

A CLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS DEFINED BY HADAMARD PRODUCT WITH RAFID - OPERATOR

**Waggas Galib Atshan and **Rafid Habib Buti*

Department of Mathematics

College of Computer Science and Mathematics

University of Al-Qadisiya

Diwaniya – Iraq

*E-Mail: *waggashnd@yahoo.com,*

*E-Mail: **Rafidhb@yahoo.com*

Abstract : In this paper, we study a class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$, which consists of analytic and univalent functions with negative coefficients in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ defined by Hadamard product (or convolution) with Rafid – Operator , we obtain coefficient bounds for this class. Also and some results for this class are obtained.

Key words: Univalent Function, Hadamard Product ,Rafid Operator, Integral Representation, Close – to – Convex, (n, τ) – Neighborhoods .

Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C45.

1- Introduction :

Let R denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (a_n \geq 0, n \in IN = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$.

If $f \in R$ is given by (1) and $g \in R$ given by

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, b_n \geq 0$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $(f_* g)$ of f and g is defined by

$$(f_* g)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g_* f)(z) \quad (2)$$

Lemma 1: The *Rafid –Operator* of $f \in R$ for $0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ is denoted by R_μ^θ and defined as following :

$$\begin{aligned} R_\mu^\theta(f(z)) &= \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^{1+\theta}\Gamma(\theta+1)} \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{1-\mu}\right)} f(zt) dt \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n z^n, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{where } K(n, \mu, \theta) = \frac{(1-\mu)^{n-1} \Gamma(\theta+n)}{\Gamma(\theta+1)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{Proof : } R_\mu^\theta(f(z)) &= \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^{1+\theta}\Gamma(\theta+1)} \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{1-\mu}\right)} f(zt) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^{1+\theta}\Gamma(\theta+1)} \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{1-\mu}\right)} \left[zt - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n (zt)^n \right] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^{1+\theta}\Gamma(\theta+1)} \left[z \int_0^\infty t^\theta e^{-\left(\frac{t}{1-\mu}\right)} dt - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1+n} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{1-\mu}\right)} dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \frac{t}{1-\mu}$, then if $t = 0$, we get $x = 0$

$t = \infty$, we get $x = \infty$

and $t = (1-\mu)x$, then $dt = (1-\mu)dx$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_\mu^\theta(f(z)) &= \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^{1+\theta}\Gamma(\theta+1)} \left[z \int_0^\infty (1-\mu)^{1+\theta} e^{-x} x^\theta dx - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \int_0^\infty (1-\mu)^{\theta+n} e^{-x} x^{\theta-1+n} dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^{1+\theta}\Gamma(\theta+1)} \left[z(1-\mu)^{1+\theta} \Gamma(\theta+1) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n (1-\mu)^{\theta+n} \Gamma(\theta+n) \right] \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\mu)^{n-1} \Gamma(\theta+n)}{\Gamma(\theta+1)} a_n z^n \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1: A function $f \in R, z \in U$ is said to be in the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$ if and only if satisfies the inequality :

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))' + \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))''}{(1-\lambda)R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'} \right\} \geq$$

$$\beta \left\{ \frac{z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))' + \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))''}{(1-\lambda)R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'} - 1 \right\} + \alpha, \quad (4)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $z \in U$, $0 \leq \mu < 1$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and

$$g(z) \in R \text{ given by } g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, b_n \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2[1]: Let $w = u + iv$. Then $\operatorname{Re} w \geq \sigma$ if and only if $|w - (1 + \sigma)| \leq |w + (1 - \sigma)|$

Lemma 3[1]: Let $w = u + iv$ and σ, γ are real numbers. Then $\operatorname{Re} w > \sigma|w - 1| + \gamma$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \{w(1 + \sigma e^{i\phi}) - \sigma e^{i\phi}\} > \gamma$.

Here, we aim to study the coefficient bounds, Hadamard product of the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$, radius of close-to-convexity, integral representation for $R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z))$, inclusive properties of the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$, (n, τ) -neighborhoods on $WR^\ell(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$.

