Predictors of In-Hospital Mortality After Acute Myocardial Infarction

Zaid Mohammed Ali Hamandi*, Khalid Abdulla Al-Khazraji**

ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND:

Acute myocardial infarction remains a major cause of adult mortality. A steady decline in the mortality rate appears to be due to a fall in the incidence of acute myocardial infarction, a fall in the case fatality rate, identifying those patients who are at increased risk, and more aggressive prophylactic cardiovascular treatments to prevent it from occurring.

OBJECTIVE:

To identify, patients who have higher risk of in-hospital mortality after the first acute myocardial infarction.

PATIENTS AND METHODS:

The hospital mortality for the first acute myocardial infarction (AMI) was evaluated for 112 patients who were admitted to the coronary care unit in Baghdad Teaching Hospital during a total period of six months duration, between March and Sept. 2001.

For each patient, history, clinical examination, electrocardiograms, fasting venous plasma glucose were done.

RESULTS:

Total mortality was 16.1%. The following factors were associated with higher in-hospital mortality: advanced age (more than 65 years), females, diabetic, and clinically evident heart failure. Other variables were not associated with increase or decrease in mortality: hypertension, smoking, admission heart rate, bundle branch block, previous angina pectoris, and the site of the infarction. **CONCLUSION:**

Certain groups of patients tend to have higher mortality; patients older than 60 years, females, diabetic and patients with clinical heart failure. Other factors didn't affect survival; location of the AMI, the presence of bundle branch block, hypertension, angina pectoris, smoking and the high heart rate on admission.

KEYWORDS: acute myocardial infarction, mortality.

INTRODUCTION:

Acute myocardial infarction can be defined as deprivation of the blood supply to the heart (ischemia) for a period of time sufficient to produce structural damage to the myocardium, often as the result of a coronary occlusion (thrombosis)⁽¹⁾. Coronary care units have reduced early mortality from acute myocardial infarction by approximately 50% by providing immediate defibrillation, more precise management of heart failure, cardiogenic shock and beneficial intervention, including intravenous medications and recanalyzing infarct-related arteries, especially with stents (2, 3, 4)

Six independent variables were found to be predictive of early mortality; these are left

*Baghdad Teaching Hospital Medical City.

** College of Medicine University of Baghdad.

ventricular function score, ventricular fibrillation, bundle branch block, history of previous acute myocardial infarction, age and atrioventricular block, while three independent variables were found to be predictive of late mortality: age, anterior location of the acute myocardial infarction, and a low peak creatine kinase-MB level.

So the sets of independent risk factors for early and late mortality after acute myocardial infarction are substantially different, suggesting that different mechanisms are responsible for outcome ⁽⁵⁾.

PATIENTS AND METHODES:

Between March 2001 and Sept. 2001, all patients who were admitted to the coronary care unit at Baghdad teaching hospital and ultimately diagnosed as a first acute myocardial infarction (AMI) were enrolled in the study. One-hundred and twelve patients fulfilled the criteria of inclusion throughout the duration of the study. Acute myocardial infarction was diagnosed according to the WHO criteria of diagnosis that is at least two of the three findings should be present for diagnosis; ischemic chest pain, elevated cardiac enzymes and significant ECG changes ^(2, 6).

Inclusion criteria involved any patient with typical ischemic chest pain lasting > 30 min. and ECG changes in patients who had no history or ECG findings of previous myocardial infarction (MI). Any patient with previous history and/ or ECG findings of (MI) was excluded from the study. Patients who were admitted to the CCU as having acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and they had left the hospital on their own, despite the advice of the medical and nursing staff, and those patients who received thrombolytic therapy or referred to other hospital or special center for interventional therapy, were excluded.

Finally, those patients who were admitted but they died before the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction was done, were not included in the study.

