EFFECTS OF ACETIC ACID AND HYDROGEN PEROXIDE ON THE MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY AND SKIN APPEARANCE OF POULTRY CARCASSES

AlaaT.Abdul Wahid

Department of Micobiology,College of Veterinary Medicine,University of Basrah,Basrah,Iraq (Received 28 September 2008, Accepted 2 December 2008)

Key words: Bactericidal Effect, Acetic Acid, Hydrogen Peroxide.

ABSTRACT

The microbiological quality and skin appearance of poultry carcasses were determined after acetic acid and hydrogen peroxide spray. Acetic acid at 1% concentrations showed a significant effect(P < 0.05)in reducing total mesophilic bacteria count, total coli form count, Escherichia coli count and Staphylococcus aureus count when compared with a sample without treatment, 10.50×10^3 , 1.03×10^3 , 7.5×10^1 , 1.05×10^2 and 27.47×10^3 , 2.71×10^3 , 4.41×10^2 , 2.74×10^2 cfu/cm² respectively. No differences were observed in skin appearance due to 1% acetic acid treatment. Hydrogen perioxide at 1% concentrations did not significantly(P>0.05) effect the asample with without treatment, 26.33×10^3 , microbial load when compared 2.61×10^3 , 3.70×10^2 , 2.63×10^2 and 27.47×10^3 , 2.71×10^3 , 4.41×10^2 , 2.74×10^2 cfu/cm² respectively. The skin of carcasses treated with H₂O₂, was bleached and bloated.

INTRODUCTION

Carcasses are contaminated with various spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms at many stages of poultry processing, even though good manufacturing practices are observed during slaughtering[1]. Since the microorganisms are firmly attached on poultry skin and are localized in the capillary spaces, they are not easily rinsed off and are not completely affected by bactericides[2]. For this reason,foodborn diseases associated with poultry meat consum- ption are frequently reported and the shelf-life of poultry meat is shorter than red meat[3].

Different methods have been studies for controlling the cross contamination and decontaminate in poultry processing plants. Several chemicals such as organic acids[4],chlorine and chlorine derivates[5],hydrogen peroxide[6],ozone[7],and other compounds[8],have been applied by dip or spray methods. However, most of them altered the visual appearance of skin and meat. Some of them are even suspicious with regards to public health. In addition to those chemicals, electrical stimulation [9],irradiation[10],sonication[11]and heat treatment [12] have also been used as decontamination methods.

Organic acids such as acetic and lactic acid, and then derivatives were reported as natural alternatives to increase the shelf-life and microbial safety of food products. Acetic acid and lactic acid have been used by adding to scald or chill water during poultry processing and have given relatively reasonable results[13]. Hydrogen peroxide is a well known germicide in the medical field and has been evaluated in various combinations in the poultry industry from the hatchery to the processing plant[14]. This study was performed investigate the effective- ness of acetic acid and hydrogen perioxide in improving microbiological quality of poultry carcasses.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Spraying procedures

A total of six poultry carcasses were used. The spraying procedures was done on the thigh(12 thigh)half of them were sprayed with acetic acid, the other half were sprayed with hydrogen peroxide for 10s at concentrations derived from a response surface central compo site design. The area was swabbed using the rinse swab method [7]. The area used for sampling (using metallic template)was 5 cm^2 .

Microbiological analysis

After the area was swabbed by sterile swab cotton, decimal serial dilutions were prepared using sterile 0.1% peptone water taking aseptic precautions. In Nutrient Agar and MaCconkey Agar,1ml of each dilution was inoculated in duplicate plate and mixed before solidification. In Mannitol Salt Agar and Eosin Methylen Blue Agar 0.1ml of each dilution were surface plated in duplicate plate. Total mesophilic bacteria in Nutrient Agar incubated for 2days at 32C°,total coli form in MaCconkey Agar incubated for 24h at 32C°,<u>Escherichia coli</u> in Eosin Methylen Blue Agar incubated for 2±24h at 1±32C°,<u>Staphylococcus aureus</u> in Manitol Salt Agar incubate ed for 24-48h at 37C° following the incubation, the colonies on duplicate plates with 30 to 300 colonies were counted using aplate counter and the number of microbes were expressed as colony-forming units per cm² as recommended by American Public Health Association[15]and all data were analyzed using SPSS statistical software[16]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Treatment of poultry meat in water containing 1% acetic acid resulted in a significant reduction for total mesophilic bacteria count, total coli form count, Escherichia coli count and Staphylococcus aureus count with 10.50×10^3 , 1.03×10^3 , 7.5×10^1 and 1.05×10^2 cfu/cm², respectively but no alteration on appearance and odour were determine (Table1). Similar results were already reported on decontamination with a cetic acid of poultry carcasses[17]. However, some of them observed that carcasses treated with 1% acetic acid exhibited a yellowing or darkening of the skin of carcasses treated after defeathering. contact time of the acetic acid may be determining factor in appearance changes of the carcasses[18].

Hydrogen peroxide at concentrations of 1% had no microbiological effect on the carcass- es. The contact time of 10s used in this study was probably not adequate to reduce microbial numbers. Carcass appearance, had a bleached appearance, very white skin and the skin seemed to be bloated and pliable. These results agree with the data presented by [18] on the appearance of defeathered carcasses.

