

Output-Feedback Stochastic Nonlinear Stabilization and Inverse Optimality

Dr. Radhi A. Zaboony¹ Dr. Auras K. Hameed^{2*}
& Dr. Jehad R. Khider^{3***}

Received on: 23/6/2010

Accepted on: 7/4 / 2011

Abstract

Output-feedback (observer-based) robust and optimal control law which guarantees global (local) asymptotic stability in probability for nonlinear stochastic dynamic system are stated, developed and proved with the help of stochastic Lyapunov function approach supported by necessary theorems and an illustrative example. The inverse optimal stabilization in probability with suitable performance index has also been stated and developed.

Keywords: Backstepping, control Lyapunov functions, inverse optimality, stochastic nonlinear output-feedback systems, stochastic stabilization.

قابلية الاستقرارية الارجاعية-للمخرجات غير الخطية التصادفية ومعكوس الامثلية

الخلاصة

لقد تم عرض وتطوير وبرهان مدعم بالمبرهات بمساعدة دالة ليابونوف التصادفية، النظريات الكافية مع مثال تطبيقي، لاجاد مسيطر مخرج-ارجاعي (مستند على نظام ديناميكي مخمن للاصل) رصين وقانون السيطرة الامثل الذي يضمن الاستقرارية المحاذية-الاحتمالية المطلقة (المحلية) لنظام ديناميكي تصادفي غير خطي. تم عرض وتطوير لقابلية السيطرة المثلى العكسية-الاحتمالية بوجود دالة هدف ملائمة.

Introduction

Little attention until recently. Efforts toward (global) *stabilization* of stochastic nonlinear systems have Despite huge popularity of the linear-quadratic-Gaussian control problem, the stabilization problem for *nonlinear stochastic* systems has been receiving relatively. Been initiated in the work of Florchinger [5–7] who, among other things,

Extended the concept of control Lyapunov functions to the stochastic setting. A breakthrough toward

arriving at *constructive* methods for stabilization of broader classes of stochastic nonlinear systems came with the result of Pan and Basar [16] who derived a backstepping design for strict-feedback systems motivated by a risk-sensitive cost criterion. Deng and Krstić [2-4] presented the first result on *global output-feedback* stabilization (in probability) for stochastic nonlinear continuous-time systems. Simpler inverse optimal control laws were designed for strict-feedback systems which guarantee global asymptotic stability in

* College of Science, University of Al-Mustansiriya/Baghdad

** College of Science for women, University of Baghdad/Baghdad

*** Applied Science Department, University of Technology /Baghdad

probability. The output-feedback problem had received considerable attention in the recent robust and adaptive nonlinear control literature [1], [8-13], and [15]. In this paper, we present two results, first address the *output-feedback* global stabilization problem for stochastic nonlinear systems, second, a robust and optimal control law are designed which guarantees global asymptotic stability in probability for some dynamic systems in the presence of output observer.

The output feedback (observer-based) backstepping control law which guarantees global asymptotic stability in probability has also been discussed supported by some theoretical justification and illustration.

2. Preliminaries on Stability In Probability

Consider the nonlinear stochastic system of the form

$$dx = f(x)dt + g(x)dw$$

where $x \in R^n$ is the state, w is an r -dimensional independent standard Brownian motion, and $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and $g: R^n \rightarrow R^{n \times r}$ are locally Lipschitz functions and satisfies $f(0) = 0, g(0) = 0$, where $r < n$.

Definition (2.1) [3]

The equilibrium $x = 0$ of equation (1) is said to be globally asymptotically stable in probability if for any $t_0 \geq 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $\lim_{x(t_0) \rightarrow 0} P\{sup_{t \geq t_0} |x(t)| > \epsilon\} = 0$ and for any initial condition $x(t_0)$, $P\{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0\} = 1$.

2.1 “Young’s Inequality” [3]

This inequality is mainly used in the simplifications of this work which is formed as follows:

$$xy \leq \frac{\epsilon^p}{p} |x|^p + \frac{1}{q\epsilon^q} |y|^q \quad (2)$$

where $\epsilon > 0$, the constants $p > 1, q > 1$ which satisfies the relation: $(p - 1)(q - 1) = 1$ and $(x, y) \in R^{2n}$.

Theorem (2.1) [14]

Consider the nonlinear system of equation (1) and suppose that there exist a positive definite, radially unbounded, twice continuously differentiable function $V(x)$ such that the infinitesimal generator

$$LV(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} F + \frac{1}{2} Tr \left\{ g^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} g \right\} \quad (3)$$

is negative definite. Then the equilibrium point $x = 0$ of the above system is globally asymptotically stable in probability, where $Tr(.)$ operator is standing for the trace operation.

3. Output-Feedback Stochastic Nonlinear Stabilization In Probability

In this section we deal with nonlinear output-feedback systems driven by Brownian motion and some of its theoretical results. This class of systems is given by the following nonlinear stochastic differential equations.

Consider the stochastic nonlinear system described by:

$$dx_i = x_{i+1} dt + f_i(x_i) dt + \phi_i(y)^T dw + \psi_i(x_i)^T dw$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$$

$$dx_n = u dt + f_n(x_n) dt + \phi_n(y)^T dw + \psi_n(x_n)^T dw$$

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \quad (4)$$

where

1. $X \in R^n$ is the state, $\bar{x}_i = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$
2. w is an r -dimensional independent standard Brownian motion
3. $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)^T$, f is a vector valued function which satisfies:

- $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n, f(0) = 0.$
- $f_i(\bar{x}_i) = f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- $\|f(y)\| \leq (\lambda^T Q_1 y) \leq \lambda_{max}(Q_1) \|y\|$ (5)

where Q_1 is a positive definite matrix, and $\lambda_{max}(Q_1)$ is the largest eigenvalue of Q_1 .

4. $\phi_i(y)$ are r -vector-valued smooth functions with $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)^T, \phi: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and $\phi(0) = 0.$

5. $\psi_i(\bar{x}_i)$ are r -vector-valued smooth functions with

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n)^T, \psi: R^n \rightarrow R^{rn}, \text{ with } \psi(0) = 0.$$

6. f, ϕ, ψ are assumed to satisfy Lipschitz condition.

