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#### Abstract

In this study we find the information matrix for the random effect models which is used to find the variance of maximum likelihood estimators of variance components of these models under normality condition.
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## (1-1) Introduction

The matter of finding the information matrix has been studied by many authors because of its importance in finding the estimation of variance components for balanced or unbalanced linear model but these studies for unbalanced data are more difficult than the balanced once because of their complexities in regard with the calculations and the elements of the information matrix for the variance components of the linear model involve, under normality,the invers of the covariance matrix of vector of observations which is essential to finding the information matrix.
Searle (1970) [5] developed general method to find the explicit expression for elements of information matrix of variance components under normality condition and used this matrix in finding the variance of maximum likelihood estimators of variance components and he displayed this result for 2-way nested classification random model . Rudan and Searle (1971) [6] used these results for 3-way nested classification random effect model. Abdullah (1997) [1] used them for 3-way random effect with unbalanced data.
The aim of this paper is to find the information matrix for the random effect models which are have all measurements have the same variance and the correlation for observations at different level k is $\rho_{3}$, the correlation for observations at different level j is $\rho_{2}$ and the correlation for observations at different level j and k is $\rho_{1}$ and used this matrix to find the the variance of maximum likelihood estimators of variance components of these models and results of this paper can be used to find the information matrix for the certain 2-way random effect model, for the certain
3-way random effect model and for the certain 4-way mixed effect model and we can find the variance of maximum likelihood estimators of variance components of these models.

## (1-2) Notation

Let $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}$ identity matrix, let $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}}$ be the $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}$ matrix of 1 in every position. If $A$ is $n \times m$ matrix and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is $p \times q$ matrix then the Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ written as $A \otimes B$ is the $n p \times m q$ matrix $\left(A b_{i j}\right)$ [4]. If $A$ is an $n \times m$ matrix the $\operatorname{tr}(A)$ is the sum of elements of leading diagonal .Clearly $(A \otimes B)^{-1}=A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$ and $\operatorname{tr}(A \otimes B)=\operatorname{tr}(A) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(B)$.

## (1-3) The method

Suppose that $\sigma^{2}=\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, \cdots, \sigma_{t}^{2}\right)$ is the vector of variance components of random effect in the linear models and let $\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{2}$ is the vector corresponding to the maximum likelihood estimator.To find the variance of $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ we must find the information matrix T for these models where the explicit expression for elements of this matrix T can be found by useing the form $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$. When $\mathrm{V}^{-1}$ is the covariance matrix and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the partial derivative of V with respect to $\sigma_{i}^{2}$, therefore to find this variance we will use Searle"s form (1970) [5] $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\sigma}^{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{~T}^{-1}$

## 2.The Model

Suppose that in an experiments there are n treatments and every one of these treatments has $d_{i}$ of experiment unit with $r_{i j}$ of observations. Let $y_{i j k}$ is the observation k of the unit j from treatments i with $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \cdots, \mathrm{n} \quad, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \cdots, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and let

$$
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{ij1}} \\
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{ij} 2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{ij} \mathrm{rim}_{\mathrm{ij}}}
\end{array}\right], \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i} 1} \\
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i} 2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{i}}}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{y}_{1} \\
\mathrm{y}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now suppose that all measurements have the same variance and every pair of measurements came from:
(1) Same treatments of same experiment unit with different observations.
(2) Same treatments of different experiment unit with same observations .
(3) Same treatments of different experiment unit with different observations.

Have the covariance $\sigma^{2} \rho_{3}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{2}$ and $\sigma^{2} \rho_{1}$
Therefore

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{i j k}, Y_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma^{2} & ; i=i^{\prime}, j=j^{\prime}, k=k^{\prime}  \tag{1}\\
\sigma^{2} \rho_{3} & ; i=i^{\prime}, j=j^{\prime}, k \neq k^{\prime} \\
\sigma^{2} \rho_{2} & ; i=i^{\prime}, i \neq j^{\prime}, k=k^{\prime} \\
\sigma^{2} \rho_{1} & ; i=i^{\prime}, j \neq j^{\prime}, k \neq k^{\prime} \\
0 & ; i \neq i^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Suppose $y$ "s are independent and have the covariance matrix $F_{i}>0$ for all $i$, where $F_{i}$ is positive definite matrix [2] so the covariance matrix for measurements vector is :

$$
\mathrm{V}=\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{y})=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{F}_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~F}_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now we will set up the covariance matrix by useing (1).To explain the structure of this matrix, we suppose that $\mathrm{n}=2$ and so

| j | $\mathrm{j}=1$ | $\mathrm{j}=2$ | $\mathrm{j}=3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |

