The inverse of operator matrix A where $A \ge I$ and A > 0

Mohammad Saleh Balasim

Department of Mathematics, Collage of Science, AL-Mustansiryah University, Baghdad, Iraq

محمد صالح بلاسم فسم الرياضيات @JJjätT الجامعه المستنصريه بغداد ، العراق

الخلاصة

ليكن كل من H,K فضاء هلبرت وليكنH⊕Kهو الضرب الديكارتي لهما وليكن

، H,K, H⊕K للمستمره (المستمره) على B(K,H),B(H,K) B(K),B(H),B(H⊕K) ومن H,K, H⊕K فضاءات باناخ لكل المؤثر ات المقيده (المستمره) على B(H,K),B(H,K) B(K),B(H),B(H⊕K) ومن لم اللي الموثر (B(H⊕K),B(H),B(H⊕K)) على الترتيب في هذا البحث سنجد معكوس مصفوفة المؤثر (H⊕K) ومن H للى H و من H للى B(H),CeB(K,H),DeB(H,K),EeB(K) حيث أن I_{H⊕K} المحايد A > 0, A ≥ I_{H⊕K} وأن BeB(H),CeB(K,H),DeB(H,K),EeB(K) حيث
$$H⊕K$$
 على المؤثر المحايد H⊕K

ABSTRACT

Let H and K be Hilbert spaces and let $H \oplus K$ be the cartesian product of them.Let B(H),B(K),B(H \oplus K),B(K,H),B(H,K) be the Banach spaces of bounded(continuous) operators on H,K,H \oplus K,and from K into H and from H into K respectively.In this paper we find the inverse of operator matrix $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}B(H\oplus K)$ where BcB(H),CcB(K,H), DcB(H,K), EcB(K) and $A \ge \mathbf{I}_{H \oplus K}$, $A > \mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{I}_{H \oplus K}$ is the identity operator on $H \oplus K$

Introduction

Let <,> denotes an inner product on a Hilbert space, and we will denote Hilbert spaces by H, K, H_i, K_i and H \oplus K denotes the Cartesian product of the Hilbert spaces H, K and B(H), B(H \oplus K), B(K,H), be the Banach spaces of bounded(continuous) operators on H, H \oplus K, and from K into H respectively[see2]. The inner product on H \oplus K is define by: < (x, y), (w, z) \geq < x, w > + < y, z > x, w \in H, y, z \in K we say that A is positive operator on H and denote that by A \geq 0 if < Ax, x > \geq 0 for all x in

We say that A is positive operator on A and denote that by $A \ge 0$ if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge 0$ for all x in H, and in this case it has a unique positive square root, we denote this square root by \sqrt{A} [see2], it is easy to check that A is invertible if and only if \sqrt{A} is invertible. A*denotes the adjoint of A and I_H denotes the identity operator on the Hilbert space H. We define the operator matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ E & D \end{bmatrix} \in B(H \oplus K, L \oplus M)$ where $B \in B(H, L)$, $C \in B(K, L)$, $E \in B(H, M)$, $D \in B(K, M)$ as following $A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ E & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Bx + Cy \\ Ex + Dy \end{bmatrix}$, where $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in H \oplus K$, and similar for the case $m \times n$ operator matrix [see 1&3&6]. If $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ E & D \end{bmatrix}$ then $A^* = \begin{bmatrix} B^* & E^* \\ C^* & D^* \end{bmatrix}$.

If $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \ge \mathbf{0}$ then \mathbf{A} is a self- adjoint and so has the form $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}^* & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}$ and similar for the case $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ operator matrix [see 1&3]. For related topics[see 7&8]. For elementary facts about matrices [see5 &9] and for elementary facts about Hilbert spaces and operator theory [see 2&6].

