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#### Abstract

: This work included synthesis of some new 1,3-oxazepine derivatives starting from 4-Amino-1,5-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3(2H)-one [1]. Firstly, compound [1] was converted to the corresponding imine derivatives [2] and [3] through condensation reaction with each 4-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde and 2-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde, respectively, in presence of glacial acetic acid in absolute ethanol. Imine derivatives [2] and [3] were then introduced in $[2+5]$ cycloaddition reaction with each Phethalic anhydride, 3-Nitrophthalic anhydride and Maleic anhydride, respectively, in dry benzene to give 1,3-oxazepine derivatives [4-9]. These new derivatives might have some biological activity.

The structures of all prepared compounds were confirmed by C.H.N. elementary analysis and FT-IR spectra. Also ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy was used to identify structure of one new compound.




## Introduction:

[2+5] Cycloaddition reaction is recently used for the synthesis of 1,3 -oxazepine ring ${ }^{(1-4)}$. This reaction differs from the classical methods that were used to synthesis oxazepines, since it is not limited and produces various 1,3-oxazepine ring derivatives ${ }^{(5,6)}$. This reaction passes through cyclic transition state involves imine group as two-membered component and cyclic anhydrides as five-membered component ${ }^{(7,8)}$. Oxazepine derivatives showed various biological activities such as antibacterial ${ }^{(9)}$ and inhibitors for some enzymes action ${ }^{(10)}$. Some of oxazepine derivatives are used in another applied fields ${ }^{(11)}$.
Pyrazole derivatives play a vital role in many biological processes and synthetic drugs ${ }^{(12)}$. Pyrazolones are the most important derivatives of pyrazole ${ }^{(13)}$. Pyrazolone derivatives exhibit a wide variety of potentially useful applications including biological, clinical and pharmacological ${ }^{(14,15)}$.
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## Experimental:

1. Materials

All materials have been used as provided from commertial supplier (BDH) except benzene which was purified:\{4-Amino-1,5-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3(2H)-one\}, 4-Hydroxy-3methoxybenzaldehyde, 2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde, Absolute ethanol, Glacial acetic acid, Phthalic anhydride, 3-Nitrophthalic anhydride, Maleic anhydride, Benzene and Ethyl acetate.

## 2. Apparatus

1. Melting points were determined by stuart melting point apparatus.
2. Elemental analysis measured on E.A.300, Euro, Italy, 2003-AL-albayt University (Jordan).
3. FT-IR spectra were recorded on FT-IR 8400s, schimadzu- spectrophotometer and using KBr discs-Kerbala University.
4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded on J $10631 \mathrm{C}: \backslash$ Burker \TOPSPIN 500 MHZ using tetramethyl silane as internal standard and DMSO as solvent. Measurements were made at Tahran University (Iran).

## 3. Preparation Methods

Synthesis of 4-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylideneamino)-1,5-dimethyl-2- phenyl -1H-pyrazol-3(2H)-one [2]

4-Amino-1,5-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3(2H)-one [1] (0.203g, 0.001 mol ) was dissolved in absolute ethanol ( 10 mL ), then 4-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $0.152 \mathrm{~g}, 0.001 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in absolute ethanol ( 10 mL ). Then, a drop glacial acetic acid was added to the aldehyde solution which was then added drop wise to the amine solution under reflux with stirring on water bath at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hrs . Then, the mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature. The coloured precipitate was filtered and recrystallized from ethanol, Yield $79 \%$, M.p. $176-178^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Synthesis of 4-(2-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylideneamino)-1,5-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3(2H)-one [3]

Imine derivative [3] was prepared by using the same procedure which was used for the prepare of compound [2] with the following modifications:

2-Hydroxy-3methoxybenzaldehyde $(0.203 \mathrm{~g}, 0.001 \mathrm{~mol})$ instead of 4-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde, Yield $74 \%$, M.p. $172-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Synthesis of 4-(1,5-Dimethyl-3-oxo-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-3,4-dihydrobenzo[e][1,3]oxazepine-1,5-ione [4]