7. The dynamic observer system is suggested as follows:

$$d\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_{i+1} dt + L_i (y - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \hat{x}_j) dt \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$= \hat{x}_{i+1} dt + L_i (\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \hat{x}_j) dt \quad \hat{x} = A_0 \hat{x} dt + f(\hat{x}) dt + \phi(y)^T dw + \psi(\hat{x})^T dw \quad (9)$$

$$d\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_{i+1} dt + L_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \hat{x}_j dt \dots \dots (6)$$

8. The observation error $e_i = x_i - \hat{x}_i = \tilde{x}_i$ satisfies:

$$d\tilde{x}_i = dx_i - d\hat{x}_i = x_{i+1} dt + f_i(\bar{x}_i) dt + \phi_i(y)^T dw +$$

$$\psi_i(\bar{x}_i)^T - \hat{x}_{i+1} dt - L_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \tilde{x}_j dt$$

$$d\tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x}_{i+1} dt - L_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \tilde{x}_j dt + f_i(\bar{x}_i) dt + \phi_i(y)^T dw + \psi_i(\bar{x}_i)^T \dots \dots (7)$$

Or in vector form, we can write:

$$d\tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & u \end{bmatrix} \tilde{x} dt - \begin{bmatrix} L_1 C_1 & L_1 C_2 & \dots & \dots & L_1 C_n \\ L_2 C_1 & L_2 C_2 & \dots & \dots & L_2 C_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ L_n C_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & L_n C_n \end{bmatrix} \tilde{x} dt + \begin{bmatrix} f_1(\bar{x}_1) \\ f_2(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \end{bmatrix} dt + \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(y)^T \\ \phi_2(y)^T \\ \vdots \\ \phi_n(y)^T \end{bmatrix} dw + \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1(\bar{x}_1) \\ \psi_2(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_n(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \end{bmatrix} dw$$

.....(8)

thus

$$d\tilde{x} = (A - LC)\tilde{x} dt + f(\tilde{x}) dt + \phi(y)^T dw + \psi(\tilde{x})^T dw$$

where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & u \end{bmatrix},$

$$LC = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 C_1 & L_1 C_2 & \dots & \dots & L_1 C_n \\ L_2 C_1 & L_2 C_2 & \dots & \dots & L_2 C_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ L_n C_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & L_n C_n \end{bmatrix} =$$

and thus

where $A_0 = (A - LC)$ is designed to be asymptotically stable, the coefficients $L_i, i=1, \dots, n$ are computed in a way that guarantee asymptotic stability of A_0 (if possible).

Now the entire system can be expressed as:

$$d\tilde{x} = A_0 \tilde{x} dt + f(\tilde{x}) dt + \phi(y)^T dw + \psi(\tilde{x})^T dw$$

And

$$dy = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i dx_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{i+1} dt + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_i(\tilde{x}_i) dt + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \phi_i(y)^T dw + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \psi_i(\tilde{x}_i)^T dw$$

$$d\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_{i+1} dt + L_i \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \tilde{x}_i dt$$

$$d\hat{x}_n = u dt + L_n \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \tilde{x}_i dt \quad (10)$$

where $\hat{x}_{n+1} = u$.

9. The r-vector-valued smooth functions $\phi(y)$ and $\psi(\tilde{x})$ satisfies the following imposed conditions, respectively:

$$\phi(y) \leq \|\phi(y)\| \leq \lambda_{max}(Q_2) |\tilde{x}| \quad (11)$$

$$\psi(\tilde{x}) \leq \|\psi(\tilde{x})\| \leq \lambda_{max}(Q_3) |\tilde{x}| \quad (12)$$

where Q_2, Q_3 are positive definite matrices, and $\lambda_{max}(Q_2), \lambda_{max}(Q_3)$ are the largest eigenvalues of Q_2 and Q_3 respectively.

10. Since

$$\phi_i(0) = 0, \psi_i(0) = 0, f_i(0) = 0$$

, the α_i 's will vanish at $\tilde{x}_{i-1} = 0, y = 0$, as well as at $\bar{z}_i = 0$ where $\bar{z}_i = (z_1, \dots, z_i)^T$.

Thus, by the mean value theorem $\alpha_i(\tilde{x}_i, y)$ can be expressed as:

$$\alpha_i(\tilde{x}_i, y) = \sum_{i=1}^i z_i \alpha_{ii}(\tilde{x}_i, y) \dots \dots \dots (13)$$

where $\alpha_{ii}(\tilde{x}_i, y)$ are smooth functions.

On depending on the conditions of dynamic system (4), the following main theorem is stated and proved to guarantee the global asymptotic stability in probability to the stochastic dynamic control system defined by equation (4).

THEOREM (3.1)

Consider the stochastic dynamic control system defined by equation (4), and assume that the dynamic observer system is designed to be

$$d\tilde{x} = A_0 \tilde{x} dt + f(\tilde{x}) dt + \phi(y)^T dw + \psi(\tilde{x})^T dw$$

a sequence of stabilizing functions $\alpha_i(\tilde{x}_i, y)$, where $\tilde{x}_i = [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i]^T$, will be constructed recursively to build the Lyapunov function of the form

$$V(z, \tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 + \frac{b}{2} (\tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x})^2 \quad (14)$$

where P is a positive definite matrix which satisfies the following algebraic equation:

$$A_0^T P + P A_0 = -I$$

where

$$z_i = \hat{x}_i - \alpha_{i-1}(\tilde{x}_{i-1}, y) \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (15)$$

and if the following are satisfied:

$$\alpha_i = -z_i - L_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \tilde{x}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} \left(\hat{x}_{i-1} + L_i \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} c_k \tilde{x}_k \right) + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} c_k \hat{x}_{k+1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} c_k f_k(\tilde{x}_k) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} c_k \phi_k(\tilde{x}_k) \right)^T \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} c_k \phi_k(\tilde{x}_k) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right) - \frac{3}{4} \alpha_{i-1}^2 z_i - \frac{1}{4 \sigma_i^2} z_i - \frac{3}{2} \alpha_{i-1} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{1/2} z_i - \frac{3}{4 \sigma_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 z_i - \frac{3}{4 \sigma_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_i$$

And the control is designed as:

$$u = \left[-s_n x_n - L_n c_n \tilde{x}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_i} (\tilde{x}_{i+1} + L_i c_i \tilde{x}_i) + \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} c_n f_n(\tilde{x}_n) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) (c_n \phi_n(y))^T (c_n \phi_n(y)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) (c_n \psi_n(\tilde{x}_n))^T (c_n \psi_n(\tilde{x}_n)) - \frac{3}{4} \alpha_{n-1}^2 z_n - \frac{1}{4 \sigma_n^2} z_n - \frac{3}{4} \alpha_{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{1/2} z_n - \frac{3}{4 \sigma_n^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 z_n - \frac{3}{4 \sigma_n^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_n \right]$$

Where u is standing for x_{n+1} as discussed in equations (4) and (10) of the previous section (3). Then the equilibrium point $x = 0$ of the closed-loop nonlinear stochastic system (10) is globally asymptotically stable in probability.