Microbial groups	Statistical parameters		
	Without treatment	1% acetic acid	1% H ₂ O ₂
Total mesophilcbacteria	$27.47 \pm 2.425 \times 10^3 a$	$10.50\pm1.313 \text{ x}10^3 \text{ b}$	$26.33 \pm 2.784 \text{ x}10^3 \text{ a}$
Total Coliform Bacteria	$2.71 \pm 0.261 \times 10^3 a$	$1.03\pm0.131 \text{ x}10^3 \text{ b}$	$2.61 \pm 0.276 \text{ x}10^3 \text{ a}$
Escherichia coli	$4.41 \pm 1.03 \times 10^{2} a$	$7.5\pm0.5 \text{ x}10^{1} \text{ b}$	$3.70 \pm 0.52 \text{ x} 10^2 \text{ a}$
Stphylococcus aureus	2.74 ± 0.24 x 10^{2} a	$1.05 \pm 0.13 \text{ x} 10^2 \text{b}$	$2.63 \pm 0.72 \mathrm{x10}^2 \mathrm{a}$

 Table 1: Microbial Count ± SD of Chicken Carcasses Treated with Acetic Acid and Hydrogen Peroxide (cfu/cm²).

a ,b denote significant difference at P < 0.05

CONCLUSIONS

The data from the present study suggested that the treatment of poultry meat with water containing 1% acetic acid was more effective to decontaminate and to prevent the cross contamination of carcasses without altering the colour and appearance of the skin than hydrogen perioxide

تأثير حامض الخليك وبيروكسيد الهيدروجين على المحتوى الميكروبي ومظهر الجلد لذبائح الدواجن

آلاء طارق عبد الواحد فرع الأحياء المجهرية ، كليه الطب البيطري ، جامعه البصرة ، العراق

الخلاصة

REFERENCES

- 1. Lillard,H.S(1990). The Impact of Commercial Procedures on the Bacterial Contamin- ation and Cross-contamination of Broiler Carcasses.J.Food Protect.
- Lillard,H.S(1989). Incidence and Recovery of Salmonellae and Other Bacteria from Commercially Processed Poultry Carcasses at Selected Pre- and Post Evisceration Steps.J.Food Protect.
- 3. Bryan,F.L(1988). Risks Associated with Vehicles of Foodborne Pathogens and Toxins.J.Food Protect.
- 4. Dickens,J.A;Lyon,B.G;Whittemore,A.D.and Lyon.C.E(1994). The Effect of an Acetic Acid Dip on Carcass Appearance,micrbiological Quality,and Coked Breast Meat Texture and Flavour.Poult..Sci.
- 5. Ellerbroek,L;Okolocha,E.M and Weise,E(1998). Decontamination of Poultry Meat with Trisodiumphosphate and Lactic Acid.Fleischwirtsch.Int.
- 6. Lillard,H.S(1980).Effect on Broiler Carcasses and Water of Treating Chill Water with Chlorine or Chlorine Dioxide. Poult.Sci.

- 7. Mulder,R.W.A.W;Hulst VanDer,M.C and Bolder,N.M(1987). Salmonella Deconta- mination of Broiler Carcasses with Lactic Acid,L-Cysteine,and Hydrogen Peroxide .Poult.Sci.
- 8. Sheldon, B.W and Brown, A.L (1986). Efficacy of Ozone as A disinfectant for Poultry Carcasses and Chil Water. J.Food Sci.
- 9. Slavik,M.F;Grittis,C;Li,Y and Engler(1991). Effect of Electrical Stimulation on Bacterial Contamination of Chicken Legs. J.Food Protect.
- 10. Kampelmacher, E.H (1983). Irradiation for Control of Salmonella and Other Pathogens in poultry and Fresh Meat. Food Technol.
- 11. Sams, A.R. and Feria, R.(1991). Microbial Effects of Ultrasonication of Broiler Drumstick Skin. J.Food Sci.
- 12. Davidson, C.M; D'Aoust and Hewell, W.A. (1984). Steam Decontamination of Whole and Cutup Raw Chicken. Poult.Sci.
- 13. Dickens, J.A; Lyon, B.G; Whittemore, A.D and Lyon, C.E(1994). The Effect on an Acetic Acide Dip on Carcass Appearance, Microbiological Quality, and Cooked Breast Meat Texture and Flavour. Poult. Sci.
- 14. Lillard,H.S and Thomson,J.E.(1983). Efficacy of Hydrogen Peroxide as a Bactericide in Poultry Chiller Water. J. Food Sci.
- 15. American Public Health Association(1966).Recommended Methods for the Examination of Food.2nd ed.,New York .
- 16. Coakes, S.J and Steed, L.G. (1996). SPSS for Windows Analysis Without Anguish. Jacaranda Wiley, Milton, Queensland
- 17. Dickens, J.A and Whittemore, A.D. (1994). The Effect of Acetic Acid and Air Injection on Appearance, Moisture pick-up, Microbiological Quality, and Salmonella Incidence on Processed Poultry Carcasses. Poultry Sci.
- Dickens, J.A. and Whittemore, A.D. (1997). Effects of Acetic Acid and Hydrogen Peroxide Application During Defeathering on the Microbiological Quality of Broiler Carcasses Prior to Evisceration. Poultry Sci.