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#### Abstract

This research is devoted to the study theOne -Way Multivariate repeated measurements analysis of covariance model (MRM ANCOVA), which contains one between-units factor (Group with q levels) incorporating one random effect, one within-units factor (Time with p levels) and two covariates ( $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ ). For this model the covariates are time-independent, that is measured only once. The test statistics of various hypotheses on between-unites factor, within-units factor and the interaction between them are given, and including the application to study the assessment of water quality of the northern part of shatt AL-Arab river at Basra,Iraq and determine its suitability for aquatic environment ,drinking and irrigation, through studying some variables including the chemical ,physical and biological quality variables that have the greatest effect on water quality in order to find the index of water quality,which is available in the experiment during one year through twelve months.
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## 1. Introduction

Repeated measurements analysis is widely used in many fields, for example, the health and life sciences, epidemiology, biomedical, agricultural, industrial, psychological, psychology and education and so on. (see, Huynh and Feldt (1970)[7], and Vonesh and Chinchilli(1997)[11]). "Repeated measurements" is a term used to describe data in which the response variable for each experimental unit is observed on multiple occasions and possibly under different experimental conditions (see, Vonesh and Chinchilli (1997)[11]. Repeated measurements analysis of variance, often referred to as randomized block and splitplot designs (see Bennett and Franklin
(1954)[4], Sendeecor and Cochran (1967)[10])." Repeated measures designs involving two or more independent groups are among the most common experimental designs in a variety of research settings. Various statistical procedures have been suggested for analyzing data from split-plot designs when parametric model assumptions are violated (see, Brunner and Langer (2000)[5])
AL-Mouel (2004) [1] studied a one-way multivariate repeated measurement analysis of variance (MRM ANOVA) model for complete data.AL-Moueland Wang (2004)[2] studied the sphericity test for one-way multivariate repeated measurements analysis of variance
model.Jassim (2006)[8] studied a two-way multivariate repeated measurement analysis of variance (MRM ANOVA) model for complete data ,with two within -units factors which he labeled as "Time "and "day" , and two betweenunits factors (factor A factor B).Falhy (2008) [6] studied a one-way multivariate repeated measurement analysis of variance (MRM ANOVA) model for complete data. Also she studied the problems of the testing hypotheses of a one-way multivariate repeated measurements analysis of variance (MRM ANCOVA) model for complete data and the test statistics of various hypotheses on between-units factors and within-units factors by using test statistic namely the multivariate Wilks test.AL-Moueland Fakhir(2011)[3] studied a two-way multivariate repeated measurement analysis of covariance (MRM ANOVA) which contains two between-unites factors (factor A and factor B), two within-units factors (Time and Day) and two covariates $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$.For this model the two covariates are time-independent, that is measured only once. Also they studied the test statistics of various hypotheses on between-unites factors, withinunits factors and the interaction between them is given, and including the application to study the effect of some internal and external factors

## 2.The One - Way MRM with two covariates:

There is a variety of possibilities for the between units factors in a one-way design. In a randomized one-way MRM experiment, the experimental units are randomized to one between units factor ( Groups with $q$ levels), one within-units factor(Time with $p$ levels) and two
on blood parameters, which is available in the experiment during one year through nine months.Naji (2011) [9] studied a one -way multivariat repeated measurement analysis of covariance model (MRM ANCOVA), in this model the covariate is time independent,that is measured only once.Also she studied the test statistics of various hypotheses on betweenunits factor,within-units factor and the interaction between them is given.
The focusof this paper is to study the One-way multivariate repeated measurements analysis of covariance (MRM ANCOVA) model with two covariates. The test statistics of various hypotheses on between-units factors, withinunits factors, and the interaction between them are given respectively by using test statistic namely the multivariate Wilks test.We can conclude from the applications study that the main effect for factor into units (one year and twelve months), the effects of each of the between-units factors, the covariate $\left(Z_{1}\right)$, the error of covariate ( $Z_{1}$ ), the within-units factors and effect to interaction between-unit factor (Group ) and within-units factors (Time )are high incorporeal.Used for the extraction of the results MATLAB program.
covariates $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$. For convenience ,we define the following linear model and the parameterization for the one-way repeated measurements design with one between units factors incorporation two covariates:-

$$
y_{i j k}=\mu+\tau_{j}+\delta_{i(j)}+\gamma_{k}+(\tau \gamma)_{j k}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right) \beta_{1}+\left(z_{2 i j}-\bar{z}_{2 . .}\right) \beta_{2}+e_{i j k \ldots}
$$