Remark: we will sometimes denote $I_{H \oplus K}$ (the identity on $H \oplus K$) or I_H (the identity on H) or I_K (the identity on K) or any identity operator by I, and also we will sometimes denote any zero operator by 0

1)Preliminaries:

Proposition1.1.: Let $T \in B(H,K)$ then

1) if $T^*T \ge I$ and $TT^* \ge I$ then T is invertible,

2)if T is self-adjoint, $\mathbf{T}^2 \geq \mathbf{I}$ then T is invertible,

3) if $T \ge 0$ then T is invertible if and only if \sqrt{T} is invertible, and in this case we have $(\sqrt{T})^2)^{-1} = ((\sqrt{T})^{-1})^2$,

4) if T is self-adjoint then T is invertible from right if and only if it is invertible from left,

5) if $T \ge I$ then T is invertible,

6) if $T \ge 0$ and it is invertible then $T^{-1} \ge 0$, and in this case we have $\sqrt{T^{-1}} = (\sqrt{T})^{-1}$

7) $T \ge I$ if and only if $0 \le T^{-1} \le I$.

Proof:1)see[2]p.156

2)from 1)

3) if T is invertible then there exists an operator S such that ST = TS = I, so

 $(S\sqrt{T})\sqrt{T} = \sqrt{T}(\sqrt{T}S) = I$ i.e. \sqrt{T} is invertible. Conversely if \sqrt{T} is invertible then there exists an operator R such that $R\sqrt{T} = \sqrt{T}R = I$, so $I = I \cdot I = (\sqrt{T}R)(\sqrt{T}R) = \sqrt{T}(R\sqrt{T})R = \sqrt{T}(\sqrt{T}R)R = TR^2 = R^2T$, hence T is invertible, and in this case we have $(\sqrt{T})^2)^{-1} = T^{-1} = R^2 = ((\sqrt{T})^{-1})^2$.

4) if T is self-adjoint then $T = T^*$, but T is invertible from right if and only if T^* is invertible from left.^D

5) if $T \ge I$ then $T \ge 0$, so \sqrt{T} exists and it is self-adjoint and $(\sqrt{T})^2 \ge I$, so \sqrt{T} is invertible and hence T is invertible.

6)if $\mathbf{T} \ge 0$ and it is invertible then $\langle Tx, x \rangle \ge 0$,so $\langle TT^{-1}x, T^{-1}x \rangle \ge 0$. i.e. $\langle x, T^{-1}x \rangle \ge 0, \forall x$. Hence $T^{-1} \ge 0$. Now $\sqrt{I} = I$, because $\sqrt{I} \cdot \sqrt{I} = I$, and I. I = I, but the positive square root is unique(see[2]p.149) so $\sqrt{I} = I$. and since $\mathbf{T} \ge 0$, $T^{-1} \ge 0$, $T^{-1}T = I \ge 0$, we have $\sqrt{T^{-1}}\sqrt{T} = \sqrt{T^{-1}T}$ (see[2]p.149), so $\sqrt{T^{-1}}\sqrt{T} = \sqrt{I} = I$, hence $\sqrt{T^{-1}} = (\sqrt{T})^{-1}$. D 7) if $T \ge I$ then $T \ge 0$ and it is invertible .so[from 6)] we have $T^{-1} \ge 0$. Now $T^{-1} \ge 0$ & $T - I \ge 0$ & $T^{-1}(T - I) = (T - I) T^{-1}$ [because $T^{-1}(T - I) = T^{-1}T - T^{-1} = I - T^{-1}$ and $(T - I) T^{-1} = T T^{-1} - T^{-1} = I - T^{-1}$]. So, $T^{-1}(T - I) \ge 0$ (see[2]p.149), hence $T^{-1} \le I$. D Conversely if $0 \le T^{-1} \le I$ then [from 6)] we have $T \ge 0$ but $I - T^{-1} \ge 0$ and $T(I - T^{-1}) = (I - T^{-1})T$, so $T(I - T^{-1}) \ge 0$, hence $T \ge I$.D

