

## TWO-WAY MULTIVARIATE REPEATED MEASUREMENTS ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE MODEL

**Abdul-Hussein Saber Al-Mouel<sup>1</sup> & Jawad Mhmoud Jassim<sup>2</sup>**  
*1-Department of Mathematics-College of Education-University of Basrah*  
*2- Department of Mathematics-College of Science-University of Basrah*

**Received 6/7/2005, Accepted 6/2/2006**

### **Abstract**

The two-way multivariate repeated measurements analysis of variance (2-way MRM ANOVA) model for complete data is studied by considering the case of multivariate response variables. The test statistics of various hypotheses on between-units factors, within-units factors and the interaction between them are given.

**Key Words:** Two – way Multivariate Repeated Measurements, Analysis of Variance, Likelihood Ratio Criterion.

### **1.Introduction**

Repeated measurements analysis is widely used in many fields, for example, the health and life sciences, epidemiology, biomedical research and so on. Many literatures have been given to the univariate repeated measurements analysis of variance (RM ANOVA), see Crowder and Hand (1990)[3], Vonesh and Chinchilli (1997)[9]. The focus of this paper is the multivariate repeated measurements analysis of variance (RM ANOVA) model for complete data. A complete MRM design means that measurements are available at each time point for each experimental unit [9]. The MRM generalizes RM in the sense that it allows a vector of observations at each measurement. Al-Mouel and Wang (2004) [1], studied the one – way multivariate repeated measurements analysis of variance (1-way MRM). The terminology we use for the MRM design in this paper is a two-way MRM ANOVA which refers to the situation with only two within-units factor.

### **2. Two –Way MRM Design**

There is a variety of possibilities for the between units factors in a two-way design. In a randomized two-way MRM experiment, the experimental units are randomized to two or more between units factors or groups. The response variables are measured on each of p occasions, which are regarded as p levels of a within – unit factor, which we label as “Time” for convenience. We consider the case of a multivariate response variables and two between-units factors. Also, we assume that we have two within – units factors (suppose we call them “Time” and “Day” ) and two groups or treatment factors (factor A and factor B).

For convenience we use the following notation:

$$p = \text{\# of responses} = t.d ;$$

$$t = \text{\# of levels of Times} ;$$

$$d = \text{\# of levels of Days} ;$$

$$q = \text{\# of groups} = a.b ;$$

$$a = \text{\# of levels of between-units factor } A ;$$

$$b = \text{\# of levels of between-units factor } B ;$$

$$n_{jk} = \text{\# of experimental units assigned to level } (j, k) \text{ of } (A, B) ;$$





$(\alpha\gamma)_{jm} = [(\alpha\gamma)_{jm1}, \dots, (\alpha\gamma)_{jmr}]'$  is the added effect of the interaction

between the treatment factor  $A$  and Day at levels  $j, m$ ,

$(\beta\gamma)_{km} = [(\beta\gamma)_{km1}, \dots, (\beta\gamma)_{kmr}]'$  is the added effect of the interaction

between the treatment factor  $B$  and Day at levels  $k, m$ ,

$(\tau\gamma)_{lm} = [(\tau\gamma)_{lm1}, \dots, (\tau\gamma)_{lmr}]'$  is the added effect of the interaction

between the Time and Day at levels  $l, m$ ,

$(\alpha\beta\tau)_{jkl} = [(\alpha\beta\tau)_{jkl1}, \dots, (\alpha\beta\tau)_{jklr}]'$  is the added effect of the interaction  
between the treatment factors  $A$  and  $B$ , and Time at their

$j^{th}$ ,  $k^{th}$ , and  $l^{th}$  levels respectively,

$(\alpha\beta\gamma)_{jkm} = [(\alpha\beta\gamma)_{jkm1}, \dots, (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{jkmr}]'$  is the added effect of the

interaction between the treatment factors  $A$  and  $B$ , and

Day at their  $j^{th}$ ,  $k^{th}$  and  $m^{th}$  levels respectively,

$(\alpha\tau\gamma)_{jlm} = [(\alpha\tau\gamma)_{jlm1}, \dots, (\alpha\tau\gamma)_{jlmr}]'$  is the added effect of the interaction  
between the treatment factor  $A$  and Time and Day at their

$j^{th}$ ,  $l^{th}$ , and  $m^{th}$  levels respectively,

$(\beta\tau\gamma)_{klm} = [(\beta\tau\gamma)_{klm1}, \dots, (\beta\tau\gamma)_{klmr}]'$  is the added effect of the interaction  
between the treatment factor  $B$  and Time and Day at the

$k^{th}$ ,  $l^{th}$  and  $m^{th}$  levels respectively,

$(\alpha\beta\tau\gamma)_{jklm} = [(\alpha\beta\tau\gamma)_{jklm1}, \dots, (\alpha\beta\tau\gamma)_{jklmr}]'$  is the added effect of the

interaction between the treatment factors  $A, B$  and Time,

Day at their  $j^{th}$ ,  $k^{th}$ ,  $l^{th}$ , and  $m^{th}$  respectively, and

$\epsilon_{ijklm} = [\epsilon_{ijklm1}, \dots, \epsilon_{ijklmr}]'$  is the random error of within-units factors  
(Time, Day) at their levels  $(l, m)$  for unit  $i$  within between-units

factors  $A, B$  at their levels  $(j, k)$  respectively.

where “'” means the transpose.

