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#### Abstract

In this research the covariance matrix which was used in so many fields, its eigen values adopted to be the main parameters for Latin printed character recognition.

The idea was divided in two stages. The first stage is to generate the covariance matrix then, evaluate its eigen values to build main table for the whole latin characters in addition to the numeric values. The second stage is the recognition stage, which achieved to any character that was entered.

The applied examples do not register any negative result. So it can be strongly recommended for printed character recognition.


الاملخمص
في هذا البحث تم اعتماد القيمة المميزة لصصفوفة النباين (covariance) التي تستخدم في كثير من المجالات عاملا رئيسيا في تمييز الحرف اللانيني المطبوع. تقع الفكرة الرئيسية في مرحلتين، المرحلة الاولى لتكوين مصفوفة التباين لكل حرف من الاحرف المطبوعة ومن ثم حساب القيمة اللميزة لها لاعتمادها في بناء قاعدة لجميع الحروف اللاتينية الهطبو عة فضلا عن الاعداد، اما المرحلة الثانية فتمثل مرحةة التمييز لاي حرف لاتيني يتم ادخاله. ان الامثلة المطبقة لم تسجل أية نتيجة سلبية، لذا يمكن النوصية باعنماد فكرة البحث لتمييز الحرف اللاتيني المطبوع.
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## 1. Introduction:

Optical Character Recognition [OCR], is the process of converting the image obtained by scanning a text or a document into machine-editable format. OCR is one of the most important fields of pattern recognition and has been the center of attention for researchers in the last forty decades (Almohri, 2008).

Character recognition is one of the oldest fields of research. It is the art of automating both the process of reading and keyboard input of text in documents. A major part of information in documents is in the form of alphanumeric text (Zidouri, 2006).

The ultimate objective of any OCR system is to simulate the human reading capabilities. That is why OCR systems are considered a branch of an artificial intelligence and a branch of computer vision as well character recognition has received a lot of attention and success for latin languages (Amin, 2003).

The online problem is usually easier than the offline problem since more information is available. These two domains (offline \& online) can be further divided into two areas according to the character itself that is either handwritten or printed character. Roughly, the OCR system is based on three main stages: preprocessing, feature extraction, and discrimination (called also, classifier, or recognition engine), (Fig. 1) depicts the block diagram of the typical OCR system (ABU RAS, 2007)(Liana, 2006).

(Figure-1) The typical OCR block diagram
In the review of the related work so many papers are published and beside the main goal of any OCR system which is simulating human's reading capability, the accuracy and time consuming are very important issues in this aspect. Based on the
latest survey which is published in May 2006 by Liana M. and Venu G. (Liana, 2006) all covered papers have presented their proposals seeking high accuracy and less time. Each one has treated the issue from different point of view. Their work can be classified into three main categories: preprocessing problems, features extraction problems, and recognition (discrimination) problems. For preprocessing stage, where the image is often converted to a more concise representation prior to recognition the most common methods are a skeleton which is a one-pixel thick representation showing the centre lines of the character (AL-Shatnawi, 2008).

## 2. Concepts of the Covariance matrix (Abdi, 2003):

If $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are strongly (positively) linked, random variables then we could think of defining covariance in a way that would embodies the following states:

* Whenever $X_{1}$ is positive, then $X_{2}$ is likely to be positive too.
* Whenever $X_{1}$ is negative, then $X_{2}$ is likely to be negative too.

This will not act because we want the covariance to be unchanged when both probability distributions are translated by arbitrary quantities. So instead of measuring the values of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ from " 0 ", we will measure them from reference points that translate along with the probability distributions, for example their respective means $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$. Our original idea now reads:

* Whenever $\left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)$ is positive, then $\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)$ is likely to be positive too.
* Whenever $\left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)$ is negative, then $\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)$ is likely to be negative too.

So if $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are strongly (positively) linked, more often than not, $X_{1}-\mu_{1}$ and $X_{2}-\mu_{2}$ are :

* Simultaneously positive,
* Or simultaneously negative.

The product $\left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right) \cdot\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)$ is then likely to be very often positive :

* Either because both quantities are positive,
* Or because both quantities are negative.

