SOME PROPERTIES OF A NEW SUBCLASS OF MEROMORPHIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH POSITIVE COEFFICIENTS DEFINED BY RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVE I

*Waggas Galib Atshan and **Rafid Habib Buti Department of Mathematics College of computer science and Mathematics University of Al-Qadisiya Diwaniya – Iraq E-Mail: *<u>Waggashnd@yahoo.com</u>, E-Mail: <u>**Rafidhb@yahoo.com</u>

<u>Abstract</u>: In the present paper, we have studied a new subclass of meromorephic univalent functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative in the punctured unit disk $U_{=}^{*}\{z: 0 < |z| < 1\}$ and obtain some sharp results including coefficient estimates , growth and distortion bounds and closure theorems.

Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C40

Key Words: Meromorphic Univalent Function, Ruscheweyh Derivative, Distortion Theorem, Extreme points, Arithmetic mean.

1. Introduction :

Let \sum denote the class of functions f(z) of the from :

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
 (1)

which are analytic and meromorphic univalent in the punctured unit disk $U^* = \{z: z \in \mathbf{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}.$

Consider a subclass M of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, a_n \ge 0.$$
 (2)

We aim to study the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ consisting of functions $f \in M$ and satisfying :

$$\left| \frac{\alpha \left(z^2 \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)' + z D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)}{\mu z^2 \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)' + \mu \alpha z D^{\lambda} f(z)} \right| < \beta,$$
(3)

for
$$0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1, 0 \le \mu \le 1$$
 and $D^{\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n D_n(\lambda) z^n$ (4)

(Ruscheweyh derivative of f of order λ [6]), where

$$D_n(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)\dots(\lambda+n+1)}{(n+1)!}, \lambda > -1, z \in U^*.$$
(*)

Some another classes studied by S.M. Khairnar and Meena more [4], W.G. Atshan and S.R. Kulkarni [2], S.R. Kulkarni; and Mrs. S.S. Joshi [5], N.E. Cho et al. [3], M.K. Aouf [1] and H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa [7] consisting of meromorphic univalent or meromorphic multivalent functions.

2.Coefficient estimates

In the following theorem , we obtain a coefficient inequality for functions in $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

<u>Theorem 1</u> : A function f(z) defined by (2) belongs to the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu (n+\alpha) + \alpha (n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) a_n \le \beta \mu (1-\alpha)$$
(5)

The result is sharp.

Proof : Assume that the inequality (5) holds true and let |z| = 1, then from (3), we have.

$$\left| \alpha \left(z^{2} \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)' + z D^{\lambda} f(z) \right) \right| - \beta \left| \mu z^{2} \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)' + \mu \alpha z D^{\lambda} f(z) \right|$$

$$= \left| \alpha \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) D_{n}(\lambda) a_{n} z^{n+1} \right) \right| - \beta \left| \mu (1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n\mu + \mu\alpha) D_{n}(\lambda) a_{n} z^{n+1} \right| \qquad (6)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu (n+\alpha) + \alpha (n+1) \right] D_{n}(\lambda) a_{n} - \beta \mu (1-\alpha) \leq 0.$$

Hence by the principle of maximum modulus, $f(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

Conversely, suppose that f(z) defined by (2) is in the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$, then from (4), we have

$$\left| \frac{\alpha \left(z^2 (D^{\lambda} f(z))' + z D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)}{\mu z^2 (D^{\lambda} f(z))' + \mu \alpha z D^{\lambda} f(z)} \right|$$
$$= \left| \frac{\alpha \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) D_n(\lambda) a_n z^{n+1} \right)}{\mu (1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n\mu + \mu \alpha) D_n(\lambda) a_n z^{n+1}} \right| < \beta.$$

Since $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \le |z|$ for all z, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\alpha\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1)D_{n}(\lambda)a_{n}z^{n+1}\right)}{\mu(1-\alpha)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n\mu+\mu\alpha)D_{n}(\lambda)a_{n}z^{n+1}}\right\} < \beta.$$

Choose the value of z on the real axis so that $\frac{z(D^{\lambda}f(z))'}{D^{\lambda}f(z)}$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator of (6) and letting $z \rightarrow 1$ through real values , we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(n+1) D_n(\lambda) a_n \leq \beta \mu(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta \mu(n+\alpha) D_n(\lambda) a_n,$$

which implies the inequality(5). Sharpness of the result follows by setting

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{\left[\beta\mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1)\right]D_n(\lambda)} z^n, (n \ge 1).$$
(7)

<u>**Corollary 1**</u>: Let $f(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$. Then

$$a_n \leq \frac{\beta \mu(1-\alpha)}{\left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1)\right] D_n(\lambda)},$$

where $0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1, 0 \le \mu \le 1$ and $\lambda > -1$.