Proof:

Since we have by equation (15) that:

$$z_i = \tilde{x}_i - \alpha_{i-1}(\tilde{x}_{i-1}, y)$$

According to Itô differentiation we have:

$$dz_i = d\tilde{x}_i - d\alpha_{i-1}(\tilde{x}_{i-1}, y) \quad i=1,2,\dots,n$$

where the second part of the above equation (16) is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha_{i-1}(\tilde{x}_{i-1}, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} (\tilde{x}_{i+1} + L_i c_i \tilde{x}_i) dt + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_{i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j f_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y) \right) dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right) dt + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y)^T dw \\ &+ \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i)^T d\omega \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Set the Lyapunov function as follows:

$$V(z, \tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 + \frac{b}{2} (\tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x})^2$$

where P is a suitable positive definite matrix will be designed later on and the above form indicates that the first term constitutes a Lyapunov function for the $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$ - system,

while the second term is a Lyapunov function for the \tilde{x} - system.

Now, we start the process of selecting the functions $\alpha_i(\tilde{x}_i, y)$ to make $\mathcal{L}V$ negative definite. Along the solution of equations (9) and (16), from definition of $\mathcal{L}V$ (equation (3)), we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \left[s_{i+2} + L_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \tilde{x}_j - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{j-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} (\tilde{x}_{i+2} + L_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \tilde{x}_j) \right. \\ &- \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_{i+2} + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j f_i(\tilde{x}_i) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right) \left. \right] \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} b (\tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x}) [\tilde{x}^T P d\tilde{x} + P \tilde{x} d\tilde{x}^T] + 2b \text{Tr}(\phi(y)^T (2P \tilde{x} \tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x} P) \phi(y)) \\ &+ 2b \text{Tr}(\psi(\tilde{x})^T (2P \tilde{x} \tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x} P) \psi(\tilde{x})) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since we have

$$z_{i+1} = \tilde{x}_{i+1} - \alpha_i(\tilde{x}_i, y) = \tilde{x}_{i+1} + x_i(\tilde{x}_i, y) \quad i=1, \dots, n \quad (19)$$

thus (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V &= \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 s_{i+2} + \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 L_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \tilde{x}_j - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{j-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} \\ &\tilde{x}_{i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{j-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} L_j \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \tilde{x}_k - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_{i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_{i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j f_i(\tilde{x}_i) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(y) \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \psi_j(\tilde{x}_i) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} b (\tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x}) [\tilde{x}^T P (A_0 + f(\tilde{x})) + P \tilde{x} (A_0^T + f(\tilde{x})^T)] \\ &+ 2b \text{Tr}(\phi(y)^T (2P \tilde{x} \tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x} P) \phi(y)) \\ &+ 2b \text{Tr}(\psi(\tilde{x})^T (2P \tilde{x} \tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x} P) \psi(\tilde{x})) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Now, by applying Young's inequality of equation (2) onto some terms of equation (20), the following

simplifications are needed and as follows:

(Note that the values of p and q will be selected as: $p = \frac{4}{3}, q = 4$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 z_{i+1} &\leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \sigma_i^{4/3} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_{i-1}^2} z_i^4 \\
 2. \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \tilde{x}_{i+1} &\leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^{3/2} z_i^4 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\eta_i^4} (c_i)^4 (\tilde{x}_{i+1})^4 \\
 &\leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^{4/3} z_i^4 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\eta_i^4} |\tilde{c}_i|^4 |\tilde{x}|^4
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_i \tilde{x}_{i+1} \leq |c_i \tilde{x}_{i+1}| \leq |c_i| |\tilde{x}_{i+1}| \leq |\tilde{c}_i| |\tilde{x}|^4, p = \frac{4}{3}, q = 4$$

and $|\tilde{c}_i|$ is the largest value of c_i for $i=1,2,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 3. \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \Phi_i(y)\right)^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \Phi_i(y)\right) \\
 \leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\xi_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^4 z_i^4 + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 |\tilde{c}|^4 (\Phi_i(y)^T \Phi_i(y))^2
 \end{aligned}$$

since we have by the imposed condition of equation (11) we get:

$$\leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\xi_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^4 z_i^4 + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 |\tilde{c}|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 |\tilde{x}|^4$$

(where we select the values of p and q as $p = q = 2$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 4. \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \Psi_i(\tilde{x}_i)\right)^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \Psi_i(\tilde{x}_i)\right) \\
 \leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\delta_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^4 z_i^4 + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i^2 |\tilde{c}|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 |\tilde{x}|^4
 \end{aligned}$$

(where the values of p and q as $p = q = 2$)

$$5. 2b \text{Tr}[\phi(y)(2P\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P\tilde{x}P)\phi(y)^T]$$