For the parameterization to be of full rank, we impose the following set of conditions:

$$\sum_{j=1}^a \alpha_j = 0 ; \sum_{k=1}^b \beta_k = 0 ; \sum_{l=1}^t \tau_l = 0 ; \sum_{m=1}^d \gamma_m = 0 ;$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^a (\alpha\tau)_{jl} = 0 = \sum_{l=1}^t (\alpha\tau)_{jl} , \quad \sum_{j=1}^a (\alpha\beta)_{jk} = 0 = \sum_{k=1}^b (\alpha\beta)_{jk}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^a (\alpha\gamma)_{jm} = 0 = \sum_{m=1}^d (\alpha\gamma)_{jm} , \quad \sum_{k=1}^b (\beta\tau)_{kl} = 0 = \sum_{l=1}^t (\beta\tau)_{kl}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^b (\beta\gamma)_{km} = 0 = \sum_{m=1}^d (\beta\gamma)_{km} , \quad \sum_{l=1}^t (\tau\gamma)_{lm} = 0 = \sum_{m=1}^d (\tau\gamma)_{lm}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^a (\alpha\beta\tau)_{jkl} = 0 = \sum_{k=1}^b (\alpha\beta\tau)_{jkl} = \sum_{l=1}^t (\alpha\beta\tau)_{jkl}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^a (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{jkm} = 0 = \sum_{k=1}^b (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{jkm} = \sum_{m=1}^d (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{jkm}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^a (\alpha\tau\gamma)_{jlm} = 0 = \sum_{l=1}^t (\alpha\tau\gamma)_{jlm} = \sum_{m=1}^d (\alpha\tau\gamma)_{jlm}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^b (\beta\tau\gamma)_{klm} = 0 = \sum_{l=1}^t (\beta\tau\gamma)_{klm} = \sum_{m=1}^d (\beta\tau\gamma)_{klm}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^a (\alpha\beta\tau\gamma)_{jklm} = 0 = \sum_{k=1}^b (\alpha\beta\tau\gamma)_{jklm} = \sum_{l=1}^t (\alpha\beta\tau\gamma)_{jklm} = \sum_{m=1}^d (\alpha\beta\tau\gamma)_{jklm}$$

We assume that  $\epsilon_{ijklm}$ 's,  $\delta_{i(j)}$ 's, and  $\rho_{i(k)}$ 's are independent with (2.2)

$$\epsilon_{ijklm} = [\epsilon_{ijklm1}, \dots, \epsilon_{ijklmr}]' \sim i.i.d. N_r(0, \Sigma_\epsilon), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\delta_{i(j)} = [\delta_{i(j)1}, \dots, \delta_{i(j)r}]' \sim i.i.d. N_r(0, \Sigma_\delta) , \text{ and} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\rho_{i(k)} = [\rho_{i(k)1}, \dots, \rho_{i(k)r}]' \sim i.i.d. N_r(0, \Sigma_\rho), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $N_r$  is denoted to the multivariate-normal distribution, and,  $\Sigma_\epsilon$ ,  $\Sigma_\delta$ , and  $\Sigma_\rho$  are all  $r \times r$  positive definite matrices.

Let  $Y_{ijk} = [Y_{ijk1}, Y_{ijk2}, \dots, Y_{ijkp}]$ , i.e.,

$$Y_{ijk} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{ijk11} & Y_{ijk12} & \dots & Y_{ijkp1} \\ Y_{ijk12} & Y_{ijk22} & \dots & Y_{ijkp2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Y_{ijk1r} & Y_{ijk2r} & \dots & Y_{ijkpr} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.6) \quad \text{Let}$$

the covariance matrix of  $\vec{Y}_{ijk}$  is denoted by  $\Sigma$ , where  $\vec{A} = Vec(A)$ . The  $Vec(\cdot)$  operator creates a column vector from a matrix  $A$  by simply stacking the column vectors of  $A$  below one another [9]. It follows from the random effects that  $\Sigma$  satisfies the assumption of compound symmetry, i.e.,

$$\Sigma = I_p \otimes \Sigma_\epsilon + J_p \otimes \Sigma_\delta + J_p \otimes \Sigma_\rho, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $I_p$  denote the  $p \times p$  identity matrix,  $J_p$  denote  $p \times p$  matrix of one's, and  $\otimes$  be the Kroncker product operation of two matrices.