Imine derivative [2] $(0.337 \mathrm{~g}, 0.001 \mathrm{~mol})$ and Phthalic anhydride $(0.148 \mathrm{~g}, 0.001 \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in dry benzene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was refluxed with stirring on water bath at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hrs , the mixture was then allowed to cool down to room temperature, the coloured precipitate was filtered and recrystallization from ethyl acetate, Yield $69 \%$, M.p. $142-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Synthesis of 4-(1,5-Dimethyl-3-oxo-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-6-nitro-3,4-dihydrobenzo[e][1,3]oxazepine-1,5-dione [5]

Oxazepine derivative [5] was prepared by using the same procedure which was used for the prepare of compound [4] with the following modifications: 3-Nitrophthalic anhydride ( 0.193 g , 0.001 mol ) instead phthalic anhydride, Yield $72 \%$, M.p. $151-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Synthesis of 3-(1,5-Dimethyl-3-oxo-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1,3-oxazepine-4,7-dione [6]

Oxazepine derivative [6] was prepared by using the same procedure which was used for the prepare of compound [4] with the following modifications: Maleic anhydride ( $0.980 \mathrm{~g}, 0.001 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) instead phthalic anhydride, Yield $65 \%$, M.p. $134-136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Synthesis of 4-(1,5-dimethyl-3-oxo-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-3-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-3,4-dihydrobenzo[e][1,3]oxazepine-1,5-ione [7]

Imine derivative [3] $(0.337 \mathrm{~g}, 0.001 \mathrm{~mol})$ and phthalic anhydride $(0.148 \mathrm{~g}, 0.001 \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in dry benzene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was refluxed with stirring on water bath at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hrs , the mixture was then allowed to cool down to room temperature, the coloured precipitate was filtered and recrystallized from ethyl acetate, Yield $62 \%$, M.p. $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Synthesis of 4-(1,5-Dimethyl-3-oxo-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-3-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-6-nitro-3,4-dihydrobenzo[e][1,3]oxazepine-1,5-dione [8]

Oxazepine derivative [8] was prepared by using the same procedure which was used for the prepare of compound [7] with the following modifications: 3-Nitrophthalic anhydride ( 0.193 g , 0.001 mol ) instead of phthalic anhydride, Yield $71 \%$, M.p. 139- $141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Synthesis of 3-(1,5-Dimethyl-3-oxo-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-2-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1,3-oxazepine-4,7-dione [9]

Oxazepine derivative [9] was prepared by using the same procedure which was used for the prepare of compound [7] with the following modifications: Maleic anhydride ( $0.980 \mathrm{~g}, 0.001 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) instead of Phthalic anhydride, Yield $60 \%$, M.p. $119-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table (1): Melting points, percent yields and (C.H.N.) analysis of the prepared compounds (2-9)

| Comp. <br> No. | Formula | M.Wt. | (M.P.) ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield\% | C.H.N. analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Calculated |  |  | Found |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | C\% | H\% | N\% | C\% | H\% | N\% |
| [2] | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 337 | 176-178 | 79 | 67.65 | 5.63 | 12.46 | 67.33 | 5.82 | 12.66 |
| [3] | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 337 | 172-174 | 74 | 67.65 | 5.63 | 12.46 | 67.40 | 5.72 | 12.59 |
| [4] | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 485 | 142-144 | 69 | 66.80 | 4.74 | 8.65 | 67.03 | 4.39 | 9.01 |
| [5] | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | 530 | 151-153 | 72 | 61.13 | 4.15 | 10.56 | 60.88 | 4.11 | 10.81 |
| [6] | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 435 | 134-136 | 65 | 63.44 | 4.82 | 9.65 | 63.30 | 5.03 | 9.38 |
| [7] | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 485 | 128-130 | 62 | 66.80 | 4.74 | 8.65 | 66.97 | 4.50 | 8.98 |
| [8] | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | 530 | 139-141 | 71 | 61.13 | 4.15 | 10.56 | 61.01 | 4.29 | 10.75 |
| [9] | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 435 | 119-121 | 60 | 63.44 | 4.82 | 9.65 | 63.25 | 4.71 | 9.44 |