Proposition 1.2:1) if $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$ then $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{D}^*$ and $\mathbf{B}\ge 0$ & $\mathbf{E}\ge 0$ 2) if $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix} \ge \mathbf{I}$ then $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{D}^*$ and $\mathbf{B}\ge \mathbf{I}$ & $\mathbf{E}\ge \mathbf{I}$ 3) if $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix} \le \mathbf{I}$ then $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{D}^*$ and $\mathbf{B}\le \mathbf{I}$ & $\mathbf{E}\le \mathbf{I}$

Proof:1)see[1]p.18.□

2) if $A \ge I$ then $A - I \ge 0$ but $I = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, so $\begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B - I & C \\ D & E - I \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$. Then from 1) we have that $C=D^*$ $B - I \ge 0, E - I \ge 0$ i.e. $B \ge I \& E \ge I.D$

3)Similar to 2)

Proposition 1.3.: if $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}^* & \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible, $\mathbf{A} \ge \mathbf{I}$ then B,E are invertible

Proof: from Proposition 1.2. 2) we have $B \ge I \& E \ge I$, so B, E are invertible.

To show that the converse is not true we need the following theorem from[1]p.19:-

Theorem1.4.:Let $B \in B(H), E \in B(K), C \in B(K, H)$ such that $B \ge 0$ & $E \ge 0$ then:

 $\begin{bmatrix} B \\ C^* \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 \text{ if and only if there exists a contraction XeB(K,H) such that } C = \sqrt{B} X\sqrt{E} \text{ .}$ Now the following example show that the converse of proposition 1.3. is not true **Example 1.5**: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, so $B=2\ge 1, E=2\ge 1$ and they are invertible but A is not invertible[since det A=0].Note that $A \ge 0$ [since $C=2=\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}=\sqrt{B} X\sqrt{E}$ where X =1,hence $|X| \le 1$], but $A \ge I$ [since $A - I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, and if $\exists X$ such that $2=\sqrt{1} X\sqrt{1}$, so X =2,hence $|X| \le 1$ i.e. $A - I \ge 0$, hence $A \ge I$].

Remark1.6.: it is easy to check that:

1) if A is invertible $\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}$ operator matrix (i.e. $\exists ann \times m \text{ operator matrix } B \text{ s.t. } AB = I_m \& BA = I_n$

Where $I_m \& I_n$ are the $m \times m \&$ the $n \times n$ identity operator matrices respectively) and if matrix C results from A by interchanging two rows(columns) of A then C is also invertible.

2) if two rows(columns) of an $m \times n$ operator matrix A are equal then A is not invertible.

3) if a row(column) of an $m \times n$ operator matrix A consists entirely of zero operators then A is not invertible.

4) $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if and only if B,E are invertible, and in this case $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & E^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$.

Remark1.7.: from remark1.6. 1) we can conclude : if $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{bmatrix} \in B(H \oplus K, L \oplus M)$ then

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} C & B \\ E & D \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} D & E \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} E & D \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible.

2) The inverse of a 2×2 operator matrix A where A \geq I

Theorem 2.1.:1) if $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & E \end{bmatrix} \ge I$ then $B, E, B - CE^{-1}C^*, E - C^*B^{-1}C$ are invertible and $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & -(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1}CE^{-1} \\ -E^{-1}C^*(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & (E - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ In fact :2)if $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & E \end{bmatrix} \ge I$ then $B \ge I, E \ge I, B - CE^{-1}C^* \ge I, E - C^*B^{-1}C \ge I$ Proof 1) if $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & E \end{bmatrix} \ge I$ then A is invertible[proposition1.1.5)] and $B \ge I, E \ge I$ [proposition1.2.2)] ,so B,E are invertible [proposition1.1.5)] Now, let $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} J & G \\ G^* & F \end{bmatrix}$ i.e. $AA^{-1} = I = \begin{bmatrix} I_H & 0 \\ 0 & I_K \end{bmatrix}$,then $J \ge 0, F \ge 0$ since $A^{-1} \ge 0$. And i) $BJ + CG^*=I_H$, ii) BG + CF=0, iii) $C^*J + EG^*=0$, iv) $C^*G + EF = I_K$. So from iii) we have JC + GE=0.So, $G=-JCE^{-1} = -B^{-1}CF$. Then we have from iv) that