**3. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)**

Let  $U_*$  be any  $p \times p$  orthogonal matrix is partitioned as follows :

$$U_* = [ p^{-\frac{1}{2}} j_p \quad U_T \quad U_D \quad U_{T \times D} ], \quad (3.1)$$

where  $j_p$  denote the  $p \times 1$  vector of one's,  $U_T$  is  $p \times (t-1)$  matrix,  $U_D$  is  $p \times (d-1)$  matrix, and  $U_{T \times D}$  is  $p \times (t-1)(d-1)$  matrix,  $U_T' j_p = 0$ ,  $U_T' U_T = I_{t-1}$ ,  $U_D' j_p = 0$ ,  $U_D' U_D = I_{d-1}$ ,  $U_{T \times D}' U_{T \times D} = I_{(t-1)(d-1)}$ ,

$$U_{T \times D} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & \dots & w_{1,(t-1)(d-1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ w_{p1} & \dots & w_{p,(t-1)(d-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $w_{p1} Y_{ijk}^* = Y_{ijkp, (t-1)(d-1)}^* U_{T \times D}^*$  (3.2)

where  $Y_{ijk}^* = [ Y_{ijk1}^*, Y_{ijk2}^*, \dots, Y_{ijkp}^* ]$

$$\begin{aligned} COV(\overrightarrow{Y_{ijk}^*}) &= COV(\overrightarrow{Y_{ijk}^* U_*}) = (U_*' \otimes I_r) \Sigma (U_* \otimes I_r) \\ &= (U_*' \otimes I_r) (I_p \otimes \Sigma_\epsilon + J_p \otimes \Sigma_\delta + J_p \otimes \Sigma_\rho) (U_* \otimes I_r) \quad (3.3) \\ &= I_p \otimes \Sigma_\epsilon + U_*' J_p U_* \otimes I_r \Sigma_\delta I_r + U_*' J_p U_* \otimes I_r \Sigma_\rho I_r \end{aligned}$$

We can write (3.3) above in the following matrix form :

$$Cov\left(\overline{Y_{ijk}^*}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{\epsilon} + p(\Sigma_{\delta} + \Sigma_{\rho}) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{\epsilon} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & \Sigma_{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}_{rpxrp}$$

(3.4)

Now,  $Y_{ijk1}^* = Y_{ijk} p^{-\frac{1}{2}} j_p$  ,i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_{ijk11}^* \\ Y_{ijk12}^* \\ \vdots \\ Y_{ijk1r}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{l=1}^t \sum_{m=1}^d Y_{jklm1} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{l=1}^t \sum_{m=1}^d Y_{jklm2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{l=1}^t \sum_{m=1}^d Y_{jklmr} \end{bmatrix}$$

(3.5)

From (2.1), we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ijk1}^* &= p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=1}^t \sum_{m=1}^d Y_{ijklm} \\ &= p^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu + p^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_j + p^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_k + p^{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha\beta)_{jk} + p^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{i(j)} + p^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{i(k)} \\ &\quad + p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=1}^t \sum_{m=1}^d \epsilon_{ijklm} \end{aligned}$$

(3.6)

Then the set of vectors

$$\left[ Y_{1111}^*, \dots, Y_{n_{11}111}^* \right]', \left[ Y_{1211}^*, \dots, Y_{n_{21}211}^* \right]', \dots, \left[ Y_{1a11}^*, \dots, Y_{n_{a1}a11}^* \right]', \dots, \left[ Y_{1ab1}^*, \dots, Y_{n_{ab}ab1}^* \right]'$$

have mean vectors :

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{p}\mu + \sqrt{p}\alpha_1 + \sqrt{p}\beta_1 + \sqrt{p}\alpha_1\beta_1, \sqrt{p}\mu + \sqrt{p}\alpha_2 + \sqrt{p}\beta_1 + \sqrt{p}\alpha_2\beta_1, \dots, \\ &\sqrt{p}\mu + \sqrt{p}\alpha_a + \sqrt{p}\beta_1 + \sqrt{p}\alpha_a\beta_1, \sqrt{p}\mu + \sqrt{p}\alpha_1 + \sqrt{p}\beta_2 + \sqrt{p}\alpha_1\beta_2, \\ &\sqrt{p}\mu + \sqrt{p}\alpha_2 + \sqrt{p}\beta_2 + \sqrt{p}\alpha_2\beta_2, \dots, \sqrt{p}\mu + \sqrt{p}\alpha_a + \sqrt{p}\beta_2 + \sqrt{p}\alpha_a\beta_2, \\ &\dots, \sqrt{p}\mu + \sqrt{p}\alpha_a + \sqrt{p}\beta_b + \sqrt{p}\alpha_a\beta_b, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, and each of them has

covariance matrix  $p\Sigma_{\delta} + p\Sigma_{\delta} + \Sigma_{\epsilon}$  .

