# **Semi Sα** – compactness on bitopological spaces

**Rasha Naser Majeed** 

# Mathematics Department,College of Education – Ibn Al- Haitham,

**Baghdad University**,

Baghdad,

Iraq.

# Abstract

In this paper we define a new kind of open sets in bitopological space which we called semi  $S\alpha$  —open sets, which we lead to define a new type of compactness on bitopological spaces called "*semi Sa* —compactness" and we study the properties of this spaces, also we define the continuous functions between these spaces.

# التراص شبه – 5 ه على الفضاءات التبولوجية الثنائية

# رشا ناصر مجيد

# قسم الرياضيات / كلية التربية - أبن الهيثم / جامعة بغداد بغداد - العراق

# المستخلص

قمنا في هذا البحث بتعريف نوع جديد من المجموعات المفتوحة على الفضاءات التبولوجية الثنائية والتي اسميناها المجموعات شبه المفتوحة – ٢٦ . وبالتالي عرفنا نوع جديد من التراص على الفضاءات الثنائية والذي اسميناه التراص شبه – ٢٦ ، وقمنا بدراسة خواص هذا الفضاء وكذلك عرفنا الدوال المستمرة بين هذه الفضاءات .

2

# **1.Introduction**

The concept of " bitopological space " was introduced by Kelly [1] in 1963. A set equipped with two topologies is called a" bitopological space " and denote by  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where  $(X, \tau_1)$ ,  $(X, \tau_2)$  are two topological spaces. Since then many authors have contributed to the development of various bitopological properties. A subset A in bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is "S- open " if it is  $\tau_1$ -open or  $\tau_2$ - open . in 1996 Mrsevic and Reilly [2] defined a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  to be S-compact if and only if every S-open cover of X has a finite sub cover. And also they defined a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  to be pair-wise compact [2]. In this paper we introduced a new type of compactness on bitopological spaces namely " *semi Sa* -compact" and we review some remarks , propositions, theorems and examples about it .

# 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some definition, which is necessary for the paper.

# Definition 2.1[1]:

Let X be a non-empty set, let  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  be any two topologies on X, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called "*bitopological space*".

# Definition 2.2[3]:

A subset A of a topological space X is called "*a*-open set " if and only if  $A \subseteq \overline{A}^{\epsilon}$ . The family of all  $\alpha$ -open sets is denoted by  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

### Definition 2.3[3]:

The complement of  $\alpha$ -open set is called *"a-closed set*". The family of all  $\alpha$ -closed sets is denoted by  $\alpha C(X)$ .

# **Definition 2.4[4]:**

A subset A of a topological space X is called "*semi- \alpha-open set*" if and only if there exists an  $\alpha$ -open set U in X, such that  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}$ . The family of all semi-  $\alpha$ -open sets of X is denoted by  $S\alpha O(X)$ .

# Definition 2.5[4]:

The complement of semi-  $\alpha$ -open set is called "*semi- \alpha-closed set*". The family of all semi-  $\alpha$ -closed sets of X is denoted by  $S\alpha C(X)$ .

# Proposition 2.6[5]:

( i) Every open set is semi- $\alpha$ -open set .

(ii) Every closed set is semi-  $\alpha$ -closed set .

# Definition 2.7[6]:

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space,  $A \subseteq X$  a family W of subsets of X is said to be a "*semi -a-open cover of A*" if and only if W covers A and W is a subfamily of S $\alpha$ O(X).

## **Definition 2.8:**

Let W be any semi- $\alpha$ -open cover of X, a subfamily V of W is said to be an " *semi - \alpha-open subcover of W* " if and only if it's cover X.

# **Definition 2.9[6]:**

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be "*semi-a-compact*" if and only if every semi-*a*-open cover of X has a finite subcover.

# Proposition 2.10[6]:

Every semi-  $\alpha$ -compact space is compact.

# **3.** Semi Sα – compactness

In this section, we will define a new type of covers in bitopological spaces, in order to define a new kind of compactness on bitopological spaces called "*semi Sa* –compactness".

First we begin to the definition of *semi Sa* – open set in bitopological space .

# **Definition 3.1:**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space, then any collection of subsets of X which is contained  $S_{1\alpha}O(X)$  and  $S_{2\alpha}O(X)$  and it is forms a topology on X called "*the supermon topology* on X " and is denoted by  $S_{1\alpha}O(X) \vee S_{2\alpha}O(X)$ . Where  $S_{1\alpha}O(X)$  is the family of all semi- $\alpha$ -open sets in the space  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $S_{2\alpha}O(X)$  is the family of all semi- $\alpha$ -open sets in the space  $(X, \tau_2)$ .

# **Definition 3.2:**

A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be an "**semi Sa** -open set" if and only if it is open in the space  $(X, S_{1\alpha}O(X) \vee S_{2\alpha}O(X))$ , where  $S_{1\alpha}O(X) \vee S_{2\alpha}O(X)$  is the supermom topology on X contains  $S_{1\alpha}O(X)$  and  $S_{2\alpha}O(X)$ .

