Effect of Plant Densities on Growth, Yield Components and Quality of Some Sunflower Cultivars (*Helianthus annuus* L.)

Dr. Saad Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Al-Doori Department of Science College of Basic Education / University of Mosul

Received: 28/11/2011 ; Accepted: 8/1/2012

Abstract:

A field experiment was conducted for two consecutive seasons 2008-2009, 2009-2010 at AL-Quba location in the west north region of Mosul city at Nineveh region to study the effect of planting density on the performance of two sunflower cultivars; namely, Mehran and Sunbred. The plants were sown at four planting densities: 41666, 47619, 55555 and 66666 plants.ha⁻¹, respectively. The data were statistic analyzed by using factorial experiments in randomized complete block design with three replications. The results indicated that the stem diameter, leaf area, head diameter, number of seeds per head, weight of thousand seed, hulls, fertility percent, harvest index and seed yield per plant, oil and protein content of the seeds decreased with increasing plant density. Increasing plant density cases significantly increase in seed yield per unit area and oil, protein yield. The differences between the two cultivars cultivation were significant in all the studied characters, except protein yield in 2008-2009 season, protein content of the seeds in 2009-2010 season. The higher seed yield of Mehran cultivar was associated with higher flower disc diameter and greater number of seeds per flower disc. Based on what has been presented in this study, could be concluded that the plant density of approximately 66666 plants. ha^{-1} is the optimum for sunflower crop cultivation, and Mehran cultivar proved be more promising than Sunbred cultivar.

د. سعد احمد محمد احمد الدوري قسم *العلوم* كلية التربية الأساسية/ جامعة الموصل

ملخص البحث:

أجريت تجربة حقلية للموسمين المتتاليين 2008-2009 , 2009-2009 في منطقة القبة الواقعة شمال غرب مدينة الموصل ضمن محافظة نينوى، لدراسة تأثير الكثافة النباتية على أداء صنفين من زهرة الشمس، هما مهران وسنبرد.

زرعت النباتات بأربع كثافات نباتية هي 41666، 47619، 55555 و 66666 نبات/هكتار على التوالي. حللت البيانات إحصائيا باستخدام التجارب العاملية بتصميم القطاعات العشوائية الكاملة بثلاثة مكررات.

أشارت النتائج بأن قطر الساق، المساحة الورقية، قطر القرص، عدد البذور في القـرص، وزن الألف بذرة ونسبتي اللب والإخصاب، دليل الحصاد ،حاصل البذور لكل نبات ومحتوى البـذور مـن الزيت والبروتين قد انخفضوا بزيادة الكثافة النباتية. سببت زيادة الكثافة النباتية زيـادة معنويـة فـي حاصل البذور الكلي في وحدة المساحة وحاصلي الزيت والبروتين.

كانت الاختلافات بين الصنفين المزروعين معنوية في الصفات المدروسة جميعها، عدا حاصل البروتين في الموسم 2008-2009 ومحتوى البذور من البروتين في الموسم-2010 2009.

كان أعلى حاصل من بذور الصنف مهران مرتبطاً بأعلى قطر للقرص الزهري وأعلى عدد من البذور في القرص الزهري. واستناداً لما جاء في هذه الدراسة، يمكن الاستنتاج بان الكثافة النباتية 66666 نبات/هكتار هي الأفضل لزراعة محصول زهرة الشمس، إذ اثبت الصنف مهران بأنه صنفاً واعداً للإنتاج التجاري مقارنة بالصنف سنبرد.

Introduction:

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus L.*) is probably the most ancient oil seed crop known and used by human. Both Peru and Mexico have been proposed as centers of origin. The seed contains 40 to 50% semidrying oil and 20% to 25% protein (Mohamed *et al.*,1992 and Sangoi and Kruse, 1993). Basically, sunflower is a crop of the warm regions of the tropics and subtropics. It has high temperature and light requirements, and is sensitive to low temperatures. High population density has been used for improving seed yield under this type of farming system. Previous research indicated that sunflower cultivars are highly variable in their response to planting density (Getmanets *et al.*, 1991). Numerous research studies for different climates have shown that plant density influences

the growth, seed yield and quality of sunflower (Harmati, 1992; Patil *et al.*, 1992 and Oyinlola, 2007). Studies by Tenebe *et al.*, (1996) showed that as plant density was increased head diameter, number of seeds per head and 1000 seed weight decreased while seed yield increased. Oyinlola, (2007) and Kene *et al.*, (1992) also suggested that increased plant density resulted in a significant increase in seed yield. Similarly, Killi and Ozdemir (2001) reported that denser sowings (71.000 to 100.000 plant per ha⁻¹) resulted in higher (30%) yields in hybrid sunflower than lower plant densities (41.000 to 57.000 plant.ha⁻¹). Chavan *et al.*, (1990) reported that as plant populations were increased, seed oil content increased. The current study attempted to evaluate the yield performance of two sunflower cultivars under three planting densities.