$$(E - C^*B^{-1}C)F = I_K \text{ i.e. } E - C^*B^{-1}C \text{ is invertible }, F = (E - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1},$$

and from i) we have $J(B - CE^{-1}C^*) = I_H$, so $B - CE^{-1}C^*$ is invertible, and
 $J = (B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1}, G = -(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1}CE^{-1} = -B^{-1}C(E - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1}.$
Then it is clear that, $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & -(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1}CE^{-1} \\ -E^{-1}C^*(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & (E - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$

2) if
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}^* & \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix} \ge \mathbf{I}$$
 then

$$0 \le \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{C}')^{-1} & -(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{C}')^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{E}^{-1} \\ -\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{C}'(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{C}')^{-1} & (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \le \mathbf{I}$$

, so from proposition 1.2.1&3) We have 0 ≤ $(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} \le I, 0 \le (E - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \le I$,

then from proposition 1.1.7)

$$\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{C}^* \ge \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{C} \ge \mathbf{I},$$

also from proposition 1.2.2) We have that $B \ge I \& E \ge I$.

Remark2.2.: it is easy to check that if $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{C}$ are invertible then $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}^* & \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible and

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & -(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1}CE^{-1} \\ E^{-1}C^*(B & CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & (E & C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Remark2.3.:since $(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1}CE^{-1} = B^{-1}C(E - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1}$, and since $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & E \end{bmatrix} \ge I$, hence $A - I \ge 0$ and $A \ge 0$, therefore there exists a contraction X and a contraction Y such that

 $C = \sqrt{B} X \sqrt{E} = \sqrt{B - I} Y \sqrt{E - I}$

then we have alternative forms of A^{-1} such:

1)
$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & -B^{-1}C(E - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \\ -E^{-1}C^*(B - CE^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & (E - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ or}$$

2)
$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{B})^{-1}(I - XX^*)^{-1}(\sqrt{B})^{-1} & -(\sqrt{B})^{-1}(I - XX^*)^{-1}X(\sqrt{E})^{-1} \\ -(\sqrt{E})^{-1}X^*(I - XX^*)^{-1}(\sqrt{E})^{-1} & (\sqrt{E})^{-1}(I - X^*X)^{-1}(\sqrt{E})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \dots \text{ etc.}$$

Remark2.4.:the second form of A^{-1} above show that $I - XX^*$, $I - X^*X$ are invertible and this is easy to check.

Remark2.5.:we know that if a ,c , e are complex numbers(the complex number is a special case of an operator) and

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} b & c \\ c^* & \mathbf{e} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \mathbf{C}^* \text{ is the conjugate of c then } \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{e}-|\mathbf{c}|^2} & \frac{-\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{e}-|\mathbf{c}|^2} \\ \frac{-\mathbf{c}^*}{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{e}-|\mathbf{c}|^2} & \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{e}-|\mathbf{c}|^2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ but from}$$

above:

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (b - ce^{-1}c^{*})^{-1} & -(b - ce^{-1}c^{*})^{-1}ce^{-1} \\ -e^{-1}c^{*}(b - ce^{-1}c^{*})^{-1} & (e - c^{*}b^{-1}c)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{b - \frac{|c|^{2}}{e}} & -\frac{1}{b - \frac{|c|^{2}}{e}}c^{\frac{1}{e}} \\ -\frac{1}{e}c^{*}\frac{1}{b - \frac{|c|^{2}}{e}} & \frac{1}{e - \frac{|c|^{2}}{b}} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e}{be - |c|^{2}} & \frac{-c}{be - |c|^{2}} \\ \frac{-c^{*}}{be - |c|^{2}} & \frac{b}{be - |c|^{2}} \end{bmatrix} D$$