So, the null hypothesis of the same treatment effects are :

$$H_{01} : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_a = 0$$

$$H_{02} : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_b = 0$$

$$H_{03} : \alpha_1\beta_1 = \alpha_2\beta_1 = \dots = \alpha_a\beta_1 = \alpha_1\beta_2 = \alpha_2\beta_2 = \dots = \alpha_a\beta_2 = \dots = \\ \alpha_1\beta_b = \alpha_2\beta_b = \dots = \alpha_a\beta_b = 0$$

The ANOVA based on the set of transformed observations above the  $Y_{ijk1}^*$ 's provides the ANOVA for between –units effects. This leads to the following form for the sum square terms

$$S_A = \sum_{j=1}^a n_{jk} (Y_{jk1}^* - \overline{Y_1^*})(Y_{jk1}^* - \overline{Y_1^*})', \quad k=1,\dots,b \quad (3.7)$$

$$S_B = \sum_{k=1}^b n_{jk} (Y_{jk1}^* - \overline{Y_1^*})(Y_{jk1}^* - \overline{Y_1^*})', \quad j=1,\dots,a \quad (3.8)$$

$$S_{AxB} = \sum_{j=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^b n_{jk} (Y_{jk1}^* - \overline{Y_1^*})(Y_{jk1}^* - \overline{Y_1^*})', \quad k=1,\dots,b \quad (3.9)$$

$$S_{U(AxB)} = \sum_{j=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{n_{jk}} (Y_{ijk1}^* - \overline{Y_{jk}^*})(Y_{ijk1}^* - \overline{Y_{jk}^*})', \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\overline{Y_{jk}^*} = \frac{1}{n_{jk}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{jk}} Y_{ijk1}^* \quad , \quad (3.11)$$

$$\overline{Y_1^*} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{n_{jk}} Y_{ijk1}^* \quad (3.12)$$

Thus : (1)  $S_{U(AxB)} \sim W_r(n - ab, (p(\Sigma_\delta + \Sigma_\rho) + \Sigma_\epsilon))$  ,

(2)  $S_A \sim W_r(a - 1, (p(\Sigma_\delta + \Sigma_\rho) + \Sigma_\epsilon))$  ,

(3)  $S_B \sim W_r(b - 1, (p(\Sigma_\delta + \Sigma_\rho) + \Sigma_\epsilon))$  ,

(4)  $S_{AxB} \sim W_r((a - 1)(b - 1), (p(\Sigma_\delta + \Sigma_\rho) + \Sigma_\epsilon))$  ,

where  $W_r$  denote the multivariate-Wishart distribution.

Let  $S_1 = S_A, S_2 = S_B, S_3 = S_{AxB}$ . Then the test statistics are as follows :

- (1) The multivariate Wilks test (Wilks, 1932)[10]:

$$T_W = \frac{|S_{U(AxB)}|}{|S_{U(AxB)} + S_k|} , \text{ when } H_{0k} \text{ is true , for } k = 1,2,3. \quad (3.13)$$

- (2) The Lawley-Hotelling trace (Lawley, 1938; Hotelling, 1947)[5,4]:

$$T_{LH} = trace ( S_k S_{U(A \times B)}^{-1} ), \text{ when } H_{0k} \text{ is true , for } k = 1,2,3. \quad (3.14)$$

(3) The Bartlett-Nanda-Pillai trace (Bartlett, 1939, Nanda, 1950; pillai, (1955)[2,6,7] :

$$T_{BNP} = trace \{ S_k S_{U(A \times B)}^{-1} ( I + S_k S_{U(A \times B)}^{-1} )^{-1} \}, \text{ when } H_{0k} \text{ is true ,for } k = 1,2,3. \quad (3.15)$$

(4) Roy's Union-Intersection (UI) test or largest root (Roy, 1953)[8]:

$$T_R = \text{largest characteristics root of } ( S_k S_{U(A \times B)}^{-1} ), \text{ when } H_{0k} \text{ is true , for } k = 1,2,3. \quad (3.16)$$

The ANOVA based on the set of transformed observation the  $Y_{ijklm}^*$  's for each  $l=2,\dots,t$ , and  $m=2,\dots,d$  has the model which is partitioned as follows:

$$Y_{ijklm}^* = \sum_{l'=2}^t \sum_{m'=2}^d Y_{ijkl'm'} u_{k',l'-1} \quad , \text{ for each } k'=1,\dots,p, \quad (3.17)$$

$$Y_{ijklm}^* = \sum_{l'=2}^t \sum_{m'=2}^d Y_{ijkl'm'} v_{k',m'-1} \quad , \text{ for each } k'=1,\dots,p, \quad (3.18)$$

$$Y_{ijklm}^* = \sum_{l'=2}^t \sum_{m'=2}^d Y_{ijkl'm'} w_{k',(l'-1)(m'-1)} \quad , \text{ for each } k'=1,\dots,p. \quad (3.19)$$

$$\text{Now}[ Y_{ijk2}^*, \dots, Y_{ijkp}^* ] = Y_{ijk} U = [ Y_{ijk} U_T \quad Y_{ijk} U_D \quad Y_{ijk} U_{T \times D} ] \quad (3.20)$$