## Results and Discussion:

The following scheme shows the synthetic plane of this work:
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Scheme (1): Reactions proceeding
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The reaction of 4-Amino-1,5-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3(2H)-one [1] with each 4-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde and 2-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde in presence of glacial acetic acid as catalyst resulted the formation of Schiff bases [2] and [3], respectively. The FTIR spectra of compounds [2] and [3], Figures 3 and 4 respectively, table (2), showed disappearance of the two strong absorption bands at $3420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were due to asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of $\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ group, respectively, and appearance of strong band at $1626 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and medium band at $1629 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$, respectively. The weak and medium bands at $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1718 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ of ketone, respectively. The other absorption bands for compounds [2] and [3] were listed in table (2). The elementary analysis (C.H.N.) of compounds [2] and [3], table (1), showed nearness between the calculated and found values. The reaction of the prepared imines [2] and [3] with each Phthalic anhydride, 3Nitrophthalic anhydride and Maleic anhydride in dry benzene as solvent afforded 1,3-oxazepine derivatives [4-9]. Reaction of imines with these cyclic anhydrides was classified as [2+5] cycloaddition reaction which proceeds via a single transition state involves the two atoms of imine group and five atoms from cyclic anhydride to give seven-membered heterocycle as in the following scheme: ${ }^{(3,4)}$


Scheme (2): Approximate transition state geometry for addition of maleic anhydride to imine group

The elementary analysis (C.H.N.) of the prepared 1,3-oxazepine derivatives [4-9], table (1), showed nearness between the calculated and found values. The FT-IR spectra of oxazepine derivatives [4-6], figures (5-7) respectively, table (2), showed disappearance of the sharp strong absorption band at $1626 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$ and appearance of the following characteristic absorption bands:

Compound [4]: The medium absorption band at $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ for lactam in oxazepine ring ${ }^{(16)}$. The medium absorption band at $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the stretching vibrations of $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ groups for lactone and ketone in oxazepine and pyrazole rings, respectively, due to the vibration coupling ${ }^{(16)}$. The medium band at $1631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ inside pyrazole ring. The other absorption bands were listed in table (2).

Compound [5]: The weak absorption band at $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ for lactam in oxazepine ring ${ }^{(16)}$. The strong band at $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the stretching vibrations of $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ groups for lactone and ketone in oxazepine and pyrazole rings, respectively, due to the vibration coupling ${ }^{(16)}$. The two medium absorption bands at $1545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1309 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the asymmetic and symmetric stretching vibrations of $\left(-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ group ${ }^{(16)}$. The medium band at $1647 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ inside pyrazole ring. The other absorption bands were listed in table (2).

Compound [6]: The strong and medium absorption bands at $1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ for lactam and lactone in oxazepine ring, respectively ${ }^{(16)}$. The sharp strong band at $1722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) for ketone in pyrazole ring. The medium band at $1629 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ inside oxazepine ring. The weak band at $1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ inside pyrazole ring. The other absorption bands were listed in table (2).

The FT-IR spectra of oxazepine derivatives [7-9], figures (8-10) respectively, table (2), showed disappearance of the sharp strong absorption band at $1629 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$ and appearance of the following characteristic absorption bands:

Compound [7]: The sharp strong band at $1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ for lactam in oxazepine ring ${ }^{(16)}$. The medium band at $1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the stretching vibrations of ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) groups for lactone and ketone in oxazepine and pyrazole rings, respectively, due to the vibration coupling ${ }^{(16)}$. The weak band at $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ inside pyrazole ring. The other absorption bands were listed in table (2).

Compound [8]: The sharp strong band at $1668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$and the sharp medium band at $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the stretching vibrations of $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ groups for lactam and lactone in oxazepine ring, respectively ${ }^{(16)}$. The medium band at $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ of ketone in pyrazole ring. The medium band at $1626 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ inside pyrazole ring. The strong and medium bands at $1521 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1310 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ group ${ }^{(16,17)}$. The other absorption bands were listed in table (2).