Therefore we will get measurements vector to this assumption as follows:
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$$
Y=\left(y_{i 11}, y_{i 12}, y_{i 13}, y_{i 21}, y_{i 22}, y_{i 23}, y_{i 31}, y_{i 32}, y_{i 33}\right)
$$

so the covariance matrix V for the vector Y is

$$
\mathrm{V}=\sigma^{2} \mathrm{~F}
$$

Where

$F=$| 1 | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho_{3}$ | 1 | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ |
| $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | 1 | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ |
| $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | 1 | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ |
| $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | 1 | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ |
| $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | 1 | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ |
| $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | 1 | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{3}$ |
| $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | 1 | $\rho_{3}$ |
| $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | 1 |

The matrix F can be partioned into $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ of block matrices, where in the diagonal matrices of order $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}} \times \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left(1-\rho_{3}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}}+\rho_{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the nondiagonal matrices of order $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}} \times \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{\prime}$.
We can extend the matrix F from special form to general form as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=\left(F_{i j, i j^{\prime}}\right) i, i^{\prime}=1,2, \cdots, n \\
& j, j^{\prime}=1,2, \cdots, d_{i} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}, \mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{ij}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cl}
\left(1-\rho_{3}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}}+\rho_{3} J_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}} & \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}^{\prime}, \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j}^{\prime}  \tag{4}\\
\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}}+\rho_{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}} & \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{j} \neq \mathrm{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

also we can get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(1-\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}+\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i} i \mathrm{ij}}}+\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}} \otimes \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}}+\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}}+\rho_{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i} \cdot} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without the loss of generatily, we supposes that $d_{i}=d, r_{i j}=r_{j}$ and $F_{i}=F$ for all $i$, where this assumption changes the order of the matrix and does not affect the structure of F ; therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left(1-\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}+\rho_{1}\right) I_{\mathrm{dr}_{\mathrm{j}}}+\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}} \otimes \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}}+\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}+\rho_{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{dr}_{\mathrm{j}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas covariance matrix is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V} & =\sigma^{2} \mathrm{~F} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}+\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{I}_{n d r_{j}}+\sigma^{2}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}} \otimes \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}+\sigma^{2}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right) \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{nd}}+\sigma^{2} \rho_{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{dr}_{\mathrm{j}}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3.The inverse of the covariance matrix

In this section,we give the inverse of the covariance matrix $V$, where $\left(r_{j}=r\right)$. Gabbara (1994) [ 3 ] found that the inverse of the covariance matrix V for this model is by useing tearing method and the expression of this inverse is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}^{-1}=\mathrm{F}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{-1}=\psi_{1} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{dr}}+\psi_{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}} \otimes \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}}+\psi_{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{r}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}+\psi_{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{dr}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{1}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}, \psi_{2}=\frac{-\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right)}{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}, \psi_{3}=\frac{-\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right)}{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}, \\
& \psi_{4}=\frac{\left[\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right)-\mathrm{d} \rho_{1} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right]}{\mathrm{d} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}  \tag{10}\\
& \lambda_{1}=1-\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}+\rho_{1}, \lambda_{2}=\lambda_{1}+\mathrm{r}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right), \lambda_{3}=\lambda_{1}+\mathrm{d}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) \\
& \text { and } \lambda_{4}=\lambda_{3}+\mathrm{r}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right)+\operatorname{dr} \rho_{1} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4.The information matrix

To find the information matrix for these models which have the covariance in (1), we use the Searle"s form
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{V}^{-1}$ is the inverse of the covariance matrix V and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the partial derivative of V with respect to $\sigma_{i}^{2}$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=\sigma^{2} \rho_{1}, \mathrm{~b}=\sigma^{2}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right), \mathrm{c}=\sigma^{2}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) \text { and } e=\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}+\rho_{1}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore from (9)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{dr}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{r}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{dn}}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}} \otimes \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{d}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{drn}}$
Now by the Searle's form we find the elements of the information matrix T which is defined as

