

Positive Solution For Eigen value Problems

Saleh . M . Hussein

University of Anbar , Education College For Pure Sciences.



ARTICLE INFO

Received: 9 / 7 /2009
 Accepted: 2 / 3 /2010
 Available online: 14/6/2012
 DOI: 10.37652/juaps.2010.43922

Keywords:

Boundary Value Problem ,
 Cone ,
 Positive Solution.

ABSTRACT

Studying the boundary value problem :-

$$\begin{aligned} -y'' &= \lambda g(t) f(y) \quad , \quad a < t < b \\ y(a) &= y(b) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Values of the parameter (λ) are determined for which this problem has a positive solution. The methods used here extend recent works by a simple application of a Fixed Point Theorem in cones . I show the existence of at least one positive solution of this boundary value problem.

Introduction:

In this paper we consider the second - order boundary value problem (BVP)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -y'' &= \lambda g(t) f(y) \quad , \quad a < t < b \\ y(a) &= y(b) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots\dots(1.1)$$

The following conditions will be assumed throughout:-

- A- $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous ,
- B- $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and does not vanish identically on any subinterval ,
- C- $f_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{x}$ and $f_\infty = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x}$ exist ,
- D- $a \geq 0, b \leq 1$

The BVP (1.1) is called a Sturm - Liouville Problem and it is describe many phenomena of applied mathematics and physics ; see[1-3,5,8] for some references along this line.

For the case when $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0, \gamma = 1, \delta = 0$ and $0 < t < 1$, Johnny Henderson and Haiyan Wang [6] obtained solutions that are positive for an open interval of eigenvalues.

In this paper we obtaining solutions of (1.1) for certain λ involve concavity properties of solutions, which are employed in defining a cone on which a positive integral operator is defined . A Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem [7] is applied to yield positive solutions of (1.1) , for λ belonging to an open interval.

In Section 2 , we present some properties of Green's functions that are used in defining a positive operator . we also state the Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem .

In Section 3 , we give an appropriate Banach space and construct a cone to which we apply the fixed point theorem yielding solutions of (1.1) , for an open interval of eigenvalues .

2- SOME PRELIMINARIES

In this section , we state the above mentioned Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. We shall apply this fixed point theorem to completely continuous integral operator , whose kernal , $G(t, s)$, is the Green's function for

$$\begin{aligned} -y'' &= 0 \\ y(a) &= y(b) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} t(1-s) & a \leq t \leq s \leq b \\ s(1-t) & a \leq s \leq t \leq b \end{cases} \dots\dots\dots(2.1)$$

from which

$$G(t, s) > 0 \quad \text{on } (0, 1) \times (0, 1) , \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.2)$$

$$G(t, s) \leq G(s, s) = s(1-s) \quad , \quad a \leq t \leq b, a \leq s \leq b \quad , \quad \dots\dots(2.3)$$

and it is shown in [4] that

$$G(t, s) \geq \frac{1}{4} G(s, s) = \frac{1}{4} s(1-s) \quad \frac{2a+1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{2b+1}{4} \quad , \quad a \leq s \leq b , \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.4)$$

I shall apply the following fixed point theorem to obtain solutions of 1.1, for certain λ □

THEOREM 1. [7] :Let B a Banach space , and let P be a cone in B . Assume N , K open subset of B with are be a completely continuous $0 \in N \subset \bar{N} \subset K$, and let $T : P \cap (\bar{K} \setminus N) \rightarrow P$

operator such that , either
 1- $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial N$, and $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial K$, or

* Corresponding author at: University of Anbar , Education College For Pure Sciences, Iraq .E-mail address:

2- $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial N$, and $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial K$

Then T has a fixed point in $P \cap (\overline{K} \setminus N)$.

3. SOLUTIONS IN THE CONE

In this section, we shall apply Theorem 1 to the eigenvalue problem (1.1). We note that $y(t)$ is a solution of (1.1) if, and only if, choosing $y \in P$ with $\|y\| = H_1$, we have from (2.3) and (3.3)

$$y(t) = \lambda \int_a^b G(t,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds, \quad a \leq t \leq b$$

For our construction, let $B = C[a, b]$, with norm, $\|x\| = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |x(t)|$

Define a cone, P , by

$$P = \left\{ x \in B : x(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [a, b], \min_{\frac{2a+1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{2b+1}{4}} x(t) \geq \|x\| \right\}$$

Also, let the number $h \in [a, b]$ be defined by

$$\int_a^{\frac{2b+1}{4}} G(h,s) g(s) ds = \max_{\frac{2a+1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{2b+1}{4}} \int_a^b G(t,s) g(s) ds \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.1)$$

THEOREM 2

Assume that condition (A),(B),(C) and (D) are satisfied. Then, for each λ satisfying

$$\dots\dots\dots (3.2)$$

$$\frac{4}{\left(\int_a^{\frac{2b+1}{4}} G(h,s) g(s) ds \right) f_\infty} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds \right) f_0}$$

there exists at least one solution of (1.1) in P .