, with reference to [14] we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq 2b_n |\phi(y)(2P\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P\tilde{x}P)\phi(y)^T|_\infty \\
 &\leq 2b_n \sqrt{n} |\phi(y)(2P\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P\tilde{x}P)\phi(y)^T| \\
 &\leq 6b_n \sqrt{n} |\phi(y)|^2 |P|^2 |\tilde{x}|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$\leq 6b_n \sqrt{n} \lambda_{\max}(P) |\phi(y)|^2 |\tilde{x}|^2$, finally applying Young's inequality with $q = 2$, gives:

$$\leq \frac{3b_n \sqrt{n}}{\epsilon_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 |\tilde{x}|^4 + 3b_n \sqrt{n} \epsilon_1^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 |\tilde{x}|^4 \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 6. (2b \text{Tr}\{\psi(\tilde{x})(2P\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P\tilde{x}P)\psi(\tilde{x})^T\}) \\
 \leq 2b_n |\psi(\tilde{x})(2P\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P\tilde{x}P)\psi(\tilde{x})^T|_\infty \\
 \leq 2b_n \sqrt{n} |\psi(\tilde{x})(2P\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T P + \tilde{x}^T P\tilde{x}P)\psi(\tilde{x})^T| \\
 (22) \leq 6b_n \sqrt{n} |\psi(\tilde{x})|^2 |P|^2 |\tilde{x}|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

with the help of Young's inequality, $p = q = 2$, we have:

$$\leq \frac{3b_n \sqrt{n}}{\epsilon_2^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 |\tilde{x}|^4 + 3b_n \sqrt{n} \epsilon_2^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 |\tilde{x}|^4 \tag{26}$$

7.

$$[\tilde{x}^T P(A_0 + f(\tilde{x})) + (A_0^T + f(\tilde{x})^T)P\tilde{x}]$$

is simplified as follows:

$$\tilde{x}^T (P A_0 + A_0^T P) \tilde{x} \leq -(\lambda_{\min}(P)) |\tilde{x}|^2 \tag{27}$$

where

$$f(\tilde{x})^T P \tilde{x} + \tilde{x}^T P f(\tilde{x}) \leq |f(\tilde{x})^T P \tilde{x} + \tilde{x}^T P f(\tilde{x})|$$

$$\leq |f(\tilde{x})|^2 |\tilde{x}| + |\tilde{x}^T P f(\tilde{x})| \tag{28}$$

$$|f(\tilde{x})^T P \tilde{x}| \leq |f(\tilde{x})| \|P\| |\tilde{x}| \leq \lambda_{\max}(Q_1) |\tilde{x}| (\lambda_{\max}(P)) |\tilde{x}|$$

$$\leq (\lambda_{\max}(Q_1)) (\lambda_{\max}(P)) |\tilde{x}|^2$$

thus, we get:

$$|f(\tilde{x})|^2 |\tilde{x}| + \tilde{x}^T P f(\tilde{x}) \leq 2(\lambda_{\max}(Q_1)) (\lambda_{\max}(P)) |\tilde{x}|^2 \tag{29}$$

Now, by substituting the equations (21-29) into equation (20), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V \leq & - \left[b \lambda_{\min}(P) \lambda_{\min}(P) - 2(\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))(\lambda_{\max}(P)) - 3b_n \sqrt{\eta} \xi_1^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 \right. \\ & - \frac{3b_n \sqrt{\eta}}{\xi_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - 2b_n \sqrt{\eta} \xi_1^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 - \frac{3b_n \sqrt{\eta}}{\xi_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\eta_i^2} |\xi_i|^4 - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 |\xi_i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 |\xi_i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \left. \right] |\tilde{x}|^4 \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i^2 \left[\alpha_i + L_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j \tilde{x}_j - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} \left(\tilde{x}_{i+1} + L_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j \tilde{x}_j \right) \right. \\ & - \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \tilde{x}_{j+1} - \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j f_j(\tilde{x}_j) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \Phi_j(y) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \Phi_j(y) \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \Psi_j(\tilde{x}_j) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \Psi_j(\tilde{x}_j) \right) + \frac{3}{4} \sigma_i^{4/3} z_i + \frac{1}{4\sigma_{i-1}^2} z_i \\ & \left. + \frac{3}{4} \eta_i^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_i + \frac{3}{4\xi_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_i + \frac{3}{4\xi_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_i \right] \\ & + \alpha_n \left[u + L_n c_n \tilde{x}_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_i} \tilde{x}_{i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_i} L_i c_n \tilde{x}_n - \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \tilde{x}_{i+1} \right. \\ & - \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} c_n f_n(\tilde{x}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) (c_n \Phi_n(y))^T (c_n \Phi_n(y)) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) (c_n \Psi_n(\tilde{x}_n))^T (c_n \Psi_n(\tilde{x}_n)) + \frac{3}{4} \sigma_n^{4/3} z_n + \frac{1}{4\sigma_{n-1}^2} z_n \\ & \left. + \frac{3}{4} \eta_n^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_n + \frac{3}{4\xi_n^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_n + \frac{3}{4\xi_n^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_n \right] \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we can see that all the terms can be cancelled by u and α_i .

If we choose $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \eta_i, \xi_i, \delta_i$ to satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} b \lambda_{\min}(P) \lambda_{\min}(P) - 2(\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))(\lambda_{\max}(P)) - 3b_n \sqrt{\eta} \xi_1^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 - \frac{3b_n \sqrt{\eta}}{\xi_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \\ - 2b_n \sqrt{\eta} \xi_1^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 - \frac{3b_n \sqrt{\eta}}{\xi_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \\ - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\eta_i^2} |\xi_i|^4 - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 |\xi_i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \\ - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 |\xi_i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 = p > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and α_i and u as:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i = & -s_i z_i - L_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \tilde{x}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} \left(\tilde{x}_{i+1} + L_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \tilde{x}_j \right) + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \tilde{x}_{j+1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j f_j(\tilde{x}_j) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \Phi_j(\tilde{x}_j) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \Phi_j(\tilde{x}_j) \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \Psi_j(\tilde{x}_j) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \Psi_j(\tilde{x}_j) \right) - \frac{3}{4} \sigma_i^{4/3} z_i - \frac{1}{4\sigma_{i-1}^2} z_i - \frac{3}{4} \eta_i^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} \\ & - \frac{3}{4\xi_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_i - \frac{3}{4\xi_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_i \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u = & \left[-s_n \tilde{x}_n - L_n c_n \tilde{x}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \tilde{x}_i} \left(\tilde{x}_{i+1} + L_i c_n \tilde{x}_n \right) + \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \tilde{x}_{i+1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} c_n f_n(\tilde{x}_n) \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) (c_n \Phi_n(y))^T (c_n \Phi_n(y)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) (c_n \Psi_n(\tilde{x}_n))^T (c_n \Psi_n(\tilde{x}_n)) \\ & - \frac{3}{4} \sigma_n^{4/3} z_n - \frac{1}{4\sigma_{n-1}^2} z_n - \frac{3}{4} \eta_n^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_n - \frac{3}{4\xi_n^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_n \\ & \left. - \frac{3}{4\xi_n^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_n \right] \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $S_i \gg 0$, then the infinitesimal generator of the closed-loop stochastic system (9), (16) and (33) is negative definite, that is:

$$\mathcal{L}V \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n S_i z_i^4 -$$

$$\rho |\tilde{x}|^4 \quad (34)$$

with (34) and hence $\mathcal{L}V \ll 0$, and from theorem (1) the critical point of (4) is globally asymptotically stable in probability. That completes the proof.

