-----Jou. Raf. Sci., Vol. 20, No.4 pp 1- 10, 2009-----

Genetic Effects for Yield and some of its Components in Upland Cotton

Khalid M. Dawod Department of Field Crops College of Agric. and Forestry Mosul University Ghada A. Al-Hamdany Department of Biology College of Science Mosul University

(Received 24 / 6 / 2009; Accepted 19 / 10 / 2009)

ABSTRACT

Graphics analysis, components of genetic variation and heritability, for the characters: plant height, number of vegetative branches, number of fruiting branches, number of bolls per plant, boll weight and seed cotton yield, were computed from a half diallel set of seven cotton varieties (Halab90, Sp8886, Delta pine5409, Lachata, Dunn1047, Coker310 and Deer22). The variance due to dominance were generally higher than the additive for all studied characters. Graphics analysis suggested the presence of epistatic gene effects (non-allelic interaction) in high proportion for number of bolls per plant and boll weight, as well as additive gene effect with partial dominance for plant height, number of vegetative branches, number of bolls per plant, and seed cotton yield. Moderate narrow sense heritability was observed in case of plant height whereas low for the remaining characters.

التأثيرات الوراثية للحاصل وبعض مكوناته في القطن الابلند

الملخص

اعتمدت طريقة تحليل خط الانحدار وتقدير مكونات التباين الوراثي والتوريث الضيق للصفات ارتفاع النبات وعدد الأفرع الخضرية والشرية وعدد الجوز المتفتح بالنبات ومتوسط وزن الجوزة وحاصل القطن الزهر بالنبات من تهجين تبادلي نصفي بين سبعة أصناف من القطن (حلب 90 وسبيرو 8886 ودلتا باين 5409 ولاشاتا ودن 1047 وكوكر 310 ودير 22). أظهرت النتائج أن مكونات التباين السيادي كانت اكبر من التباين الإضافي للصفات جميعها وتبين من تحليل خط الانحدار وتقدر ودير 22). أظهرت النتائج أن مكونات التباين السيادي كانت اكبر من التباين الإضافي للصفات وحدد الجوز ودير 22). أظهرت النتائج أن مكونات التباين السيادي كانت اكبر من التباين الإضافي للصفات جميعها وتبين من تحليل خط الانحدار وجود تأثير جيني تفوقي (تداخلي غير أليلي) وبنسبة عالية لصفتي عدد الجوز بالنبات ومتوسط وزن الجوزة، وان هناك تأثير جيني إضافي مع سيادة جزئية للصفات التبات وعدد الأفرع الخضرية وعدد الجوز بالنبات وحاصل القطن الزهر بالنبات وحاصل القطن الزهر النبات وحاصل وحد الأفرع النبات ومتوسط وزن الجوزة، وان هناك تأثير جيني إضافي مع اليلي عار النبات كان التباين الإضافي للصفات جميعها وتبين من تحليل خط الانحدار وجود تأثير جيني تفوقي (تداخلي غير النباي البياي وبنسبة عالية لصفتي عدد الجوز بالنبات ومتوسط وزن الجوزة، وان هناك تأثير جيني إضافي مع اليلي كان التوريث الضيق منوسط النبات وعاد الأفرع الخضرية وعدد الجوز بالنبات وحاصل القطن الزهر مايادة جزئية للصفات المناي الموسط لارتفاع النبات وواطئاً لبقية الصفات.

INTRODUCTION

Improvement in seed cotton yield and other characters of its components has always remained the main objective for the most cotton breeding programmes over all the world. Basic informations concerning with the genetic control of the characters under selection is of quite important when plant breeders are able to apply their programmes efficiently by the choice of appropriate parents and selection methodology.

Moreover, it is highly desirable that the information obtained under the same conditions where selection have to be take place.