2. Coefficient Bounds:

Here we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition and extreme points for the functions f in the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$.

Theorem 1: The function f defined by (1) is in the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda + n\lambda)[n(1 + \beta) - (\beta + \alpha)]K(n, \mu, \theta)a_n b_n \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad (5)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mu < 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

Proof: Let (5) holds true. Then we must to show that

$f \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$. By Definition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))' + \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))''}{(1-\lambda)R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'} \right\} \geq \\ \beta \left| \frac{z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))' + \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))''}{(1-\lambda)R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'} - 1 \right| + \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 3, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))' + \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))''}{(1-\lambda)R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'} (1 + \beta e^{i\phi}) - \beta e^{i\phi} \right\} \geq \alpha,$$

$-\pi < \phi \leq \pi$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))' + \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))''(1 + \beta e^{i\phi}))}{(1-\lambda)(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z))) + \lambda z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\beta e^{i\phi}((1-\lambda)R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))')}{(1-\lambda)R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'} \right\} \geq \alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } F(z) = & [z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))' + \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))''] (1 + \beta e^{i\phi}) \\ & - \beta e^{i\phi}[(1-\lambda)(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z))) + \lambda z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'], \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } E(z) = (1-\lambda)R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z(R_\mu^\theta((f_*g)(z)))'.$$

By Lemma 2 , (6) is equivalent to

$$|F(z) + (1-\alpha)E(z)| \geq |F(z) - (1+\alpha)E(z)| \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < 1 .$$

$$\text{But } |F(z) + (1-\alpha)E(z)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n - \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right] (1 + \beta e^{i\phi}) \\ &\quad - \beta e^{i\phi} \left[(1-\lambda) \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right) + \lambda z - \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right] \\ &\quad + (1-\alpha) \left[z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + n\lambda) K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right] \\ &= \left| (2-\alpha)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + \lambda n(n-1)) + (1-\alpha)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \beta e^{i\phi} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + \lambda n(n-1) - (1-\lambda + n\lambda)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right| \\ &\geq (2-\alpha)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + \lambda n(n-1)) + (1-\alpha)(1-\lambda + \lambda n)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n |z|^n \\ &\quad - \beta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + \lambda n(n-2) - 1 + \lambda] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n |z|^n . \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also } |F(z) - (1+\alpha)E(z)| = \left| z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \Big] (1 + \beta e^{i\phi}) \\
& - \beta e^{i\phi} \left[z - (1-\lambda) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n - \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right] \\
& - (1+\alpha) \left[z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda) K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right] \\
= & \left| -\alpha z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n+\lambda n(n-1)) - (1+\alpha)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right. \\
& \left. - \beta e^{i\phi} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n+n\lambda(n-1) - (1-\lambda+n\lambda)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right| \\
\leq & \alpha |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n+n\lambda(n-1)) - (1+\alpha)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n |z|^n \\
& + \beta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n+n\lambda(n-1) - (1-\lambda+n\lambda)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n |z|^n
\end{aligned}$$

and so $|F(z) + (1-\alpha)E(z)| - |F(z) - (1+\alpha)E(z)| \geq 2(1-\alpha)|z|$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(2n+2n\lambda(n-1)) - 2\alpha(1-\lambda+n\lambda) - \beta(2n+2n\lambda(n-1) - \\
& - 2(1-\lambda+n\lambda))] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n |z|^n \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) + n\lambda(n-1)(1+\beta) - (1-\lambda+n\lambda)(\alpha+\beta)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n \leq 1-\alpha.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda) [n(1+\beta) - (\beta+\alpha)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n \leq 1-\alpha.$$

Conversely , suppose that (5) holds. Then we must show

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{[z(R_{\mu}^{\phi}((f_*g)(z)))' + \lambda z^2 (R_{\mu}^{\theta}((f_*g)(z)))''] (1 + \beta e^{i\phi})}{(1-\lambda) R_{\mu}^{\theta}((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z (R_{\mu}^{\theta}((f_*g)(z)))'} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{\beta e^{i\phi} [(1-\lambda) R_{\mu}^{\phi}((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z (R_{\mu}^{\phi}((f_*g)(z)))']}{(1-\lambda) R_{\mu}^{\theta}((f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z (R_{\mu}^{\theta}((f_*g)(z)))'} \right\} \geq \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Upon choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta e^{i\phi})(1-\lambda + \lambda n) - (\alpha + \beta e^{i\phi})(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + n\lambda) K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(-e^{i\phi}) \geq -|e^{i\phi}| = -1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta)(1-\lambda + \lambda n) - (\alpha + \beta)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + n\lambda) K(n, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we get desired conclusion .