<u>3. Distortion and Growth Theorems</u>

In the following theorems, we prove distortion and growth bounds associated with the class introduced in (3).

<u>Theorem 2</u>: Let the function f(z) defined by (2) be in the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{r} - \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)} r \le |f(z)| \le$$

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)} r, 0 < |z| = r < 1.$$
(8)

The equality in (8) is attained by the function f(z) given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)}z.$$

<u>Proof</u>: Since the function f(z) defined by (2) in the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$, we have from Theorem 1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)}.$$

Thus $|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \leq \frac{1}{r} + r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$
$$\leq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)}r.$$

Similarly,

$$|f(z)| \ge \frac{1}{|z|} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \ge \frac{1}{r} - r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{r} - \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha) + 2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)} r.$$

Theorem 3 : Let the function f(z) defined by (2)be in the class

 $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)}{2} &\leq \frac{(\beta\mu(n+\alpha)+\alpha(n+1))D_n(\lambda)}{n} \\ \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)} &\leq \left|f'(z)\right| \leq \\ \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)}, 0 < \left|z\right| = r < 1, \end{aligned}$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta\mu(1-\alpha)}{(\beta\mu(1+\alpha)+2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)}z.$$

<u>Proof</u>: Theorem 3 can be proved easily by following lines similar to Theorem 2.

4. Closure Theorems

In the next theorem, we obtain extreme points for our class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

<u>Theorem 4</u> : Let $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ and

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\beta \mu (1-\alpha)}{[\beta \mu (n+\alpha) + \alpha (n+1)] D_n(\lambda)} z^n, \text{ where }$$

$$n \ge 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1, 0 \le \mu \le 1, \lambda > -1 and D_n(\lambda)$$

is given by (*). Then f(z) is in the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z), \text{where}(\sigma_n \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1 \text{ or } 1 = \sigma_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n).$$

Proof: Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta \mu (1-\alpha) \sigma_n}{[\beta \mu (n+\alpha) + \alpha (n+1)] D_n(\lambda)} z^n.$$

Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(\beta \mu (n+\alpha) + \alpha (n+1)) D_n(\lambda)}{\beta \mu (1-\alpha)} \right] \sigma_n \frac{\beta \mu (1-\alpha)}{(\beta \mu (n+\alpha) + \alpha (n+1)) D_n(\lambda)}$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1 - \sigma_0 \le 1.$$

Using Theorem 1, we easily obtain $f(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

Conversely , let $f(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ is of the form (2).

Then

$$a_n \leq \frac{\beta \mu(1-\alpha)}{\left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1)\right] D_n(\lambda)}, (n \geq 1, n \in |\mathbf{N}).$$

Setting

$$\sigma_n = \frac{\left[\beta\mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1)\right]D_n(\lambda)}{\beta\mu(1-\alpha)} a_n, \text{ for } n=1,2, \dots$$

and $\sigma_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n$. Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) = \sigma_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z).$$

Now, we shall prove that the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ is closed under arithmetic mean and convex linear combinations.

Let the function $f_k(z)(k = 1, 2, ..., m)$ be defined by

$$f_k = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n, \, z^n, (a_n, z \ge 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 1).$$
(9)

<u>Theorem 5</u>: Let the functions $f_k(z)$ defined by (9) be in the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ for every k=1,2,...,m.

Then the arithmetic mean of $f_k(z)$ (k=1,...,m) is defined by

 $g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, (b_n \ge 0, n \ge 1, n \in |\mathbf{N}), \text{ also belongs to the class}$ $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda) \text{ , where}$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k}.$$

<u>Proof</u> : Since $f_k(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$, therefore from Theorem 1, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) a_{n,k} \le \beta \mu(1-\alpha).$$
(10)

Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) b_n$ $= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k} \right] \leq \beta \mu(1-\lambda)$

(by (10)) which shows that $g(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and this completes the proof.