Estimation of genetic parameters at several studies, exist concerning the genetic system that control seed cotton yield and its components, some of these estimates are: heritability, gene action involved, potential for improving seed cotton yield and other important characters seems high (Grean and Culp, 1990; Alam *et al.*, 1991; Gomma, 1997; Radi *et al.*, 1999; Ahmad *et al.*, 2005; Murtaza (2005); Ali *et al.*, 2009). Also Jagtab (1986), Jagtab and Kohle (1987), Tomar and Singh (1992), Tariq et al. (1995) and Khan et al. (1999) indicating additive gene effect for seed cotton yield and some of its components, like number of fruiting branches, bolls per plant and boll weight.

Our experiment aims were to obtain more informations about the basis of the genetic variation and to investigate the genetic system nature by Hayman-Jinks model, controlling seed cotton yield and some of its components.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The parental cotton varieties used in this study were: (1) Halab90, (2) SP8886, (3) Deltapine5409, (4) Lachata, (5) Dunn1047, (6) Coker310 and (7) Deer22. Hereafter, entries will be identified by number 1 to 7, as indicated, their F_1 hybrids will be designated by appropriate number combinations. All possible crosses (excluding reciprocals) were made among these seven varieties. These varieties and their F_1 were grown in the field at Al-Haweja (Kirkuk Governorate) at 2006 using Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Each plot had three rows 5 m long. The rows were spaced at 75 cm. and plants at 25 cm. within rows. Recommended cultural practices were followed during the crop season. Observations were recorded for six characters, namely, plant height in cm, number of vegetative branches, number of flowering branches, number of bolls per plant, boll weight (calculated as the average weight of seed cotton per boll in gram) and seed cotton yield per plant in gram.

Data analysis was conducted according to the method described by Gomez and Gomez (1983), and the mode of inheritance was determined on the basis of genetic components obtained from F_1 (Mather and Jinks, 1977, 1982) and variance and covariance graph fabricated using simple additive-dominance model of Hayman (1954a and 1954b) and Jinks (1954). Narrow sense heritability was estimated according to Mather and Jinks (1977, 1982).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The array means (X), variance (Vr) and covariance (Wr) for the six seed cotton yield and some of its components from seven varieties are presented in table 1. The variance analysis (Table 2) showed highly significant differences between 28 entries for all six studied characters (plant height, number of vegetative branches, number of fruiting branches, number of bolls per plant, boll weight and seed cotton yield per plant), making assurance that the different parents chosen in the present investigation were genetically diversed for all characters under consideration.

Diallel cross analysis based on the following assumptions: (i) diploid segregation (ii) no reciprocal differences (iii) no epistasis (iv) no multiple alleles (v) homozygosity of the parents and (vi) genes independently distributed among parents. *Gossypium hirsutum* L. which is an amphidiploids behaves almost like a perfect diploid.

Cultivare	Plant height			no. Vegetative branches				no. Fruiting branches		
Cultivals	X	Vr	Wr	Х	Vr	Wr	X	K Vı	wr	
Halab1	53.13	1173.5	245.1	2.600	0.352	2 -0.0	02 8.06	6 5.54	9 0.048	
Sp8886	76.67	101.9	-54.9	2.933	0.299	0.14	8 8.66	6 1.32	3 -0.171	
Delta pine	91.60	929.9	-221.7	2.133	0.493	3 0.19	4 8.86	6 6.17	7 -1.427	
Lachata	79.53	497.3	201.7	2.333	0.321	0.19	2 7.13	3 5.97	3 1.512	
Dunn1047	69.87	384.9	98.1	2.600	0.609	-0.1	95 8.20	0 1.53	9 -0.453	
Coker310	102.5	97.4	102.2	1.333	0.694	0.16	9 10.8	0 2.20	3 0.112	
Deer22	91.13	643.6	197.0	2.466	0.286	5 -0.0	04 8.53	3 5.15	6 0.144	
		lls	Boll weight Seed cotton y					otton yield		
Cultivars										
	Х	Vr	Wr	Х	Vr	Wr	Х	Vr	Wr	
Halab1	31.80	13.71	2.899	3.790	0.229	0.141	120.51	735.22	267.43	
Sp8886	35.07	6.97	2.411	4.026	0.431	0.234	141.40	206.57	154.26	
Delta pine	33.41	48.88	-9.824	4.593	0.155	0.077	153.38	1616.5	-339.39	
Lachata	28.53	33.56	-1.097	4.336	0.160	0.024	123.67	1547.5	124.78	
Dunn1047	28.33	14.19	-1.512	5.860	0.322	0.327	166.29	492.96	65.14	
Coker310	29.73	17.62	-6.284	4.910	0.232	0.034	146.16	573.83	-68.32	
Deer22	28.80	51.15	-1.644	4.423	0.179	0.127	127.45	2146.3	337.54	