Materials and Methods:

A field experiment was conducted, during the spring of 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 seasons, at AL-Quba in the west north region of Mosul city at Nineveh region (latitude 36°19' north; longitude 43°90' east and altitude 220 meters above sea level). The climate of the locality is semiarid with hot summer and rainy cool winter. The rainy season extends from October to April with peak monthly rainfall in November (F.S.M.C., 2010). The mean annual rainfall is around 375 mm. The soil of the experimental site (table 1), was determined by using the methods description by Black (1965), Jackson (1973), Page *et al.*, (1982) and Tandon (1999). The land experiment was irrigated and then plowed by using disc plow and harrowing.

Seasons	2008-2009	2009-2010
ph	sical characters	
Sand (%)	66.00	62.00
Silt (%)	23.00	31.00
Clay (%)	11.00	7.00
Texture	Sandy Loom	Sandy Loom
ch	emical characters	
O.M. $(mg.kg^{-1})$	0.72	0.84
Available N (ppm)	30.44	31.86
Available P (ppm)	11.00	10.22
Available K (ppm)	166.00	164.00
Total CaCo ₃ (mg.kg ⁻¹)	29.00	24.00
pН	7.20	7.60
E.C. mmhos/cm	0.92	0.72

 Table -1

 The physical and chemical characters of soil filed experiments in both seasons.

Two sunflower cultivars; namely, Mehran and Sunbred were sown at four planting densities: 41666, 47619, 55555 and 66666 plants.ha⁻¹. The treatments were arranged by using the factorial experiments in randomized complete block

Al-Doori, S. A.

design with three replicates. Each plot consisted of 6 ridges, 4 m in length with 80, 70, 60 and 50 cm in width between ridges and the distance between hills was 30 cm apart to attain a plant density as above, respectively. Sowing was done manually on the shoulder of the ridge in first and mid of April in the first and second seasons respectively. The required plant densities were achieved by thinning the plants to one seedling per hole, after two weeks from sowing. Super phosphate 140 kg per hectar ($45\%P_2O_5$) and potassium ($48\%K_2O$) were applied (40 kg per hector) to the soil during the sowing period, nitrogen fertilizer, in the form of urea, was applied to all experimental plots at a rate of 80 kg N. hector, in two equal doses, half with sowing and the remaining half after thinning. The crop was irrigated twelve times during the season. Data were collected on some growth (plant height (cm), stem diameter, leaf area, and head diameter), yield components (number of seeds per head, weight of thousand seed, hulls, fertility, harvest index, biological yield), seed yield per plant and total seed yield per hectar⁻¹. In addition, oil content and oil yield (ton. hectar⁻¹) was also determined by the soxholet apparatus (A.O.A.C., 1980), and seed nitrogen concentration was measured by microkjeldahl method, then, protein percentage was calculated by multiplying the nitrogen percentage by the converting factor 6.25 (Agrawal et al., 1980). Statistical analysis was carried out using SAS statistical program (SAS, 2001), means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test at 1 and 5% probability level (Duncan, 1955).

Results and Discussion: 1- Plant density effect:

Results of statistical analysis showed that plant density significantly affected all studied characters in 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 seasons, except seed yield (gm.plant⁻¹) in only 2008-2009 season (tables 6,7). Stem diameter (2.8, 3.4) cm), leaf area (4172.06, 3660.7cm².plant), head diameter (23.40,23.72 cm), number of seeds.head⁻¹ (1296.51, 1193.67), weight of thousand seed (72.61, 70.38 gm), hulls (52.53, 48.99%), fertility (82.84, 83.74%), harvest index (22.31, 23.01%), oil (42.27, 40.54%) and protein (14.56, 13.68%), were significantly increased as plant density decreased from 66666 to 41666 plant.ha⁻¹ in the two growing seasons respectively (table 2). At low density, plants grew as isolated units for most of their early life and interfered less with each other than at higher densities 66666 plant.ha⁻¹. This might explain the highly significant effects of plant density on seed yield per plant and seed yield per unit area obtained in this study. For example, increasing plant density up to 66666 plant.ha⁻¹ significantly decreased seed yield per plant (table 2). This was primarily due to the reduction in the both number of seeds.head⁻¹ and weight of thousand seed at higher plant population. In two growing seasons, plant height (137.51, 135.18cm), total seed yield (3.78, 4.18 ton.ha⁻¹) and oil yield (1.34, 1.48 ton.ha⁻¹), protein yield (0.456, 0.470 ton.ha⁻¹) increased with increasing the plant density up to 66666 plant.ha⁻¹, then decreases towards decreased the plant density. These results are true in the two growing seasons. Taller plants at high density may be due to inter plant competition for light and aerial resources. Similar results were obtained by Sedghi *et al.*, (2008) who found maximum total seed yield, oil and protein yield in dense plant population. On the contrary, Tenebe *et al.*, (1996) reported that oil and protein yield tends to decrease with increasing plant density. Increasing plant density increased the biological yield (gm.plant⁻¹), particularly during the vegetative phase (table 2). These results are in agreement with the previous findings reported by Tenebe *et al.*, (1996). They showed that dry matter accumulation varied with population density, and the rate of increase was higher during the vegetative period. However, Killi and Ozdemir (2001) attributed the increase in biological yield production per unit of ground area may be due to the daily amount of photosynthetic ally active radiation which is intercepted the crop.

