NEW COINCIDENCE AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR GREGUŠ TYPE HYBRID MAPS

AMAL M. HASHIM

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq

E-mail: amalmhashim@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain coincidence and fixed point theorems for single-valued and multivalued maps satisfying Greguš type contractive conditions. We extend and generalize some well known results obtained by many authors.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 54H25, 47H10

Key words and phrases: Coincidence point, fixed point, hybrid maps, Greguš type hybrid maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

The following result is usually called the Greguš fixed point theorem.

Theorem G. (Greguš, 1980) Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space X. If T is a map from C into itself satisfying the inequality

 $(1.1)/|Tx-Ty|| \le a ||x-y|| + b ||x - Tx|| + c ||y - Ty||$

for all $x, y \in C$, where 0 < a < 1, b > 0, c > 0and a + b + c = 1. Then *T* has a unique fixed point.

A map satisfying the inequality (1.1) with a = l, b = c = 0 (respectively a = 0, $b = c = \frac{1}{2}$) is called non-expansive (respectively Kannan map) and it was considered by Kirk (1965) (respectively Wong ,1975). Further, Fisher and Sessa, 1986 established a generalization of Theorem *G* as follows:

Theorem FS (Fisher and Sessa, 1986) Let Cbe a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space X and T, f two weakly commuting maps from C into itself satisfying the following condition:

$|| Tx - Ty|| \le a || fx - fy|| + (1 - a) \max\{ ||Tx - fx||, ||Tx - fy||\}$

for all $x, y \in C$, where 0 < a < 1. If f is linear and nonexpansive in C such that fC contains TC, then T and f have a unique common fixed point in C.

In recent years, fixed point theorems for Greguš type maps have been obtained by Ćirić, 1972, Ćirić,1991, Davies and Sessa,1992, Diviccaro et al.1987, Jungck ,1990, Khan and Imdad 1983, Mukherjee and Verma, 1988, Murthy et al. 1995, and Pathak et al. 1998. Some results closely related to Theorem G have also been extended to multivalued maps (see, for instance, Li-Shan 1992, Li-Shan 1993,Rashwan and Ahmed, 2002 and Singh et al. 1989).

2. PRELIMINARIES

We generally follow the definitions and notations used in Nadler 1969, Naimpally et. Al 1986, Singh & Hashim 2005, Singh & Hashim 2004 and Singh & Mishra 2001. Given a metric space (X, d), let (CL(X), H) and (C(X), H)denote respectively the hyperspaces of nonempty closed and nonempty compact subsets of X, where H is the Hausdorff metric inducted by d. Throughout, d(A, B) will denote the ordinary distance between subsets *A* and *B* of *X* and d(x, B) will stand for d(A, B) when *A* is singleton $\{x\}$. Further, let *Y* be an arbitrary nonempty set and $C(f, S) = \{u : fu \in Su\}$, the collection of coincidence points of maps $f : Y \to X$ and $S : Y \to CL(X)$.

The following result is well-known (see, for instance, [Ćirić, 1972, Nadler 1969, Singh et al. 1989].

Lemma 2.1. Let $A, B \in CL(X)$ and $a \in A$. Then there exists ,an element $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq \lambda H(A, B)$ for some $\lambda > 1$.

We remark that if *A*, *B* are compact then this lemma is true with $\lambda = 1$.

The following definition is due to Ito and Takahashi 1977 (see also Singh & Hashim 2005, Singh & Mishra 2001).

Definition 2.2. Let $f: Y \to Y$ and $S: Y \to 2^Y$, the collection of nonempty subsets of Y. Then hybrid pair of maps of (f, S) is IT-commuting at a point $u \in Y$ if $fSu \subset Sfu$. They are ITcommuting on Y if $fSu \subset Sfu$ for each $u \in Y$. Maps S and f are commuting at a point $u \in Y$ if Sfu=fSu. Clearly a commuting hybrid pair of maps is IT-commuting and the reverse implication is not true. For details, one may refer to Singh & Mishra 2001.

Example 2.3. Let $Y = [0, \infty)$, fx = 3 + 5x and $Sx = [2 + 3x, \infty)$, $x \in Y$. Then $Sfx = [11 + 15x, \infty) \neq [13 + 15x, \infty) = fSx$. So *f* and *S* are not commuting, but they are IT-commuting as $fSx \subset Sfx$.

Definition 2.4 [Singh & Hashim 2005]. Let $S: Y \to CL(X)$ and $f: Y \to X$. Then S and f satisfy the (EA)-property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = M \in CL(X)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = t \in M$.