Electrocardiograms ECG:

Standard 12 leads electrocardiograms were obtained for each patient. Infarction was classified as $^{(7, 8)}$:

1. Anterior: ST segments elevation V1 to V4.

2. Inferior: ST segments elevation II, III, and aVF. 3. Posterior: R wave in V1 or V2.

Patient labeled as having bundle branch block if he has new or presumably new ECG findings of right or left bundle branch block. Left ventricular failure was defined as bi-basal crepitations or a third heart sound with or without cardiogenic shock ^(9, 10). Regular smokers were those with a habit of regular smoking, ex-smokers as those who quit smoking

for at least three years, and nonsmoker as never smoked ⁽¹¹⁾. Diabetes mellitus was defined as a history of diabetes ⁽¹¹⁾ or fasting venous plasma glucose level equal or more than 126 mg/dl ⁽¹²⁾.

Hypertension was defined as use of antihypertensive drugs or a seated blood pressure > 140/90 mmHg⁽¹¹⁾.

Heart rate patients were categorized into four groups ⁽¹³⁾.

1) Rate of 60 beats/min.

- 2) Rate between 61-90 beats/min.
- 3) Rate 91-110 beats/min.
- 4) Rate above 110 beats/min.

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis was done using numbers, percents and means \pm standard deviation, usual Chi-square, t-test and ANOVA (analysis of variants). Multiple linear regression models were used to define the predictor factor(s) of the outcome. Back ward-stepwise method with exclusion of two-standard deviation above and below the means was used.

RESULS:

The in-hospital mortality was 16.1%. Patients with advanced age (more than 65 years), females, diabetic, and with clinical evidence of heart failure, had significant higher mortality. While hypertension, smoking, admission heart rate, bundle branch block, previous angina pectoris, and the site of the infarction were not associated with increase or decrease in mortality.

Timing of Hospital Discharge:

The average duration of hospitalization in this study was (6 ± 1.4) days. The average period between admission and in-hospital death was (2 ± 2.4) days.

Clinical predictor	No. of patients (9 Total	6) Died	P. V.
Male Gender	83(74.1%)	8(9.6%)	P < 0.05
Female	29(25.9%)	10(34.5%)	
Yes Diabetes	28(25.0%)	8(28.6%)	P<0.05
No	84(75.0%)	10(11.9%)	
Yes Heart failure	48(42.9%)	14(29.2%)	P < 0.05
No	64(57.1%)	4(6.3%)	
Anterior Site	77(68.8%)	13(16.9%)	NS*
Inferior	35(31.3%)	5(14.3%)	
Yes Angina pectoris No	37(33.0%) 75(67.0%)	6(16.2%) 12(16.0%)	NS*
Yes Hypertension	71(63.3%)	11(15.5%)	NS*
No	41(36.6%)	7(17.1%)	
Yes Smoking	79(70.5%)	13(16.5%)	NS*
No	33(29.5%)	5(15.2%)	
Yes Bundle branch	36(32.1%)	7(19.4%)	NS*
block No	76(67.9%)	11(14.5%)	

Table 1: The clinical predictors of in-hospital mortality

*Not significant

Table 2: The clinical predictor and statistical difference between patients survived from, and died in, the hospital

Clinical predictor	Survival no. (94)	Died no. (18)	P.V.
Age (Years) (Mean ± SD*)	(59.71 ± 11.44)	(66.33 ± 11.80)	P < 0.05
Admission heart rate (bpm•) (Mean ± SD*)	(86.15 ± 23.02)	(94.77 ± 32.03)	NS*

Standard deviation.

• beats per minutes.

* Not significant.

Table 3: Association between the gender of the patients and the presence of heart failure

	Gender		Total no. (%)
	Male no. (%)	Female no. (%)	10tai 110. (%)
Present	30 (36.14%)	18 (62.06%)	48 (42.85%)
Heart failure Absent	53 (63.85%)	11 (37.93%)	64 (57.14%)
Total	83 (100%)	29 (100%)	112 (100%)

(P<0.05)

THE IRAQI POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL JOURNAI $_{\pm \Lambda}$

	Gender		Total no. $(0/)$
	Male no. (%)	Female no. (%)	Total no. (%)
Yes	64	15	79
	(77.10%)	(51.72%)	(70.53%)
Smoking	19	14	33
No	(22.89%)	(48.27%)	(29.46%)
Total	83	29	112
	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)

Table 4:Association between gender and smoking

(P < 0.05)

Table 5:Admission heart rate and mortality rate

Heart rate (bpm)	Total no. of patients (%)	No. of patients died in the hospital	Mortality rate
< 60	20 (17.9%)	2	10%
61-90	44 (39.3%)	7	15.9%
91-110	35 (31.3%)	5	14.9%
>111	13 (11.6%)	4	30.8%
Total	112 (100%)	18	16.1%

bpm = Beats per minutes., P = N.S.