4. Inverse Optimal Output-Feedback Stabilization

After considering the stabilization of feedback stochastic dynamical systems in the previous section we shall show how our backstepping design which achieves stability can be redesigned to also achieve inverse optimality.

Theorem (4.1) [4]

Consider the simple class of nonlinear stochastic dynamical system described by:

$$dx_i = x_{i+1} dt + \varphi_i(y)^T dw ; \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

$$dx_n = u dt + \varphi_n(y)^T dw \quad y = x_1 \quad (32)$$

such that $\mathcal{L}V \ll 0$, with the suggested Lyapunov function of the form

$$V(z, \tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{4} z^4 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x})^2$$

if there exist a continuous positive function $M(y, \tilde{x})$ such that the

control law of the above dynamical system can be rewritten as

$$u = \alpha(y, \hat{x}) = -M(y, \hat{x})z_n$$

then the control law

$$u^* = \beta \alpha(y, \hat{x}), \quad \beta > 1$$

solves the problem of inverse optimal stabilization in probability.

Theorem (4.2)

Consider the nonlinear stochastic dynamical system described by equation (4) assuming that the conditions of theorem (2.1) are satisfied, if there exist a continuous positive function $M(y, \hat{x})$ such that the control law of theorem (3.1) can be rewritten as:

$$u = \alpha(y, \hat{x}) = -M(y, \hat{x})z_n$$

Such that $\mathcal{L}V < 0$, with the suggested Lyapunov function

$$V(z, \hat{x}) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 + \frac{b}{2} (\hat{x}^T P \hat{x})^2$$

then the control law

$$u^* = \alpha^*(y, \hat{x}) = \beta \alpha(y, \hat{x}) \quad \beta \geq \frac{4}{3}$$

solves the problem of inverse optimal stabilization in probability.

Proof

If we consider carefully the last bracket of equation (30), every term except the second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, and eighth, has z_n as a factor, with the help of Young's inequality, we have:

$$1- L_n C_n \hat{x}_n z_n^3 \leq \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_3^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^4} L_n^4 C_n^4 \hat{x}_n^4$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_3^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^4} L_n^4 |C|^4 |\hat{x}|^4 \tag{37}$$

$$2 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{x}^\ell} L_\ell C_n \hat{x}_n z_n^3 < \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_4 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{x}^\ell} L_\ell \right)^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_4^4} |C|^4 |\hat{x}|^4 \tag{38}$$

$$3 - \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} C_n f_n(x_n) z_n^3 \leq \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_5 \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_5^4} |C|^4 |f|^4 \leq \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_5 \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_5^4} |\lambda_{\max}(Q_1)|^4 |\hat{x}|^4 \tag{39}$$

$$4 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) (C_n \phi_n(y)) (C_n \phi_n(y))^T z_n^2 \leq \frac{3}{8} \left(\epsilon_6 \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right)^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_6^4} |C|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2)) |\hat{x}|^4 \tag{40}$$

$$5 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) (C_n \Psi_n(\hat{x}_n)) (C_n \Psi_n(\hat{x}_n))^T z_n^2 \leq \frac{3}{8} \left(\epsilon_7 \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right)^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_7^4} |C|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_3)) |\hat{x}|^4 \tag{41}$$

6- To simplify the third term we use the equation:

$$\hat{x}_{\ell+1} = z_{\ell+1} + \alpha_\ell$$

thus

$$\hat{x}_\ell = z_{\ell+1} = z_{\ell+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} z_k \alpha_{k\ell} \tag{42}$$

substitute it back in the third term to get:

$$-z_n^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{x}^\ell} \hat{x}_{\ell+1} = -z_n^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{x}^\ell} z_{\ell+1} - z_n^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{x}^\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} z_k \alpha_{k\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_8 \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{x}^\ell} \right)^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_8^4} z_{\ell+1}^4 \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_9 \sum_{\ell=k}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{x}^\ell} \alpha_{k\ell} \right)^{4/3} z_n^4 + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_9^4} z_k^4 \right] \tag{43}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{L}V$ is given as:-

$$\mathcal{L}V \leq - \left[b \lambda_{\min}(P) \lambda_{\max}(P) - 2(b \lambda_{\max}(Q_1)) \lambda_{\min}(P) - 3b n \sqrt{n} \epsilon_1^2 (b \lambda_{\max}(P))^2 - \frac{3b n \sqrt{n}}{\epsilon_1^2} (b \lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{1}{\epsilon_2^\ell} |C|^\ell (b \lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^\ell - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^n b^2 |C|^\ell (b \lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^\ell - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^4} |C|^4 |\hat{x}|^4 - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^4} |C|^4 |\hat{x}|^4 - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^4} |C|^4 (b \lambda_{\max}(Q_1))^\ell - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^4} |C|^4 (b \lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^\ell - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^4} |C|^4 (b \lambda_{\max}(Q_3))^\ell \right] |\hat{x}|^4$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i^6 \left[\alpha_i + L_i \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} C_l \tilde{\alpha}_l - \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_l} \left(\delta_{i,l+1} + L_i \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} C_l \tilde{\alpha}_l \right) - \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} C_l \tilde{\alpha}_l - \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} c_i f_i(x) \right. \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} c_l \phi_l(y) \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} c_l \phi_l(y) \right)^T \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} c_l \Psi_l(\tilde{x}_i) \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} c_l \Psi_l(\tilde{x}_i) \right)^T + \frac{3}{4} \delta_i^{4/3} z_i + \frac{3}{4} \eta_i^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_i \\
 & \quad + \frac{3}{4 \delta_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 z_i + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} z_i + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} z_i \left. \right] \\
 & \quad + z_i^2 \left[\alpha_i + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_3^{4/3} z_i + \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_l} L_l \right)^{4/3} z_i + \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_i \right. \\
 & \quad + \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right)^{4/3} z_i + \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y^2} \right)^{4/3} z_i + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_l} \right)^{4/3} z_i \\
 & \quad + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_l} \left(\epsilon_3 \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_l} \alpha_{i,l} \right)^{4/3} z_i - \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} c_i U + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_3^{4/3} z_i \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{3}{4 \delta_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 z_i \right] \quad (44)
 \end{aligned}$$

if $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon$

7.