Where
$i=1, \cdots, n_{j}$ is an index for experimental unit within group j
$j=1, \cdots, q$ is an index for levels of the between-units factor (Group).
$k=1, \cdots, p$ is an index for levels of the within-units factor (Time)
$Y_{i j k}=\left[Y_{i j k 1}, \cdots, Y_{i j k r}\right]$ is the response measurement at time k for unit i within group j .
$\mu=\left[\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{r}\right]^{\prime}$ is the over all mean.
$\tau_{j}=\left[\tau_{j 1}, \cdots, \tau_{j r}\right]$ is the added effect for group j
$\delta_{i(j)}=\left[\delta_{i(j) 1}, \cdots, \delta_{i(j) r}\right]$ is the random
effect due to experimental unit i within treatment group j .
$\gamma_{k}=\left[\gamma_{k 1}, \cdots, \gamma_{k r}\right]$ is the added effect for time k
$(\tau \gamma)_{j k}=\left[(\tau \gamma)_{j k 1}, \cdots,(\tau \gamma)_{j k r}\right]^{\prime}$ is the added effect for the group $j \times$ time k interaction,
$Z_{1 \mathrm{ij}}=\left[Z_{1 \mathrm{ij1}}, \cdots, Z_{1 \mathrm{ijr}}\right]$ is the value of covariate $Z_{1}$ for unit i within groups j , $\bar{Z}_{1 . .}=\left[\bar{Z}_{1 \ldots 1}, \cdots, \bar{Z}_{1 \ldots r}\right]$ is the mean of covariate $Z_{1}$ over all experimental units, $\beta_{1}=\left[\beta_{11}, \cdots, \beta_{1 r}\right]^{\prime}$ is the slope corresponding to covariate $Z_{1}$,
$Z_{2 \mathrm{ij}}=\left[Z_{2 \mathrm{ij} 1}, \cdots, Z_{2 \mathrm{ijr}}\right]^{\prime}$ is the random value of covariate $Z_{2}$ for unit i within groups j ,
$\bar{Z}_{2 \cdots}=\left[\bar{Z}_{2 \cdots 1}, \cdots, \bar{Z}_{2 \cdots r}\right]^{\prime}$ is the mean of covariate $Z_{2}$ over all experimental units, $\beta_{2}=\left[\beta_{21}, \cdots, \beta_{2 r}\right]^{\prime}$ is the slope corresponding to covariate $Z_{2}$, $e_{i j k}=\left[e_{i j k 1}, \cdots, e_{i j k r}\right]^{\prime}$ is the random error on time k for unit i within group j .
For the parameterization to be
of full rank, we imposed the
following set of conditions :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{j=1}^{q} \tau_{j}=0 \sum_{k=1}^{p} \gamma_{k}=0 \\
\sum_{j=1}^{q}(\tau \gamma)_{j k}=0 \text { foreach } k=1, \cdots, p
\end{gathered}
$$

$\sum_{k=1}^{p}(\tau \gamma)_{j k}=0$ foreach $j=1, \cdots, q$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} z_{1 i j}=0 \text { foreach }=1, \cdots, q  \tag{2}\\
& \sum_{j=1}^{q} z_{1 i j}=0 \text { foreach }=1, \cdots, n_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

And we assume that the $e_{i j k}^{s}, \delta_{i(j)}^{s s}$ and $Z_{2 i j}^{s}$ are independent with

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{i j k}=\left[e_{i j k 1}, \cdots, e_{i j k r}\right]^{\prime} \sim \text { i.i.d. } N_{r}\left(0, \Sigma_{e}\right) \\
& \delta_{i(j)}=\left[\delta_{i(j) 1}, \cdots, \delta_{i(j) r}\right]^{\prime} \sim i . i . d . N_{r}\left(0, \Sigma_{\delta}\right) \ldots \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
Z_{2 \mathrm{ij}}=\left[Z_{2 \mathrm{ij} 1}, \cdots, Z_{2 \mathrm{ijr}}\right]^{\prime} \sim \text { i.i.d. } N_{r}\left(0, \Sigma_{Z_{2}}\right)
$$

where $\Sigma_{\epsilon}, \quad \Sigma_{\delta}$ and $\Sigma_{z_{2}}$ are $r \times r$ positive definite matrices. $\operatorname{Let} Y_{i j}=\left[Y_{i j 1}, Y_{i j 2}, \cdots, Y_{i j p}\right]$, i.e.