Now, from (3.17) we obtain :

$$Y_{ijklm}^* = [u_{t-1,k'}] [ \sum_{m'=2}^d Y_{ijklm'} ] \quad (3.21)$$

From (2.1) and (3.21), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ijklm}^* &= (t-1)(d-1) \{ \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_k + \delta_{i(j)} + \rho_{i(k)} + (\alpha\beta)_{jk} \} \\ &+ [u_{1k'} + u_{2k'} + \dots + u_{t-1,k'}] + (d-1) \{ u_{1k'} \tau_2 + u_{2k'} \tau_3 + \dots + \\ &u_{t-1,k'} \tau_t \} + (d-1) \{ u_{1k'} (\alpha\tau)_{j2} + u_{2k'} (\alpha\tau)_{j3} + \dots + \\ &u_{t-1,k'} (\alpha\tau)_{jt} \} + (d-1) \{ u_{1k'} (\beta\tau)_{k2} + u_{2k'} (\beta\tau)_{k3} + \dots + \\ &u_{t-1,k'} (\beta\tau)_{kt} \} + (d-1) \{ u_{1k'} (\alpha\beta\tau)_{jk2} + u_{2k'} (\alpha\beta\tau)_{jk3} + \\ &\dots + u_{t-1,k'} (\alpha\beta\tau)_{jkt} \} + \{ u_{1k'} + u_{2k'} + \dots + u_{t-1,k'} \} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[ \sum_{m'=2}^d \{ \gamma_{m'} + (\alpha \gamma)_{jm'} + (\beta \gamma)_{km'} + (\beta \gamma)_{km'} \} \right] + \\
 & u_{1k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\tau \gamma)_{2m'} + u_{2k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\tau \gamma)_{3m'} + \dots + u_{t-1,k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\tau \gamma)_{tm'} + \\
 & u_{1k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{j2m'} + u_{2k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{j3m'} + \dots + \\
 & u_{t-1,k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{jtm'} + u_{1k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\beta \tau \gamma)_{k2m'} + u_{2k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\beta \tau \gamma)_{k3m'} \\
 & + \dots + u_{t-1,k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\beta \tau \gamma)_{ktm'} + u_{1k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jk2m'} + \\
 & u_{2k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jk3m'} + \dots + u_{t-1,k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jktm'} + \\
 & u_{1k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d \epsilon_{ijk2m'} + u_{2k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d \epsilon_{ijk3m'} + \dots + u_{t-1,k'} \sum_{m'=2}^d \epsilon_{ijktm'}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Because  $U_*$  is an orthogonal matrix (3.22) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{ijkl}^* &= (d-1) \sum_{l'=2}^t u_{l'-1,k'} \{ \tau_{l'} + (\alpha \tau)_{jl'} + (\beta \tau)_{kl'} + (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jkl'} \} + \\
 & \sum_{l'=2}^t \sum_{m'=2}^d u_{l'-1,k'} \{ (\tau \gamma)_{l'm'} + (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{jl'm'} + (\beta \tau \gamma)_{kl'm'} + \\
 & (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jkl'm'} + \epsilon_{ijkl'm'} \}, \tag{3.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

because the components of  $[u_{k'1}, u_{k'2}, \dots, u_{k',t-1}]$  sum to zero for each  $k' = 1, \dots, p$ .

Now, form (3.18) and (2.1), we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{ijkl}^* &= \{ v_{1k'} + v_{2k'} + \dots + v_{d-1,k'} \} [(t-1) \{ \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_k + \delta_{i(j)} + \rho_{i(k)} + \\
 & (\alpha \beta)_{jk} \}] + (t-1) \left[ \sum_{m'=2}^d v_{m'-1,k'} \{ \gamma_{m'} + (\alpha \gamma)_{jm'} + (\beta \gamma)_{km'} + \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\alpha \beta \gamma)_{jkm'} \} ] + \{ v_{1k'} + v_{2k'} + \dots + v_{d-1,k'} \} [ \sum_{l'=2}^t \{ \tau_{l'} + (\alpha \tau)_{jl'} \\
 & + (\beta \tau)_{kl'} + (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jkl'} \} ] + \sum_{m'=2}^d \sum_{l'=2}^t v_{m'-1,k'} \{ (\beta \tau \gamma)_{kl'm'} + \\
 & + (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jkl'm'} + \epsilon_{ijkl'm'} \} \tag{3.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