For the definition of (EA)-property for a pair of self-maps of a metric space, one may refer to Aamri and El Moutawakil 2002.

Let \emptyset denote the class of maps ϕ from $[0, \infty)$ into itself such that ϕ iis nondecreasing upper semi-continuous and $\phi(t) < t$ for all t > 0. Also let ψ denote the class of maps ϕ from $[0, \infty)$ into itself such that ϕ is non-decreasing upper semi-continuous and $\phi(t)$ $\leq qt$ for some $q \in (0, 1)$. The following lemma is due to Matkowski 1977.

Lemma 2.5. If $\phi \in \emptyset$ then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$, where ϕ^n is the *n* times composition of ϕ .

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1 Let *Y* be an arbitrary nonempty set and (X, d) be a metric space, $S, T: Y \rightarrow C(X)$ and $f, g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that

 $(3.1.1) \qquad SY \subset gY, \quad TY \subset fY;$

 $(3.1.2) \quad H^{p}(Sx, Ty) \leq \phi(ad^{p}(fx, gy) + (1 - a) \max\{d^{p}(fx, Sx), d^{p}(gy, Ty)\})$

(i)

for all $x, y \in Y$, p > 0, where $a \in (0,1)$ and $\phi \in \emptyset$. If one of *SY*, *TY*, *fY* or *gY* is a complete subspace of X then C(f,S) and C(g,T) are nonempty. Further, if Y = X then:

Maps *S* and *f* have a common fixed point provided that *S* and *f* are (IT)-commuting at some $u \in C(f, S)$ and ffu = fu;

(ii) Maps T and g have a common fixed point provided that T and g are (IT)-commuting at some $u \in C(g,T)$ and ggu = gu;

(iii) Maps S,T,f and g have a common fixed point provided that (I) and (II) are true.

Proof. Pick $x_0 \in Y$. Construct two sequences $\{x_n\} \subset Y$ and $\{y_n\} \subset X$ in the following

manner. Since $x_0 \in Y$ and $SY \subset gY$, there exists a point $x_1 \in Y$ such that

 $y_{I} = g x_{I} \in Sx_{o}$. Since $TY \subset fY$, in view of the remark following Lemma 2.1, we can choose $x_{2} \in Y$ such that $y_{2} = fx_{2} \in Tx_{I}$ and

$$d(y_1, y_2) = d(gx_1, fx_2) \le H(Sx_0, Tx_1)$$

Inductively, having already chosen $y_{2n} = fx_{2n} \in Tx_{2n-1}$, we choose $y_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$ and $y_{2n+2} = fx_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1}$ such that

 $d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq H(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})$ and $d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq H(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})$.

For the sake of convenience, assume that $d_n = d(y_n, y_{n+1})$. We claim that $d_{n+1} \le d_n$ for each *n*. Suppose that $d_{2n} > d_{2n-1}$ for some *n*. Then by (3.1.2),

$$d_{2n} \leq H\left(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}\right)$$

$$\leq \left[\phi(ad^{p}(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n-1}) + (1-a)max\{d^{p}(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d^{p}(gx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})\})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq \left[\phi(ad^{p}_{2n} + (1-a)max\{d^{p}_{2n}, d^{p}_{2n-1}\})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \left[\phi(ad^{p}_{2n} + (1-a)max\{d^{p}_{2n}, d^{p}_{2n}\})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \left[\phi(ad^{p}_{2n} + (1-a)ad^{p}_{2n})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \left[\phi(d^{p}_{2n})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \left[d^{p}_{2n}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = d^{p}_{2n},$$

a contradiction, and $d_{2n} \leq d_{2n-1}$. Similarly we obtain $d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$. This establishes our claim that $d_{n+1} \leq d_n$ for each *n*.

By Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d^{p} (y_{n}, y_{n+1}) = 0. \quad 3.1.3$$

In order to prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, it is sufficient to show that $\{y_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{y_{2n}\}$ is not a

$$2k < 2n(k) < 2m(k)$$
 3.1.4
and $d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) \ge \varepsilon$. 3.1.5

Let $d_{i,j} = d(y_i, y_j)$ and $d_i = d(y_i, y_{i+1})$. Then for each integer 2k.,

$$\varepsilon \le d_{2n(k),2m(k)} \le d_{2n(k),2m(k)-2} + d_{2m(k)-2} + d_{2m(k)-1}$$
3.1.6

Let 2m(k) denote the smallest integer satisfying (3.1.4) and (3.1.5), so that

 $d_{_{2n(\,k\,),2m(\,k\,)-2}} < \varepsilon\,$, and it follows from (3.1.6) that

$$\lim_{k} d_{2n(k),2m(k)} = \varepsilon.$$
 3.1.7

By the triangle inequality,

$$|d_{2n(k),2m(k)-1} - d_{2n(k),2m(k)}| < d_{2m(k)-1},$$

$$|d_{2n(k)+1,2m(k)-1} - d_{2n(k),2m(k)}| < d_{2n(k)} + d_{2m(k)-1}$$

These relations in view of (3.1.3) and (3.1.7) yield

$$\lim_{k} d_{2n(k),2m(k)-1} = \lim_{k} d_{2n(k)+1,2m(k)-1} = \varepsilon.$$
3.1.8

Using (3.13) and (ii), we have

$$d_{2n(k),2m(k)} \leq d_{2n(k)} + d_{2n(k)+1,2m(k)}$$

$$\leq d_{2n(k)} + H\left(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1}\right)$$

$$\leq d_{2n(k)} + \left(\phi \left[ad^{p}\left(fx_{2n(k)}, gx_{2m(k)-1}\right)\right]\right)$$

Cauchy sequence. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each positive integer 2k there exist integers 2n(k) and 2m(k) such that

$$+(1-a)\max\{d^{p}(f_{2n(k)},S_{2n(k)}),d^{p}(g_{2m(k)-1},T_{2m(k)-1})\})^{1/p}$$

$$\leq d_{2n(k)} + \left(\phi\left[ad^{p}(f_{2n(k)},g_{2m(k)-1})+(1-a)\max\{d^{p}(f_{2n(k)},g_{2n(k)+1}),g_{2n(k)},g_{2n(k)+1})\right]\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq d_{2n(k)} + \left(\phi\left[ad^{p}_{2n(k),2m(k)-1}+(1-a)\max\{d^{p}_{2m(k)},d^{p}_{2m(k)-1}\}\right]\right)^{1/p}$$

Taking $k \to \infty$ and using (3.1.3) and (3.1.8) with upper semi-continuity of ϕ it follows that

$$\varepsilon \leq \left[\phi\left(\varepsilon^{p}\right)\right]^{l/p} < \varepsilon,$$

a contradiction. So $\{y_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Consequently $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X.

Notice that the subsequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ also converges to z, and we claim that

 $fu \in Su$. Suppose otherwise. Then d(Su, fu)> 0, and

Now suppose that fY is complete. Then the subsequence $\{ y_{2n+1} \} \subset fY$ has a limit in fY.

Call it z. Let $u \in f^{-1}z$. So z = fu.

$$d^{p}(Su, y_{2n+2}) \leq H^{p}(Su, Tx_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq \phi(ad^{p}(fu, y_{2n+1}) + (1-a)\max\{d^{p}(fu, Su), d^{p}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})\}).$$

Making $n \to \infty$, $d^p(Su, fu) < d^p(fu, Su)$, a contradiction.

Consequently C(f, S) is nonempty. Since $SY \subset gY$, there is a point $v \in Y$ such that $gv = fu \in Su$. So by (ii),

$$d^{p}(gv,Tv) = d^{p}(fu,Tv) \leq H^{p}(Su,Tv)$$

$$\leq \phi(ad^{p}(fu,gv) + (1-a)max\{d^{p}(fu,Su),d^{p}(gv,Tv)\})$$

$$= \phi(1-a)d^{p}(gv,Tv).$$

This yields that C(g,T) is nonempty.

Analogous arguments establish that C(f,S)and C(g,T) are nonempty when gY or SYor TY is a complete subspace of X.

Notice that $fu \in Su$, $fu = ffu \in fSu \subset Sfu$. So fu is a common fixed point of S as well. This proves (i) and (ii) comes in a similar way. Now, (III) is immediate.

Multivalued maps in Theorem 3.1 are compact-valued. We may dispense with this requirement by changing a slightly the condition " $\phi(t) < t$ " of Theorem 3.1. We, indeed inspired by Rhoades et al.(1984) and Singh et al. (1989) do so below. **Theorem 3.2.** Let *Y* be an arbitrary nonempty set and (X, d) a metric space. Let $S,T:Y \rightarrow C(X)$ and $f,g:Y \rightarrow X$ be such that conditions (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, where $a \in (0,1)$ and $\phi \in \psi$. If one of *SY*, *TY*, *fY* or *gY* is a complete subspace of *X* then C(f,S) and C(g,T) are nonempty. Further, if Y = X then all other conclusions of Theorem 3.1 are also true.