2- Cultivars effect:

Mehran cultivar had more plant height (129.63, 126.96cm), stem diameter (2.52, 3.09 cm), leaf area (3863.33, 3452.99 cm².plant⁻¹), head diameter (22.76, 22.51cm), number of seeds.head⁻¹ (1220.5, 1126.4), weight of thousand seed (73.98, 74.05), hulls (51.17, 48.90), fertility (81.06, 80.31%), biological yield (330.84, 321.36 gm.plant⁻¹), seed yield (67.1, 66.9 gm.plant⁻¹), total seed yield (3.52, 3.54 ton.ha⁻¹), harvest index (20.45, 21.08%) than Sunbred cultivar in the first and second seasons, respectively (table 3). Differences in seed yield between sunflower cultivars are attributed to differences in morphological characters and yield components (Mohamed *et al.*, 1992). In this respect, seeds of Mehran cultivar had more oil (40.21, 38.99%) and less protein (12.38, 12.37%) than those of Sunbred cultivar. Reports by Sangoi and Kruse, (1993); Villalobos *et al.*, (1994); Ibrahim *et al.*, (2003); Luan, (2006); Zehra *et al.*, (2007); Al-Doori and Hasan, (2012) and Al-Doori and Al-Dulaimy, (2012) showed that there is considerable variability in oil and protein contents among sunflower cultivars.

3- Interaction effect between plant density and cultivars:

Mean values of interaction between plant density and cultivars are presented in tables (6,7). The interaction between the studying factors showed significant effects on plant height, no. of seeds per head and 1000 seed weight in only 2008-2009 season, biological yield (gm.plant⁻¹), seed yield (gm.plant⁻¹), total yield (ton.ha⁻¹) and oil yield (ton.ha⁻¹) in only 2009-2010 season (tables 4, 5). Mehran cultivar reflected the greatest response to plant density at 66666 plants.hectar⁻¹ for seed yield (69.75 gm.plant⁻¹), total yield (4.65 ton per ha⁻¹) and oil yield (1.70 ton.ha⁻¹) in only 2009-2010 season, with this regard, Mariayesa *et al.*, (2007) and Sedghi *et al.*, (2008) found that high plant density produced higher oil yield. The interaction between the plant density and cultivars for the other investigated traits were not statistically significant in both

