(1.3) : [10]
Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$. We say that $\psi$ is a conformal automorphism or automorphism of U if and only if $\psi$ is bijective .

## Remark (1.4) :

For $\beta \in \mathrm{U}, \phi$ is conformal automorphism or automorphism of U .

## Definition(1.5) : [10]

A point $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{C}$ is a fixed point for the function $\psi$, if $\psi(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{p}$.

## Proposition (1.6) :

For $\beta \in \mathrm{U}$, then $0, \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}$ are fixed points for $\phi$.

## Proof:

Let $\phi(z)=z$ that is $\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}=z$, therefore $6 \bar{\beta} z^{2}-6 z=0$. Hence $\phi$ has two fixed points $\mathrm{z}_{1}=0, \mathrm{z}_{2}=\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}$

## Definition(1.7): [4]

Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$ with the fixed point $r$, then:

1) $r$ is interior fixed point for $\psi$ if $r \in U$
2) $r$ is exterior fixed point for $\psi$ if $r \notin U$

## Proposition (1.8):

If $\phi(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}$, then 0 is interior fixed point and $\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}$ is exterior fixed point for $\phi$.

## Proof:

Since $\phi$ has two fixed points $z_{1}=0, z_{2}=\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}},\left|z_{1}\right|=|0|=0<1$.Thus $Z_{1}$ is interior fixed point.
Since $\beta \in U$, then $|\beta|<1$ and $|\bar{\beta}|=|\beta|<1$, therefore $\left|\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{\beta}\right|=\frac{1}{|\beta|}>1$, hence $\left|z_{2}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}\right|>1$. Thus $z_{2}$ is exterior fixed point.

## Remark(1.9) :

1 - For $\beta \in U, \phi^{-1}(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}=\phi(z)$
2- For $\beta \in U$, then $\phi^{\prime}(0)=-1, \phi^{\prime}(\beta)=\frac{-9}{\left(3-6|\beta|^{2}\right)^{2}}$.

## Definition(1.10) : [11]

Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$. We say that $\psi$ is a rotation around the origin if there exists $\lambda \in \partial U$ such that $\psi(z)=\lambda z \quad(z \in U)$

## Proposition (1.11):

If $\beta=0, \phi(z)$ is a rotation a round the origin

## Proof:

Since $\phi(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}$, since $\beta=0$, hence $\phi(z)=-z=\lambda z, \lambda=-1 \in \partial U$, then $\phi(z)$ is a rotation around the origin .

## Theorem (1.12) : [11]

Let $\psi: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be holomorphic map on U , then $\psi$ is elliptic if and only if $\psi$ is automorphism that has an interior fixed point.

## Proposition (1.13) :

For $\beta \in \mathrm{U}, \phi$ is elliptic

## Proof:

From (1.4), $\phi$ is automorphism, and from (1.8) $\phi$ has an interior fixed point, hence $\phi$ is elliptic .

## Definition(1.14): [10]

A linear fractional transformation is a mapping of the form $\tau(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}$, where $a, b, c$, and $d$ are complex numbers, and sometime is denoted it by $\tau_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{z})$ where A is the non-singular $2 \times 2$ complex matrix $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{a} & \mathrm{b} \\ \mathrm{c} & \mathrm{d}\end{array}\right]$.

## Proposition (1.15) :

$\phi$ is a linear fractional transformation .

## Proof:

Since $\phi(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}$ such that $a=-3, b=0, c=-6 \bar{\beta}, d=3$ and $a, b, c$, and d are complex numbers and $\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-3 & 0 \\ -6 \bar{\beta} & 3\end{array}\right]$, hence by (1.14) $\phi$ is a linear fractional transformation .

## Section Two

## The Composition Operator $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ Induced by The Function $\phi$

we are going to study the composition operator $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ induced by the symbol $\phi$ and properties of $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$, and also discuss the adjoint of composition operator $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ induced by the symbol $\phi$ and also discuss $C_{\phi}$ is an invertible operator and $C_{\phi}$ is a unitary operator and define the eigenvalue of $C_{\phi}$

## Definition(2.1): [4]

Let $U$ denote the unit ball in the complex plane, the Hardy space $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ is the set of functions $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})=\sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}^{\wedge}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{z}^{\text {n }}$ holomorphic on $U$, such that $\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty}\left|\mathbf{f}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n})\right|^{2}<\infty$ with $\mathrm{f}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n})$ denotes then the Taylor coefficient of $f$.