$$
Y_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_{i j 11} & Y_{i j 21} \cdots & Y_{i j p 1}  \tag{4}\\
Y_{i j 12} & Y_{i j 22} \cdots & Y_{i j p 2} \\
& \vdots & \vdots \\
Y_{i j 1 r} & Y_{i j 2 r} \cdots & Y_{i j p r}
\end{array}\right] \ldots
$$

Let the variance- covariance matrix of $\vec{Y}_{i j}$ be denoted by $\sum$, where

$$
\vec{Y}_{i j}=\operatorname{Vec}\left(Y_{i j}\right)
$$

The $\operatorname{Vec}(\cdot)$ operator creates a column vector from the matrix $Y_{i j}$ by simply stacking the column vectors of $Y_{i j}$ one below another .
Where the variance- covariance matrix $\sum$ of the model (2.1) satisfies the assumption of compound symmetry, i.e.

\[

\]

where
$I_{p}$ denotes the $p \times p$ identity matrix and $J_{p}$ is a matrix of $1^{\prime} s$.
$\otimes$ is the Kronecker product operation of two matrices.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i j}=\left[e_{i j 1}, \cdots, e_{i j r}\right]^{\prime} \sim i . i . d . N_{p \times r}\left(0, I_{p} \otimes \Sigma_{e}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Transforming the One-Way Multivariate Repeated Measurements Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) Model

In this section, we use an orthogonal matrix to transform the observations $Y_{i j k}$ fori $=$ $1, \cdots, n_{j}, j=1, \cdots, q, k=1, \cdots, p$.

Let $U^{*}$ be any $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix. It is partitioned as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{*}=\left(p^{\frac{-1}{2}} j_{p} U\right) . . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{p}}$ denotes the $\mathrm{p} \times 1$ vector of one's, U is $\mathrm{p} \times(\mathrm{p}-1)$ matrix, $U^{\prime} j_{p}=0$ and $U^{\prime} U=I_{p-1}$
let $Y_{i j}^{*}=Y_{i j} U^{*}$
$\left[Y_{i j 1}^{*}, Y_{i j 2}^{*}, \cdots, Y_{i j p}^{*}\right]^{\prime}=\left[Y_{i j 1}, Y_{i j 2}, \cdots, Y_{i j p}\right]^{\prime} U^{*}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_{i j 11}^{*} & \cdots & Y_{i j p 1}^{*}  \tag{8}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
Y_{i j 1 r}^{*} & \cdots & Y_{i j p r}^{*}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_{i j 11} & \cdots & Y_{i j p} 1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
Y_{i j 1 r} & \cdots & Y_{i j p r}
\end{array}\right]\left[p^{\frac{-1}{2}} j_{p} U\right] . .
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Cov}\left(\vec{Y}_{i j}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(\vec{Y}_{i j} U^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(\left(U^{*^{\prime}} \otimes I_{r}\right) \vec{Y}_{i j}\right) \\
& =\left(U^{*^{*}} \otimes I_{r}\right) \Sigma\left(U^{*} \otimes I_{r}\right) \\
& \quad \quad \quad=\left(U^{*} \otimes I_{r}\right)\left(I_{p} \otimes \Sigma_{e}+J_{p} \otimes \Sigma_{\delta}+J_{p} \otimes \Sigma_{Z_{2}}\right)\left(U^{*} \otimes I_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write the above in the following matrix form:
$\operatorname{COV}\left(\vec{Y}_{i j}^{*}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\Sigma_{e}+p\left(\Sigma_{\delta}+\Sigma_{Z_{2}}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{e} & & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & & \cdots \\ \Sigma_{e}\end{array}\right]$