# **Definition 3.3:**

The complement of semi Sa –open set in a bitopological space (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ) is called

# " semi Sα-closed set ".

# Remark 3.4:

Let (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ) be a bitopological space, then :

(1) Every semi- $\alpha$ -open set in (X,  $\tau_1$ ) or (X,  $\tau_2$ ) is semi  $\Im \alpha$  -open set in (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ).

(2) Every semi- $\alpha$ -closed set in (X,  $\tau_1$ ) or (X,  $\tau_2$ ) is semi  $\delta \alpha$  -closed set in (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ).

# Note 3.5:

The opposite direction of Remark (3.4) is not true as the following example shows:

# Example 1:

Let X={1,2,3},  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, X\}$ , and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{2,3\}, X\}$  then  $S_{1\alpha} O(X) = \tau_{1\alpha} =$ 

 $\tau_1 \cup \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\}\}, \text{ and } S_{2\alpha}O(X) = \tau_{2\alpha} = \tau_2. \text{ thus }$ 

 $S_{1\alpha}O(X) \vee S_{2\alpha}O(X) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, X\}$  is the family of all *semi*  $\delta \alpha$  -open sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

{2} is semi  $\delta \alpha$  - open set in (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ) but it is not semi-  $\alpha$ -open set of both (X,  $\tau_1$ ) and (X,  $\tau_2$ ). So {1,3} is semi  $\delta \alpha$  -closed set in (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ) which is not semi-  $\alpha$ -closed in both (X,  $\tau_1$ ) and (X,  $\tau_2$ ).

Now we introduce the definition of *semt Sa* –opencover in bitopological space (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ). **Definition 3.6:** 

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space, let A be a subset of X. a sub collection of the family  $S_{1\alpha}O(X) \vee S_{2\alpha}O(X)$  is called an "**semi**  $S\alpha$  -opencover of A'' if the union of members of this collection contains A.

# **Definition 3.7:**

A bitopological space (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ) is said to be "**semi Sa** –compact space" if and only if every **semi Sa** –opencover of X has a finite sub cover.

# Theorem 3.8:

If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is semi  $S\alpha$  –compact space, then both  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are *semi* –  $\alpha$  –compact.

# **Proof:**

To prove  $(X, \tau_1)$  is *semi* –  $\alpha$  –compact space, we must prove for any semi- $\alpha$ -open cover of X, has a finite sub cover.

Let  $\{U_i\}i \in A$  be any semi- $\alpha$ -open cover of X, implies  $\{U_i\}i \in A$  is a semi  $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$  -opencover of X ( by Remark (3.4)) and since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is semi  $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$  -compact space, implies there exists a finite sub cover of X, so  $(X, \tau_1)$  is semi- $\alpha$  -compact.

And by the same way we prove  $(X, \tau_2)$  is semi  $\alpha$  -compact.

# **Corollary 3.9:**

If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is semi S $\alpha$  -compact space, then both  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are compact.

# **Proof:**

The proof is follows from theorem (3.8) and proposition (2.10).

# **Remark 3.10:**

The converse of theorem (3.8) and it's corollary is not true, as the following example shows: **Example 2:** 

Let X={0,2},  $\tau_1 == \{\emptyset, \{0\}, X\}$ , and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, X\}$  then  $S_{1\alpha}O(X) = \tau_{1\alpha} = \tau_1$  and  $S_{2\alpha}O(X) = \tau_{2\alpha} = \tau_2$ .

Now, both  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are *semi* –  $\alpha$  –compact (compact) space, but  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not **semi**  $S\alpha$  –compact space since there is {{0},{2}} is semi  $S\alpha$  –opencover of X which has no finite sub cover.

The converse of theorem (3.8) becomes valid in a special case , when  $S_{1\alpha}O(X) \subset S_{2\alpha}O(X)$ , as the following proposition shows:

# **Proposition 3.11:**

If  $S_{1\alpha}O(X)$  is a subfamily of  $S_{2\alpha}O(X)$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is semi  $S\alpha$  -compact space if and only if  $(X, \tau_2)$  is semi-  $\alpha$  -compact.

# **Proof:**

The first direction follows from theorem (3.8).

Now, if  $(X, \tau_2)$  is semi –  $\alpha$  –compact, we must prove  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is semi  $S\alpha$  –compact. since  $S_{1\alpha}O(X) \subset S_{2\alpha}O(X)$ , then  $S_{1\alpha}O(X) \vee S_{2\alpha}O(X) = S_{2\alpha}O(X)$ . So  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is semi  $S\alpha$  –compact space.

#### **Corollary 3.12:**

let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, then the bitopological space  $(X, \tau, \tau \lor SaO(X))$  is **semi**  $S\alpha$  -compact space if and only if  $(X, \tau \lor S_{\alpha}O(X))$  is semi  $-\alpha$  -compact.