Remark2.6.: of course we can generalize the 2×2 case to the $n \times n$ case by iteration. For

example: if
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C & D \\ D^* & G^* & F \end{bmatrix} \ge I$$
, then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C & D \\ C^* & E & G \\ D^* & G^* & F \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & E \\ D^* & G^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D \\ G \\ F \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & E \\ B \end{bmatrix}, and we can first find the inverse of A.$$

Remark2.7.: there is no general relation between the invertibility of $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{bmatrix}$ and the invertibility of **B**, **C**, **D**, **E**, and all the 32 cases can be hold, for example

- 1)A = $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not invertible but **B**, **C**, **D**, **E** are invertible
- 2) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible and also B, C, D, E are invertible

3)A =
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is not invertible

[sincedet A=0]and B = $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

is not invertible, but $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are invertible.

And so on.

of course, $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible, is useful here

3) The inverse of a 2×2 operator matrix A where A > 0

In this section we generalize the results of $A \ge I$ to A > 0.

Theorem 3.1.: if
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}^* & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} > \mathbf{0}$$
 is an invertible then so are B&D.

Proof:
$$\mathbf{C} = \sqrt{\mathbf{B}} X \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}$$
, $\mathbf{C}^* = \sqrt{\mathbf{D}} X^* \sqrt{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\exists \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{G}^* & \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$ then

 $BE + \sqrt{B} X \sqrt{D}G^* = I, \sqrt{D} X^* \sqrt{B}G + DF = I.Hence,$

 $\sqrt{B}(\sqrt{B}E + X\sqrt{D}G^*) = I, \sqrt{D}(X^*\sqrt{B}G + \sqrt{D}F) = I.So, \sqrt{B}, \sqrt{D}$ are invertible, then B, D are invertible

Remark 3.2.: the converse of theorem 3.1. is not true as we can see by the following example.

Example 3.3.:let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} > 0$ (since $C = 1 = \sqrt{1} X \sqrt{1}$ where X = 1 and ||X|| = |1| = 1 so A > 0), then B = 1, D = 1 are invertible but A is not an invertible (detA = 0).

Remark 3.4.: if **A** is not positive then it is may be that $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ E & D \end{bmatrix}$ is an invertible but **B**, **D** are not , as we can see by the following example.

Example 3.5.: let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ then A is not positive $(C = 1 \neq \sqrt{B} \times \sqrt{D} = 0)$ and A is an invertible (detA $\neq 0$) but B = 0, D = 0 are not invertible.

The main result in this section is the following:

Theorem 3.6.: $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & D \end{bmatrix} > 0$ is an invertible if and only if $B, D, B - CD^{-1}C^*, D - C^*B^{-1}C$ are invertible, and in this case we have: $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & -(B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1}CD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C^*(B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & (D - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$. Proof: \Rightarrow)If $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & D \end{bmatrix} > 0$ is an invertible $A^{-1} > 0$ so let $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} E & G \\ G^* & F \end{bmatrix}$ then i)BE + CG^{*} = 1,ii) BG + CF = 0,iii)C^*E + DG^* = 0, iv)C^{*}G + DF = I, then we have $G = -ECD^{-1} = -B^{-1}CF$. Hence $E(B - CD^{-1}C^*) = I$, i.e. $B - CD^{-1}C^*$ is an invertible and $E = (B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1}$. $(D - C^*B^{-1}C)F = I$, i.e. $D - C^*B^{-1}C$ is an invertible and $F = (D - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1}$. Then it is clear that

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & -(B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1}CD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C^*(B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & (D - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

if we let $M = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & -(B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1}CD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C^*(B - CD^{-1}C^*)^{-1} & (D - C^*B^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ then it is easy to check that $AM = I$ i.e. $M = A^{-1}$

From the proof of theorem 3.6 we can prove that

Theorem 3.7.: if B&D are invertible then

 $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{H} \quad \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L} \quad \mathbf{M}) \text{ is an invertible if and only if } \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{E}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{C} \text{ are invertible and in this case we have}$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CD^{-1}E)^{-1} & -(B - CD^{-1}E)^{-1}CD^{-1} \\ -(D - EB^{-1}C)^{-1}EB^{-1} & (D - EB^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in B(L \quad M, H \quad K)$$

Proof: Similar to proof of theorem 3.6..