<u>Theorem 6</u> : The class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

<u>Proof</u> : Let the function $f_k(z)$ (k=1,2) defined by (9) be in the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$. We show the function.

 $g(z) = \sigma f_1(z) + (1 - \sigma) f_2(z), (0 \le \sigma \le 1)$

is also in the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$. Since for $0 \le \sigma \le 1$,

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sigma a_{n,1} + (1-\sigma)a_{n,2} \right] z^n.$$

Therefore by Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) \left[\sigma a_{n,1} + (1-\sigma) a_{n,2} \right] \\ &= \sigma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) a_{n,1} \\ &+ (1-\sigma) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) a_{n,2} \\ &\leq \beta \mu(1-\alpha). \end{split}$$

Hence by Theorem 1 , we get $g(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

<u>**Theorem** 7</u>: Let the function $f_k(z)$ defined by (9) be in the class $H(\alpha_k, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ $(0 \le \alpha_k < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1, 0 \le \mu \le 1$ and $n \ge 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ for each k=1,2,...,m. Then the function g(z) defined by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{n,k} \right] z^n \text{ is in the class } H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda) \text{, where}$$
$$\alpha = \min_{1 \le k \le m} \{\alpha_k\}. \tag{11}$$

<u>Proof</u> : Since $f_k(z) \in H(\alpha_k, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ for each k=1,2,...,m, we note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta\mu(n+\alpha_k) + \alpha_k(n+1)\right] D_n(\lambda) a_{n,k} \leq \beta\mu(1-\alpha_n).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha_k) + \alpha_k(1+n) \right] D_n(\lambda) \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha_k) + \alpha_k(1+n) \right] D_n(\lambda) a_{n,k}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \beta \mu(1-\alpha_k) \leq \beta \mu(1-\alpha).$$

Thus, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha_k) + \alpha_K(1+n) \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k} \right] \le \beta \mu(1-\alpha).$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, we have $g(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$, where α is given by (11). This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

Theorem 8: Let the function $f_k(z)$ defined by (9) be in the class $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ for every k=1,2,...,m. Then the function g(z) defined by

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} d_k f_k(z) and \sum_{k=1}^{m} d_k = 1, (d_k \ge 0) \text{ in the class } H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda).$$

<u>Proof</u> : By definition of g(z) , we have

$$g(z) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} d_k\right] \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} d_k a_{n,k}\right] z^n.$$

Since $f_k(z)$ are in $H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ for every k=1,2,...,m, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta\mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1)\right] D_n(\lambda) a_{n,k} \leq \beta\mu(1-\alpha)$$

for every k=1,2,...,m. Hence we can see that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) \left[\sum_{k=1}^m d_k a_{n,k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m d_k \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta \mu(n+\alpha) + \alpha(n+1) \right] D_n(\lambda) a_{n,k} \right] \\ &\leq \beta \mu (1-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^m d_k \leq \beta \mu (1-\alpha). \\ & Thus g(z) \in H(\alpha, \mu, \beta, \lambda). \end{split}$$

REFRENCES

- [1] M.K. Aouf, On a certain class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, Rend . Math. Appl.7, 11(1991),209-219.
- [2] W. G. Atshan and S.R. Kulkarni, Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative I, J. Rajasthan Acad. Phys. Sci. 6(2),(2007), 129-140.
- [3] N.E. Cho, S.H. Lee and S. Owa, *A class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients*, Kobe J. Math., 4 (1987), 43 50.
- [4] S. M. Kahairnar and Meena More , On a class of meromorphic multivalent functions with negative coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, Int. Math. Forum, 3(22), (2008), 1087-1097.
- [5] S. R. Kulkarni and Mrs. S.S. Joshi, *Certain subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions with missing and two fixed points*, STUDIA UNIV. "BABES –BOLYAI", MATHEMATICA, XLVII (1), (2002), 47-59.
- [6] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Soc. 49(1975), 109-115.
- [7] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Editors). *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, (1992), Singapore.