#### **Proof:**

 $(\Rightarrow)$  *It is* clear from theorem (3.8).

(⇐) since  $\tau \lor SaO(X)$  is a finer than  $\tau$ , then by proposition (3.11) we have  $(X, \tau, \tau \lor SaO(X))$  is semi Sa -compact.

#### **Proposition 3.13:**

If A and B are two semi  $S\alpha$  –compact subsets of a bitopological space (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ) then  $A \cup B$  is semi  $S\alpha$  –compact subset of X.

#### **Proof:**

To prove  $A \cup B$  is semi  $S\alpha$  —compact subset of X, we must prove for any

semi  $S\alpha$  –opencover of  $A \cup B$ , it has a finite sub cover.

Let  $\{U_i\} i \in \Lambda$  be any semi  $\mathcal{S}\alpha$  -opencover of  $A \cup B$ , then  $A \cup B \subseteq \{\bigcup U_i, i \in \Lambda\}$  and therefore  $A \subseteq \bigcup U_i$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcup U_i$ , implies  $\{U_i\} i \in \Lambda$  is an semi  $\mathcal{S}\alpha$  -opencover of A and B.

But A and B are **semi**  $S\alpha$  -compact subsets, therefore there exists  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_n \in \Lambda$  and  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_m \in \Lambda$  such that  $\{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, ..., U_{i_m}\}$  and  $\{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, ..., U_{i_m}\}$  is a finite sub cover of A and B respectively, then

 $\{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, \dots, U_{i_n}\} \cup \{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, \dots, U_{i_m}\}$  is a finite sub cover of A  $\cup$  B, therefore  $A \cup B$  is an **semi**  $\mathfrak{Sa}$  -compact subset of X.

#### Theorem 3.15:

The semi  $S\alpha$  -closed subset of an semi  $S\alpha$  -compact space is semi  $S\alpha$  -compact.

# **Proof:**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be semi  $\Im \alpha$  –compact space and let A be a semi  $\Im \alpha$  –closed subset of X. to show that A is semi  $\Im \alpha$  –compact set.

Let  $\{U_i\}$   $i \in \Lambda$  be any *semi Sa* –opencover of *A*. Since A is *semi Sa* –closed subset of X, then X-A is a semi *Sa* –open subset of X, so  $\{X-A\} \cup \{U_i; i \in \Lambda\}$  is a semi *Sa* –opencover of X, which is **semi Sa** –compact space.

Therefore, there exists  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_n \in A$  such that {X-A,  $U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, ..., U_{i_n}$ } is a finite sub cover of X. as  $A \subseteq X$  and X-A covers no part of A, then  $\{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, ..., U_{i_n}\}$  is a finite sub cover of A. so A is **semi**  $\delta \alpha$  -compact set.

# **Definition 3.16:**

A function  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$  is said to be "semi Sa -continuous function" if and only if the inverse image of each semi Sa -open subset of Y is a semi Sa -open subset of X.

# Theorem 3.17:

The *semi Sa* –*continuous* image of a semi *Sa* –*compact* space is a semi *Sa* –*compact* space. **Proof:** 

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a semi  $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$  -compact space, and let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$  be a semi  $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$  -continuous, onto function. To show that  $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$  is a semi  $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$  -compact space. Let  $\{U_i; i \in \Lambda\}$  be a semi  $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$  -opencover of Y, then  $\{f^{-1}(U_i); i \in \Lambda\}$  is a semi  $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$  -opencover of X, which is **semi**  $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$  -compact space.

So there exists  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_n \in \Lambda$ , such that the family  $\{f^{-1}(U_{i_j}); j = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  covers X and since f is onto, then  $\{U_{i_j}; j = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  is s finite sub cover of Y.

Hence Y is a semi  $\delta \alpha$  –compact space.

# **References:**

- [1]. J.C.Kelly, "Bitopological Spaces ", Prok .London Math. Soc., Vol. 13(1963), 71-89.
- [2]. Mrsevic and I.L.Reilly, "Covering and connectedness properties of a topological space and it is Associated topology of α-subsets", Indian J. pure apple. Math., 27(10):995-1004, Oct.(1996).
- [3]. Olav Najasted," On some classes of nearly open sets ", pacific journal of math., vol.15, No.3, 961-970,(1965).
- [4]. G.B.Navalagi, "Definition Bank in General Topology ", Department of math., G.H.college, Haveri-581110, Karanataka, India.
- [5]. Nadia M.Ali, "On New Types of weakly open sets", M.Sc. Thesis , University of Baghdad , college of education, Ibn Al- Haitham,(2004) .
- [6]. Ahmed I.Nasir, " Some kinds of strongly compact and pair-wise compact spaces", M.Sc. Thesis , University of Baghdad , college of education, Ibn Al- Haitham,(2005).