Table 1: Means (X) variance (Vr) and covariance (Wr) of seven cotton cultivars

Table 2: ANOVA for yield and it's components in a 7x7 diallel set in cotton.

Sources	df	Plant Height	No. Vegetative Branches	No. Fruiting Branches	No. of Bolls	Boll Weight	Seed Cotton Yield
Block	2	3.137	0.031	0.194	5.109	0.094	418.7
Entries	27	1779.5**	1.176**	11.241**	71.12**	0.655**	2797.8**
Error	54	19.943	0.049	0.345	1.963	0.120	161.12

** Significant at 1% level.

Reciprocal differences regarding the characters studied in the present investigation have not been reported. The varieties included in the present study were homozygous as they were being maintained by selfing. Likewise the assumptions i, ii and v are satisfied. For testing the other assumptions Hayman (1954) proposed certain test. this test of variance analysis of Wr-Vr was applied in present case and it was found that the variance was not significant for all seven studied characters. This indicated that the differences Wr-Vr were

homogenous and the assumptions were fulfilled. The data for the six characters were analyzed for genetic components of variation in terms of the relative contribution of additive genetic variance and non-additive genetic variance. Besides the estimation of the components of genetic variation an overall picture about the genetic architecture was obtained by Wr, Vr graphs. The results summarized as follows:

Plant height: Partial dominance is indicated for plant height (Fig. 1) with relative proportion of dominant and recessive genes in the parents. Exotic variety SP 8886 occupies a position nearest to the origin in the graph, indicating an excess of dominant genes. Another exotic variety Coker310 is second in the proportion of dominant genes.



Fig. 1 : Vr/Wr graph for plant height

Halab90 is located farthest from the point of origin indicating relative abundance of recessive genes. The regression coefficient of Wr on Vr unit slope was non-significantly below unity (b= 0.0401 ± 0.1821) which indicates the absence of epistatic gene effect in respect of plant height. The estimated genetic components of variation are presented in table 3. Over-dominance type of gene action is confirmed from the higher magnitude of H₁ and H₂ than additive component (D). The items H₁, H₂ and D are significant. The mean degree of

Parameters	Plant Height	No. Vegetative Branches	No. Fruiting Branches	No. of Bolls	Boll Weight	Seed cotton Yield			
D	254.18±	0.2323±	$1.0662 \pm$	5.5132±	$0.4439 \pm$	236.068±			
	17.36	0.0419	0.2849	0.6966	0.0447	78.2496			
H ₁	$2062.88 \pm$	$1.6049 \pm$	$16.5840 \pm$	116.994±	$0.6628 \pm$	$3863.56 \pm$			
	98.237	0.0717	2.2222	13.1706	0.1159	650.032			
H ₂	$1705.65 \pm$	1.3713±	$13.2067 \pm$	88.3391±	$0.5393 \pm$	$3210.58 \pm$			
	87.259	0.0433	1.8356	10.4836	0.1174	504.856			
h^2	3470.31±	0.0201±	$14.5915 \pm$	35.9541±	$0.1769 \pm$	$1990.23 \pm$			
	147.46	0.0244	2.6679	5.0097	0.1085	279.616			
F	$195.539 \pm$	$0.2056 \pm$	$2.4639 \pm$	$20.5815 \pm$	$0.4052 \pm$	$254.815 \pm$			
	30.791	0.0713	0.5065	2.9210	0.0587	247.022			
E	19.944	0.0496	0.3459	1.9630	0.1202	161.12			
Derived values									
$(H_1/D)^{1/2}$	2.849	2.6286	3.9439	4.6419	1.2220	4.0455			
$H_2/4H_1$	0.207	0.2136	0.1991	0.1888	0.2034	0.2078			
KD /KR	1.312	1.4048	1.8288	2.3801	2.1922	1.3079			
h^2/H_2	2.038	0.0152	1.1049	0.4070	0.3281	0.6199			
Heritability	0.3178	0.2491	0.21 34	0.2192	0.2413	0.2476			