seasons $density_{1}$ $plant_{1}$ $plant_{1}$ seasons $(plants.ha^{-1})$ $height (cm)$ (cm) $(plants.ha^{-1})$ $112.97d$ $2.82a$ $2008-2009$ 47619 $121.29c$ $2.48b$ 55555 $128.37b$ $2.22c$ 66666 $112.97d$ $2.22c$ 666666 $112.92c$ $3.43a$ 416666 $112.92c$ $3.43a$ $2009-2010$ 55555 $123.54b$ $2.65c$ 55555 $123.54b$ $2.65c$ 666666 $135.18a$ $2.65c$ 666666 $135.18a$ $2.30d$ $plant$ $piological$ $seed yield$ $plantyield^{-1}(m.plant^{-1})coo8-200955555318.19a60.7866666318.19a60.7866.732009-201055555324.44b5.822009-201055555322.44b56.322009-201055555324.44b60.57a$	stem	head				
(plants. Ma^{-1})height (cm)41666112.97d47619121.29c55555128.37b66666137.51a47619120.12b55555123.54b666666135.18a666666135.18afollonts. ha^{-1})gm.plantbiologicalgm.plant^{-1})41666318.19a747619318.19a666666318.19a666666318.19a666666318.19a55555324.44b55555322.54c55555324.44b		diameter	no. of seeds	1000 seed	hulls	fertility
41666 112.97d 47619 121.29c 55555 128.37b 66666 112.99c 47619 121.29c 137.51a 123.54b 666666 112.99c 55555 123.54b 666666 135.18a plant biological density vield 47619 318.19a 55555 318.19a 666666 318.19a 666666 318.19a 747619 318.19a 55555 318.48a 66666 318.48a 666666 318.48a 747619 318.48a 55555 324.48b 666666 325.66d 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	n) (cm ² .plant)	(cm)	per head	weight	(%)	$(0/_{0})$
47619 121.29c 55555 128.37b 666666 112.99c 47619 120.12b 55555 123.54a 666666 135.18a 55555 123.54b 666666 135.18a $plant$ $biological$ $density$ $yield$ $plants.ha^{-1}$ $(gm.plant^{-1})$ 41666 $318.19a$ 55555 $332.48a$ 666666 $318.19a$ 55555 $332.48a$ 666666 $318.19a$ 55555 $324.48a$ 666666 $318.83a$ 47619 $302.54c$ 55555 $324.44b$	2a 4172.06a	23.40a	1295.51a	72.61a	52.53a	82.84a
55555 $128.37b$ 666666 $137.51a$ 416666 $112.99c$ 47619 $120.12b$ 55555 $123.54b$ 55555 $123.54b$ 666666 $135.18a$ $plant$ $biological$ $plants$ $biological$ $biological$ $biological$ $biological$ $biological$ $biological$ $biological$ $biological$ $biolog$	8b 3935.64b	22.74a	1237.91b	69.76b	49.04b	80.59b
66666 $137.51a$ 41666 $112.99c$ 47619 $120.12b$ 55555 $123.54b$ 55555 $123.54b$ 666666 $135.18a$ $plant$ $biological$ $density$ $yield$ $plants.ha^{-1}$ $gm.plant^{-1}$ 47619 $318.19a$ 55555 $332.48a$ 666666 $318.83a$ 47619 $318.19a$ 55555 $332.48a$ 47619 $302.54c$ 55555 $324.44b$	2c 3482.41c	21.63b	1110.39c	66.16c	46.63c	76.62c
41666 112.99c 47619 120.12b 55555 123.54b 55555 123.54b 666666 135.18a plant biological density yield $(plants.ha^{-1})$ $(gm.plant^{-1})$ 47619 318.19a 55555 332.48a 666666 318.83a 47619 323.48a 55555 332.48a 47619 318.19a 55555 332.48a 47619 318.19a 55555 323.48a 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	3d 2796.79d	19.57c	966.68d	58.23d	43.94d	72.18d
47619 $120.12b$ 55555 $123.54b$ 55555 $123.54b$ 66666 $135.18a$ plant biological density yield (plants.ha ⁻¹) (gm.plant ⁻¹) 47619 $318.19a$ 55555 $332.48a$ 66666 $318.83a$ 41666 $255.66d$ 47619 $302.54c$ 55555 $322.44b$	3a 3660.70a	23.72a	1193.67a	70.38a	48.99a	83.74a
55555 $123.54b$ 666666 $135.18a$ plant plological density yield (plants.ha ⁻¹) (gm.plant ⁻¹) 47619 $318.19a$ 55555 $324.48a$ 666666 $318.83a$ 666666 $318.83a$ 41666 $255.66d$ 55555 $322.48a$ 656565 $318.83a$ 55555 $322.48a$ 656666 $318.83a$ 55555 $322.44b$	9b 3406.50b	22.79b	1105.24b	67.46a	46.05b	80.19b
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5c 3115.70c	20.94c	1038.39c	64.07b	43.39c	77.16c
plant biological density yield density yield (plants. ha ⁻¹) (gm.plant ⁻¹) 41666 286.31b 318.19a 332.48a 55555 332.48a 66666 318.83a 41666 255.66d 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	0d 2712.60d	19.13d	951.52d	58.59c	41.30d	73.09d
density yield (plantsha ⁻¹) (gm.plant ⁻¹) 41666 286.31b 47619 318.19a 55555 332.48a 66666 318.32.48a 41666 255.66d 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	total total	hom out	- I:*	E1-1-11-		
(plants. ha ⁻¹) (gm.plant ⁻¹) 41666 286.31b 47619 318.19a 55555 332.48a 66666 318.83a 41666 255.66d 41666 318.83a 65555 332.48a 65666 318.83a 41666 255.66d 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b		narvest	110	oil yield	protein	protein yield
41666 286.31b 47619 318.19a 55555 332.48a 66666 318.83a 41666 255.66d 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	ant ⁻¹) (ton.ha ⁻¹)	index (%)	(%)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)	(%)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)
47619 318.19a 55555 332.48a 66666 318.83a 41666 255.66d 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	92 2.66d	22.3 la	42.27a	1.12d	14.56a	0.38b
5555 332.48a 66666 318.83a 41666 255.66d 302.54c 302.54c 55555 324.44b	42 3.02c	19.95b	40.33b	1.22c	13.46b	0.40b
66666 318.83a 41666 255.66d 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	78 3.37b	18.31c	37.13c	1.25b	12.93c	0.43ab
41666 255.66d 47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	82 3.78a	17.83c	35.29d	1.34a	12.11c	0.45a
47619 302.54c 55555 324.44b	4b 2.44d	23.01a	40.54a	0.99c	13.68a	0.33c
55555 324.44b	5a 3.01c	21.02b	38.36b	1.160b	12.96ab	0.39b
	i7a 3.36b	18.69c	36.93c	1.24b	12.00bc	0.40b
66666 351.71a 62.77a	7a 4.18a	17.81d	35.35d	1.48a	11.20c	0.47a