η_i, δ_i , and δ_i are chosen to satisfy

:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b \lambda_{\min}(P) (\lambda_{\min}(P) - 2(\lambda_{\max}(Q_2)) (\lambda_{\max}(P))) - 3b_2 \sqrt{n} \epsilon_2^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 - \frac{3b_2 \sqrt{n}}{\epsilon_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \\
 & \quad - 3b_2 \sqrt{n} \epsilon_2^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 - \frac{3b_2 \sqrt{n}}{\epsilon_2^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\eta_i^4} |i|^4 \\
 & \quad - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 |i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 |i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} i^4 |i|^4 - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} i^4 |i|^4 \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} i^4 |i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} i^4 |i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} i^4 |i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \\
 & \quad \equiv \rho > 0 \\
 & \quad \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_3^2} = \frac{S_i}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where S_i are those in (33), and

$$u = -M(y, \tilde{x}) z_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(y, \tilde{x}) = & z_n + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_3^{4/3} z_n + \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial x_l} L_l \right)^{4/3} + \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} + \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right)^{4/3} \\
 & + \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} \right)^{4/3} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial x_l} \right)^{4/3} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{3}{4} \left(\epsilon_3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial x_l} \alpha_{n,l} \right)^{4/3} \\
 & - \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} c_n S_n + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_3^{4/3} z_n + \frac{1}{4 \delta_n^2} + \frac{3}{4} \eta_n^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} + \frac{3}{4 \delta_n^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 \\
 & + \frac{3}{4 \delta_n^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial y} \right)^2 \quad (48)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$u = \beta \alpha(y, \tilde{x}), \quad \beta \geq \frac{4}{3}$$

Thus we get:

$$\mathcal{L}V \leq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n S_i z_i^4 - \rho |\tilde{x}|^4 < 0$$

Thus, according to theorem (4.2), we achieve not only global asymptotic stability in probability, but also inverse optimality, which completes our proof.

5. Algorithm

A robust controller stabilization in probability of the non-linear stochastic system presented in equation (4) with linear dynamic observer of equation (6), is found using the following steps.

Input: The dynamic control system described by

$$\dot{x}_i = x_{i+1} dt + f_i(\tilde{x}_i) dt + \phi_i(y)^T dw + \Psi_i(\tilde{x}_i)^T \tilde{d}w \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$\dot{x}_n = u dt + f_n(x_n) dt + \phi_n(y)^T dw + \Psi_n(x_n)^T \tilde{d}w$$

$$y(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i$$

Output: Robust stabilizing control u in probability and the unknown design

(46) positive functions α_i , for

backstepping procedure,

$$i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

(47) as well as a suitable stabilized

Lyapunov function $V(\tilde{x}, z)$.

Step 1: Check Lipschitz conditions for the functions f, ϕ, ψ otherwise, either

approximate the function by another one that satisfies the Lipschitz

condition or change the space into another one to ensure the condition

is satisfied “the problem of extension”, or go to the last step (12), for

stopping the algorithm work.

Step 2: Define the following (suggested) dynamic observer:

$$d\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_{i+1} dt + L_i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \hat{x}_j dt \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

$$d\hat{x}_n = u dt + L_n C_n \hat{x}_n dt$$

Step 3: Set the error vector

$$e = \tilde{x}_i = x_i - \hat{x}_i$$

Step 4: Compute $d\tilde{x}_i = dx_i - d\hat{x}_i$

using Itô formula such that:

$$d\tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x}_{i+1} dt - L_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \tilde{x}_j + f_i(\tilde{x}_i) dt + \psi_i(\tilde{x}_i)^T dw + \phi_i(y)^T dw$$

or

$$d\tilde{x}_i = A_0 \tilde{x} dt + f_i(\tilde{x}_i) dt + \psi_i(\tilde{x}_i)^T dw + \phi_i(y)^T dw$$

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -L_1 C_1 & -L_1 C_2 + 1 & -L_1 C_3 & \dots & -L_1 C_n & 0 \\ -L_2 C_1 & -L_2 C_2 & -L_2 C_3 + 1 & \dots & -L_2 C_n & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & -L_n C_{n-1} & -L_n C_n \\ -L_n C_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & -L_n C_{n-1} & -L_n C_n \end{bmatrix} u$$

Step 5: Compute $L_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ in order to make A_0 stable.

Step 6: Find the unique positive definite matrix P of the following linear algebraic Riccati equation:

$$A_0^T P + P A_0 = -I$$

Step 7: Suggest the Lyapunov function of the form:

$$V(z, \tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^4 + \frac{b}{2} (\tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x})^2$$

where

$$z_i = \hat{x}_i - \alpha_{i-1} \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

Step 8: As discussed in theorem (4.1) above, select a suitable values for $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta_i, \xi_i$ and η_i to satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} & b \lambda_{\min}(P) (\lambda_{\min}(P) - 2(\lambda_{\max}(Q_1) \lambda_{\max}(P))) - 3b_n \sqrt{n} \epsilon_1^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 \\ & - \frac{3b_n \sqrt{n}}{\epsilon_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - 3b_n \sqrt{n} \epsilon_2^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 - \frac{3b_n \sqrt{n}}{\epsilon_2^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_3))^4 \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\eta_i^2} |\tilde{c}|^4 - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 |\tilde{c}|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2 |\tilde{c}|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_3))^4 = \rho > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 are positive definite matrices, and $\lambda_{\max}(Q_1)$ is the

largest eigenvalue of Q_1 ,

$\lambda_{\max}(Q_2), \lambda_{\max}(Q_3)$ are the largest eigenvalues

of Q_2 and Q_3 respectively

and $|\tilde{c}|$ is the largest value of c_i for

$i=1,2,\dots,n$.

