

## **On Separable $S^*$ -Non-Atomic Boolean Algebra**

Dhuha Abdul-Ameer Kadhim

Ali Hussain Battor

University of Kufa

College of Education for Girls

Department of Mathematics

### **Abstract**

The main purpose of this paper is to study the characterization of separable  $S^*$ -non-atomic Boolean algebra and to give a necessary facts about this concept, where  $S^* = S^*[0,1]$  is the ring of all real measurable functions on  $[0,1]$ .

### **المستخلص**

الغرض الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو دراسة خصائص الجبر البوليني اللاذري المنفصل- $S^*$  وإعطاء الحقائق الضرورية حول هذه المبادئ ، عندما  $S^*$  تمثل حلقة جميع الدوال الحقيقية القابلة للقياس على الفترة المغلقة  $[0,1]$ .

### **1. Introduction**

Throughout this paper,  $\nabla$  ,  $\widehat{\nabla}$  ,  $\nabla_1$  ,  $\widehat{m}$  ,  $P$  and  $\nabla_\tau$  , denote the Boolean algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $T = [0,1]$ , complete separable Boolean algebra, regular Boolean subalgebra, strictly positive  $S^*$ -valued measure, Lebesgue measure on  $T$  and measurable field of Boolean algebra, respectively.

The algebraic structures implicit in Boole's analysis were first explicitly presented by Huntington in 1904 and termed " Boolean algebra " by Sheffer in 1913. As Huntington recognized, there are various equivalent ways of characterizing Boolean algebra.

In this paper a series of known notions, notations and facts of the theory of Boolean algebras and of the theory of measurable fields of metric spaces and of Boolean algebras with a measure is cited [6,7,9,10,11].

### **2. Basic Concepts**

#### **Definition 2.1 : [10]**

A mapping  $\rho: X \times X \rightarrow S^*$  is called a metric on a set  $X$  with values in  $S^*$  if

1.  $\rho(x, y) \geq 0$  for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $\rho(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ .
2.  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$  for any  $x, y \in X$ .
3.  $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y)$  for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

#### **Definition 2.2 : [10]**

The space  $(X, \rho)$  is called separable, if there exists a countable subset

$M \subset X$  such that for any  $x \in X$ , there is  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset M$  for which  $\rho(x, z_n) \xrightarrow{(t)} 0$ .

Suppose that  $(X_\tau, \rho_\tau)$  be a complete separable metric space defined for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$ .

#### **Definition 2.3 : [1]**

An element from  $X_+$  is called a Freudenthal unit and denoted by  $\widehat{1}$ , if it follows from  $x \in X$ ,  $x \wedge \widehat{1} = 0$ , that  $x = 0$ .

where  $X_+$  is the set of all non-negative elements from vector lattice  $X$ .

**Definition 2.4 : [10]**

A measurable field of metric space is a pair  $\{X, \{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in T}\}$  where  $X$  is a totality of functions  $x: \tau \rightarrow x(\tau) \in X_\tau$  for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$  such that:

1. There exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X$  such that  $\{x_n(\tau)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is dense in  $(X_\tau, \rho_\tau)$  for  $P$ - almost every  $\tau \in T$ .
2. The function  $\tau \rightarrow \rho_\tau(x(\tau), y(\tau))$  is measurable on  $T$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
3. If  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X, y: \tau \rightarrow y(\tau) \in X_\tau$  for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$  and  $\rho_\tau(y_n(\tau), y(\tau)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$ , then  $y \in X$ .

**Remark 2.5 : [11]**

For each complete separable space  $(Y, d)$  with a  $S^*$ - valued metric  $d$  of a measurable field of metric space is determined such that  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  are  $S^*$ - isometric.

Let  $A_\tau$  be a closed subsets of  $X_\tau$  for  $P$ - almost every  $\tau \in T$ .

**Definition 2.6 : [7]**

A measurable field of closed sets is a pair  $\{A, \{A_\tau\}_{\tau \in T}\}$  where  $A \in X$  and

1. If  $x \in A$ , then  $x(\tau) \in A_\tau$  for  $P$ - almost every  $\tau \in T$ .
2. There exists  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset A$  such that  $\{x_n(\tau)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is dense in  $A_\tau$  for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$ .
3. If  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset A, y \in X$  and  $\rho_\tau(y_n(\tau), y(\tau)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$ , then  $y \in A$ .

**Definition 2.7 : [9]**

A measurable field of Boolean algebra is a pair  $\{\nabla, (\nabla_\tau, m_\tau)_{\tau \in T}\}$  where  $\nabla$  is a set of mappings  $e: \tau \rightarrow e(\tau) \in \nabla_\tau$  for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$  such that

1.  $\{\nabla, (\nabla_\tau, m_\tau)_{\tau \in T}\}$  is a measurable field of metric space.
2. If  $e, g \in \nabla, h(\tau) = e(\tau) \vee g(\tau)$  for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$ , then  $h \in \nabla$ .
3. If  $e \in \nabla, g(\tau) = (\hat{1} - e)(\tau)$  for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$ , then  $g \in \nabla$ .