Proof.: Let λ be given as in (3.2). Now, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen such that

$$\frac{4}{\left(\int_a^{\frac{2b+1}{4}} G(h,s) g(s) ds \right) (f_\infty - \varepsilon)} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds \right) (f_0 + \varepsilon)} \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.3)$$

Define an integral operator $T : P \rightarrow B$ by

$$Ty(t) = \lambda \int_a^b G(t,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds, \quad y \in P \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.4)$$

We seek a fixed point of T in the cone P .

Notice from (2.2) that, for $y \in P$, $Ty(t) \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$.

Also, for $y \in P$, we have from (2.3) that

$$Ty(t) = \lambda \int_a^b G(t,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds$$

so that

$$\|Ty\| \leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.5)$$

And next, if $y \in P$, we have by (2.4) and (3.5), $\rightarrow p$. In addition, standard arguments show that T is As a consequence, $T : p$ completely continuous.

Now, turning to f_0 , there exist an $H_1 > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq (f_0 + \varepsilon) x$, for $0 < x \leq H_1$.

So, choosing $y \in P$ with $\|y\| = H_1$, we have from (2.3) and (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(t) &\leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) (f_0 + \varepsilon) y(s) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds (f_0 + \varepsilon) \|y\| \\ &\leq \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\|Ty\| \leq \|y\|$. So, if we set $\Omega_1 = \{x \in B \mid \|x\| < H_1\}$

then

$$\|Ty\| \leq \|y\|, \text{ for } y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1. \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.6)$$

Next, considering f_∞ , there exist an $H_2 > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq (f_\infty - \varepsilon) x$, for all $x > H_2$.

Let $H_3 = \max \{2H_1, 4H_2\}$ and let $\Omega_2 = \{x \in B \mid \|x\| < H_3\}$

if $y \in P$ with $\|y\| = H_3$, then

$$\min_{\frac{2a+1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{2b+1}{4}} y(t) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|y\| \geq H_2,$$

and we have from (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(h) &= \lambda \int_a^b G(h,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\geq \lambda \int_a^{\frac{2b+1}{4}} G(h,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\geq \lambda \int_a^{\frac{2b+1}{4}} G(h,s) g(s) (f_\infty - \varepsilon) y(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_a^{\frac{2b+1}{4}} G(h,s) g(s) ds (f_\infty - \varepsilon) \|y\| \\ &\geq \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Ty\| \geq \|y\|$. Hence,

$$\|Ty\| \geq \|y\|, \text{ for } y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2 \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.7)$$

Applying (1) of theorem 1 to (3.6) and (3.7) yields that T has a fixed point $y(t) \in P \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$.

As such, $y(t)$ is a desired solution of 1.1 for the given λ . Further, since $G(t, s) > 0$, it follows that $y(t) > 0$ for $a < t < b$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 3.

Assume that condition (A),(B),(C) and (D) are satisfied. Then, for each λ satisfying

$$\frac{4}{\left(\int_a^{(2b+1)/4} G(h,s) g(s) ds\right) f_0} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds\right) f_\infty} \dots\dots\dots(3.8)$$

there exists at least one solution of 1.1 in P .

Proof.: Let λ be given as in (3.8) . Now , let $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen such that

$$\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^{(2b+1)/4} G(h,s) g(s) ds\right) (f_0 - \varepsilon)} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds\right) (f_\infty + \varepsilon)} \dots\dots\dots(3.9)$$

Let T be the cone preserving , completely continuous operator that was define by (3.4) . Beginning with f_0 , there exist an $H_4 > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq (f_0 - \varepsilon) x$, for $0 < x \leq H_4$.