seasons	
n both	
ality i	
nd du	
nts ar	
anoqu	
ld con	
ld, yiel	
rs, yield	
character	
e growth	
v on som	
t densit	
of plan	
- Effect	
Table -2-	

the different letter are significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively in every seasons. followed by column within /alues mean The

	Table -3-	Table -3- Effect of cultivars on some growth characters, yield, yield components and quality in both seasons.	ars on some g	rowth charact	ters, yield, yiel	d components	and quality in	both seasons.	
seasons	cultivars	plant height (cm)	stem diameter (cm)	leaf area (cm ² .plant)	head diameter (cm)	no. of seeds per head	1000 seed weight	hulls (%)	fertility (%)
2008-2009	Mehran Sunbred	129.63a 120.44b	2.52a 2.20b	3863.33a 3330.12b	22.76a 20.91b	1220.51a 1084.73b	73.98a 59.39b	51.17a 44.90b	81.06a 75.06b
2009-2010	Mehran Sunbred	126.96a 118.95b	3.09a 2.64b	3452.99a 2994.79b	22.51a 20.78b	1126.42a 1017.98b	74.05a 56.20b	48.90a 40.97h	80.31a 76 78h
seasons	cultivars	biological yield (gm.plant ⁻¹)	seed yield (gm.plant ⁻¹)	total yield (ton.ha ⁻¹)	harvest index (%)	0) (%)	oil yield (ton.ha ⁻¹)	protein (%)	protein yield (ton.ha ⁻¹)
2008-2009	Mehran Sunbred	330.84a 297.05b	67.10a 55.37b	3.52a 2.90b	20.45a 18.75b	40.21a 37.30b	1.40a 1.07b	12.38a 14.15b	0.40
2009-2010	Mehran Sunbred	321.36a 295.81b	66.92a 55.74b	3.54a 2.96b	21.08a 19.19b	38.99a 36.59b	1.37a 1.07b	12.37 12.55	0.43a 0.36b
* The m	ean values wi	* The mean values within column followed by the different letter are significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively in every seasons.	wed by the diff	erent letter are s	ignificant at 0.01	and 0.05 probal	oility levels, resp	bectively in ever	y seasons.

seasons, therefore the data were not discus. The insignificant effect between plant density and cultivars on other characteristic showed that each of these two factors acted independently on these traits.

Al-Doori, S. A.

Table -4- Effect of interaction between plant density and cultivars on some growth characters, yield, yield components and quality in 2008 - 2009 season.

					111 2000 - 2007 3043011.				
plant density	cultivars	plant height (2011)	stem diameter	leaf area	head diameter	no. of seeds	1000 seed	hulls	fertility
(plants.ha ⁻¹)		nergni (cm)	(cm)	(cm .plant)	(cm)	per nead	weight	(0/)	(%)
41666	Mehran	116.61d	3.05	4465.50	24.29	1393.38a	81.82a	55.64	85.88
00011	Sunbred	109.33e	2.58	3878.62	22.51	1197.63c	63.40d	49.41	79.81
47610	Mehran	124.39c	2.61	4273.71	23.43	1313.59b	77.78b	52.09	83.23
	Sunbred	118.20d	2.36	3597.56	22.05	1162.23c	61.74de	46.00	77.95
5555	Mehran	132.38b	2.37	3748.99	22.68	1181.94c	73.13c	50.12	80.40
	Sunbred	124.37c	2.08	3215.82	20.58	1038.83d	59.19e	43.15	72.84
66666	Mehran	145.14a	2.07	2965.10	20.65	993.11de	63.21d	46.84	74.73
00000	Sunbred	129.88b	1.79	2628.47	18.49	940.25e	53.24f	41.05	69.64
plant		biological	seed yield	total yield	harvest	oil	oil yield	protein	protein vield
(plants.ha ⁻¹)	CUIIIVAIS	yıcıu (gm.plant ⁻¹)	(gm.plant ⁻¹)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)	index (%)	(%)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)	(%)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)
41666	Mehran	299.25	69.60	2.90	23.35	43.82	1.26	13.34	0.388
CONTL	Sunbred	273.36	58.24	2.42	21.28	40.72	0.987	15.79	0.385
47619	Mehran	335.10	69.37	3.30	20.76	41.73	1.379	12.53	0.413
	Sunbred	301.28	57.47	2.73	19.14	38.92	1.066	14.39	0.395
5555	Mehran	352.41	67.08	3.72	19.12	38.78	1.444	12.00	0.446
))))	Sunbred	312.55	54.48	3.02	17.51	35.47	1.074	13.86	0.420
66666	Mehran	336.62	62.35	4.15	18.59	36.50	1.518	11.67	0.484
	Sunbred	301.05	51.30	3.42	17.08	34.08	1.169	12.56	0.429
* The n	ieans values wi	* The means values within column followed by		erent letter are s	ignificant at 0.0	1 and 0.05 proba	the different letter are significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively in every seasons.	pectively in eve	ery seasons.