**Definition 2.8 : [9]**

A measurable field of Boolean algebra  $\{\nabla, (\nabla_\tau, m_\tau)_{\tau \in T}\}$  is said to be saturated, if for any  $e \in \nabla, \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}$  the function  $g(\tau) = e(\tau) \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau)$  belongs to  $\nabla$ , where

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1, & \tau \in \mathcal{A} \\ 0, & \tau \notin \mathcal{A} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.9 : [15]**

A Boolean algebra with a countable dense subset is called separable.

**Definition 2.10 : [9]**

A Boolean algebra  $\nabla$  with a  $S^*$ -valued measure  $m$  is said to be  $S^*$ -non-atomic if for any  $e \in \nabla$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq m(e), \alpha \in S^*$ , there exists  $g \in \nabla$  such that  $g \leq e$  and  $m(g) = \alpha$ .

**Definition 2.11 : [2,3,4]**

The collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel sets of a topological space  $X$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open sets of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.12 : [12,13]**

A Borel mapping is a mapping such that the inverse image of every Borel set is Borel. It is called Borel function.

**Definition 2.13 : [5]**

Let  $\nabla$  be a Boolean algebra, and let

$$\Delta = \{e \in \nabla: \text{if } 0 \leq e \leq q \text{ then either } e = 0 \text{ or } q = e\}.$$

The elements of  $\Delta$  are called atoms.

If  $\Delta = \Phi$ , then  $\nabla$  is said to be a non-atomic Boolean algebra.

**Remark 2.14 : [9]**

A strictly positive measure  $m: \nabla \rightarrow S^*$  has the following moduleness property:

$$m(e g) = e m(g) \quad \text{for all } e \in \nabla_1, g \in \nabla.$$

**3. The Main Result**

In this section, we investigate the important result concerning with the characteristic separable  $S^*$ -non-atomic Boolean algebra.

Firstly, we need the following information .

**Definition 3.1 :**

A non-zero element  $e \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is called a  $S^*$ -atom if for any  $g \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ ,  $g \leq e$ , the equality

$$P(\{m(g) = m(e)\} \vee \{m(g) = 0\}) = 1,$$

satisfies, where  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebra.

**Remark 3.2 :**

Any atom from  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is a  $S^*$ -atom.

In general, the converse of the above remark does not hold. The next example will be show this.

**Example 3.3 :**

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \nabla_1$ , and let  $m : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow S^*$  be given by the formula

$$m(e) = e, \quad e \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Then  $m$  is a strictly positive measure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  with values in  $S^*$  and  $m$  has the moduleness property.

For any  $e, g \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}, e \neq 0, g \leq e$ , we have

$$P(\{m(g) = m(e)\} \vee \{m(g) = 0\}) = P(g \vee (\hat{1} - g)) = P(\hat{1}) = 1.$$

Thus, any non-zero element of  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is a  $S^*$ -atom.

At the same time algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is non-atomic ( it has no atoms ).

In this example, we note also, that the algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is separable with respect to the  $S^*$ -metric,  $d(e, g) = m(e \Delta g)$ , where

$$e \Delta g = (e \wedge (\hat{1} - g)) \vee ((\hat{1} - e)).$$

**Definition 3.4:[16]**

A set in a Hausdorff space is called Souslin if it is the image of a complete separable metric space under a continuous mapping.

A Souslin space is a Hausdorff space that is a Souslin set.

**Theorem ( Lusin-Yankov ) 3.5 :[16]**

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Souslin spaces and let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel mapping such that  $F(X) = Y$ . Then, one can find a mapping  $G : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $F(G(y)) = y$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $G$  is measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all Souslin subsets in  $Y$ . In addition, the set  $G(Y)$  belongs to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by Souslin sets in  $X$ .

**Remark 3.6 :**

If  $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}, m)$  is a complete separable Boolean algebra with a  $S^*$ -valued measure  $m$  which has the moduleness property, then there exists a saturated measurable field of Boolean algebra  $(\{\nabla_i\}_{i \in T}, \nabla)$  such that the Boolean algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$  constructed by this measurable field is  $S^*$ -isometrically isomorphic to  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ .

**Theorem 3.7 :**

Let  $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \hat{m})$  be a complete separable Boolean algebra with a strictly positive  $S^*$ -valued measure  $\hat{m}$ , and let  $(\{\nabla_\tau, m_\tau\}_{\tau \in T})$  be a measurable field of Boolean algebra generating  $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \hat{m})$ .