Compound [9]: The sharp strong band at $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ for lactam in oxazepine ring ${ }^{(16)}$. The sharp strong band at $1726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the stretching vibrations of $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ groups for lactone and ketone in oxazepine and pyrazole rings, respectively, due to the vibration coupling ${ }^{(16)}$. The medium band at $1624 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the $v(C=C)$ inside pyrazole ring. The other absorption bands were listed in table (2).
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR spectrum of compound [9] figure (11) showed the following characteristic chemical shifts (MeOD, ppm):
The singlet signal at $\delta 2.433$ attributed to protons of methyl group (a) which is bonded with carboncarbon double bond.
The singlet signal at $\delta 3.132$ attributed to the protons of methyl group (b) which is bonded with nitrogen.
The singlet signal at $\delta 3.837$ attributed to protons of methoxy group (c).
The singlet signals at $\delta 6.123$ and 6.629 attributed to protons of double bond (d) and $\left(d_{1}\right)$ respectively, the signals appeared singlet may be due to cis- conformation which leads to decrease value of their coupling constant.
The doublet signal at $\delta$ 6.832-6.849 attributed to the aromatic proton (e).
The doublet signal at $\delta 6.950-6.966$ attributed to the aromatic proton (f).
The triplet signal at $\delta 7.176-7.210$ attributed to the aromatic proton (g).
The asymmetrical sexplet pattern at $\delta 7.354-7.424$ resulting from interaction of the triplet signal of proton (h) and the doublet signal of protons (i) in addition of the singlet signal of proton (C-H) in oxazepine ring (m).
The triplet signal at $\delta 7.505-7.536$ attributed to the aromatic protons $(\mathrm{j})$.
The singlet signals at $\delta 9.456$ and 9.518 attributed to the phenolic $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$ proton $(\mathrm{k})$.



Fig.1: Theoretical ${ }^{1} \boldsymbol{H}$-NMR spectrum of compound
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Table(2): FTIR Data of the prepared compounds [1-9] in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