Remark 3.8.: Also we can get the following alternative forms of A^{-1}

$$1)A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CD^{-1}E)^{-1} & -B^{-1}C(D - EB^{-1}C)^{-1} \\ -(D - EB^{-1}C)^{-1}EB^{-1} & (D - EB^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$2)A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CD^{-1}E)^{-1} & -(B - CD^{-1}E)^{-1}CD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}E(B - CD^{-1}E)^{-1} & (D - EB^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$3)A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (B - CD^{-1}E)^{-1} & -B^{-1}C(D - EB^{-1}C)^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}E(B - CD^{-1}E)^{-1} & (D - EB^{-1}C)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remark 3.9.: $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & D \end{bmatrix} \ge I$ is special case of $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & D \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$ (because $A \ge I > 0$). And if $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & D \end{bmatrix} \ge I$ then it is necessary that A is invertible then $B, D, B - CD^{-1}C^*, D - C^*B^{-1}C$ are invertible , in fact $B \ge I, D \ge I, B - CD^{-1}C^* \ge I, D - C^*B^{-1}C \ge I$, (and hence they are invertible). And if they are invertible then $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & D \end{bmatrix}$ is an invertible. So we may ask the following question : Question 3.10.: is it true that if $B \ge I, D \ge I, B - CD^{-1}C^* \ge I, D - C^*B^{-1}C \ge I$ then

Question 3.10.: is it true that if $B \ge I, D \ge I, B - CD^{-1}C^* \ge I, D - C^*B^{-1}C \ge I$ then $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & D \end{bmatrix} \ge I?$

But the following example show that this is not true:-

Example 3.11.: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4.1 \\ 4.1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ then $B \ge 1$, $D \ge 1$,

$$B - CD^{-1}C^* = B - \frac{|C|^2}{D} = 5 - \frac{16.81}{5} = 1.638 \ge 1,$$

 $D - C^*B^{-1}C = D - \frac{|c|^2}{B} = 5 - \frac{16.81}{5} = 1.638 \ge 1$ but,

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4.1 \\ 4.1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \ge \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4.1 \\ 4.1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$ but this is not true(because it is true if and only if there exists X, $|X| \le 1$ such that $4.1 = \sqrt{4} X \sqrt{4}$, but then

 $|\mathbf{X}| = \frac{4.1}{4} > 1$, a contradiction).

Remark3.12: If TeB(H,K) then it is easy to check

T is an invertible if and only if $T^*T \in B(H, H) \& TT^* \in B(K, K)$ are invertible and in this case we have $T^{-1} = (T^*T)^{-1}T^* = T^*(TT^*)^{-1}$

Also from [2] we have:

i) $T^*T \ge 0$ and $TT^* \ge 0$

ii) $T \neq 0$ if and only if $T^*T \neq 0$ if and only if $T^*T \neq 0$

so we have that

T is an invertible if and only if $T^*T > 0 \& TT^* > 0$ are invertible and in this case we have $T^{-1} = (T^*T)^{-1}T^* = T^*(TT^*)^{-1}$. Hence we can use this fact to find the inverse of $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ E & D \end{bmatrix}$ (if it exists) by first find the inverses of $AA^* > 0 \& A^*A > 0$ and use them to find the inverse of A,so