The following conditions are the equivalent :

- (1)  $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \hat{m})$  is a  $S^*$ -non-atomic Boolean algebra.
- (2)  $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \hat{m})$  has no  $S^*$ -atoms.
- (3)  $(\nabla_\tau, m_\tau)$  is a non-atomic Boolean algebra for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$ .

**Proof :**

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) :

Let  $e^*$  be a  $S^*$ -atom in  $(\widehat{\nabla}, \widehat{m})$ . Since  $\widehat{\nabla}$  is  $S^*$ -non-atomic, then there exists  $g^* \in \widehat{\nabla}$  ,  $g^* \leq e^*$  such that

$$\widehat{m}(g^*) = 2^{-1} \widehat{m}(e^*) ,$$

this implies, that

$$\{ \widehat{m}(g^*) = \widehat{m}(e^*) = \widehat{1} - S(\widehat{m}(e^*)) = \widehat{m}(g^*) = 0 \}.$$

Since  $e^*$  is a  $S^*$ -atom, then

$$1 = P(\{ \widehat{m}(g^*) = \widehat{m}(e^*) \} \vee \{ \widehat{m}(g^*) = 0 \}) = P(\{ \widehat{m}(g^*) = 0 \}) ,$$

i.e.  $\widehat{m}(g^*) = 0$ , therefore  $\widehat{m}(e^*) = 0$  which is not the case.

Therefore,  $(\widehat{\nabla}, \widehat{m})$  has no  $S^*$ -atom.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) :

Let  $(U, d)$  be the universal separable metric space of Uryson, and let  $\widehat{\nabla}$  be  $S^*$ -isometrically imbedded into  $S^*(T, U)$ , where  $S^*(T, U)$  represented the set of all measurable mapping from T into U. Moreover , let  $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a dense subset of  $(\widehat{\nabla}, \widehat{d})$  where

$$\widehat{d}(e^*, g^*) = \widehat{m}(e^* \Delta g^*) ,$$

Such that  $\{g_n(\tau)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is dense in  $\nabla_\tau$  with respect to

$$d_\tau(e_\tau, g_\tau) = m_\tau(e_\tau \Delta g_\tau) = d(e_\tau, g_\tau)$$

for almost every  $\tau \in T$ .

In this connection, we may consider that  $g_n(\tau)$  are chosen in such a way that  $g_n(\tau)$  are Borel functions from T into U. We define two sets  $A_n, B_n \subset T \times U$  as follows:

$$A_n = \{(\tau, e) : d(g_n(\tau), e) = d(g_n(\tau), 0) - d(e, 0)\}$$

$$B_n = \{(\tau, e) : d(g_n(\tau), e) = d(g_n(\tau), 0) + d(e, 0)\}.$$

Since  $g_n(\tau)$  is a Borel function and the metric  $d$  is continuous by the totality of variables, then  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are Borel sets in  $T \times U$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then the set

$$C = A \cap \left( \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (A_n \cup B_n) \right)$$

is also a Borel set in  $T \times U$ , where

$$A = \{(\tau, e) : d(e, 0) > 0\}.$$

It follows from [8] that  $\{(\nabla_\tau, d_\tau)\}_{\tau \in T}$  is a measurable field of closed sets in  $S^*(T, U)$ , hence ( see [14] ), we may consider without any loss of generality that  $D = \{(\tau, e) : e \in \nabla_\tau\}$  is a Borel set in  $T \times U$ .

Now, set  $E = C \cap D$ , then  $E$  is a Borel set in  $T \times U$ . Futhermore, it follows from the definition of  $C$  and from the inclusion  $E \subset D$  that

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \{ \{ \tau, e \} : e \in \nabla_\tau, g_n(\tau) \geq e \text{ or } g_n(\tau) \wedge e = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots \} \\ &= \{ (\tau, e) : e \text{ is an atom of } \nabla_\tau \}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lusin-Yankov theorem in the first place, the set

$$T_1 = \{ \tau \in T : \text{there exists } e \in \nabla_\tau \text{ such that } (\tau, e) \in E \}$$

is a measurable subset of  $T$  and secondly, there exists a measurable mapping  $\tilde{e}(\tau) \in S^*(T, U)$ , such that  $(\tau, \tilde{e}(\tau)) \in E$  for almost every  $\tau \in T_1$ .

Suppose that  $P(T_1) > 0$  and consider  $e^* \in \widehat{\nabla}$  with the representative  $\{e(\tau)\}_{\tau \in T}$  where  $e(\tau) = e^*(\tau)$  for almost every  $\tau \in T_1$  and  $e(\tau) = 0$  for  $\tau \notin T_1$ .

Now, we must show that  $e^*$  is an  $S^*$ -atom in  $\widehat{\nabla}$ .