DISCUSION:

The in-hospital mortality was 16.1%. Over the world, many studies were done to clarify this point, some of these had the same figure, others had more, and the others had less mortality ^{(4, 14).}

Timing of Hospital Discharge:

The average duration of hospitalization in this study was (6 ± 1.4) days. The average period between admission and in-hospital death was (2 ± 2.4) days. The time of discharge from the hospital is variable, patients who have undergone aggressive reperfusion protocols and have no significant ventricular arrhythmias, recurrent ischemia, or congestive heart failure have been safely discharged in less than five days ⁽³⁾.

Age and mortality:

Advanced age was significantly associated with higher in-hospital mortality. Patients with age of lower than 65 years had lower mortality than patients with sixty five years and above. Others found similar results ^(15, 16). This difference in mortality cannot be explained by different way of investigation and/or treatment for those above and below 60 years as Montague did ⁽¹⁷⁾. Many other studies document higher mortality rate in older age groups

Gender and mortality:

Female patients had significantly higher in-hospital mortality than males (34.5% Vs 9.6%); it might be due to the coexistence of heart failure and diabetes mellitus in females more than males. These results were similar to most other studies; women's mortality rates from AMI are higher than those seen in men, due in part to women's older age at presentation and higher prevalence of co-morbid conditions such as diabetes ⁽²¹⁾. In another study from this country (22), it was found that the mortality was also higher in women and it was significantly associated with the age of the patients, being more in those of 60 years and older and less in women below 50 years. Others found the difference in mortality no longer exist between male and female at the same age group ^(20, 23, 24, 25). Goldberg RJ observed no significant sex differences in in-hospital survival ⁽²⁶⁾.

Heart failure and mortality:

Heart failure was independently associated with increasing in-hospital mortality, and it was significantly more in female patients, (62% of patients with heart failure were female), and they

had higher mortality rate than male. Heart failure was also associated significantly with advanced age, and it might explains the higher mortality rate among those who are older age and female gender separately; while heart failure wasn't associated significantly with diabetic patients. Others found similar results (^{27, 28, 29, 30)}. Berning found that only age and clinical heart failure had independent predictive value of death during hospitalization ⁽¹⁶⁾. While Devlin suggested that more aggressive reperfusion strategies may improve prognosis ⁽³¹⁾. Marcus found that the proportion of arrhythmic and myocardial failure deaths did not differ by treatment or age group ⁽³²⁾.

Diabetes mellitus and mortality:

Diabetic patients had higher in-hospital mortality than others. As much as there was no significant association between diabetes mellitus and heart failure, gender of the patients, hypertension and advanced age, in the current study, this higher mortality rate will still need to be explained. One significant association between diabetes and increasing admission heart rate, which was associated with higher in-hospital mortality. This statistically wasn't significant. association Diabetic patients tend to have higher heart rate than non-diabetics do and the autonomic neuropathy associated with diabetes mellitus may be the cause as others noticed similar results ^(28, 33, 34, 35). Yudkin also founds that the outcome in diabetic patients is poor despite smaller infarcts as assessed by enzyme measurements, ECG methods, and postmortem finding ⁽³⁶⁾.

Hypertension and mortality:

Hypertension didn't affect in-hospital mortality in this study. Fresco C found those patients with a history of hypertension had a significantly higher mortality ⁽³⁷⁾. In contrast, T. Heer found that a very high initial blood pressure in patients with AMI not associated with increase, but decrease, in inhospital mortality. In the other hand, lower but still normal systolic blood pressure values (100-130 mmHg) are associated with higher mortality ⁽³⁸⁾.