because  $U^*$  is an orthogonal matrix then the components of  $[v_{k'1}, v_{k'2}, \dots, v_{k',d-1}]$  sum to zero for each  $k'=1, \dots, p$ . Then (3.24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{ijklm}^* &= (t-1) \sum_{m'=2}^d v_{m'-1,k'} \{ \gamma_{m'} + (\alpha \gamma)_{jm'} + (\beta \gamma)_{km'} + (\alpha \beta \gamma)_{jkm'} \} \\
 & + \sum_{m'=2}^d \sum_{l'=2}^t v_{m'-1,k'} \{ (\tau \gamma)_{l'm'} + (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{jl'm'} \} + (\beta \tau \gamma)_{kl'm'} \\
 & + (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jkl'm'} + \epsilon_{ijkl'm'} \} \tag{3.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (3.19) and (2.1), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{ijklm}^* &= (t-1)(d-1) \{ \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_k + \delta_{i(j)} + \rho_{i(k)} + (\alpha \beta)_{jk} \} [ w_{1k'} + \\
 & w_{2k'} + \dots + w_{t-1,k'} + \dots + w_{d-1,k'} + \dots + w_{(t-1)(d-1),k'} ] + (t-1) [ \\
 & \sum_{l'=2}^t \sum_{m'=2}^d w_{(m'-1)(l'-1),k'} \{ \gamma_{m'} + (\alpha \gamma)_{jm'} + (\beta \gamma)_{km'} + (\alpha \beta \gamma)_{jkm'} \} ] \\
 & + \sum_{l'=2}^t w_{l'-1,k'} \{ \tau_{l'} + (\alpha \tau)_{jl'} + (\beta \tau)_{kl'} + (\alpha \beta \gamma)_{jkm'} \} + \sum_{l'=2}^t w_{l'-1,k'} \\
 & \{ \tau_{l'} + (\alpha \tau)_{jl'} + (\beta \tau)_{kl'} + (\tau \gamma)_{l'2} + (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jkl'} + (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{jl'2} + \\
 & (\beta \tau \gamma)_{kl'2} + (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{ijkl'2} + \epsilon_{ijkl'2} \} + \sum_{l'=2}^t w_{2(l'-1),k'} \{ \tau_{l'} + (\alpha \tau)_{jl'} \\
 & + (\beta \tau)_{kl'} + (\tau \gamma)_{l'3} + (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jkl'} + (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{jl'3} + (\beta \tau \gamma)_{kl'3} \\
 & + (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jkl'3} + \epsilon_{ijkl'3} \} + \sum_{l'=2}^t w_{(l'-1)(d-1),k'} \{ \tau_{l'} + (\alpha \tau)_{jl'} \\
 & + (\beta \tau)_{kl'} + (\tau \gamma)_{l'd} + (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jkl'} + (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{jl'd} + (\beta \tau \gamma)_{kl'd} \\
 & + (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jkl'd} + \epsilon_{ijkl'd} \}. \tag{3.26}
 \end{aligned}$$



Because  $U^*$  is an orthogonal matrix then the components of  $[w_{k'1}, w_{k'2}, \dots, w_{k',(t-1)(d-1)}]$  sum to zero for each  $k'=1, \dots, p$ . Then (3.26) becomes :

$$Y_{ijklm}^* = \sum_{l'=2}^t \sum_{m'=2}^d w_{(m'-1)(l'-1),k'} [(t-1)\{\gamma_{m'} + (\alpha \gamma)_{jm'} + (\beta \gamma)_{km'} + (\alpha \beta \gamma)_{jkm'}\} + \tau_{l'} + (\alpha \tau)_{jl'} + (\beta \tau)_{kl'} + (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jkl'} + (\tau \gamma)_{l'm'} + (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{jl'm'} + (\beta \tau \gamma)_{kl'm'} + (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jkl'm'} + \epsilon_{ijkl'm'}] \tag{3.27}$$

Then from above analysis we test the following hypothesis :

$$H_{04} : \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_t = 0.$$

$$H_{05} : \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_d = 0.$$

$$H_{06} : (\alpha \tau)_{j1} = (\alpha \tau)_{j2} = \dots = (\alpha \tau)_{jt} = 0.$$

$$H_{07} : (\alpha \gamma)_{j1} = (\alpha \gamma)_{j2} = \dots = (\alpha \gamma)_{jd} = 0.$$

$$H_{08} : (\beta \tau)_{k1} = (\beta \tau)_{k2} = \dots = (\beta \tau)_{kt} = 0.$$

$$H_{09} : (\beta \gamma)_{k1} = (\beta \gamma)_{k2} = \dots = (\beta \gamma)_{kd} = 0.$$

$$H_{010} : (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jk1} = (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jk2} = \dots = (\alpha \beta \tau)_{jkt} = 0.$$

$$H_{011} : (\alpha \beta \gamma)_{jk1} = (\alpha \beta \gamma)_{jk2} = \dots = (\alpha \beta \gamma)_{jkd} = 0.$$