d quality	
components an	
, yield	
, yield	
characters,	
ne growth	
ars on son	1010 00000
cultiva	0000
ty and	
density	
etween plant	
teraction be	
Effect of in	
Table -5-]	

plant	cultivare	plant	Stem	leaf area	head	no. of seeds	1000 seed	hulls	fertility
(plants.ha ⁻¹)	Cullivals	height (cm)	(cm)	(cm ² .plant)	(cm)	per head	weight	(0/)	$(0/_{0})$
A1666	Mehran	115.61	3.74	3890.80	25.02	1265.05	79.82	53.51	85.35
41000	Sunbred	110.37	3.11	3430.60	22.41	1122.29	60.94	44.48	82.14
17610	Mehran	125.39	3.38	3683.70	23.50	1169.26	76.65	50.82	81.94
4/017	Sunbred	114.86	2.79	3129.20	22.08	1041.23	58.27	41.29	78.45
2222	Mehran	126.04	2.84	3355.70	21.78	1094.27	73.35	46.86	79.64
cccc	Sunbred	121.04	2.47	2875.80	20.09	982.50	54.79	39.93	74.67
77777	Mehran	140.81	2.40	2881.80	19.75	977.11	66.38	44.41	74.34
00000	Sunbred	129.55	2.20	2543.50	18.52	925.92	50.81	38.19	71.84
plant	1.1	biological	seed yield	total yield	harvest	lio	oil yield	protein	protein yield
density (plants .ha ⁻¹)	cullivars	yıcıu (gm.plant ⁻¹)	(gm.plant ⁻¹)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)	index (%)	(%)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)	(%)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)
11666	Mehran	282.88d	67.04ab	2.79d	23.86	41.42	1.16cd	13.24	0.371
41000	Sunbred	228.45e	50.24e	2.09e	22.17	39.66	0.83f	14.12	0.295
17610	Mehran	308.30bd	67.55ab	3.21c	22.05	39.80	1.28bc	12.83	0.413
4/012	Sunbred	296.79cd	59.15cd	2.81d	* 20.00	36.92	1.03e	13.09	0.368
2222	Mehran	322.70bc	63.36bc	3.52b	19.63	38.18	1.34b	11.94	0.420
ננננ	Sunbred	326.19bc	57.78cd	3.21c	17.75	35.67	1.14de	12.06	0.385
77777	Mehran	371.58a	69.75a	4.65a	18.78	36.57	1.70a	11.47	0.534
00000	Sunbred	331.85b	55.80d	3.72b	16.85	34.12	1.26bd	10.94	0.407

Al-Doori, S. A.

Table -6- Analysis of variance F values for some growth characters, vield and vield components and quality in 2008 - 2009 season.