Angina pectoris and mortality:

The presence of previous angina pectoris, prior to hospital admission showed no effect on the inhospital mortality in this study. The mortality rate between patients with angina was higher than found by others ^(39, 40, 41). Similar results found by Pierard ^{(39),} while Behar noticed that angina pectoris preceding acute myocardial infarction emerged as an independent predictor of increased hospital mortality rates ^{(40).} On the other hand, Anzai T and Yoshikawa T suggested that the presence of angina before acute myocardial infarction may be associated with a protective effect on left ventricular function during anterior wall acute myocardial infarction. Although the precise mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects are unknown, they may be related to the development of collateral channels or ischemic preconditioning ^{(41, 42).}

Bundle branch block and mortality:

There was no significant association between the presence of bundle branch block (BBB) and the inhospital mortality rate in this study. No similar results were obtained. Dubois found that (BBB) emerged as an independent factor for worse inhospital outcome; he found that hospital mortality was much higher among patients with complete BBB ^{(43).} In addition, Alpman A. found that the number of patients who had a left ventricular aneurysm was more among patients with (BBB) than those without, and the main cause of poor prognosis during the hospital period in patients with AMI and BBB was not arrhythmia or conduction disturbance but severe pump failure due to extensive myocardial necrosis ^{(44).}

Site of infarction and mortality:

No significant relation between the site of the infarction, and the in-hospital mortality rate in this study. This finding may be due to small size study. However, Taylor had obtained similar results ⁽³⁰⁾, and Mauri found that the extent of myocardial injury seems to be more relevant than the site in determining in-hospital mortality ⁽⁴⁵⁾. While Thanavaro found that patients with inferior myocardial infarction had significantly lower in-hospital mortality ⁽⁴⁶⁾.

Smoking and mortality:

No significant association was found between smoking and mortality. No similar results were obtained. Ryan found that smoking doubles mortality after acute MI as it triggers coronary spasm, reduces the anti-ischemic effects of beta adrenoceptor blockers, thus smoking cessation is essential in patients with acute MI ⁽⁴⁷⁾. While Shmuel Gottlieb found that smokers have an early survival advantage over nonsmokers, and the seemingly better early prognosis could be attributed to the younger age, lower risk profile and less eventful hospital course of smokers. Smokers present with acute myocardial infarction about a decade earlier than past or nonsmokers ⁽⁴⁸⁾.

Admission heart rate and mortality:

There was clear relationship between admission

heart rate and in-hospital mortality, although it was not significant. This may be due to small number of patients in each group of heart rate. Admission heart rate was significantly higher in diabetic patients, and it was also associated with hypertension as that 85% of hypertensive patients had heart rate of between 60-110 beats/min., Wilbert found both in-hospital and post discharge mortality increased with increasing admission HR ^{(12).}

CONCLUSION:

- i. Higher in-hospital mortality rate had been noted compared to other similar studies, and probably it had been affected by the shortage of modern reperfusion therapy.
- ii. Certain groups of patients tend to have higher mortality. Those older than 65 years, whether or not they had heart failure, had higher mortality than younger age group. Females had higher mortality, and the increasing female mortality appears to be due to higher incidence of clinical heart failure and diabetes mellitus. Diabetic patients. Patients with clinical heart failure have increasing mortality.
- iii. The following factors didn't affect survival, the location of the AMI according to certain ECG criteria, the presence of BBB, hypertension, the presence of angina pectoris prior to AMI, smoking, and the high heart rate on admission. REFERENCES:
 - Joel S. Karliner, Gabriel Gregoratos, and John Ross, Jr.: (Myocardial Infarction), Jay H. Stein, Internal Medicine, second edition, 1987:439.
 - Elliott M. Antman Eugene Braunwald, ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Braunwald's Heart Disease: A Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine, 8th ed., 2008;2: 1207.
 - **3.** Richaerd A. Lange, L. David Hillis: Coronary Heart Disease. Andreoli and Carpenter's CECIL Essentials of Medicine, 7th ed., 2007;1: 113.
 - 4. The European Society of Cardiology: The Task Force On The Management of Acute Myocardial Infarction. Cardiology. Acute Myocardial Infarction Pre-hospital and Inhospital Management. Eur. Heart J., 1996;17:43–63.
 - Pierard LA, Chapelle JP, Albert A, Dubois C, Kulbertus HE: Characteristics Associated With Early (Less Than Or Equal To 3months) Versus Late (Greater Than 3 Months To Less Than Or Equal To 3 Years) Mortality After Acute Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol 1989; 64:315-8.