## Remark (2.2) : [1]

We can define an inner product of the Hardy space functions as follows:
$f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(n) z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^{\wedge}(n) z^{n}$, then the inner product of $f$ and $g$ is:
$\langle f, g\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(n) \overline{g^{\wedge}(z)}$

## Definition (2.3): [10]

Let $\alpha \in U$ and define $k_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{1}{1-\overline{\alpha z}}(z \in U)$. Since $\alpha \in U$ then $|\alpha|<1$, hence the geometric series $\sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{o}}^{\infty}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|^{2 \mathrm{n}}$ is convergent and thus $\mathrm{k}_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z})=\bar{\alpha} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

## Definition(2.4) : [4]

Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$, the composition operator $C_{\psi}$ induced by $\psi$ is defined on $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ as follows $\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f} \circ \psi\left(\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\right)$

## Definition(2.5) : [2]

Let T be any bounded operator on a Hilbert space H , then the norm of an operator $T$ is defined by $\|T\|=\sup \{\|T \mathbf{f}\|: f \in \mathbf{H},\|f\|=1\}$.

## Littlewood's Subordination Principle (2.6) : [11]

Let $\psi: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be holomorphic map on U with $\psi(0)=0$, then for each $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{f} \circ \psi \in \mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\|f \circ \psi\| \leq\|f\|$.
The goal of this theorem above $\mathrm{C}_{\psi}: \mathrm{H}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}$

## Proposition(2.7) :

If $\phi(\mathrm{z})=\frac{-3 \mathrm{z}}{3-6 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{z}}$, then for each $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{f} \circ \phi \in \mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\|\mathrm{f} \circ \phi\| \leq\|\mathrm{f}\|$

## Proof:

Since $\phi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$ with $\phi(0)=0$ by (1.6) , then by (2.6)
$\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{f} \circ \phi \in \mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\|\mathrm{f} \circ \phi\| \leq\|\mathrm{f}\|$, hence $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}: \mathrm{H}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}$

## Remark (2.8) : [4]

1) One can easily show that $\mathrm{C}_{\kappa} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}=\mathrm{C}_{\psi \kappa \kappa}$ and hence $\mathrm{C}_{\psi}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{C}_{\psi} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{\psi}$
$=C_{\psi \circ \psi \circ \cdots \circ \psi}=C_{\psi_{n}}$
2) $\mathrm{C}_{\psi}$ is the identity operator on $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ if and only if $\psi$ is identity map from $U$ into U and holomorphic on U .
3) It is simple to prove that $\mathbf{C}_{\kappa}=\mathbf{C}_{\psi}$ if and only if $\kappa=\psi$.

## Theorem (2.9) : [11]

Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U . C_{\psi}$ is an invertible operator on $H^{2}$ if and only if $\psi$ automorphism of $U$ and $C_{\psi}^{-1}=C_{\psi^{-1}}$

## Proposition(2.10) :

$\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ is an invertible operator on $\mathrm{H}^{2}$

## Proof:

Since $\phi$ is automorphism of $U$ by (1.4), hence $\mathbf{C}_{\phi}$ is an invertible operator on $H^{2}$.

## Theorem (2.11) : [5]

$\mathrm{V}_{\alpha \in \mathrm{U}}\left\{\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right\}$ forms a dense subset of $\mathrm{H}^{2}$.

## Definition(2.12): [12]

Let $\mathrm{H}^{\infty}$ be the set of all bounded holomorphic function on U .

## Theorem (2.13) : [10]

Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$, then for all $\alpha \in U$

$$
\mathbf{C}_{\psi}^{*} \mathbf{K}_{\alpha}=\mathbf{K}_{\psi(\alpha)}
$$

## Definition(2.14): [6]

Let $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{H}^{\infty}$, the Toeplits operator $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is an operator on $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ given by :

$$
\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{f}\right)(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \quad\left(\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}\right)
$$

For each $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}$, it is well- know that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}^{*} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{T}_{\overline{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{f}$, such that $\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}^{\infty}$.