				P - 0			Common and and and and		
S.0.V	d.f.	plant height (cm)	stem diameter (cm)	leaf area (cm ² .plant)	head diameter (cm)	no. of seeds per head	1000 seed weight	hulls (%)	fertility (%)
Replications	2	63.291667	0.47933754	12346.875	7.60115000	11316.2917	16.687917	8.0454167	10.9892042
Ρ	3	652.799126**	0.86067504**	2197696.76**	16.9007819**	128094.732**	232.78296**	79.753059**	131.838348**
C	1	506.093504**	0.62694338**	1705856.09**	20.6276041**	110605.030**	1277.64633**	236.191004**	215.940004**
P*C	3	25.470760*	0.01457337 ^{n.s.}	30978.839 ^{n.s.}	0.19090417 ^{n.s.}	5384.4196**	19.274460	0.3785486 ^{n.s.}	1.8866819 ^{n.s.}
Error	14	6.625000	0.01021087	10194.494	0.30057857	967.1012	2.348869	0.8982167	2.9584423
Total	23								
S.O.V	d.f.	biological yield (gm.plant ⁻¹)	seed yield (gm.plant ⁻¹)	total yield (ton.ha ⁻¹)	harvest index (%)	0,1 (%)	oil yield (ton.ha ⁻¹)	protein (%)	protein yield (ton.ha ⁻¹)
Replications	2	7700.11641	132.2251125	0.36665417	4.34751667	1.3962500	0.06263994	2.37791667	0.01109300
Ρ	3	2298.36449**	63.2771375 ^{n.s.}	1.3943486**	24.5492277**	58.919927**	0.0478075**	6.3360375**	0.00573679*
ບ		6849.20951**	825.205537**	2.3002041**	17.3740166**	50,808600**	0.6486270**	18.6737041**	0.00389811 ^{n.s.}
P*C	3	51.28358 ^{n.s.}	0.6925375 ^{n.s.}	0.02218194 ^{n.s.}	0.09367222 ^{n.s.}	0.2228667 ^{n.s.}	0.00226083 ^{n.s.}	0.62613750 ^{n.s.}	0.00070803 ^{n.s.}
Error	14	310.54707	6.738884	0.01660655	0.6340881	0.8938690	0.00365342	0.99363095	0.00148409
Total	23								
		*, ** Sigr	*, ** Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively. and n.s. not Significant	and 0.01 probabi	lity levels, respect	ively. and n.s. n	ot Significant.		

Table -7- Analysis of variance F values for some growth characters, vield and vield components and quality in 2009 - 2010 season.

				0					
S.0.V	d.f.	plant height (cm)	stem diameter (cm)	leaf area (cm ² .plant)	head diameter (cm)	no. of seeds per head	1000 seed weight	hulls (%)	fertility (%)
Replications	2	465.133067	2.62751667	863128.292	1.99625000	21615.1250	3.545937	42.2108167	36.6530167
Ρ	3	514.128249**	1.45582639**	994520.284**	24.8122486**	63111.102**	153.64938**	66.594348**	122.966738**
C	1	384.720338	1.20153750	1259720.096	18.04400417	70554.3172	1911.021067	376.9130042	75.1188167
P*C	3	16.806971 ^{n.s.}	0.06207083 ^{n.s.}	12060.367 ^{n.s.}	0.56248194 ^{n.s.}	2424.6018 ^{n.s.}	3.531411 ^{n.s.}	3.8630819 ^{n.s.}	1.6167611 ^{n.s.}
Error	14	17.616876	0.03908810	37484.054	0.4824405	2013.4107	7.175938	2.1423405	1.5298167
Total	23								
	d f	biological yield	seed yield	total yield	harvest	lio	oil yield	protein	protein yield
5.0.7	.T.D	(gm.plant ⁻¹)	(gm.plant ⁻¹)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)	index (%)	(0/)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)	(0/)	(ton.ha ⁻¹)
Replications	2	9444.26983	166.1887500	0.42140000	6.11108750	4.81637917	0.09869986	2.63760000	0.00862832
Ρ	3	9897.14797**	27.9641375**	3.18516111**	33.0731819**	29.168550**	0.2500350**	7.0546152**	0.019178**
С	-	3915.78636**	750.289837**	2.053350**	21.4515041**	34.560000**	0.544374**	0.20720417 ^{n.s.}	0.0301234**
P*C	3	1038.19825*	39.1728375*	0.12105000**	0.03324861 ^{n.s.}	0.32751111 ^{n.s.}	0.01598889*	0.49941528 ^{n.s.}	0.00249066 ^{n.s.}
Error	14	258.43749	9.706779	0.02473333	0.5756780	0.7963315	0.00485849	0.74893333	0.00140107
Total	23								
		*, ** Sigr	*, ** Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively. and n.s. not Significant	and 0.01 probabil	lity levels, respect	tively. and n.s. n	ot Significant.		

Al-Doori, S. A.