There are many authors who have studied the various interesting properties of the classes, Y. Komatu [4], W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni [2], S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska [3], Rosy et. al.[5] .

In the next discussion, we concentrate upon getting the radius of close – to–convexity .

Theorem 2: Let the function f defined by (1) be in the class

$WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$. Then f is close – to–convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in

$|z| < r(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta, \delta)$, where

$$r(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta, \delta) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)[n(1+\beta) - (\beta + \alpha)]k(n, \mu, \theta)b_n}{n(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, n \geq 2. \quad (7)$$

Proof: We must show that $|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta$ for $|z| < r(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta, \delta)$,

we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n-1}.$$

$$\text{Thus } |f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta \text{ if } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{1-\delta} \right) a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

According to Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\lambda + n\lambda)[n(1+\beta) - (\beta + \alpha)]K(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\alpha)} a_n b_n \leq 1. \quad (9)$$

Hence (8) will be true if

$$\frac{n|z|^{n-1}}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\alpha)]K(n,\mu,\theta)b_n}{(1-\alpha)}$$

equivalently if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\alpha)]K(n,\mu,\theta)b_n}{n(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, n \geq 2. \quad (10)$$

The theorem follows from ().

The following theorem provides integral representation for $R_\mu^\theta((f*g)(z))_{z \in U}$

Theorem 3: Let $f \in WR(0, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$. Then

$$R_\mu^\theta((f*g)(z)) = \exp \left(\int_0^z \frac{\beta - \psi(t)\alpha}{t(\beta - \psi(t))} dt \right), |\psi(t)| < 1, z \in U.$$

proof: The case $\beta = 0$ is obvious. Let $\beta \neq 0$, for $f \in WR(0, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$ and

$$w = \frac{z(R_\mu^\theta((f*g)(z)))'}{R_\mu^\theta((f*g)(z))},$$

we have $\operatorname{Re} w > \beta|w-1| + \alpha$. Therefore

$$\left| \frac{w-1}{w-\alpha} \right| < \frac{1}{\beta} \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \frac{w-1}{w-\alpha} = \frac{\psi(z)}{\beta},$$

where $|\psi(z)| < 1, z \in U$.

$$\text{so} \quad \frac{(R_\mu^\theta((f*g)(z)))'}{R_\mu^\theta((f*g)(z))} = \frac{\beta - \psi(z)\alpha}{z(\beta - \psi(z))}$$

after integration, we get

$$\log(R_\mu^\theta((f*g)(z))) = \int_0^z \frac{\beta - \psi(t)\alpha}{t(\beta - \psi(t))} dt.$$

$$\text{Thus} \quad (R_\mu^\theta((f*g)(z))) = \exp \left[\int_0^z \frac{\beta - \psi(t)\alpha}{t(\beta - \psi(t))} dt \right].$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4: Let $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ belong to

$WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$. Then the Hadamard product of f and g given by

$(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$ belongs to $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$.

Proof : Since f and $g \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)b_n}{1-\alpha} \right] a_n \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)a_n}{1-\alpha} \right] b_n \leq 1$$

and by applying the (Cauchy- Schwarz) inequality , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)\sqrt{a_n b_n}}{1-\alpha} \right] \sqrt{a_n b_n} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)b_n}{1-\alpha} \right] a_n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)a_n}{1-\alpha} \right] b_n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

However , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)\sqrt{a_n b_n}}{1-\alpha} \right] \sqrt{a_n b_n} \leq 1.$$

Now, we want to prove

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\alpha} \right] a_n b_n \leq 1$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\alpha} \right] a_n b_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)\sqrt{a_n b_n}}{1-\alpha} \right] \sqrt{a_n b_n} .$$

Hence, we get the required result.