## Proposition(2.16):

Let $\beta \in \mathrm{U}$, then $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}^{*}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{C}_{\gamma} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}^{*}$ where $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})=1-2 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})=1, \gamma(\mathrm{z})=\frac{6 \beta-3 \mathrm{z}}{3}$

## Proof:

By (2.15), $T_{h}^{*} f=T_{\bar{h}} f$ for each $f \in H^{2}$. Hence for all $\alpha \in U$,

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}^{*} \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{\overline{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~T}_{\overline{\mathrm{h}}}^{*} \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}\right\rangle \cdots \cdots(2-1)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}^{*} \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~h}(\alpha) \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}\right\rangle \cdots \cdots(2-2)
$$

From (2-1) and (2-2) one can see that $\mathrm{T}_{\overline{\mathrm{h}}}^{*} \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}=\mathrm{h}(\alpha) \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}$. Hence $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}^{*} \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}=\overline{\mathrm{h}(\alpha)} \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}$.
Calculation give :

$$
\text { But } \overline{\mathrm{V}_{\alpha \in \mathrm{U}}\left\{\mathrm{~K}_{\alpha}\right\}}=\mathrm{H}^{2} \text {, then } \mathrm{C}_{\phi}^{*}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{C}_{\gamma} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}^{*}
$$

## Definition (2.17) : [

Let T be an operator on a Hilbert space $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}$ is called unitary operator if $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{T}^{*}=\mathrm{T}^{*} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{I}$, and T is called normal operator if $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{T}^{*}=\mathrm{T}^{*} \mathrm{~T}$.

Theorem (2.18) : [9]
Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic on $U$, then $C_{\psi}$ is normal operator if and only if $\psi(z)=\lambda z$ for some $\lambda,|\lambda| \leq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{\phi}^{*} \mathbf{k}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z})=\mathbf{k}_{\phi(\alpha)}(\mathbf{z}) \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\overline{\phi_{\beta}(\alpha) z}}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{3 \bar{\alpha} z}{3-6 \beta \bar{\alpha}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\frac{3-6 \beta \bar{\alpha}+3 \overline{\alpha z}}{3-6 \beta \bar{\alpha}}}=\frac{3-6 \beta \bar{\alpha}}{3-\bar{\alpha}(6 \beta-3 z)}=\frac{(1-2 \alpha \bar{\beta})}{1-\bar{\alpha}\left(\frac{6 \beta-3 z}{3}\right)} \\
& =\overline{1-2 \alpha \bar{\beta}) \cdot(1) \cdot \frac{1}{1-\bar{\alpha}\left(\frac{6 \beta-3 z}{3}\right)}} \\
& =\overline{\mathrm{h}(\alpha)} \cdot \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~K}_{\alpha}(\gamma(\mathrm{z}))=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \overline{\mathrm{~h}(\alpha)} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}(\gamma(\mathrm{z}))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{C}_{\gamma} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}^{*} \mathrm{~K}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z}) \text {, therefore } \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\phi}^{*} \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{C}_{\gamma} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}^{*} \mathrm{k}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (2.19) :

Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$, then $C_{\psi}$ is unitary if and only if $\psi(z)=\lambda z$ for some $\lambda,|\lambda|=1$

## Proof:

Suppose $\mathrm{C}_{\psi}$ is unitary, hence by (2.17) $\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*}=\mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}=\mathrm{I}$, hence $\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*}=\mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}$, hence $\mathrm{C}_{\psi}$ is normal operator, hence by $(2.18) \psi(z)=\lambda z$ for some $\lambda,|\lambda| \leq 1$. It is enough to show that $|\lambda|=1$ $\mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{K}_{\beta}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{C}_{\psi}^{*} \mathrm{~K}_{\beta}(\psi(\mathrm{z}))=\mathrm{K}_{\psi(\beta)}(\psi(\mathrm{z}))=\frac{1}{1-\overline{\psi(\beta)} \psi(\mathrm{z})}=\frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\beta} \lambda \mathrm{z}}=\frac{1}{1-|\lambda|^{2} \bar{\beta} \mathrm{z}}$. On the other hand $C_{\psi}{ }^{*} C_{\psi} K_{\beta}(z)=K_{\beta}(z)$, hence $\frac{1}{1-|\lambda|^{2} \bar{\beta} z}=K_{\beta}(z)=\frac{1}{1-\bar{\beta} z}$. Thus $|\lambda|^{2} \bar{\beta}=\bar{\beta}$, then $|\lambda|=1$.