$$
\mathrm{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{aa}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ab}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ac}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ae}}  \tag{17}\\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ba}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{bb}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{bc}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{be}} \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ca}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cb}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cc}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ce}} \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ea}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{eb}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ec}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ee}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This matrix is symmetric ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ji}}$ ) so we will evaluate only the elements of the main diagonal and the elements of uppre or lower main diagonal.
Now from (8), (9), and (13)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{aa}} & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}\right]=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{dr}} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{nr}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}\left(\psi_{1}+\mathrm{d} \psi_{2}+\mathrm{r} \psi_{3}+\mathrm{dr} \psi_{4}\right)^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{nd}^{2} \mathrm{r}^{2}}{\lambda_{4}^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A} & =\frac{\mathrm{ndr}}{\lambda_{4}^{2}}  \tag{19}\\
\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{ijk}} & =\frac{\operatorname{nr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{j}}\left((\mathrm{r}-1)^{1-\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~d}-1)^{1-\mathrm{j}} \lambda_{4}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2 \mathrm{k}} \lambda_{3}^{2(1-\mathrm{k})}\right)}{\lambda_{2}^{2 \mathrm{k}} \lambda_{3}^{2(1-\mathrm{k})} \lambda_{4}^{2}}  \tag{20}\\
\mathrm{C} & =\operatorname{ndr}\left[\frac{\lambda_{2}^{2} \lambda_{3}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right)+\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right)\left(2 \lambda_{2}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right)-\mathrm{r} \lambda_{3}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right)\right.}{\lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2}^{2} \lambda_{3}^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right)-\mathrm{d} \rho_{1} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}\left(\frac{2 \lambda_{4}-\mathrm{r}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right)-\mathrm{rd} \rho_{1}}{\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}\right)\right] \tag{21}
\end{align*} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{a a}=r d A \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly the other elements of the matrix are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ab}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ac}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{bc}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ae}}=\mathrm{A}  \tag{23}\\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{bb}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{be}}=\mathrm{B}_{101}  \tag{24}\\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cc}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ce}}=\mathrm{B}_{010}  \tag{25}\\
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ee}}=\mathrm{C} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the information matrix is

$$
\mathrm{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{rdA} & \mathrm{rA} & \mathrm{dA} & \mathrm{~A}  \tag{27}\\
\mathrm{rA} & \mathrm{~B}_{101} & \mathrm{~A} & \mathrm{~B}_{101} \\
\mathrm{dA} & \mathrm{~A} & \mathrm{~dB}_{010} & \mathrm{~B}_{010} \\
\mathrm{~A} & \mathrm{~B}_{101} & \mathrm{~B}_{010} & \mathrm{C}
\end{array}\right]
$$

After finding the inverse of T we can find the variance of the maximum likelihood estimator of variance components $\sigma_{a}^{2}, \sigma_{b}^{2}, \sigma_{c}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ by useing Searle"s form
-1
$\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\sigma}^{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{~T}^{-1}=2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \quad$, which can be written by the matrix as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{v}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right)  \tag{28}\\
\operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right) & \mathrm{v}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}, \hat{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}\right) & \mathrm{v}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) & \mathrm{v}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]=2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{aa}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ab}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ac}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ae}} \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ba}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{bb}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{bc}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{be}} \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ca}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cb}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cc}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ce}} \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ea}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{eb}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ec}} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ee}}
\end{array}\right]^{-1} .
$$

By use the matlab program we can find the inverse of the information matrix and we will get :

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=r^{2} d^{2} A B_{101} B_{010} C+3 r d A^{2} B_{101} B_{010}+r d A^{3} C-r^{2} d A B_{101} B_{010}^{2}-r^{2} A B_{101}^{2} B_{010} \\
& -r^{2} d A^{2} B_{010} C-2 r A^{3} B_{010}+r^{2} A^{2} B_{010}^{2}+d^{2} A^{2} B_{101}^{2}-r^{2} A^{2} B_{101} C-2 d A^{3} B_{101}+A^{4} \ldots \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Then
$\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}}\left(\mathrm{rdB}_{101} \mathrm{~B}_{010} \mathrm{C}+2 \mathrm{AB}_{101} \mathrm{~B}_{010}-\mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rB}_{101} \mathrm{~B}_{010}^{2}-\mathrm{dB}_{101}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{010}\right)$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{rd}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{010} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{dAB}_{010}-\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{AC}+\mathrm{rdB}_{010}^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{~dB}_{101} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{rAB}_{101}-\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{AC}+\mathrm{rdB}_{101}^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{101} \mathrm{~B}_{010}+\mathrm{rdA}^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{dAB}_{010}+\mathrm{rd}^{2} \mathrm{AB}_{101}\right)$