Table 3: Genetic parameters for yield and it's components in upland cotton.

dominance $(H_1/D)^{1/2}$ exceeded unity which also indicate over-dominance for plant height expression. The ratio $H_2/4H_1 = 0.207$ was not quite close to 0.25 and thus indicated unequal mean allelic frequencies at all loci influencing the trait. Similar gene frequencies are also supported by farthest values of H_1 and H_2 . The positive value of F (195.539) and ratio $(4DH_1)^{1/2}$ +F/($4DH_1$)^{1/2}-F or (KD/KR) reveals that the genes for higher plant height are more frequent in the parents. The positive estimates of h^2/H_2 exhibit the trend of dominance toward the better parents. Narrow sense heritability in the present studies is moderate (0.3178) suggesting that the most of the phenotypic variation would be controlled by additive and non additive gene effects.

Number of vegetative branches: The presentation of Vr and Wr graph in the Fig. 2 indicates additive gene effects with a low dominance as the regression line intercepts the Wr-axis above the origin. The estimated genetic components of variation for number of vegetative branches are given in table 3. The variation due to additive and dominance effects is significant from zero indicating that all the components play important role in controlling the character. Mean degree of dominance depicted from the ratio $(H_1/D)^{1/2}$ exceeded unity which indicate over-dominance for number of vegetative branches expression. The value of $H_2/4H_1$ (= 0.2136) which is less than 0.25, suggests unequal gene frequencies i.e. u/v at all loci is evident. The positive value of F (0.2056) and ratio obtained from $(4DH_1)^{1/2} + F/(4DH_1)^{1/2} - F$ or (KD/KR) reveals that genes for higher number of vegetative branches are more frequent in the parents. The positive estimates of h²



Fig. 2 : Vr/Wr graph for number of vegetative branches

and h^2/H_2 show the trend of dominance toward the higher number of vegetative branches. The low heritability (0.2491) signifies the major role of dominance gene effects relative to additive as for the number of vegetative branches was concerned.

Number of fruiting branches: Negative interception of regression line in Fig., 3 and significant and higher magnitude of H_1 and H_2 than D (Table 3) are the evidence of over dominance type of gene action for number of fruiting branches, as well as the $(H_1/D)^{1/2}$ ratio (more than one) considered as another evidence of over-dominance. Mane and Phatade (1992) also reported over-dominance and emphasized that conventional breeding methods, which exploit only additive genetic variation, was not usefull for the genetic improvements in case of number of fruiting branches. The ratio $H_2/4H_1=0.1991$ below the maximum value of 0.25,



Fig. 3 : Vr/Wr graph for number of fruiting branches

suggesting unequal positive and negative allelic frequencies in parents. Greater than one (1.8288) proportion of: $(4DH_1)^{1/2} + F (4DH_1)^{1/2} - F$ indicates inequality between the

number of dominants and recessive alleles in the parents. Varieties SP8886 and Dunn 1047 with low variance covariance values (Table 1, Fig.3) have the excess of dominant genes. Ascending the line, are the parents having increasing proportion of recessive genes. Deltapine5409 and Lachata being farthest away from the graphic origin seem to have a preponderance of recessive genes. The positive estimates of h^2 and h^2/H_2 make the trend of dominance toward the higher number of fruiting branches parents. Narrow sense heritability given in table 3 was low (0.2134) proposing non additive variation and higher environmental influence on the phenotypic manifestation of the trait.