Conversely, Suppose is unitary $\psi(z)=\lambda z$ for some $\lambda,|\lambda|=1$. For $\beta \in U$, for every $z \in U$
$C_{\psi}^{*} C_{\psi} K_{\beta}(z)=C_{\psi}^{*} K_{\beta}(\psi(z))=K_{\psi(\beta)}(\psi(z))=\frac{1}{1-\overline{\psi(\beta)} \psi(\mathrm{z})}=\frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\beta} \lambda z}=\frac{1}{1-|\lambda|^{2} \bar{\beta} z}=\frac{1}{1-\bar{\beta} z}=K_{\beta}(z)$.
Moreover, for every $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~K}_{\beta}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{K}_{\psi(\beta)}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{K}_{\psi(\beta)}(\psi(\mathrm{z}))=\frac{1}{1-\overline{\psi(\beta)} \psi(\mathrm{z})}=\frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\beta} \lambda \mathrm{z}}=\frac{1}{1-|\lambda|^{2} \bar{\beta} \mathrm{z}}=\frac{1}{1-\bar{\beta} \mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{K}_{\beta}(\mathrm{z})$.
hencee $\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*}=\mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}=\mathrm{I}$ on the family $\left\{\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathrm{U}}$. But by (2.11) $\mathrm{V}_{\alpha \in \mathrm{U}}\left\{\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right\}$ forms a dense subset of $\mathrm{H}^{2}$, hence $\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*}=\mathrm{C}_{\psi}{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{\psi}=\mathrm{I}$ on $\mathrm{H}^{2}$. Therefore $\mathrm{C}_{\psi}$ is unitary composition operator on $\mathrm{H}^{2}$.

## Proposition(2.20):

If $\beta=0$, then $\mathbf{C}_{\phi}$ is unitary composition operator .

## Proof:

Since $\phi(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}$, since $\beta=0, \phi(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}=-z=\lambda z, \lambda=-1,|\lambda|=1$, hence by (2.19)
$\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ is unitary composition operator .

## Definition (2.21) : [12]

Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$, the eigenvalue equation for the composition operator $\kappa$ is define by $\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{f}=\kappa \mathrm{f}$ or $\mathrm{f} \circ \psi=\kappa \mathrm{f}$.

## Theorem (2.22): [11]

Let $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$, and that fixes the point $p \in U$ and suppose that $C_{\psi} f=\kappa f$ for some non-constant $f \in H^{2}$ and some $\kappa \in C$. Then $\kappa=\left(\psi^{\prime}(p)\right)^{n}$ for some $n=0,1,2, \ldots$

## Proposition(2.23):

$(-1)^{n}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ for some $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots$

## Proof:

Since $\phi(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}, \phi^{\prime}(z)=\frac{(3-6 \bar{\beta} z)(-3)-(-3 z)(-6 \bar{\beta})}{(3-6 \bar{\beta} z)^{2}}=\frac{-9}{(3-6 \bar{\beta} z)^{2}}$, and since $\phi$ fixed the point $0 \in \mathrm{U}$, and by $(2.22) \kappa=\left(\phi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ for some $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots$

## Definition (2.24) : [7]

The spectrum of an operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $H$, denoted by $\sigma(T)$ is the set of all complex numbers $\lambda$ for which $T-\lambda I$ is not invertible. The spectral radius of $T$, denoted by $r(T)$ is defined as $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{T})=\sup \{|\lambda|: \lambda \in \sigma(\mathrm{T})\}$.

## Theorem (2.25) : [5]

If $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$, and $\psi$ has interior fixed point $p$ then $r\left(C_{\psi}\right)=1$.

## Proposition (2.26) :

If $\phi(z)=\frac{-3 z}{3-6 \bar{\beta} z}$, then $r\left(C_{\phi}\right)=1$

## Proof:

Since $\phi: U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on $U$, and $\phi$ has interior fixed point 0 . By (2.25) $r\left(C_{\phi}\right)=1$.
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## (لمستخلص



$$
\text { النحليلية على U بحيث أن } \mathrm{C} \text { ب }{ }^{\wedge} \text { يرمز إلى معاملات تيلر النونية. }
$$

لنكن

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\psi} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f} \circ \psi \quad\left(\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\right) .
$$

 بالإضافة إلى ذلك نظرنا إلى بعض النتائج المعروفة وحاولنا الحصول على نتائج مناظرة لنتككن من ملاحظة كيفية تغير النتائج عندما تتنير الدالة التحليلية $\psi$. ومن أجل جعل مهمة القارئ أكثر سهولة، عرضنا بعض النتائج المعروفة عن المؤثرات التركيبية وعرضنا براهين مفصلة وكذللك بر هنا بعض النتائج.