## 4. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for the One-Way Multivariate Repeated Measurements Model

In this section, we study the ANCOVA for the effects of between-units factors and within-units factors for the one-way RM model (1.1). Also we give the null $Y_{i j}^{*}=Y_{i j} U^{*}$
$Y_{i j 1}^{*}=\left(Y_{i j}\right)\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} j_{p)}\right.$
$\therefore Y_{i j 1}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{c}Y_{i j 11}^{*} \\ Y_{i j 12}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{i j 1 r}^{*}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{p} Y_{i j k 1} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{p} Y_{i j k 2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{p} Y_{i j k r}\end{array}\right]$
From (1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i j 1}^{*}=p^{\frac{-1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{p} Y_{i j k} \\
& \quad=p^{\frac{-1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{p}\left(\mu+\tau_{j}+\delta_{i(j)}+\gamma_{k}+(\tau \gamma)_{j k}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right) \beta_{1}+\left(z_{2 i j}-\bar{z}_{2 . .}\right) \beta_{2}+e_{i j k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=P^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu+P^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_{j}+P^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{i(j)}+P^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right) \beta_{1}+P^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(z_{2 i j}-\bar{z}_{2 . .}\right) \beta_{2}+P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{p} e_{i j k} \\
=\mu^{*}+\tau_{j}^{*}+\delta_{i(j)}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right) \beta_{1}^{*}+\left(z_{2 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{2 . .}^{*}\right) \beta_{2}^{*}+e_{i j 1}^{*}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the set of vectors
$\left(Y_{111}^{*}, \cdots, Y_{n_{1} 11}^{*}\right)^{\prime},\left(Y_{121}^{*}, \cdots, Y_{n_{1} 21}^{*}\right)^{\prime}, \cdots,\left(Y_{1 q 1}^{*}, \cdots Y_{n_{q} q 1}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$
Have mean vectors
$X_{1}=\sqrt{P} \mu+\sqrt{P} \tau_{1}+\sqrt{P}\left(Z_{1 i j}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right) \beta_{1}$
$X_{2}=\sqrt{P} \mu+\sqrt{P} \tau_{2}+\sqrt{P}\left(Z_{1 i j}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right) \beta_{1}$
:
$X_{q}=\sqrt{P} \mu+\sqrt{P} \tau_{q}+\sqrt{P}\left(Z_{1 i q}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right) \beta_{1}$
Respectively, and each of them has covariance matrix $\Sigma_{e}+P \Sigma_{\delta}+P \Sigma_{Z_{2}}$.
So, the null hypotheses of the same treatment effects are:

$$
H_{01}=\tau_{1}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=\cdots=\tau_{q}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=0
$$

And are equivalent to the null hypothesis for the same average vector

$$
H_{01}=X_{1}=X_{2}=\cdots=X_{q}=0
$$

The ANCOVA based on the set of transformed observations above the $Y_{i j k 1}^{*}, s$ provides the ANCOVA for between-units effects. This leads to the following form for the sum squares terms:
$\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{G}}, \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}, \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{u}\left(\text { Group } \mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)}$.
$S S_{G}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} n_{j}\left(\bar{Y}_{j 1}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{1}^{*}\right)\left(\bar{Y}_{j 1}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{1}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$
$S S_{Z_{1}}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\left(\bar{Y}_{i j 1}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{1}^{*}\right)\left(\bar{Y}_{i j 1}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{1}^{*}\right)^{\prime}\right]$
$\left.S S_{u(G r o u p} Z_{1}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}}\left(\bar{Y}_{i j 1}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{i j}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{j 1}^{*}+\bar{Y}_{j}^{*}\right)\left(\bar{Y}_{i j 1}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{i j}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{j 1}^{*}+\bar{Y}_{j}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$
Where
$\bar{Y}_{j 1}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} Y_{i j 1}^{*}}{n_{j}} \quad, \bar{Y}_{1}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} Y_{i j 1}^{*}}{n} \quad, \quad \bar{Y}_{i j 1}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} Y_{i j 1}}{n_{j} q}$
Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S_{G} \sim W_{r}\left(q-1, \Sigma_{e}+p\left(\Sigma_{\delta}+\Sigma_{Z_{2}}\right)\right) \\
S S_{Z_{1}} \sim W_{r}\left(1, \Sigma_{e}+p\left(\Sigma_{\delta}+\Sigma_{Z_{2}}\right)\right) \\
S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)} \sim W_{r}\left(n-q-1, \Sigma_{e}+p\left(\Sigma_{\delta}+\Sigma_{Z_{2}}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ denotes the multivariate-Wishart distribution.
The multivariate Wilks test [12].
$T_{w_{1}}=\frac{\left|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right|}{\left|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{G}\right|}$, when $H_{01}$ is true, $T_{w_{1}} \sim \Lambda_{r}(n-q-1, q-1)$
$T_{w_{2}}=\frac{\left|S S_{U\left(G \text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right|}{\left|S S_{U\left(G \text { roup } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{Z_{1}}\right|}$, when $H_{01}$ is true, $T_{w_{2}} \sim \Lambda_{r}(n-q-1,1)$
The ANCOVA based on the set of transformed observations the $Y_{\mathrm{ijk}}^{* \text { ss }}$ for each