References:

- Agrawal, S.C.; M.S., Jolly; A.M., Sinha 1980. Foliar constituents of secondary food plants of tasar silk Antheraea mylitta. Indian Forester, 106. 12: 847 851.
- Al-Doori, S. A. M. and M. Y. H., Al-Dulaimy 2012. Influence of Zinc Fertilization levels on Growth, Yield and Quality of Some Sunflower Genotypes (*Helianthus annuus L., Compositae*). J. Research 11(4): 714-730.
- Al-Doori, S. A. M. and M. Y., Hasan 2012 Effect of Leaves Defoliation and Plant Density on Growth, Yield and Quality of Some Sunflower Genotypes (*Helianthus annuus L.*). J. Research 11(3): 724-751.
- A. O. A. C. 1980. Official methods of analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, U.S.A.
- Black, C.A. 1965. Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. Amer. Soc. of Agronomy. Inc. publisher Madison . USA.
- Chavan, A.S.; J.M. Brajdar and D.A. Chavan, 1990. Studies on sowing dates and plant population in late Kharif sunflower. Journal Maharashtra Agriculture Univ., 15(1): 377–379.
- Duncan, B.O. 1955. Multiple range and F test. Biometrics 11:1–42.
- F. S. M. C. 2010. Republic of Iraq. Ministry of Planning Central. Organization For Statistics. COSIT Environmental Statistics in Iraq Report 2010.
- Getmanets, A.Y.A.; S.M. Kramarev and N.I. Kharchenko, 1991. Fertilizer, plant density and productivity of sunflower. Khimizatsiya Sel skogo khozyaistva, 9: 93–97.
- Harmati, I., 1992. Effect of fertilizer application on achene yield, oil content and oil yield of sunflower hybrids. Novenytermelss. 40 (6): 543 551; 6 ref.
- Ibrahim, M. E.; E.A.EL-Absawy; A.H .Selim and N.A. Gaafar 2003. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus Fertilization levels on growth, photosynthetic pigments yield and yield attributes of some Sunflower cultivars (*Helianthus annuus L.*). Zagazig J. Agric. Res., vol.30 no. 4:1223-1271.
- Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil chemical Analysis . Prentice Hall of India Pvt., New Delhi.
- Kene, H.K., V.R. Thosar and R.B. Ulemale, 1992. Optimum sowing time of sunflower varieties in summer season. Journal Maharashtra Agri. Univ., 17: 411–415.
- Killi, F. and G. Ozdemir, 2001. Response of hybrid oilseed sunflower genotypes to plant density. In: Proc. Third Field Crops Congress, Vol. II (Industrial Crops), pp: 29–32. Tekirdag, Turkey.
- Luan, C.M. 2006. Evaluating the influence of seeding dates on yield and quality of different sunflower varieties. J. Agric. Res.:1-5, Pakistan.

- Mariayesa, I.O.; S. O., Agele and I.A. Adeniji 2007. Effect of variety and row spacing on radiation interception, partitioning of dry matter and seed set efficiency in late season sunflower (*Helianthus annuus L.*) in Ahumid zone of Nigeria .African J. of Agric. Res. 293: 80-88.
- Mohamed, M.K.; K.E., EL-Habbak; G.M., Shams EL-Din and S.A., Shams 1992. Evaluation of some sunflower cultivars grown under three plant densities. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 30 (1): 1–10.
- Oyinlola, E.Y., 2007. Effect of boron fertilizer on yield and oil content of
- Page, A.L. ; R.H., Miller and D.R., Kenney 1982. Methods of soil analysis. Part (2) Agronomy no. 9 Madison. USA.
- Patil, B.V., S.B. Alse and V.V. Dahiphale, 1992. Effects of nitrogen and plant spacing on grain and oil yield of sunflower. J. Maharashtra Agri. Univ., 17: 515–6.
- Sangoi, L. and N.D., Kruse 1993. Behavior of Sunflower cultivars at different planting dates in the uplands pesquisa Agropecuria Brasileira. 28 (1): 81 91.
- SAS 2001. Statistical Analysis Systems . SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Sedghi, M. Remussi, C., H. Saumell, and G.A., Vidal 2008. Vegetative growth, yield and Industrial quality of three sunflower cultivars (*Helianthus annuus L.*) as Influenced by different plant population. P. 137-144. In 50 conference Internationale Sur Le tournesol, Clermont-Ferrand. 25-29 July 2008. International sunflower Association. Paris, France.
- Tandon, H. 1999. Methods of analysis of soil, plants, water and fertilizers. Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization, New Delhi, India, pp: 144.
- Tenebe, V. A., U. R., Pal; C.A.C., Okonkwo and B.M. Auwalu 1996. Response of rain fed sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to nitrogen rates and plant population in the semiarid savanna region of Nigeria. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 177: 207–215.
- three sunflower cultivars in the Nigerian savanna. J. Agron., 6: 421–426
- Villalobos, F. J.; V. O., Sadras; A., Soriano and E., Fereres 1994. Planting density Effects on Dry Matter Partitioning and Productivity of sunflower hybrids. Field crops res., 36, 1-11.
- Zehra, E.; T. Murat and Y. Ibrahim 2007. Evaluation of seed oil yields and yield properties of different sunflowers hybrids varieties in Van, Turkey. J. of Bio sci. 8. 5: 683-686.

This document was created with Win2PDF available at http://www.daneprairie.com. The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only.