Number of bolls per plant: The regression line intercepted the Wr axis above the origin point (Fig. 4) suggesting partial dominance for this character.



Fig. 4 : Vr/Wr graph for number of bolls/plant

The regression coefficient of Wr on Vr was significantly below unity which indicated the presence of interallelic or multiple allelic genetic effect, though of high magnitude. Parents SP8886 and Dunn1047 have the excess of dominant genes, while Deer22 seems to have the maximum concentrations of recessive genes. Significant and higher magnitude of H₁ and H₂ than D and more than one (H₁/D)^{1/2} value (Table 3) are the evidence of overdominance type of gene action for this character, and that is similar to the findings of Ahmad *et al.* (2005). Ratio of H₂/4H₁ (0.1888) was very far from 0.25 Indicating asymmetrical distribution of the positive and negative allelic frequencies among the parents. high ratio of (4DH₁)^{1/2} + F (4DH₁)^{1/2} – F suggesting the asymmetry of gene distribution amongst the parents, whereas h²/H₂ ratio (=0.4070) indicating a high proportion of recessive genes for this character, which does not mean that this character was not governed with the dominant genes, as such a situation may arise by negligible effect of positive and negative genes. The low heritability (0.2192) signifies the major role of dominance gene effects relative to additive one for this character.

Boll weight: The regression line intercepted the Wr axis below the origin point suggesting the presence of over-dominance for boll weight (Fig. 5) with relative



Fig. 5 : Vr/Wr graph for boll weight

proportion of dominant and recessive genes in the parents. The varieties Delta pine5409 and Lachata occupies a position nearest to the origin, and thus had the maximum concentration of dominant genes, while the varieties SP8886 and Dunn1047 had higher concentration of recessive genes, due to its position away from origin point. The significant deviation of regression line from unit slope (b=0.8176±0.3238) indicated non allelic interaction in the phenotypic manifestation of this trait. From table 3, over-dominance type of gene action was confirmed from the higher magnitudes of H_1 and H_2 than additive component D. Murtaza (2005) reported non-additive over dominance type of gene action governed the inheritance of boll weight. The three items H_1 , H_2 and D were significant. The mean degree of dominance $(H_1/D)^{1/2}$ exceeds unity which also indicate over-dominance for boll weight expression. The ratio $H_2/4H_1 = 0.2034$, far from 0.25, thus indicated asymmetry of positive and negative genes distribution governed this character. The positive value of F, 0.4052 and ratio obtained from $(4DH_1)^{1/2} + F / (4DH_1)^{1/2} - F$ revealed that genes for higher boll weight were more frequent in the parents. The ratio of h^2/H_2 (=0.3281) indicating high proportion of recessive genes for this character. Low narrow sense heritability (0.2413) suggesting that most of the phenotypic variation would be non-additive and environmental.

Seed cotton yield: Fig. 6 showed that the regression line cut the Wr axis above the point of origin suggesting partial dominance for seed cotton yield. The estimated genetic components of variation for seed cotton yield are given in Table 3. The variation due to additive, dominance and environ mental deviation is significant from zero indicating that all the components played an important role in controlling this character. Mean degree of dominant depicted from the high ratio of $(H_1/D)^{1/2}$ indicates over- dominance. The low value of $H_2/4H_1$, which is



Fig. 6 : Vr/Wr graph for seed cotton yield

less than 0.25, suggested unequal gene frequencies i.e. u/v at all loci is evident. The positive and significant F value indicated an excess of dominants genes with positive effects. The ratio $(4DH_1)^{1/2}$ + F/($4DH_1$)^{1/2} – F was closest to unity for this trait indicating symmetrical distribution of positive and negative genes, while the ratio of h²/H₂ was lower than one indicating a higher proportion of recessive genes for this character. Narrow sense heritability (0.2476) suggesting that most of the phenotypic variation would be non-additive and environmental, Ali *et al.*, (2009) reported similar results for this trait.