- 6. Yacoub S. M., Acute Myocardial Infarction Among Army Personnel: A Comparative Study of In-Hospital Prognosis of First Q-Wave and Non-Q-Wave Type. International Review Of The Armed Forced, Medical Services, TOMG-LXV, 10/11/12, 1992.
- 7. Yahuda Adler, Nili Zafrir, Tuvia Ben-Gal, Oren Ben Lulu, Charles Maynard, Samuel EΤ AL: Relation Between Sclarovsky, Evolutionary 1st Segment And T-Wave Direction And Electrocardiographic Prediction Of Myocardial Infarction Size And Left Ventricular Function Among Patients With Anterior Wall Q-Wave Acute Myocardial Who Received Re-Perfusion Infarction Therapy. Am J Cardiol, 2000; 85:927-35.
- Charles H. Croft, Pascal Nicod, James Rcorbett, Samuel E. Lewis, Robert Huxley, Jhunan Mukharji: Detection Of Right Ventricular Infarction By Right Precordial Electrocardiography. Am J Cardiol, 1982; 50: 421-27.
- **9.** Niall G Mohon, Charles J. McKenna, Mary B. Codd, Cliona Ororke, Hugh A. McCann, Declan D. Sugrue: Gender Differences In The Management and Out Come of Acute Myocardial Infarction In Unselected Patients In The Thrombolytic Era. Am J Cardiol, 2000; 85:921-26.
- 10. Robert Robert: Acute myocardial infarction. H. David Humes, Kelly Textbook of Internal Medicine., 4th ed., 2000;chapter 38: 145.
- 11. Cheuk-Man Yu, Chu-Pak Lau, Bernard Man-Yung Cheung, Yee Man Fong, Yin-Yu Ho, Kam-Bik Lam, ET AL: Clinical Predictors Of Morbidity And Mortality In Patients With Myocardial Infarction Who Underwent Cardiac Rehabilitation And Importance Of Diabetes Mellitus And Exercise Capacity. Am J Cardiol, 2000; 85: 344-49.
- **12.** Wilbert S. Aronow and Chul Ahn: Association of Diabetes Mellitus Using Old And New Diagnostic Criteria With Incidence Of New Coronary Events In Older Men And Women. Am J Cardiol, 2000;85: 104-8.
- **13.** Hjalmarson A, Gilpin EA, Kjekshus J, Schieman G, Nicod P, and Henning H: Influence of Heart Rate on Mortality after Acute Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol 1990;165: 547-53 (Abstract).

THE IRAQI POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL JOURNAL 51

- 14. Gilpin EA, Koziol JA, Madsen EB, Henning H, Ross J Jr.: Periods of Differing Mortality Distribution During The First Year After Acute Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol 1983;52: 240-4 (Abstract).
- **15.** Tofler GH, Muller JE, Stone PH, Willich SN, Davis VG, Poole WK ET AL: Factors Leading To Shorter Survival After Acute Myocardial Infarction In Patients Ages 65 To 75 Years Compared With Younger Patients. Am J Cardiol, 1988; 62: 860-7. (Abstract).
- **16.**Berning J, Launbjerg J, And Appleyard M: Echocardiographic Algorithms For Admission And Predischarge Prediction of Mortality In Acute Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol 1992;69:1538-44 (Abstract).
- **17.** Montague TJ, Ikuta RM, Wong RY, Bay KS, Teo KK Davies NJ: Comparison Of Risk And Patterns Of Practice In Patients Older And Younger Than 70 Years With Acute Myocardial Infarction In A Two-Year Period (1987-1989). Am J Cardiol 1991; 68: 843-7. (Abstract).
- **18.**Rumboldt Z, Rumboldt M, Pesenti S, Polic S, And Miric D: Peculiarities of Myocardial Infarction at Young Age in Southern Croatia. Cardiologia 1995; 40: 407-11 (Abstract).
- **19.** Todd D. Miller, Timothy F. Christian, David O. Hodge, Mona R.Hopfenspirger, Bernard J. Gersh, And Raymond J. Gibbons; Comparison Of Acute Myocardial Infarct Size To Two-Year Mortality In Patients <65 To Those >65 Years Of Age. Am J Cardiol, 1999; 84:170-75.
- **20.** Al-Muktar M., Al-Hafidh L.: Pre Hospitalization Predictors Of Early Mortality In-Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction. (Board thesis) 1996:23.
- 21.Boris E. Coronado, John L. Griffith, Joni R. Beshansky, Harry P. Selker, Hospital Mortality In Women And Men With Acute Cardiac Ischemia: A Prospective Multicenter Study. JACC 1997;29, :1490□6.
- 22. Hamid M. B., Al-Kubaisy K.: Acute Myocardial Infarction, Sex Related Differences In Presentation, Profile And Immediate Out Come. (Board thesis) 1998: 14.
- **23.**Dittrich H, Gilpin E, Nicod P, And Cali G, Henning H, And Ross J Jr Acute Myocardial Infarction in Women: Influence of Gender on Mortality and Prognostic Variables. Am J Cardiol 1988;62:1-7 (Abstract).