Proof. We give only a brief sketch of it. Pick $x_0 \in Y$. Choose a point $x_1 \in Y$ such that $y_1 = gx_1 = Sx_0$. Now, in view of Lemma 2.1, choose a point $x_2 \in Y$ such that $y_2 = fx_2 \in Tx_1$, and

$$d(y_1, y_2) = d(gx_1, fx_2) \le q^{-\frac{1}{2}} H(Sx_0, Tx_1)$$

In general, we construct sequences $\{x_n\} \subset Y$ and $\{y_n\} \subset X$ in such a way that

 $y_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$ and $y_{2n+2} = fx_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1}$ satisfying $d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \le q^{-\frac{1}{2}} H(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})$ and $d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le q^{-\frac{1}{2}} H(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}).$

Now, in view of the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is enough to show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Using (ii) and simplifying, we get

$$d_{2n} \leq q^{-\frac{1}{2}} H\left(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}\right) \leq q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\phi(ad_{2n-1}^{p} + (1-a)max\{d_{2n}^{p}, d_{2n-1}^{p}\})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This gives $d_{2n} \leq \sqrt{q} d_{2n-1}$. Similarly we obtain $d_{2n+1} \leq \sqrt{q} d_{2n}$. So $d_{n+1} \leq \sqrt{q} d_n$ for each *n*. Hence the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy, and this complete the proof.

As regards the coincidence parts of maps f, S and g, T in Theorems 3.1 & 3.2, we remark that the sets C(f, S) and C(g, T) may be different, that is, the maps f, S and g, T may have different coincidence points. This may easily be verified by using [Singh & Mishra

(3.3.1) $SX \subset fX$ and $TX \subset fX$;

2001, Example 4]. Further, this observation remains true even if S = T (see Example 3.5 below). However, if f = g, then maps f, S and T have a common coincidence point. So, we have a slightly improved version of the above theorems.

Theorem 3.3. Let *Y* be an arbitrary nonempty set and (X, d) a metric space. Let $S, T: Y \rightarrow C(X)$ (respectively

 $S, T: Y \rightarrow CL(X)$ and $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that

(iv) $H^p(Sx, Ty) \le \phi(ad^p(fx, fy) + (1 - a) \max\{d^p(fx, Sx), d^p(fy, Ty)\}),$

for all x, y in X, p > 0 where $a \in (0, 1)$, and $\phi \in \emptyset$ (respectively $\phi \in \psi$).

If one of SY, TY or fY is complete subspace of X then the maps f, S and T have a common coincidence point. Further, if Y = Xand f is (IT)-commuting with each of S and Tat a common coincidence point z then the maps f, S and T, have a common fixed point provided that fz is a fixed point of f.

Proof. It comes from the Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 with f = g.

conditions may also be derived from the above theorems (see, for instance Davies &sessa 1992, Greguš 1980,Jungck 1990, Murthy et al 1995, Pathak et al 1998 and Singh et al 2001). We remark that Theorem 3.3 extends and generalizes several fixed point theorems for multivalued maps (see, for instance, Li-shan 1992, Negoescu 1989, Rashwan and Ahmed 2002, Singh et al 1989 and references thereof). Several results for single-valued maps satisfying Greguš type

Now we prove a common fixed point theorem of Greguš type maps using a strict condition.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X,d) be a metric space, $S,T:Y \rightarrow CL(X)$ and $f,g:Y \rightarrow X$ satisfying (3.1.1) and

(v)
$$H(Sx,Ty) < M(axy), x, y \text{ in } Y \text{ when } M(axy) > 0,$$

for some p > 0 and 0 < a < 1, where

$$M(axy) = ad(fx, gy) + (1-a)max\{d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty)\}$$

Suppose that (f,S) or (g,T) satisfies the (E.A)-property and one of SY,TY, fY or gY is a complete subspace of X, then C(f,S) and C(g,T) are nonempty.

Further, if Y = X, then the conclusions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3.1 are true.