| Comp No. | $\begin{aligned} & I U \\ & \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IU} \\ \mathrm{NH}_{2} \end{gathered}$ | IU C-H arom. | IU <br> C-H <br> aliph. | Overtone bands | $\begin{aligned} & I U \\ & C=O \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I U \\ & \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I U \\ & C=C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} I U \\ \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} \\ \text { arom. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{NO}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} / \delta \\ \text { C-H } \\ \text { aliph } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & / \delta \\ & \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ <br> in plane | / $\delta$ <br> C-H <br> arom. <br> in plane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IU } \\ & \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} / \delta \\ \text { C-H } \\ \text { arom. } \\ \text { o.o.p. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} / \delta \\ \text { O-H } \\ \text { o.o.p. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] | --- | $\begin{aligned} & 3420(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 3300(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 3170(m) 3066(m) 3010(w) | 2895(s) | $\begin{aligned} & 1805(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1876(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1960(\mathrm{w}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1716 \\ \text { (w) } \\ \text { ketone } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (s)1579 } \\ & 1498(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | $\begin{array}{r} 1435(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 1356(\mathrm{~s}) \end{array}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & 1271(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 1182(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 1105(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | --- | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 912(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 839(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 754(\mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | --- |
| [2] | (sp)3640 $3589(\mathrm{sp})$ Free(O-H) $3500-3217(\mathrm{sp})$ bonding $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{m}) 3146 \\ & 3086(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 3020(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2978(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2918(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2837(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2764(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1797(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1870(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1950(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2013(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1730 \\ \text { (w) } \\ \text { ketone } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1626 \\ (\mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | --- | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline(\mathrm{m}) 1581 \\ 1512(\mathrm{~s}) \end{array}$ | --- | 1450(w) | 1386(s) | (s)1286 | $1207(\mathrm{~s})$ <br> asym.ether <br> $1030(\mathrm{~s})$ <br> sym.ether <br> $1128(\mathrm{~s})$ <br> (phenol) | $\begin{gathered} 966(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 868(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 819(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 63(\mathrm{~s}) 7 \end{gathered}$ | 700(s) |
| [3] | $\begin{aligned} & 3650,3590(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { Free(O-H) } \\ & 3533-3210(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { bonding }(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{m}) 3178 \\ & 3090(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2995(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 2937(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2837(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 2795(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2733(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1793(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1855(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1946(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1718 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \\ \text { ketone } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1629 \\ (\mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1577(s) } \\ & 1516(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1420(w) } \\ & 1350(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | 1379(s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1290(s) } \\ 1257(\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $1209(\mathrm{~s})$ asym.ether $1031(\mathrm{~s})$ sym.ether $1134(\mathrm{~s})$ (phenol) | $\begin{aligned} & 968(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 918(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 866(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 815(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 759(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 694(s) |
| [4] | $\begin{aligned} & 3660,3600(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { Free(O-H) } \\ & 3524-3200(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { bonding }(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | 60(w)30 | $\begin{aligned} & 2970(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2890(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2840(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2740(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1810(w) } \\ & \text { 1890(w) } \\ & 1980(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1680(\mathrm{~m}) \\ \text { lactam } \\ 1730(\mathrm{~m}) \\ \text { lactone } \\ \text { +ketone } \end{array}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & 1631 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1577(s) } \\ & 1506(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | $\begin{gathered} \text { interacted } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { (O-H) } \delta \\ \text { in plane } \end{gathered}$ | 1388(s) | (s)1280 | $1210(\mathrm{w})$ asym.ether $1026(\mathrm{~m})$ sym.ether $1134(\mathrm{~s})$ (phenol) | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{w}) 659 \\ & 918(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 870(\mathrm{w}) \\ & (\mathrm{w}) 815 \\ & (\mathrm{w}) 765 \end{aligned}$ | 690(s) |
| [5] | $\begin{aligned} & 3660,3600(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { Free(O-H) } \\ & 3560-3230(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { bonding }(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{m}) 3160 \\ & 3105(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 3047(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 3003(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2964(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2931(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2880(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2840(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2770(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2720(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1790(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1840(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1905(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1967(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 1680(w) } \\ \text { lactam } \\ 1730(\mathrm{~s}) \\ \text { lactone } \\ \text { +ketone } \end{array}$ | -- | $\begin{gathered} 1647 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1610(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1577(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 1500(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 1462(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 1545(m) <br> asym. <br> 1309(m) sym. | $\begin{aligned} & 1423(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1350(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 1386(s) | (s)3127 | $1213(\mathrm{~s})$ asym.ether $1030(\mathrm{~s})$ sym.ether $1130(\mathrm{~m})$ (phenol) $1159(\mathrm{~m})$ $1070(\mathrm{~m})$ lactone | $\begin{array}{r} 966(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 910(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 869(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 825(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 3(\mathrm{~s}) 77 \end{array}$ | 668(s) |