## 5.Applications

In this section, we give some applications which are taken from models which have the covariance in (1) to show how our resultes can be applied.
5.1 Consider the 2-way random effect model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{ijk}}=\theta+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}+(\mathrm{ab})_{\mathrm{ik}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ijk}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{r}$ and $\theta$ unknown parameter and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \mathrm{n}\left(0, \sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right), \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \approx \mathrm{n}\left(0, \sigma_{b}^{2}\right),(\mathrm{ab})_{\mathrm{ik}} \approx \mathrm{n}\left(0, \sigma_{a b}^{2}\right), \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ijk}} \approx \mathrm{n}\left(0, \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right), \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are random effects such the secod effect is nested in the first effect and the secod effect is iteraction with the first effect with

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{i j k}, Y_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma^{2} & ; i=i^{\prime}, j=j^{\prime}, k=k^{\prime} \\
\sigma^{2} \rho_{3} & ; i=i^{\prime}, j=j^{\prime}, k \neq k^{\prime} \\
\sigma^{2} \rho_{2} & ; i=i^{\prime}, i \neq j^{\prime}, k=k^{\prime} \\
\sigma^{2} \rho_{1} & ; i=i^{\prime}, j \neq j^{\prime}, k \neq k^{\prime} \\
0 & ; i \neq i^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore the covariance matrix of this model has same structure of (7)with

$$
\sigma_{a}^{2}=\sigma^{2} \rho_{1}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{3}=\sigma_{a}^{2}+\sigma_{b}^{2}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{2}=\sigma_{a}^{2}+\sigma_{a b}^{2} \text { and } \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{a}^{2}+\sigma_{b}^{2}+\sigma_{a b}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2}
$$

therefore the information matrix of this model has same structure of ( 27 ).Also the maximum likelihood estimator of variance components $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{ab}}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ have same structure of (30), (31),(32) and(33)
5.2 Consider the 3-way random effect model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{ijk}}=\theta+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ik}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ijk}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}$ and $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{r}$ and $\theta$ is unknown parameter .The $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ are an observed independent random variable with zero mean and variance $\sigma_{a}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ respectively and the effects $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ are nested in the effect $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$. The covariance matrix of this model has same structure of (7)
with

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}=\sigma^{2} \rho_{1}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{3}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{2}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \text { and } \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}
$$

therefore the information matrix of this model has same structure of ( 27 ). Also the maximum likelihood estimator of variance components $\sigma_{a}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ have same structure of(30),(31),(32) and(33)
5.3 Consider the 4 -way mixed effect model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{ijk}}=\theta+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ik}}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{jk}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ijk}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}$ and $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{r}$ and $\theta, \mathrm{d}$ are unknown parameters such that $\sum \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{jk}}=0$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \mathrm{n}\left(0, \sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right), \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \approx \mathrm{n}\left(0, \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}\right),(\mathrm{c})_{\mathrm{ik}} \approx \mathrm{n}\left(0, \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right), \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ijk}} \approx \mathrm{n}\left(0, \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right)$.
The covariance matrix of this model has same structure of ( 7 )with

$$
\sigma_{a}^{2}=\sigma^{2} \rho_{1}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{3}=\sigma_{a}^{2}+\sigma_{b}^{2}, \sigma^{2} \rho_{2}=\sigma_{a}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \text { and } \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{a}^{2}+\sigma_{b}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}
$$

therefore the information matrix of this model has same structure of (27).Also the maximum likelihood estimator of variance components $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ has same structure of ( 30), (31),(32) and(33

## 6.Conclusions

The information matrix is used to find variance of maximum likelihood estimator of variance components for the linear model which has random effects in the linear model which depend upon normal distribution and note that the results which are obtain in this study not for general statistical models but it is for models with the covariance given in (1).

We can get the one-way random effect model by ignoring the b"s and c"s in (34) and putting $\sigma_{b}^{2} \equiv 0$ and $\sigma_{a b}^{2} \equiv 0$ the effect of this on the $t$ "s is to ignore $t_{b a}, t_{b b}, t_{b a b}, t_{b e}$,
$\mathrm{t}_{\text {aba }}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {abab }}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\text {abe }}$ Also we can obtain the 2-way random effect model by ignoring the c "s in (35) and puting $\sigma_{c}^{2} \equiv 0$ the effect of this on the $\mathrm{t}^{\text {"s }}$ is to ignore $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ac}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{bc}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cc}}$, and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ce}}$.

We can use these results in finding the information matrix for the models satisfied (1) by the addition of the fixed effect to this model which is not interactive with random effect.
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