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=2, \ldots, p \\
& \text { i.e } Y_{i j k}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
Y_{112}^{*} & Y_{113}^{*} & \cdots & Y_{11}^{*} \\
Y_{212}^{*} & Y_{213}^{*} & \cdots & Y_{21 P}^{*} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
Y_{n_{1}}^{*} & Y_{n_{1} 13}^{*} & \cdots & Y_{n_{1}}^{*} 1 p \\
Y_{122}^{*} & Y_{123}^{*} & \cdots & Y_{12 p}^{*} \\
Y_{222}^{*} & Y_{223}^{*} & \ldots & Y_{22 p}^{*} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
Y_{n_{2}}^{*} & Y_{n_{2} 23}^{*} & \cdots & Y_{n_{2}}^{*} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
Y_{1 q 2}^{*} & Y_{1 q 3}^{*} & \cdots & Y_{1 q p}^{*} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
Y_{n_{q} q 2}^{*} & Y_{n_{q} q 3}^{*} & & Y_{n_{q} q p}^{*}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

has the model
$Y_{i j k}^{*}=\sum_{k^{\prime}=1}^{p} U_{k k^{\prime}} Y_{i j k}$
$=\sum_{k^{\prime}}^{p} U_{k k^{\prime}}\left(\mu+\tau_{j}+\delta_{i(j)}+\gamma_{k^{\prime}}+(\tau \gamma)_{j k^{\prime}}+\left(Z_{1 i j}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}\right) \beta_{1}+\left(Z_{2 i j}-\bar{Z}_{2 . .}\right) \beta_{2}+e_{i j k^{\prime}}\right) .$.
Because the components of $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{k} 1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{p}}\right)$ sum to zero for each

$$
k=2, \cdots, p
$$

Accordingly, the model $k^{t h}$ in (2.12) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i j k}^{*}=\gamma_{k}^{*}+(\tau \gamma)_{j k}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right) \beta_{1}^{*}++e_{i j 1}^{*} \ldots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\left[\gamma_{2}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right) \beta_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \gamma_{p}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right) \beta_{1}^{*}\right]=$

$$
\left[\gamma_{1}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right) \beta_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{p}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right) \beta_{1}\right] U^{*}
$$

It is clear that when

$$
\gamma_{1}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right)=\cdots=\gamma_{p}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right)=0
$$

then

$$
\gamma_{2}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{p}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=0
$$

inanother way $\sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{p}} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}=0$
$\left[0, \gamma_{2}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{p}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)\right]=$

$$
\left[\gamma_{1}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{p}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right)\right] U^{*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\gamma_{1}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{p}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 .}\right)\right]=} \\
{\left[0, \gamma_{2}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{p}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)\right] U^{* \prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Which implies

$$
\gamma_{2}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{p}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=0
$$

Therefore, the hypothesis

$$
H_{02}: \gamma_{1}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right)=\cdots=\gamma_{p}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right)=0
$$

That are equivalent to the hypothesis
$\mathrm{H}_{02}: \gamma_{2}^{*}+\left(\mathrm{z}_{1 \mathrm{ij}}^{*}-\overline{\mathrm{z}}_{1 . .}^{*}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{\mathrm{p}}^{*}+\left(\mathrm{z}_{1 \mathrm{ij}}^{*}-\overline{\mathrm{z}}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=0$

Similarity of each $j$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[(\tau \gamma)_{j 2}^{*}+\left(\bar{Z}_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right), \ldots,(\tau \gamma)_{j p}^{*}+\left(\bar{Z}_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)\right]=} \\
& {\left[(\tau \gamma)_{j 1}+\left(Z_{1 i j}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}\right), \ldots,(\tau \gamma)_{j p}+\left(Z_{1 i j}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}\right)\right] U^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Andhypothesis

$$
H_{03}:(\tau \gamma)_{j 1}+\left(Z_{1 i j}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}\right)=\cdots=(\tau \gamma)_{j p}+\left(Z_{1 i j}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}\right)=0
$$

Be equivalent to the hypothesis

$$
H_{03}:(\tau \gamma)_{j 2}^{*}+\left(\bar{Z}_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right), \ldots,(\tau \gamma)_{j p}^{*}+\left(\bar{Z}_{1 i j}^{*}-\bar{Z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=0
$$

The ANCOVA based on the set of within-units effects. This leads to the transformed observations above, the following forms for the sum squares terms : $\left[Y_{i j 2}^{*}, \cdots, Y_{i j p}^{*}\right]$ provides the ANCOVA for