$$H_{012} : (\tau \gamma)_{11} = (\tau \gamma)_{12} = \dots = (\tau \gamma)_{1d} = (\tau \gamma)_{21} = (\tau \gamma)_{22} = \dots = (\tau \gamma)_{2d} \\ = \dots = (\tau \gamma)_{t1} = (\tau \gamma)_{t2} = \dots = (\tau \gamma)_{td} = 0.$$

$$H_{013} : (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{j11} = (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{j12} = \dots = (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{j1d} = \dots = (\alpha \tau \gamma)_{jtd} = 0.$$

$$H_{014} : (\beta \tau \gamma)_{k11} = (\beta \tau \gamma)_{k12} = \dots = (\beta \tau \gamma)_{k1d} = \dots = (\beta \tau \gamma)_{ktd} = 0.$$

$$H_{015} : (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jk11} = (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jk12} = \dots = (\alpha \beta \tau \gamma)_{jkt d} = 0.$$

The ANOVA based on the set of transformed observations above , the  $[Y_{ijk_2}^*, \dots, Y_{ijk_p}^*]$  provides the ANOVA for within-units effects. This leads to the following forms for the sum square terms :

$$S_T = \sum_{l=2}^t n \overline{(Y_l^*)} \overline{(Y_l^*)}' \quad , \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$\overline{Y_l^*} = \sum_{j=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^b n_{jk} \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} / n \quad \text{for each } l=2, \dots, t, \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$\overline{Y_{jklm}^*} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{jk}} Y_{ijklm}^* / n_{jk} \quad \text{for each } j=1, \dots, a, \text{ and } k=1, \dots, b. \quad (3.30)$$

$$S_D = \sum_{m=2}^d n (\overline{Y_m^*} (\overline{Y_m^*})') \quad , \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$\overline{Y_m^*} = \sum_{j=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^b n_{jk} \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} / n \quad \text{for } m=2, \dots, d \quad (3.32)$$

$$S_{AxT} = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{j=1}^a n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_l^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_l^*} \right)', \quad \text{for each } k=1, \dots, b \quad (3.33)$$

$$S_{AxD} = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{j=1}^a n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_m^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_m^*} \right)', \quad \text{for each } k=1, \dots, b \quad (3.34)$$

$$S_{BxT} = \sum_{l=2}^t \sum_{k=1}^b n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_l^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_l^*} \right)', \quad \text{for each } j=1, \dots, a \quad (3.35)$$

$$S_{BxD} = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{k=1}^b n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_m^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_m^*} \right)', \quad \text{for each } j=1, \dots, a \quad (3.36)$$

$$S_{AxBxT} = \sum_{l=2}^t \sum_{k=1}^b \sum_{j=1}^a n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_l^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_l^*} \right)' \quad (3.37)$$

$$S_{AxBxD} = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{k=1}^b \sum_{j=1}^a n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_m^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_m^*} \right)' \quad (3.38)$$

$$S_{TxD} = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{l=2}^t n \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right)' \quad (3.39)$$

where

$$\overline{Y_{lm}^*} = \sum_{j=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^b n_{jk} \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} / n \quad \text{for each } l=2, \dots, t, \text{ and } m=2, \dots, d \quad (3.40)$$

$$S_{AxTxD} = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{l=2}^t \sum_{j=1}^a n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right)' \quad (3.41)$$

$$S_{BxTxD} = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{l=2}^t \sum_{k=1}^b n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right)' \quad (3.42)$$

$$S_{AxBxTxD} = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{l=2}^t \sum_{k=1}^b \sum_{j=1}^a n_{jk} \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{jklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right)' \quad (3.43)$$

$$S_E = \sum_{m=2}^d \sum_{l=2}^t \sum_{k=1}^b \sum_{j=1}^a \sum_{i=1}^{n_{jk}} \left( \overline{Y_{ijklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right) \left( \overline{Y_{ijklm}^*} - \overline{Y_{lm}^*} \right)' \quad (3.44)$$

Then from above sum square terms, we have:

- (1)  $S_T \sim W_r(t-1, \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (2)  $S_D \sim W_r(d-1, \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (3)  $S_{A \times T} \sim W_r((t-1)(a-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (4)  $S_{A \times D} \sim W_r((d-1)(a-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (5)  $S_{B \times T} \sim W_r((t-1)(b-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (6)  $S_{B \times D} \sim W_r((d-1)(b-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (7)  $S_{A \times B \times T} \sim W_r((t-1)(b-1)(a-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (8)  $S_{A \times B \times D} \sim W_r((d-1)(b-1)(a-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (9)  $S_{T \times D} \sim W_r((d-1)(t-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (10)  $S_{A \times T \times D} \sim W_r((d-1)(t-1)(a-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (11)  $S_{B \times T \times D} \sim W_r((d-1)(t-1)(b-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (12)  $S_{A \times B \times T \times D} \sim W_r((d-1)(t-1)(b-1)(a-1), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  .
- (13)  $S_E \sim W_r((d-1)(t-1)(n-ab), \Sigma_\epsilon)$  ,

Let  $S_4 = S_T$ ,  $S_5 = S_D$ ,  $S_6 = S_{A \times T}$ ,  $S_7 = S_{A \times D}$ ,  $S_8 = S_{B \times T}$ ,  $S_9 = S_{B \times D}$ ,  
 $S_{10} = S_{A \times B \times T}$ ,  $S_{11} = S_{A \times B \times D}$ ,  $S_{12} = S_{T \times D}$ ,  $S_{13} = S_{A \times T \times D}$   
 $S_{14} = S_{B \times T \times D}$ ,  $S_{15} = S_{A \times B \times T \times D}$ .