Theorem3.13: $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ E & D \end{bmatrix} \in B(H \ K,L \ M)$ is an invertible if and only if 1) $a = BB^* + CC^* 2$ $b = EE^* + DD^* 3$ $c = a - (BE^* + CD^*)b^{-1}(BE^* + CD^*)^*$ 4) $d = b - (EB^* + DC^*)a^{-1}(EB^* + DC^*)^* 5$ $e = B^*B + E^*E \ 6$ $f = C^*C + D^*D$ 7) $g = e - (B^*C + E^*D)f^{-1}(B^*C + E^*D)^* 8$ $h = f - (C^*B + D^*E)e^{-1}(C^*B + D^*E)^*$ are invertible and in this case we have $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} g^{-1}(B^* - (B^*C + E^*D)f^{-1}C^*) & g^{-1}(E^* - (B^*C + E^*D)f^{-1}D^*) \\ h^{-1}C^* - f^{-1}(C^*B + D^*E)g^{-1}B^* & h^{-1}D^* - f^{-1}(C^*B + D^*E)g^{-1}E^* \end{bmatrix}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} (B^* - E^*b^{-1}(EB^* + DC^*))c^{-1} & E^*d^{-1} - B^*c^{-1}(BE^* + CD^*)b^{-1} \\ (C^* - D^*b^{-1}(EB^* + DC^*))c^{-1} & D^*d^{-1} - C^*c^{-1}(BE^* + CD^*)b^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ Proof: A is an invertible if and only if $AA^* > 0$ & $A^*A > 0$ are invertible if and only if a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h are invertible and we have

$$\begin{split} A^{-1} &= (A^*A)^{-1}A^* = \begin{bmatrix} g^{-1}(B^* - (B^*C + E^*D)f^{-1}C^*) & g^{-1}(E^* - (B^*C + E^*D)f^{-1}D^*) \\ h^{-1}C^* - f^{-1}(C^*B + D^*E)g^{-1}B^* & h^{-1}D^* - f^{-1}(C^*B + D^*E)g^{-1}E^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A^*(AA^*)^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (B^* - E^*b^{-1}(EB^* + DC^*))c^{-1} & E^*d^{-1} - B^*c^{-1}(BE^* + CD^*)b^{-1} \\ (C^* - D^*b^{-1}(EB^* + DC^*))c^{-1} & D^*d^{-1} - C^*c^{-1}(BE^* + CD^*)b^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Remark3.14: we can generalize theorem3.13 and find the inverse of the $m \times n$ operator matrix A by first we find the inverse of $AA^* > 0 \& A^*A > 0$ by iteration as we did in remark2.6.then we find A^{-1} by the relation $A^{-1} = (A^*A)^{-1}A^* = A^*(AA^*)^{-1}$

REFERENCES

[1] **Balasim**, **M.S.** (1999), *completion of operator matrices*, thesis , university of Baghdad, collage of science , department of mathematics.

[2]**Berberian, S.K. 1976**,*Introduction to Hilbert space*, CHELESEA PUBLISHING COMPANY,NEW YORK,N.Y.

[3]**Choi M.D, Hou j.and Rosehthal P**. (1997), *Completion of operator partial matrices to square-zero contractions*, Linear algebra and its applications 2561-30

[4]**Douglas R.G. (1966)**, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space*. Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.17413-416

[5]Frank Ayres1962 ,Matrices,Schaum outline series,

[6] Halmos, P.R. 1982, A Hilbert space problem book, Van Nostrand princetron, Nj.

[7]**Heuser, H.J. 1982,***Functional analysis*,John Wiley,New york

[7] Israel .A. B and. Greville .T. N. E, 2003. Generalized inverses: theory and applications, Sec. Ed., Springer,.

[8] KIM.A.H and KIM.I.H. 2006, ESSENTIAL SPECTRA OF QUASISIMILAR (p,k)-QUASIHYPONORMAL OPERATORS, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Article ID 72641, Volume, Pages 1–7.

[9]**Kolman, B. 1988**, *Introductory linear algebra with applications*, 4th edition, Macmillan Publishing Company, New york, Collier Macmillan Publishers, London,