- 24. Bueno H, Vidan MT, Almazan A. Lopez-Sendon JL, And DELCAN JL: Influence of Sex on The Short-Term Outcome of Elderly Patients with A First Acute Myocardial Infarction. Circulation 1995 ; 92: 1133-40 (Abstract).
- **25.**Garini A, Emanuelli C, Fadin BM, Manzetti G, Distante R, Astorri E: Female Gender And Acute Myocardial Infarction: What Role Does It Play In The Early And Late Prognosis. Minerva Cardioangiol 1994; 42: 395-402. (Abstract).
- **26.** Goldberg RJ, Zevallos JC, Yarzebski J, Alpert JS, Gore JM, Chen Z, ET AL: Prognosis of Acute Myocardial Infarction Complicated By Complete Heart Block (The Worcester Heart Attack Study). Am J Cardiol 1992; 69:1135-41 (Abstract).
- 27. Paavo Uusimaa, Juha Risteli, Matti Niemel, Jarmo Lumme, Markku Ikoheimo, Antti Jounela, ET AL: Collagen Scar Formation After Acute Myocardial Infarction: Relationships To Infarct Size, Left Ventricular Function, And Coronary Artery Patency Circulation 1997; 96:2565□2572.
- 28. Roguin-A; Behar-D; Ben-Ami-H; Reisner-SA; Edelstein-S; Linn-S; ET Al: Long-Term Prognosis of Acute Pulmonary Oedema--An Ominous Outcome. Eur-J-Heart-Fail. 2000; 2:137-44 (Abstract).
- **29.** Waldo AL, Camm AJ, Deruyter H, Freidman PL, Macneil DJ, Pitt B ET AL: Survival With Oral D-Sotalol In Patients With Left Ventricular Dysfunction After Myocardial Infarction: Rationale, Design, And Methods (The SWORD Trial). Am J Cardiol 1995; 75: 1023-7 (Abstract).
- **30.** Taylor GJ, Humphries JO, Mellits ED, Pitt B, Schulze RA, Griffith LS, ET AL: Predictors Of Clinical Course, Coronary Anatomy And Left Ventricular Function After Recovery From Acute Myocardial Infarction. Circulation 1980; 62: 960-70. (Abstract).
- **31.** Devlin W, Cragg D, Jacks M, Friedman H, O'Neill W, Grines C: Comparison Of Outcome In Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction Aged > 75 Years With That In Younger Patients. Am J Cardiol 1995;75:573-6.
- **32.** Marcus Fi, Friday K, Mccans J, Moon T, Hahn E, Cobb L, Et Al: Age-Related Prognosis After Acute Myocardial Infarction (The Multicenter Diltiazem Post Infarction Trial). Am J Cardiol 1990; 65:559-66 (Abstract).