$y \in P$ with $\|y\| = H_4$, we have from (3.1) and (3.9) so
So , for , for

Thus , $\|Ty\| \geq \|y\|$. So , if we let

$$\Omega_3 = \{x \in B \mid \|x\| < H_4\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(h) &= \lambda \int_a^b G(h,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\geq \lambda \int_a^{(2b+1)/4} G(h,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \quad \text{then} \\ &\geq \lambda \int_a^{(2b+1)/4} G(h,s) g(s) (f_0 - \varepsilon) y(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_a^{(2b+1)/4} G(h,s) g(s) ds (f_0 - \varepsilon) \|y\| \\ &\geq \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\|Ty\| \geq \|y\| \text{ for } y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_3 \dots\dots\dots (3.10)$$

It remains to consider f_∞ , there exist an $H_5 > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq (f_\infty + \varepsilon) x$, for all $x > H_5$. There are the two cases , (a) f is bounded , and (b) f is unbounded .

For case (a) , suppose $H_6 > 0$ is such that $f(x) \leq H_6$, for all $0 < x < \infty$.

Let $H_7 = \max \{2H_4 , H_6 \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds\}$. Then , for y

$\in P$ with $\|y\| = H_7$, we have from (2.3) and (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(t) &= \lambda \int_a^b G(t,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\leq \lambda H_6 \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds \\ &\leq \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|Ty\| \leq \|y\|$. So if

$$\Omega_4 = \{x \in B \mid \|x\| < H_7\}$$

then

$$\|Ty\| \leq \|y\| , \text{ for } y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_4 \dots\dots\dots(3.11)$$

For case (b) , let $H_8 > \max \{2H_4 , H_5\}$ be such that $f(x) \leq f(H_8)$, for $0 < x \leq H_8$.

Choosing $y \in P$ with $\|y\| = H_8$ and we have from (2.3),(3.2) and (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(t) &= \lambda \int_a^b G(t,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) f(H_8) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds (f_\infty + \varepsilon) H_8 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds (f_\infty + \varepsilon) H_8 = \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds (f_\infty + \varepsilon) \|y\|$$

Therefore

$$Ty(t) \leq \lambda \int_a^b G(s,s) g(s) ds (f_\infty + \varepsilon) \|y\|$$

and so $\|Ty\| \leq \|y\|$. For this case , if we let

$$\Omega_4 = \{x \in B \mid \|x\| < H_8\}$$

then $\|Ty\| \leq \|y\|$, for $y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_4$
.....(3.12)

Thus , in either of the case , an applying of part (2) of theorem 1 to (3.10),(3.11) and (3.12) yields that T has a fixed point $y(t) \in P \cap (\overline{\Omega_4} \setminus \Omega_3)$. As such , y(t) is a desired solution of 1.1 for the given λ . Further , since $G(t,s) > 0$, it follows that $y(t) > 0$ for $a < t < b$. This completes the proof of the theorem .

REFERENCES

[1]C.Bandle, C.V.Coffman and M.Marcus, (1987).Nonlinear elliptic Problems in annular domains . J. Diff. Equ. 69 : 322 – 345 .
[2]C.Bandle and M.K.Kwong, (1989). Semilinear elliptic Problems in annular domains , J. APPL. Math. Phys. 40 : 245 – 257 .
[3]C.V.Coffman and M.Marcus , (1989).Existence and Uniqueness results for Semilinear Dirichlet Problems in Annuli , Arch. Mech. Anal. 108 : 239 – 307 .
[4]L. H. Erbe and H. Wang , (1994). On The Existence of Positive Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 : 743 – 748 .
[5]X.Garaizar , (1987) .Existence of Positive radial Solutions for Semilinear elliptic Problems in the annulus , J. Diff. Equ.70: 69 –72.

[6]J.Henderson and H.Wang , (1997). Positive Solutions for Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems , J. Math. Anal. APPl. 208 : 252 – 259 .

[7]Krasnoseelskii, M. A., (1964) Positive Solutions of Operator Equations, Noordhoff, Groning .

[8]H. Wang , On The Existence of Positive Solution for Semilinear elliptic Equations in the annulus , J. Diff. Equ.(to appear) .

الحلول الموجبة لمسائل القيم الذاتية

صالح محمد حسين

الخلاصة

$$-y'' = \lambda g(t) f(y) , \quad a < t < b$$
$$y(a) = y(b) = 0$$

يدرس هذا البحث المسألة الحدودية التالية :-

ومعرفة قيم المعلمة (λ) التي تمتلك عندها المسألة الحدودية حل موجب . استخدمت في بحثي هذا تطبيق نظرية النقطة الثابتة في المخروط وتوصلت إلى أن هذه المسألة الحدودية تمتلك على الأقل حل واحد موجب .