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S_{\text {Time }}=\sum_{k=2}^{p} n\left(\bar{Y}_{K}^{*}\left(\bar{Y}_{K}^{*}\right)^{\prime}\right. \\
S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Group }}=\sum_{k=2}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{q} n_{j}\left(\bar{Y}_{j k}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{k}^{*}\right)\left(\bar{Y}_{j k}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{k}^{*}\right)^{\prime} \\
S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}= \\
\sum_{k=2}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{i=}^{n_{j}}\left(\bar{Y}_{i j k}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{j k}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{i j}^{*}+\bar{Y}_{j}^{*}\right)\left(\bar{Y}_{i j k}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{j k}^{*}-\bar{Y}_{i j}^{*}+\bar{Y}_{j}^{*}\right)^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where
$\bar{Y}_{k}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{q} n_{j} Y_{j k}^{*}}{n} \quad, k=2, \ldots, p$
$\bar{Y}_{j k}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} Y_{i j k}^{*}}{n_{j}} \quad, k=2, \ldots, p$
Then from the above sum squares terms, we have :

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S_{\text {Time }} \sim W_{r}\left(q-1, \Sigma_{e}\right) \\
S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Grup }} \sim W_{r}\left((q-1)(q-1), \Sigma_{e}\right) \\
S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Grup } Z_{1}\right)} \sim W_{r}\left((q-1)(n-q), \Sigma_{e}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The multivariate Wilks test :
$T_{w 3}=\frac{\left|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right|}{\left.\mid S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }} \text { (Group } Z_{1}\right)+S S_{\text {Time }} \mid}$, when $H_{02}$ is true

$$
T_{w 3} \sim \Lambda_{r}((p-1)(n-q),(p-1))
$$

$T_{w 4}=\frac{\left.\mid S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }(G r o u p} Z_{1}\right) \mid}{\left.\mid S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }(G r o u p ~} Z_{1}\right)+S S_{\text {Time }} \times \text { Group } \mid}$, when $H_{03}$ is true

$$
T_{w 4} \sim \Lambda_{r}((p-1)(n-q),(p-1)(q-1))
$$

The One-way MRM ANCOVA with one between-unit factor (Group) and two covariates ( $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ ) that are time-independent.

|  | Source | D.F | SS | Wilks Criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between | Group | $q-1$ | $S S_{G}$ | $T_{w_{1}}=\frac{\left\|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right\|}{\left\|S S_{U\left(G \text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{G}\right\|}$ |
|  | $Z_{1}$ | 1 | $S S_{Z_{1}}$ | $T_{w_{2}}=\frac{\left\|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right\|}{\left\|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{Z_{1}}\right\|}$ |
|  | Unit(Group $Z_{1}$ ) | $n-q-1$ | $S S_{u\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}$ |  |
| within | Time | $p-1$ | $S S_{\text {Time }}$ | $T_{w 3}=\frac{\left\|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right\|}{\left\|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{\text {Time }}\right\|}$ |
|  | Time $\times$ Group | $(p-1)(q-1$ | $S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Group }}$ | $T_{w 4}=\frac{\left\|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right\|}{\left\|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Group }}\right\|}$ |
|  | Time $\times$ Unit(Group ${ }_{1}$ ) | $(p-1)(n-q)$ | $S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}$ |  |

## 5. The Experiment

The experiment was carried out to study the assessment of water quality of the northern part of shatt AL-Arab river at Basra,Iraq and determine its suitability for aquatic environment ,drinking and irrigation. For the area located to the south of Quran up to the Abul-Khaseeb in the south through studying some variables including the chemical ,physical and biological quality variables that have the greatest effect on water quality in order to find the index of water quality.Among these variables, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, biological oxygen demand and boron were chosen. Water samples from stations during the ebb period (extending from July 2009 to June 2010). The present study deals with the data that above mentioned( Biology department, College of
science-University of Basra )study present. The design of the experiment was done according to the one-way multivariate repeated measurements model considering the effects of two independent factors. Multivariance analysis was done by fraction the total differences into two classes or groups:-
The First Class: refers to the differences of the essential elements between the measurement elements (between-units factors) which are out of control.
The Second Class: refers to the differences in within-units factors which are reated to the external factors.
According to the mathematical formula of the model study (1) and by applying the model to the experiment, we get the sum squares, of the effects between-units factors
, the covariate $\left(Z_{1}\right)$, the error of covariate ( $Z_{1}$ ), the within-units factors, effect to interaction between-unit factor (Group ) and within-units factors (Time ), Of the Experiment as follows:
1- The sum squares of the effect of between-units factors to test the hypotheses
$H_{01}=\tau_{1}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i 1}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=\cdots=\tau_{4}^{*}+$
$\left(z_{1 i 4}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=0$ is $\quad S S_{G}$ which is $12 \times 12$ matrix.
The values of Wilks statisticto test the hypotheses $H_{01}$ is