Step 9: Compute α_i and u using equations (32) and (33) in the main theorem (4.2).

Step 10: On using the results of the previous steps, the infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}V$ will be negative, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}V \leq -\rho |\tilde{x}|^4 - \sum_{i=1}^n S_i z_i^4 < 0$$

where

$$S_i > 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

Step 11: Back substitution the values of step (10) into step (7) making the Lyapunov function of step (7) is completely defined.

Step 12: Stop “the algorithm work is completed”.

6. EXAMPLE

Consider the following non-linear dynamical system

$$dx_1 = x_2 dt + x_1^3 dw + 3y_1 dw$$

$$\begin{aligned} dx_2 &= x_3 dt + \cos x_1 \sin x_2 dw + y_2^2 dw \\ dx_3 &= x_4 dt + \sin(x_2 x_3) dw + \sin y_3 dw \\ dx_4 &= u dt + \cos(x_2 x_3) dw + \cos y_4 dw \\ y &= c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3 + c_4 x_4 \end{aligned}$$

Check Lipschitz Condition for f, ϕ, ψ

Check for f :

$$\|f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3)\| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right\| \|x - \tilde{x}\| = 3\|x - \tilde{x}\|$$

1. Check the Lipschitz conditions for ψ ; $\left\| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right\| \leq 9$ Thus $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4)^T$ satisfies Lipschitz condition $\|\psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - \psi(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3, \tilde{x}_4)\| \leq 9\|x - \tilde{x}\|$

3. Check the Lipschitz condition for the function $\phi(y)$ satisfies:- $\|\phi(y) - \phi(\hat{y})\| \leq 7$

The observer system is:

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{x}_i &= \hat{x}_{i+1} dt + L_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \tilde{x}_j dt \\ d\hat{x}_1 &= \hat{x}_2 dt + L_1(c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3 + c_4 x_4) dt \\ d\hat{x}_2 &= \hat{x}_3 dt + L_2(c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3 + c_4 x_4) dt \\ d\hat{x}_3 &= \hat{x}_4 dt + L_3(c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3 + c_4 x_4) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$d\hat{x}_4 = u dt + L_4(c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3 + c_4 x_4) dt$$

The error is computed as follows:

$$d\tilde{x} = A_0 \tilde{x} dt + (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) dt + x_1^3 + \cos x_1 \sin x_2 + \sin(x_2 x_3) + \cos(x_2 x_3) dw + (3y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \sin y_3 + \cos y_4) dw$$

we choose the values of the above uncounted matrix in order to satisfy

the equation $A_0^T P + P A_0 = -I$ and computing P, after choosing the values of $c_i, i=1, \dots, 4$, and computing the values of L_i we have:

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -6.25 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -7.5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -9 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ so that:}$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 481.9063 & -0.5 & -128.625 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 128.625 & -0.5 & -35.25 \\ -128.625 & -0.5 & 35.25 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & -35.25 & -0.5 & 10.4913 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the eigenvalues of P are $\lambda_1 = 0.3093, \lambda_2 = 1.3203, \lambda_3 = 138.345, \lambda_4 = 516.2997$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{b}{2} \left([\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{x}_2 \tilde{x}_3 \tilde{x}_4]^T \begin{bmatrix} 481.9063 & -0.5 & -128.625 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 128.625 & -0.5 & -35.25 \\ -128.625 & -0.5 & 35.25 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & -35.25 & -0.5 & 10.4913 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \\ \tilde{x}_3 \\ \tilde{x}_4 \end{bmatrix} \right)^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{4} x_1^4 + \frac{1}{4} (x_2 - a_1)^4 + \frac{1}{4} (x_3 - a_2)^4 + \frac{1}{4} (x_4 - a_3)^4 \\ & \quad + 35.25 x_2^2 + 10.4913 x_4^2 - x_1 x_2 - 257.25 x_1 x_3 + x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3 - 70.5 x_2 x_4 - x_3 x_4)^2 \end{aligned}$$

b is positive constant. Compute $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & b \lambda_{\min}(P) (\lambda_{\min}(P) - 2(\lambda_{\max}(Q_1))(\lambda_{\max}(P))) - 3b_v \sqrt{\epsilon_1} \epsilon_2^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 - \frac{3b_v \sqrt{\epsilon_1}}{\epsilon_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \\ & - 3b_v \sqrt{\epsilon_1} \epsilon_2^2 (\lambda_{\max}(P))^4 - \frac{3b_v \sqrt{\epsilon_1}}{\epsilon_1^2} (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{v_i^2} |\tilde{c}_i|^2 \\ & - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{c}_i^2 |\tilde{c}_i|^4 (\lambda_{\max}(Q_2))^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\max}(P) &= 516.2997, b = 1000, \epsilon_1 = 0.01, \epsilon_2 = 0.02 \\ \eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta_3 = \eta_4 &= 1, \xi_1 = 0.3, |\tilde{c}| = 1, \delta_1 = 0.4 \\ &= 10000(516.2997) + 2(516.2997) - (0.0003)\sqrt{2}(0.01)^2 \quad (516.2997)^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{0.0003(\sqrt{2})}{(0.01)^2} - (0.0003)\sqrt{2} \\
 & (0.02)(516.2997)^4 + \frac{0.0003\sqrt{2}}{(0.02)^2} - \\
 & \frac{1}{16} + \frac{3}{4}(0.36) + \frac{3}{4}(0.64) \\
 & = 4558081.389 = \rho > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Find α_i and u :-

$$\alpha_1 = -S_1 z_1 - L_1 (c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3) - \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\sigma_1^{4/3} z_1 - \frac{1}{4\sigma_1^4} z_1$$

$$\alpha_2 = -S_2 z_2 - L_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3) + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_1} (c_1 f_1(x_1) + c_2 f_2(x_2) + c_3 f_3(x_3)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial y} \right)^2 (c_1 \theta_1 + c_2 \theta_2 + \\
 & c_3 \theta_3) (c_1 \theta_1^2 + c_2 \theta_2^2 + c_3 \theta_3^2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_1}{\partial y^2} (c_1 \psi_1 + c_2 \psi_2 + c_3 \psi_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(c_1 \Psi_1 + c_2 \Psi_2 + c_3 \Psi_3)^T - \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\sigma_2^{4/3} z_2 - \frac{1}{4\sigma_2^4} z_2 - \frac{3}{4} \eta_2^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_2 -$$