THE IRAQI POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL JOURNAL 52

- **33.**Savage MP, Krolewski AS, Kenien GG, Lebeis MP, Christlieb AR, Lewis SM, ET AL: Acute Myocardial Infarction In Diabetes Mellitus And Significance Of Congestive Heart Failure As A Prognostic Factor. Am J Cardiol 1988; 62: 665-9 (Abstract).
- **34.**Zuanetti G, Latini R: Impact of Pharmacological Treatment on Mortality After Myocardial Infarction in Diabetic Patients. J Diabetes Complications 1997; 11:131-6. (Abstract).
- **35.**Kouvaras G, Cokkinos D, Spyropoulou M: Increased Mortality Of Diabetics After Acute Myocardial Infarction Attributed To Diffusely Impaired Left Ventricular Performance As Assessed By Echocardiography. Pn Heart J 1988; 29: 1-9. (Abstract).
- **36.** Yudkin, Smits: Effects of Hypoglycemic Agents on Patients with Diabetes. Circulation.96, 10, 18, 1997:3780.
- 37. Fresco C, Avanzini F, Bosi S, Franzosi MG, Maggioni AP, Santoro L, ET AL: Prognostic Value Of A History Of Hypertension In 11,483 Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction Treated With Thrombolysis. GISSI-2 Investigators. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della, Sopravvivena nell'Infarto Miocardico. J Hypertens 1996;14: 743-50 (Abstract).
- **38.** T. Heer, R. Schiele, A.K. Gitt, S. Schneider, H. Wienbergen, S. Wagner, Et Al: A Very High Initial Systolic Blood Pressure In Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction Is Not Associated With A Higher Hospital Mortality. European Heart Journal, 2001; 22: 74. (Abstract).
- **39.**Pierard LA, Dubois C, Smeets JP, Boland J, Carlier J, Kulbertus HE: Prognostic Significance of Angina Pectoris Before First Acute Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol 1988;61:984-7 (Abstract).
- **40.**Behar S, Reicher-Reiss H, Abinader E, Agmon J, Friedman Y, Barzilai J, ET AL: The Prognostic Significance Of Angina Pectoris Preceding The Occurrence Of A First Acute Myocardial Infarction In 4166 Consecutive Hospitalized Patients. Am Heart J 1992 ;123:1481-6(Abstract).
- **41.** Anzai T, Yoshikawa T, Asakura Y, Abe S, Meguro T, Akaishi M, ET AL: Effect On Short-Term Prognosis And Left Ventricular Function Of Angina Pectoris Prior To First Q-Wave Anterior Wall Acute Myocardial Infarction. IS J Cardiol 1994;74: 755-9 (Abstract).

- **42.** Yoshikawa T, Inoue S, Abe S, Akaishi M, Mitamura H, Ogawa S, ET AL: Acute Myocardial Infarction Without Warning: Clinical Characteristics And Significance Of Preinfarction Angina. Cardiology 1993; 82: 42-7. (Abstract).
- **43.** Dubois C, Pierard LA, Smeets JP, Foidart G, Legrand V, And Kulbertus HE: Short- And Long-Term Prognostic Importance Of Complete Bundle-Branch Block Complicating Acute Myocardial Infarction. Clin Cardiol 1988; 11: 292-6 (Abstract).
- **44.** Alpman A, Guldal M, Erol C, Akgun G, Kervancioglu C, Sonel A, ET AL: The Role Of Arrhythmia And Left Ventricular Dysfunction In Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction And Bundle Branch Block. Jpn Heart J 1993; 34: 145-57. (Abstract).
- **45.** Mauri F, Gasparini M, Barbonaglia L, Santoro E, Grazia Franzosi M, Tognoni G, ET AL: Prognostic Significance Of The Extent Of Myocardial Injury In Acute Myocardial Infarction Treated By Streptokinase (The GISSI Trial). IS J Cardiol 1989; 63: 1291-5 (Abstract).
- **46.** Thanavaro S, Kleiger RE, Province MA, Hubert JW, Miller JP, Krone RJ, ET AL: Effect Of Infarct Location On The In-Hospital Prognosis Of Patients With First Transmural Myocardial Infarction. Circulation 1982; 66: 742-7 (Abstract).
- **47.**Ryan Et Al., Management of Acute Myocardial Infarction JACC, 1996;281328–428.
- **48.** Shmuel Gottlieb, Valentina Boyko, Doron Zahger, Jonathan Balkin, Hanoch Hod, Benyamin Pelled, ET AL: Smoking And Prognosis After Acute Myocardial Infarction In The Thrombolytic Era JACC 15, 1996; 28: 1506–13.