From the foregoing discussions it is concluded that contributions were made by genes exhibiting some degree of dominance and contribution of different genes depend on the magnitude of their effects. The estimates of gene components suggests the range of dominance, for the studied characters, was from partial to over-dominance. Further more, the role of real gene effects (additive) and their allelic (dominance) and non allelic (epistasis) interactions have been clearly demonstrated in the reported results. Thus, while breeding cotton varieties for seed cotton yield and other related characters, non of these components should be ignored.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, R. D.; Malic, A. J.; Hassan, G.; Khan, M. U. (2005). Genetic architecture of some quantitative traits of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Gomal Univ., J. of Res., 21: 55-57.
- Alam, A. K. M.; Roy, N. C.; Islam, H. (1991). Line x Tester analysis of heterosis and combining ability in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum L.*). Bangladesh J. of Plant Breeding and Genetics, 4(1-2), 27-32.
- Ali, M. A.; Abbas, A.; Younas, M.; Khan ,T. M.; Hassan, H. M. (2009). Genetic basis of some quantitative traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutm L.*). *Plant Omics J.* 2(2), 91-97.

- Gomma, M. A. M., (1997). Genetic studies on yield, yield components and Fiber properties in three Egyptian cotton crosses. *Annals of agric. Sci., Cairo.* **42**(1), 195-206.
- Gomez, K. A.; Gomez, A. A (1983). "Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research". 2nd edn., John Wiley and Sons, New York.680p.
- Green, C. C. ; Culp, T. W. (1990). Simultaneous improvement of yield, fiber quality, and yarn strength in upland cotton. *Crop Sci.* (USA). **3**(1), 66-69.
- Hayman, B. I., (1954a). The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. *Genetics*, **39**, 789–809.
- Hayman, B. I., (1954b). The analysis of variance of diallel crosses. *Biometrics*, 10, 235-244.
- Jagtab, D. R., (1986). Combining ability in upland cotton. *Indian J. of Agric. Sci.* (India) **56**(12), 833-840.
- Jagtab, D. R. ; Kohle, A. K. (1987). Graphical and combining ability analysis in cotton. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* (India), **57**(12), 456-464.
- Jinks, J. L., (1954). The analysis of continuous variation in diallel crosses of *Nicotiana rustica* cultivars. *Genetics*, **39**(6), 767-788.
- Khan, M. A. J.; Khan, M. A.; Lodhi, T. E. (1999). Genetic study of yield and yield related components in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum L.*). J. Animal and Plant Sci. (Pakistan), 9(1-2), 73-75.
- Mather, K. ; Jinks, J. L. (1977). "Introduction to Biometrical Genetics", London, Chapman and Hall.231p.
- Mather, K.; Jinks, J. L. (1982)."Biometrical Genetics". 3rd edn, London, Chapman and Hall.382p.
- Murtaza, N. (2005). Study of gene effects for boll number, boll weight and seed index in cotton. *J. of Central European Agric*. **6** (3), 255-262.
- Radi, M. S.; Hendawi, F. A.; Abdel-Hamid, A. M. ; Ismail, R. M. (1999). Combining ability of yield and yield components in cotton. *Egypt. J. Agron.*, **21**, 15-36.
- Tariq, M.; Khan ,M. A.; Idris, G. (1995). Inheritance of boll weight, boll number and yield of seed cotton in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Sarhad J. Agric. Res. (Pakistan), 11(5), 599-605.
- Tomar, S. K.; Singh, S. P. (1992). Combining ability analysis over environment in Asiatic cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.). *Indian J. Genet. and Plant Breeding* (India), 52(3), 264-269.