$$\frac{3}{4\sigma_2^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_2 - \frac{3}{4\sigma_2^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \right)^4 z$$

$$\alpha_3 = -S_3 z_3 - L_3 (c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3)$$

$$+ \sum_{e=1}^2 \frac{\partial \alpha_3}{\partial x^e} (x^e)^{e+1}$$

$$+ L_e (c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3) + \frac{\partial \alpha_3}{\partial y} c_i x_i + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \alpha_3}{\partial y}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 c_i f_i(x_i) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_3}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 c_i \theta_i \right)$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 c_i \theta_i \right)^T + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2 \alpha_3}{dy^2} \right)$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 c_i \Psi_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 c_i \Psi_i \right)^T - \frac{3}{4} \sigma_3$$

$$\frac{4}{3} z_3 - \frac{1}{4\sigma_3^4} \frac{3}{4} \eta_3^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_3}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_3 - \frac{3}{4\sigma_3^2}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_3}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_3 - \frac{3}{4\sigma_3^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_3}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_3$$

$$u = [-S_4 z_4 - L_4 C_4 \tilde{X}_4 + \sum_{e=1}^3 \frac{\partial \alpha_4}{\partial x^e}$$

$$\hat{x}_{e+1} + \sum_{e=1}^3 \frac{\partial \alpha_4}{\partial x^e} L_e C_e \tilde{X}_4 + \frac{\partial \alpha_4}{\partial y} C_4 f_4$$

$$(\tilde{X}_4) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_4}{\partial y^2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (c_4 \theta_4(y)) (c_4 \theta_4(y))^T + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_4}{\partial y^2} \right) \\
 & (c_4 \Psi_4(x_4)) (c_4 \Psi_4(x_4))^T - \frac{3}{4} \\
 & \sigma_4^{4/3} z_4 - \frac{1}{4\sigma_4^4} z_4 - \frac{3}{4} \eta_4^{4/3} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_4}{\partial y} \right)^{4/3} z_4 \\
 & - \frac{3}{4\sigma_4^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_4}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_4 - \frac{3}{4\sigma_4^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_4}{\partial y} \right)^4 z_4]
 \end{aligned}$$

7. Conclusions

1. A robust and optimal control law which guarantees global asymptotic stability in probability has been designed. The output feedback (observer-based) backstepping control law which guarantees global asymptotic stability in probability has been also discussed and proved supported by some theoretical justifications and an illustration.
2. A large class of nonlinear stochastic dynamic control systems in the presence of Brownian motion have been discussed and its controllability and hence stabilizability are also been proved depending on the presented theorems.
3. The relation between inverse optimality and optimality as well as robust control is discussed supported by some theoretical results.
4. On depending on this work, the computational algorithm is easier and hence makes this work applicable and can be used to design some real life systems later on.
5. The given example are added to the research to be easy to follow the direction of theorems and how it can be applied to more complex dynamic systems in future.
6. We have been faced by a large of difficulties to follow this direction, like backstepping of stochastic dynamic systems, inverse optimality,

control Lyapunov functions, stochastic nonlinear output-feedback systems, stochastic stabilization, etc. So we recommend that any person who is interested in this direction should be familiar with these facts.

References

- [1] N. A. Al-Bayati, "Stochastic nonlinear control stabilizability based on inverse optimality", M.Sc. thesis, Al-Nahrain University, 2008.
- [2] H. Deng and M. Krstić, "Stochastic nonlinear stabilization—Part I: A backstepping design," *Syst. Contr. Lett.*, vol. 32, pp. 143–150, 1997.
- [3] H. Deng and M. Krstić "Stochastic nonlinear stabilization—Part II: Inverse optimality," *Syst. Contr. Lett.*, vol. 32, pp. 151–159, 1997.
- [4] H. Deng and M. Krstić, "Output-feedback stochastic nonlinear stabilization", *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Vol. 44, No. 2, February 1999.
- [5] P. Florchinger, "A universal formula for the stabilization of control stochastic differential equations," *Stochastic Analysis and Appl.*, vol. 11, pp. 155–162, 1993.
- [6] P. Florchinger, "Lyapunov-like techniques for stochastic stability," *SIAM J. Contr. Optim.*, vol. 33, pp. 1151–1169, 1995.
- [7] P. Florchinger, "Global stabilization of cascade stochastic systems," in *Proc. 34th Conf. Decision and Control*, New Orleans, LA, pp. 2185–2186, 1995.
- [8] Adel S. Hussein, "On numerical solutions of stochastic ordinary differential equations", M.Sc. thesis, Al-Nahrain university, 2009.
- [9] U. G. Haussmann and W. Suo, "Singular optimal stochastic controls—I: Existence," *SIAM J. Contr. Optim.*, vol. 33, pp. 916–936, 1995.
- [10] U. G. Haussmann and W. Suo, "Singular optimal stochastic controls—II: Dynamic programming," *SIAM J. Contr. Optim.*, vol. 33, pp. 937–959, 1995.
- [11] M. R. James, J. Baras, and R. J. Elliott, "Risk-sensitive control and dynamic games for partially observed discrete-time nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 39, pp. 780–792, 1994.
- [12] E. A. Jassem, "Stabilization of Nonlinear Stochastic Control System via Output – Feedback Control", M.Sc. thesis, Al-Nahrain Univ., 2008.
- [13] H. K. Khalil, "Adaptive output feedback control of nonlinear systems represented by input–output models," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 41, pp. 177–188, February, 1996.
- [14] R. Z. Khas'minskii, "Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations". Rockville, MD: S & N, 1980.
- [15] Mohammed A. Shnwer, "On controllability probabilities of stochastic non-linear control systems", M.Sc. thesis, Al-Nahrain university, 2008.
- [16] Z. Pan and T. Basar, "Backstepping controller design for nonlinear stochastic systems under a risk-sensitive cost criterion," *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 2000.