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| [6] | $\begin{aligned} & 3640,3599(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { Free(O-H) } \\ & 3540-3210(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { bonding }(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (w)3122 } \\ & 3082(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2968(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2940(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2890(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2820(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2800(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1790(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1853(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1890(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1930(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1965(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1693(s) } \\ \text { lactam } \\ 1751(\mathrm{~m}) \\ \text { lactone } \\ 1722(\mathrm{~s}) \\ \text { ketone } \end{gathered}$ | --- | $\begin{gathered} 1660 \\ (\mathrm{w}) \\ 1629 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1610(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1573(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 1521(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 1502(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1446(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | 1350(s) | 1383(m) | 1271(s) | $1219(\mathrm{~s})$ asym.ether $1026(\mathrm{~s})$ sym.ether $1136(\mathrm{~m})$ (phenol) $1165(\mathrm{w})$ $1090(\mathrm{w})$ lactone | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 866(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 821(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 761(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 729(\mathrm{w}) \end{array}$ | 694(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [7] | $\begin{gathered} 3589(\mathrm{sp}) \text { Free(O- } \\ \text { H) } \\ 3550-3200(\mathrm{sp}) \\ \text { bonding }(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}) \end{gathered}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{w}) 3147 \\ & 3099(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 3055(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 3005(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2945(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2830(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2752(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1788(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1850(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1970(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1678(s) } \\ \text { lactam } \\ 1728(\mathrm{~m}) \\ \text { lactone } \\ \text { +ketone } \end{gathered}$ | --- | $\begin{gathered} 1635 \\ \text { (w) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\mathrm{s}) 1580 \\ 1500(\mathrm{~m}) \end{array}$ | --- | 1425(w) | 1352(m) | 1292(s) | $1211(\mathrm{~s})$ asym.ether $1030(\mathrm{~s})$ sym.ether $1125(\mathrm{w})$ (phenol) $1153(\mathrm{~m})$ $1075(\mathrm{w})$ lactone | $\begin{gathered} 968(\mathrm{w}) \\ 873(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 820(\mathrm{w}) \\ 69(\mathrm{~m}) 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 730 \\ & (\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ |
| [8] | $\begin{gathered} 3640(\mathrm{sp}) \text { Free }(\mathrm{O}- \\ \text { H) } \\ 3527-3200(\mathrm{sp}) \\ \text { bonding }(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}) \end{gathered}$ | -- | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{m}) 3149 \\ 3086(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 12(\mathrm{~s}) 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2958(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 2870(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2820(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2770(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1793(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1850(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1905(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1971(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $1668(\mathrm{~s})$ lactam $1750(\mathrm{w})$ lactone $1710(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{k}$ etone | --- | $\begin{gathered} 1626 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (w)1595 } \\ & 1565(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1471(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $1521(\mathrm{~s})$ <br> asym. $1310(\mathrm{~m})$ <br> sym. | $\begin{aligned} & 1419(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1350(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 1370(w) | 1269(m) | $1215(\mathrm{~s})$ asym.ether $1030(\mathrm{~s})$ sym.ether $1132(\mathrm{~m})$ (phenol) | (s)689 <br> (s)691 <br> 868(m) <br> 825(w) <br> 779(m) <br> 750(w) | $\begin{gathered} 694 \\ (\mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ |
| [9] | $\begin{aligned} & 3640,3574(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { Free }(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 3520-3252(\mathrm{sp}) \\ & \text { bonding }(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{w}) 3150 \\ & 3120(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 3090(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 3057(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 3005(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2964(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2908(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2840(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 2820(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1786(w) \\ & 1855(\mathrm{w}) \\ & 1970(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | 1674(s) <br> lactam 1726(s) <br> lactone +ketone | --- | 6241 <br> (w) | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{m}) 1575 \\ & 1521(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1470(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | --- | 1440(w) | 1386(m) | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1300(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 1255(\mathrm{w}) \end{array}$ | $1207(\mathrm{~m})$ asym.ether $1030(\mathrm{~s})$ sym.ether $1120(\mathrm{~s})$ (phenol) | $\begin{gathered} 970(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 918(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 821(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 63(\mathrm{~s}) 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 694 \\ (\mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ |

$\mathrm{sp}=$ sharp, $\mathrm{w}=$ weak, $\mathrm{m}=$ medium, $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{strong}$, o.o.p. $=$ out of plane


Fig. 2: FT-IR spectrum of compound [1]


Fig. 3: FT-IR spectrum of compound [2]


Fig. 4: FT-IR spectrum of compound [3]


Fig. 5: FT-IR spectrum of compound [4]


Fig. 6: FT-IR spectrum of compound [5]


Fig. 7: FT-IR spectrum of compound [6]


Fig. 8: FT-IR spectrum of compound [7]


Fig. 9: FT-IR spectrum of compound [8]


Fig. 10: FT-IR spectrum of compound [9]





Fig. 11: ${ }^{1} \boldsymbol{H}$-NMR spectrum of compound [9]
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