Proof: Suppose that (g,T) satisfies the (E.A)-property then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y such

that
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (gx_n) = t \in M$$
 , $\lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = M \in CL(X)$

Since $TY \subset fY$, there exists in Y a sequence $\{y_n\}$, such that $fy_n \in Tx_n$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} fy_n = t \in M = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n$. We show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sy = M$. If not then there exists a $H(Sy_k, M) \leq H(Sy_k, Tx_k) + H(Tx_k, M)$.

subsequence
$$\{y_k\}$$
 of $\{Sy_n\}$, a positive integer
N, and real number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for same
 $k \ge N$ we have $H(Sy_k, M) \ge \varepsilon$. From (v)

 $\leq d(fy_{k}, gx_{k}) + (1-a) \max\{d(fy_{k}, Sy_{k}), d(gx_{k}, Tx_{k})\} + H(Tx_{k}, M)$

Taking the limit $k \to \infty$.

 $\varepsilon \leq (1-a) \max\{\varepsilon\} < \varepsilon$ which is a contradiction.

Hence $\varepsilon = 0$ *i.e* $\lim_{n \to \infty} A y_n = M$.

Suppose *TY* or *fY* is a complete subspace of *X*, then there exists a point $u \in Y$ such that

t = fu. To show that $fu \in Su$, we suppose otherwise and use the condition (v) to have

$$d(Su,Tx_n) \le H(Su,Tx_n) < ad(fu,gx_n) + (1-a)\max d(fu,Su), d(gx_n,Tx_n)\}$$

Taking the limit $n \to \infty$ $H(Su, M) < (1-a)d(fu, Su) < d(fu, Su) \le H(Su, M)$ Which is a contradiction. Then C(f, S) is nonempty. Since $SY \subset gY$, there exists the point $v \in Y$ such that $fu = gv \in Su$, so by (v), $H(gv, Tv) = d(fu, Tv) \le H(Su, Tv) < ad(fu, gv) + (1-a) \max d\{fu, Su\}, d(gv, Tv)\}$

$$d(gv,Tv) < (1-a)d(gv,Tv) < d(gv,Tv),$$

Which is a contradiction . Then C(g,T) is nonempty.

Further, ffu = fu and (IT)-commutativity of *S* and *f* at $u \in C(f, S)$ imply that

 $fu \in fSu \subset Sfu$. so fu is common fixed point of *S* and *f*.

The proof of (ii) is similar. Now (iii) is immediate analogous argument establishes the theorem when SY or gY is a complete subspace of X.

We remark that if f = g in theorem 3.4, then the maps S, T and f have a common coincidence point. The following example shows that Theorems 3.1 & 3.2 with S = T and $f \neq g$ need not guarantee the existence of a point z such that $fz = gz \in Tz$. **Example 3.5.** Let X = [0,2] be endowed with the usual metric. Let $s = T = \{0, 2\}, fx = x$ and gx = 2-x. Then for any $a \in (0,1)$,

$$H(Tx, Ty) = 0 \leq ad(fx, gy).$$

So, all the hypotheses of Theorems 3.1 & 3.2 are satisfied. Notice that $fz \in Tz$ and $gz \in Tz$ but $fz \neq gz$ when z = 0 or 2.

We remark that (theorem 3.2 and 3.3 is false under the following condition:

(3.1.3) $H^{p}(Sx,Ty) \le \phi(ad^{p}(fx,gy) + (1-a)\max\{d^{p}(fx,Sx),d^{p}(gy,Ty),$

 $1 \left(2[d^{p}(fx,Ty) + d^{p}(gy,Sx)] \right)$

Which is more general than the condition(3.1.2) for details, one may refer to Rhoades 1977.

Example	3.6.	Let
$X = \{a, b, c, d\}, d(x, x) = 0, d(a, b) = d(a, d) = d(b, c) = d(c, d) = 1,$		