$$
T_{w_{1}}=0.0464
$$

It is clear from the above that the Wilks statistic is distributed the Wilks distribution in the following :
$\Lambda_{01} \sim \Lambda_{4,11,3}$

$$
\text { with } F=3.9189
$$

$F_{t}(12,21,0.05)=2.2504$
At 0.05 level of significance, we found that the calculated F -value is greater than the statistical test value. This means that there are levels of significance found

3-The sum squares of the within-units factor is to test the hypotheses
$H_{02}: \gamma_{1}+\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right)=\cdots=\gamma_{4}+$
$\left(z_{1 i j}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}\right)=0$ is $S S_{T}$ which is $12 \times 12$ matrix
The values of Wilks statistic to test the hypotheses $H_{02}$ is
$T_{w 3}=1.8844 \mathrm{e}-009$
the Wilks statistics distributed the Wilks distribution in the following :
$\Lambda_{03} \sim \Lambda_{4,36,3}$
with $F=1.4481 \mathrm{e}+004$
$F_{t}(12,88,0.05)=2.4961$
0.05 level of significance, we found that the calculated F-value is greater than the statistical test value. This means that there is a level of significance of concentration of the elements within the four groups.

4-Sum squares of the effect to the
interaction between-unit factor (Group ) and
concentration of the elements among the four groups.
2- The sum squares of the covariate ( $Z_{1}$ ) to test the hypotheses :
$H_{01}=\tau_{1}^{*}+\left(z_{1 i 4}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=\cdots=\tau_{4}^{*}+$
$\left(z_{1 i 4}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=0$ is $\quad S S_{Z_{1}}$ which is $12 \times 12$ matrix.
The values of Wilks statistic to test the hypotheses $H_{01}$ is
$T_{w_{2}}=0.9455$
the Wilks statistics distributed the Wilks distribution in the following :
$\Lambda_{02} \sim \Lambda_{4,11,1}$ with $F=0.1153$
$F_{t}(4,8,0.05)=3.8378$
0.05 level of significance, we found that the calculated F -value is less than the statistical test value. This means that there is no level of significance of concentration of the elements among the four groups. ,i.e. there are no level of significance of the covariate on concentration of elements
within-units factors (Time) to test the hypotheses

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{03}:\left(\tau \gamma^{*}\right)_{11}+\left(z_{1 i 1}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=\cdots= \\
& \left(\tau \gamma^{*}\right)_{14}+\left(z_{1 i 1}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=\cdots= \\
& \tau \gamma * 41+ \\
& \left(z_{1 i 4}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1.1}^{*}\right)=\cdots=\left(\tau \gamma^{*}\right)_{44}+ \\
& \left(z_{1 i 4}^{*}-\bar{z}_{1 . .}^{*}\right)=\text { ois } S S_{T \times G} \text { which is } 12 \times 12
\end{aligned}
$$

Thlaerixalues of Wilks statistics to test the hypotheses $H_{03}$ is
$T_{w 4}=3.3094 \mathrm{e}-009$
The Wilks statistics distributed the Wilks distribution in the following :

$$
\Lambda_{04} \sim \Lambda_{4,36,9}
$$

with $F=634.6899$
$F_{t}(36,125,0.05)=1.7628$
0.05 level of significance, we found that the calculated F-value is greater than the statistical test value. This means that there is a level of significance of concentration of the elements within the four groups.