Theorem 5: Let the function f defined by (1) and g given by

$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be in the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$. Then the function h defined

by $h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n^2 b_n^2 z^n$ is in the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \mu, \theta)$, where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1,$

$0 \leq \gamma < 1, \beta \geq 0, z \in U, 0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and

$$\gamma \leq 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2(1+\beta)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\beta-\alpha)^2(1-\mu)(1+\theta)-(1-\alpha)^2} .$$

Proof: We must find the largest γ such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\gamma)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\gamma} a_n^2 b_n^2 \leq 1. \quad (11)$$

Since f and g are in the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$, we see that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\alpha)]K(n,\mu,\theta)b_n}{1-\alpha} \right] a_n \right\}^2 \leq 1 \quad (12)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\alpha)]K(n,\mu,\theta)a_n}{1-\alpha} \right] b_n \right\}^2 \leq 1 \quad (13)$$

Combining the inequalities (12) and (13), gives

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\alpha)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\alpha} \right\}^2 a_n^2 b_n^2 \leq 1,$$

but $h \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \mu, \theta)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\gamma)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\gamma} \right\} a_n^2 b_n^2 \leq 1. \quad (14)$$

The inequality (14) would obviously imply (11) if

$$\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\gamma)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\gamma} \leq \left[\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\alpha)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\alpha} \right]^2 = u^2,$$

then

$$\frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\gamma)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\gamma} \leq u^2$$

or

$$\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta} \geq \frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)(n-1)K(n,\mu,\theta)}{u^2 - (1-\lambda+n\lambda)K(n,\mu,\theta)}$$

The right hand is a decreasing function of n and its maximum if $n=2$.

Now

$$\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta} \geq \frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)(n-1)(1-\alpha)^2}{[(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\gamma)]]^2 K(n,\mu,\theta) - (1-\lambda+n\lambda)(1-\alpha)^2}. \quad (15)$$

Simplifying (15) we get

$$\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta} \geq \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{(1+\lambda)(2+\beta-\alpha)^2(1-\mu)(\theta+1)-(1-\alpha)^2}$$

or

$$\gamma \leq 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2(1+\beta)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\beta-\alpha)^2(1-\mu)(1+\theta)-(1-\alpha)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Next, we obtain the inclusive properties of the class $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$.

Theorem 6 : Let $\beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \gamma \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu < 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Then

$WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta) \subseteq WR(0, \beta, \gamma, \mu, \theta)$, where

$$\gamma \leq 1 - \frac{(n-1)(1-\alpha)(1+\beta)K(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\gamma)]K(n,\mu,\theta) - (1-\alpha)K(n,\mu,\theta)},$$

$n \in IN, n \geq 2$.

Proof: Let $f \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$. Then in view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{1-\alpha} a_n b_n \leq 1 \quad (16)$$

we wish to find the value γ such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[n(1+\beta) - (\beta + \gamma)]K(n, \mu, \theta)}{1-\gamma} a_n b_n \leq 1. \quad (17)$$

The inequality (16) would obviously imply (17) if

$$\frac{[n(1+\beta) - (\beta + \gamma)]K(n, \mu, \theta)}{1-\gamma} \leq \frac{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]K(n, \mu, \theta)}{1-\alpha} = u.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{[n(1+\beta) - (\beta + \gamma)]K(n, \mu, \theta)}{1-\gamma} \leq u. \quad (18)$$

Now (18) gives on simplification

$$\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta} \geq \frac{(n-1)K(n, \mu, \theta)}{u - K(n, \mu, \theta)} \quad , \quad (n \geq 2, n \in IN). \quad (19)$$

The right hand side of (19) decreases as n increases and so is maximum for $n = 2$.