Let  $g^* \in \widehat{\nabla}$  with the representative  $\{g(\tau)\}_{\tau \in T}$  and let  $g^* \leq e^*$ . Since  $e(\tau)$  is an atom in  $\nabla_\tau$  for almost every  $\tau \in T_1$ , then for almost every  $\tau \in T$  we have either  $g(\tau) = e(\tau)$  or  $g(\tau) = 0$ .

It means that;

$$P(\{ \widehat{m}(g^*) = \widehat{m}(e^*) \} \vee \{ \widehat{m}(g^*) = 0 \}) = P(T) = 1.$$

Therefore  $e^*$  is an  $S^*$ -atom in  $\widehat{\nabla}$ , which contradicts the assumption. Thus  $P(T_1) = 0$ , in other words, for  $P$ -almost every  $\tau \in T$  the Boolean algebra  $(\nabla_\tau, m_\tau)$  is non-atomic.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) :

Let  $e^* \in \widehat{\nabla}$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \widehat{m}(e^*), \alpha^* \in S^*$ , and let  $e(\tau), \alpha(\tau)$  be Borel representatives of  $e^*$  and of  $\alpha$  respectively. Set

$$A = \{(d(e, 0), e) : d(e, 0) = \alpha(\tau)\}$$
$$B = \{(\tau, e) : d(e(\tau), 0) - d(e, 0) = d(e(\tau), e)\}.$$

By the same ways as in the proof of the implication ((2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)), we get that the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are Borel subsets of  $T \times U$ . Hence, the space  $C = A \cap B \cap D$  is the same set as in the proof of the implication

((2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)). It is clear that

$$C = \{(\tau, e) : e \in \nabla_\tau, e \leq e(\tau), m_\tau(e) = \alpha(\tau)\}$$

By Lusin-Yankov theorem, the set

$$T_1 = \{\tau \in T : \exists e \in \nabla_\tau \text{ such that } (\tau, e) \in C\}$$

is a measurable subset of  $T$ , and there exists a measurable mapping  $g(\tau) \in S^*(T, U)$  such that  $(\tau, g(\tau)) \in C$  for almost every  $\tau \in T_1$ .

Since  $\alpha(\tau) \leq m_\tau(e(\tau))$  and  $(\nabla_\tau, m_\tau)$  is a non-atomic Boolean algebra for almost every  $\tau \in T$ , we have  $P(T_1) = 1$ .

Let us consider the element  $g^* \in S^*(T, U)$  with the representative  $\{g(\tau)\}_{\tau \in T}$ .

It is clear that  $g^* \in \widehat{\nabla}$  and  $\widehat{m}(g^*) = \alpha$ .

## References

- [1] B. Z. Vulih; Introduction to the theory of partially ordered set, Moscow, -407p., 1961.
- [2] C. Cavagnaro and W. T. Haight; Dictionary of Classical and Theoretical Mathematic II, CRC Press, 2001.
- [3] H. L. Royden; Real Analysis, second edition, Stanford University.
- [4] K. L. Kuttler; Real and Abstract and Analysis, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [5] L. Miller; Classifications of Boolean Algebra, UConn, 2005.
- [6] M. V. Podoroznyi; Banach Modules over Rings of Measurable functions // In book: Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Proc. Tashkent University, N.670, p.41-43 (Russian), 1981.
- [7] M. V. Podoroznyi; Spaces with S- valued Metric // In book: Math. Anal. Algebra and Probability theory, 1982.
- [8] M.V. Podoroznyi; Spectral Properties of Homomorphisms of Banach Modules over the ring of Measurable Functions, Cand. Dissertation Tashkent, 104 p. (Russian), 1984.
- [9] O. Ya. Benderskii; Measurable fields of Boolean Algebras, Uzniti ,N.197. Uz-D, 84p. (7-16), 1984.
- [10] O. Ya. Benderskii and B. A. Rubshtein; Universal Measurable Fields of Metric Space, Thesis of reports, Intenational Cof. -Baku. Part 11, -p.40 , 1987.
- [11] O. Ya. Benderskii; Measurable fields of Random Variables, Thesis of reports. VI International symposium of information theory. Moscow- Tashkent, part III, p.(44-46) (Russian), 1984.
- [12] P. R. Halmos and M. Rabin; Borel Structures for Function Spaces, Illinois Journal of Mathematics,5 , p.(614-630), 1961.
- [13] S. K. Berberian; Borel Spaces, The University of Texas at Austin, 84p., 1988.
- [14] S. V. Yaskolko; Measurable Families of Unbounded Operators in Banach and Hilbert Spaces, Cand. Dissertation Tashkent, 159p. (Russian) , 1989.
- [15] Thomas Vetterlein; Boolean Algebra with Automorphism Group, European centre for soft computing Spain, 2007.
- [16] V. I. Bogachev; Measure Theory, Moscow State Univ., Springer, 2007