d(a,c) = d(b,d) = 2 and Y = X. Further, let fx = g = xx for each $x \in Y$ and let $Sa = Sd = \{c\}, Sb = Sc = \{a\}, Ta = Tb = \{d\}$ and $Tc = Td = \{b\}$ Then evidently fY is a complete metric space and (3.1.1) is satisfied. If $a = 1 \setminus 2, p = 4$ and $\phi(t) = t \setminus 2$, then $\phi \in \emptyset$. and (3.1.3) is satisfied, too. However, evidently C(f, S) and C(g, T) are empty.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aamri M. and El Moutawakil D., Some new common fixed point theorems under contractive condition, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270(2002), 181-188.
- Ćirić, Lj. B., Fixed points for generalized multivalued contractions, Mat. Vesnik, 9(24)(1972),265-272.
- Ćirić, Lj. B., On a common fixed point theorem of a Greguš type, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 49, No. 63 (1991), 171-178.
- Ćirić, Lj. B, On Diviccro, Fisher and Sessa open questions, Arch. Math. (Brno) 29. No. 3-1 (1993), 145 – 152.
- Davies R. O., and Sessa. S. A., A common fixed point theorem of Greguš type for compatible mappings, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. 7 (1992), 99 106.
- Diviccaro M. L., Fisher B. and Sessa S., A common fixed point theorem of Greguš type, Publ. Math. Debrecen 34 (1987), no. 1-2, 83-89.
- Fisher B. and Sessa S.. On a fixed point theorem of Greguš, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (1986), no. 1, 23-28.
- Greguš, Jr. v, A fixed point theorem in Banach space, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A(S) 17 (1980), no. 1, 193-198.
- 9. Ito S. and Takahashi W., Single-valued mappings and multivalued mappings and fixed point theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 59(1977), 514-521.

- Jungck G., On a fixed point theorem of Fisher and Sessa, Int. J. Math. Sci. 13 (1990), 497-500.
- Khan M. S. and Imdad M., A common fixed point theorem for a class of mappings, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1983), 1220-1227.
- Kirk W. A., A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances, Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965), 1004-1006.
- Li-shan Liu, On common fixed points of single-valued mappings and set-valued mappings, J. Qufu Norm. Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed. 18. No. 1 (1992), 6 – 10.
- Li-shan Liu, Common fixed point theorems for (sub) compatible and setvalued generalized nonexpansive mappings in complete convex metric spaces. Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.) 14(1993), no. 7, 685–692.
- Matkowski J., Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1977), no. 2, 344-348.
- Mukherjee R.N. and Verma V., A note on a fixed point theorem of Greguš, Math. Japon. 33 (1988), no 5, 745-749.
- Murthy P. P., Cho Y. J., and Fisher B., Common fixed points of Greguš type mappings, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 30 (50), 1995), no. 2, 335-341.
- Nadler, Jr. S. B., Multivalued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math 30 (1969), 475-488.

- Naimpally S. A., Singh S. L. and whitfield J. H., Coincidence theorems for hybrid contraction, Math. Nachr. 127 (1986), 177-180.
- Negoescu N., Observations on pairs of multivalued mappings of a certain contraction type, Bul. Inst. Politeh. Iasi, Sect. I 35(39), No.3/4, 21-25 (1989).
- Pathak H. K., Cho Y. J., Kang S. M. and Madharia B., Compatible mapping of type (C) and common fixed point theorems of Greguš type, Demonstratio Math., 31(3)(1998), 499-518.
- Rashwan R. A. and Ahmed M. A., Common fixed points of Greguš type multivalued mappings, Arch. Math. (Brno) 38 (2002), 37-47.
- Rhoades B.E., A comparison of various definitions of contracting mapping, Trans, Amer. Math. Soc, 226(1977)257-290.
- 24. Rhoades B. E., Singh S. L. and Kulshrestha Chitra, Coincidence

theorems for some multivalued mappings. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **7** (1984), no. 3, 429--434.

- Singh S. L., Ha K. S. and Cho Yeol Je, Coincidence and fixed points of nonlinear hybrid contractions. Internat. Journal of Math. & Math. Sci. 12 (1989), 247-256.
- Singh S. L. and Hashim Amal M., New coincidence and fixed point theorems for strictly contractive hybrid maps, J. Austral. Math. Anal. And Appl. (AJMAA), 2(1)(2005), 1-7.
- Singh S. L. and Hashim Amal M., New coincidence and fixed point of reciprocally continuous and compatible hybrid maps. J.Natur. phs.sci.18(2004),no.2,97-104.
- 29 Singh S. L. and Mishra S. N., Coincidences and fixed points of nonself hybrid contractions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 256 (2001), no. 2, 486–497
- Wong C.S., On Kannan maps, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 47(1975), 105-111

مبر هنات جديدة نظرية النقطة الصامدة والمتطابقة للدوال الهجينية من النوع Greguš

د أمل محمد هاشم البطاط

قسم الرياضيات – كلية العلوم – جامعة البصرة- البصرة - العراق

الملخص

في هذا البحث حصلنا على النقطة الصامدة للدوال الاحادية والمتعددة القيم والتي حققت الشرط الذي وضعه Greguš. لقد تم توسيع وتعميم بعض النتائج التي حصل عليها العديد من الباحثين.