Then the test statistics are summarized as follows :

First : The multivariate Wilks test (Wilks, 1932) [10]:

$$T_W = \frac{|S_E|}{|S_E + S_k|}, \text{ when } H_{0k} \text{ is true, for } k = 4, \dots, 15. \quad (3.45)$$

Second : The Lawley – Hotelling trace (Lawley, 1938; Hotelling, 1947) [5,4]:

$$T_{LH} = \text{trace} ( S_k S_E^{-1} ), \text{ when } H_{0k} \text{ is true, for } k = 4, \dots, 15. \quad (3.46)$$

Third : The Bartlett-Nanda-Pillai trace (Bartlett, 1939; Nanda, 1950; Pillai, 1955) [2,6,7]:

$$T_{BNP} = \text{trace} \{ S_k S_E^{-1} ( I + S_k S_E^{-1} )^{-1} \}, \text{ when } H_{0k} \text{ is true, for } k = 4, \dots, 15. \quad (3.47)$$

Forth: Roy's Union-Intersection (UI) test or largest root (Ray, 1953):[8]:

$$T_R = \text{largest characteristics root of } ( S_k S_E^{-1} ), \text{ when } H_{0k} \text{ is true, for } k = 4, \dots, 15. \quad (3.48)$$

#### **4. Conclusions**

The ANOVA based on the first set of transformed observations provides the ANOVA for the between-units effects, while the ANOVA based on the set of transformed observations the  $Y_{ijklm}^*$ 's for each  $l = 2, \dots, t$ , and  $m = 2, \dots, d$  provides the ANOVA for within-units effects. The hypotheses for the between-units effects, within-units effects, and the interaction between them are tested. The multivariate Wilks test, Lawley – Hotelling trace test, Bartlett-Nanda-Pillai trace test, and Roy's Union-Intersection (UI) test are obtained.

#### **References**

- [1] Al-Mouel, A.S.and Wang, J.L., “One – way Multivariate Repeated Measurements Analysis of Variance Model” Applied Mathematics A Journal of Chinese Universities 2004, 19, 435-448.
- [2] Bartlett, M.S. “A Note On Tests of Significance in Multivariate Analysis”, proceedings of cambridge philosophical Society. 1939, 35; 180-185.
- [3] Crowder, M.J.and Hand, D.J. “Analysis of Repeated Measurres”, Chapman and Hall, 1990.
- [4] Hotelling, H. “Multivariate Quality Control, Illustrated by the Air Testing of Sample Bombsights”, In Techniques of statistical Analysis, Eds, C. Eisenhart, M.Hastay and W.A.Wallis, New York, McGraw-Hill,1947, 111-184.
- [5] Lawley, D.N. “A Generalization of Fisher's Z Test”, Biometrika, 1938, 30, 180-187.
- [6] Nanda, D.N. “Distribution of the Sum of Roots of A Determinantal Equation Under A Certain Condition”, Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 1950, 21, 432-439.
- [7] Pillal, K.G.S. “Some New Test Criteria in Multivariate Analysis”, Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 1955, 26, 117-121.
- [8] Roy, S.N. “On A Heuristic Method of Test Construction and Its Use in Multivariate Analysis”, Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 1953, 21, 220-238.
- [9] Vonesh, E.F.and Chinchilli, V.M., “Linear and Nonlinear Models For the Analysis of Repeated Measurements”, NewYork, Mareel Dakker, Inc, 1997.

[10] Wilks, S.S. "Certain Generalization in the Analysis of Variance". *Biometrika*, 1932, 21, 471-494.

### نموذج تحليل التباين ذي الطرفين للقياسات المتكررة المتعدد المتغيرات

عبد الحسين صبر المويل<sup>1</sup> and جواد محمود جاسم<sup>2</sup>

1- قسم الرياضيات - كلية التربية - جامعة البصرة

2- قسم الرياضيات - كلية العلوم - جامعة البصرة

#### المستخلص

تمت دراسة نموذج تحليل التباين ذي الطرفين للقياسات المتكررة المتعدد المتغيرات للبيانات الكاملة في حالة متغيرات الاستجابة المتعددة المتغيرات. لقد أعطيت الاختبارات الإحصائية لمختلف الفرضيات المتعلقة بالعوامل بين الوحدات و العوامل داخل الوحدات و التفاعل بينهما.