So (19) is satisfied provided

$$\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta} \geq \frac{(n-1)(1-\alpha)K(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta) - (\beta+\alpha)]K(n, \mu, \theta) - (1-\alpha)K(n, \mu, \theta)} = d.$$

Obviously $d < 1$ and

$$\gamma \leq 1 - \frac{(n-1)(1-\alpha)(1+\beta)K(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta) - (\beta+\alpha)]K(n, \mu, \theta) - (1-\alpha)K(n, \mu, \theta)}.$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Theorem 7 : Let $\beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq 0, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu < 1$. Then

$$WR(\lambda_1, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta) \subseteq WR(\lambda_2, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta).$$

The proof of theorem follows also from Theorem 6.

Now, we determine a set of inclusion relations involving

(n, τ) -neighborhoods. Following [6], we define the (n, τ) -neighborhoods

of a function $f \in R$ by

$$N_{n,\tau}(f) = \left\{ g \in R : g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n - b_n| \leq \tau, 0 \leq \tau < 1 \right\} \quad (20)$$

We need the following definition:

Definition 2: The function f defined by (1) is said to be member of the class $WR^\ell(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$ if there exists a function $g \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$

such that $\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \ell$, $(z \in U, 0 \leq \ell < 1)$.

Theorem 8: Let $g \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$ and

$$\ell = 1 - \frac{\tau(1+\lambda)(2+\beta-\alpha)(1-\mu)(\theta+1)a_2}{2\{(1+\lambda)(2+\beta-\alpha)(1-\mu)(\theta+1)a_2 - (1-\alpha)\}} \quad (21)$$

Then $N_{n,\tau}(g) \subset WR^\ell(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$.

Proof: Let $f \in N_{n,\tau}(g)$. Then we have from (20) that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n - b_n| \leq \tau,$$

which readily implies the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n| \leq \frac{\tau}{2}.$$

Also, since $g \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$, we have from Theorem 1

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\beta-\lambda)(1-\mu)(\theta+1)a_2},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n} \leq \frac{\tau}{2} \cdot \frac{(1+\lambda)(2+\beta-\alpha)(1-\mu)(\theta+1)a_2}{(1+\lambda)(2+\beta-\alpha)(1-\mu)(\theta+1)a_2 - (1-\alpha)} \\ &= 1 - \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by definition , $f \in WR^\ell(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$ for ℓ given by (21).

This completes the proof.

We further define the integral operator , in the following discussion :

Theorem 9: Let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. If $f \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$.

Then the function F_c defined by

$$F_c(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z s^{c-1} f(s) ds \quad (22)$$

also belongs to $WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$.

Proof: Let $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_c(z) &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z s^{c-1} \left(s - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n s^n \right) ds \\ &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z \left(s^c - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} s^{c-1+n} a_n \right) ds \\ &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \left[\frac{s^{c+1}}{c+1} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{s^{c+n}}{c+n} a_n \right]_0^z \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{c+1}{c+n} a_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F_c(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{c+1}{c+n} a_n z^n$.

Therefore ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(c+1)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta)}{(c+n)} a_n b_n \\ &\leq (1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)]K(n,\mu,\theta) a_n b_n \leq 1-\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F_c \in WR(\lambda, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \theta)$.

This completes the proof.

REFERECCES

- [1] E. S. Aqlan , *Some Problems Connected with Geometric Function Theory* , Ph. D. Thesis (2004), Pune University, Pune .
- [2] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni ,*Some applications of generalized Ruscheweyh derivatives involving a general fractional derivative operator to a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients I*, J. Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications, 5(2010),35-47.
- [3] S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, *Conic regions and k – uniform convexity*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 105(1999) 327-336.
- [4] Y. Komatu, *On analytic Prolongation of a family of operators*, Mathematica (Cluj), 39 (55) (1990) , 141-145.
- [5] T. Rosy, K. G. Subramanian and G. Murugusundaramoorthy ,*Neighborhoods and partial sums of starlike functions based on Ruscheweyh derivatives* , J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. ,4(4) (2003), Art. 64,1-8.
- [6] S. Ruscheweyh, *Neighborhoods of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. ,81 (1981), 521-527.