Table 1:The table below shows the analysis of covariance of effects between-units factor and withinunits factors to design a model of multivariate measurements one-way and with one factor in the
experiment through all units of Wilks aspect:

|  | Source | D.F | SS | Wilks |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Between | Group | 3 | $S S_{G}$ | 0.0464 |
|  |  | $Z_{1}$ | 1 | $S S_{Z_{1}}$ |
|  | Unit $\left(\right.$ Group $\left.Z_{1}\right)$ | 11 | $S S_{u\left(G \text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}$ |  |
|  | Time | 3 | $S S_{\text {Time }}$ | $1.8844 \mathrm{e}-009$ |
|  | Time $\times$ Group | 9 | $S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Grup }}$ | $3.3094 \mathrm{e}-009$ |
|  | Time $\times$ Unit $\left(G r o u p Z_{1}\right)$ | 36 | $S S_{\text {Time }}$ UUnit $\left(\right.$ Grup $\left.Z_{1}\right)$ |  |

## Conclusion

The conclusions which are obtained
throughout this work are given as follows:

$$
T_{W_{1}}=\frac{\left|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right|}{\left|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{G}\right|}
$$

$$
\text { , when } H_{01} \text { is true }
$$

$$
T_{w_{1}} \sim \Lambda_{r}(n-q-1, q-1)
$$

$T_{W_{2}}=\frac{\left|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}\right|}{\left|S S_{U\left(\text { Group } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{Z_{1}}\right|}$

$$
\text { , when } H_{01} \text { is true }
$$

$$
T_{w_{2}} \sim \Lambda_{r}(n-q-1,1)
$$

$$
T_{w 3}=\frac{\left|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Grup } Z_{1}\right)}\right|}{\left|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Grup } Z_{1}\right)}+S S_{\text {Time }}\right|} \quad \text {, when } H_{02} \text { is true }
$$

$$
T_{w 3} \sim \Lambda_{r}((p-1)(n-q),(p-1))
$$

$$
T_{w 4}=\frac{\left|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Grup } Z_{1}\right)}\right|}{T_{w 3} \sim \Lambda_{r}((p-1)(n-q),(p-1))} \begin{array}{r}
\left|S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Unit }\left(\text { Grup } Z_{1}+\right.}+S S_{\text {Time } \times \text { Grup }}\right|
\end{array} \quad \text {, when } H_{03} \text { is true }
$$

## Recommendations

1- We recommend the use of repeated
, when $H_{01}$ is true
measurements model for ecological experiments because it takes into consideration the correlations between the measurements for the
every experimental unit at each between the measurements for the
every experimental unit at each period under different experimental circumstances.

1- The multivariate Wilks statistic for testing the null hypotheses for betweenunits effects and within-units effects are:

2- We recommend much attention should be paid and much fund should be devoted to the scientific research related to waters and predicting their future situation.

## References

A.H.S., Al-Mouel "Multivariate Repeated Measures Models and Comparison of Estimators ", Ph.D. Thesis, East China Normal University, China 2004.
[2] A.H.S., Al-Mouel, and J.L.,Wang " One-Way Multivariate Repeated Measurements Analysis of Variance Model", Applied Mathematics a Journal of Chinese Universities, 19,4, 435-448, 2004.
[3] A.H.S., Al-Mouel, and A.S., Fakir "Two-Way Multivariate Repeated Measurements Analysis of Covariance Model With Two Covariates", Applied Mathematics a Journal of Basrah Researches (Sciences) Vo. 37,No. 2, 2011.
[4] C.A .Bennett, and N.L .Franklin, "Statistical Analysis in Chemistry and the Chemical Industry", John Wiley \& Sons, Inc., New York, (1954)
[5] E., Brunner., and F. ,Langer,. "Nonparametric Analysis of Ordered Categorical Data in Designs with Longitudinal Observations and Small Sample Sizes ",Biometrical Journal, 42, 663-675, ,(2000).
[6] F.H.Falhy" One-Way Multivariate Repeated Measurements model and Sphericity test", Master of Science in Mathematics Thesis, University of Basra, ,(2008)
[7] H .Huynh, and L.S. Feldt, "Conditions Under Which Mean Square Ratios in Repeated measurements Designs Have Exact F-Distributions", Journal of the American Statistical Association, 65,1970, 1582-1589..
[8] J.M. Jassim "Multivariate Repeated measures model and sphericity test ",P.h.D Thesis, University of Basra • (2006)
[9] H.Z., Naji, R., Dawood and R.R., Faik " Analysis of variance and covariance Repeated Measurements Model", J. Basrah Researches (Sciences) Vol. 37 ,NO. 1, 32-38, (2011)
[10] G.W. Snedecor, and W.G .Cochran, "Statistical Methods ", $6^{\text {th }}$ edition, Ames, Iowa State University press, Iowa, (1967).
E.F.Vonesh, and V.M .Chinchill "linear and non linear models for the Analysis of Repeated measurements", Marcel Dakker Inc., New York (1997).
[12] S.S. Wliks " Certain generalizations in the analysis of variance." Biometrika, 24, 471-494, 1932.