Yet, the product $\left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right) \cdot\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)$ is a random variable, and we want a fixed number. But a random variable that spends most of its time taking positive values is likely to have a positive expectation. So we will consider the expectation of ( $X_{1}$ $\left.\mu_{1}\right) \cdot\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)$, and call it the covariance of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ as shown in equation (1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=E\left[\left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right) \cdot\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We'll show that this expression is equivalent to the other one, more convenient in practice can be seen clearly in equation (2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E[X Y]-E[X] \cdot E[Y] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Properties of a covariance matrix (Harris,2001)(Strang, 2003):

The covariance matrix is not just a convenient way of displaying numbers. As a matrix, it has several important properties which derive from the fact that a covariance matrix is always positive semi definite. The converse is also true: any positive semi definite matrix $\Sigma$ is the covariance matrix of a random vector (in fact, of many).

In particular, the spectral decomposition of the covariance matrix of a random vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ shows that:

* There exists an orthonormal basis such that the covariance matrix $\Sigma$ of $\boldsymbol{x}$ expressed in this basis is diagonal. The axes of this new basis are called the principal components of $\Sigma$ (or of the distribution of $\boldsymbol{x}$ ).
* As the off-diagonal elements of this new matrix are 0 , the new variables defined by this new basis (the projections of $\boldsymbol{x}$ on the principal components) are uncorrelated.
* The diagonal elements of this new, diagonal covariance matrix are the Eigen values of $\Sigma$. So the variances of the projections of $\boldsymbol{x}$ on the Principal Components are equal to the corresponding Eigen values of $\Sigma$.
$\qquad$
* If units are changed so that all Principal Components now carry the same variance, the distribution is said to be "sphericized" (which is an abuse of language as the distribution is not necessarily spherically symmetric) : the marginal variables are now standardized and uncorrelated.

1. Construct an average signal M as shown in equation (3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} X_{[i] j]]} \quad j=0 \ldots L-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Subtract the average signal from the original ensemble

$$
Y_{[i]}=X_{[i]}-M \quad i=0 \ldots N-1
$$

3. Construct a covariance matrix.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
C_{1,1} & C_{1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & C_{1, L} \\
C_{2,1} & C_{2,2} & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \\
C_{L, 1} & C_{L, 2} & & & C_{L, L}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Where each value $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}}$ is given in equation (4).

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i, j}=\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \frac{Y_{[p \mathbf{I}]} \times Y_{[p \mathbf{I}]}}{N-1} \quad \mathrm{i}=1 \ldots \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{j}=1 \ldots \mathrm{~L} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Proposed Algorithm:

In this paper an approach for printed character recognition is suggested and to be studied by applying different printed characters for recognition (all applied examples done on standard fonts), the procedures of the proposed algorithm can be classified in two stages:
a) Stages of preparing the database table:

1. Image acquisition from any input devices or via stored files on hard disk.
2. Preprocess to be done on the character image and resize it to $64 \times 64$ pixel.
3. Create the covariance matrix of the image character.
4. Evaluate the Eigen value of the covariance matrix.
5. Store the Eigen value on database table (in addition to the character name).
6. Step $1-5$ to be run over all Latin characters plus the numeric values.

## b) Stages of Recognition:

1. Get any printed Latin character.
2. Preprocess to be done in addition to resize it to $64 \times 64$.
3. Covariance matrix to be prepared in the same way of stage 1.
4. Evaluate the eigen values of the covariance matrix.
5. Look for the nearest set (of the evaluated eigen value) to the eigen values which were stored in the database table.
6. If does not find, the new set of eigen values to be added to the table, otherwise the character was recognized.

## 5. Results discussion:

When applying the proposed algorithm on closed characters such as: E\& F, b\& d and O\& Q the eigen values for each pair [closed characters] shows the clear difference. In table (1) and Fig. 2 clear difference can be seen which can be adopted for recognition between the other characters. 25 Eigen values were used in the practical but the first 15 Eigen values could be enough to give good recognition between the characters.
$\qquad$

(Figure-2) the difference between character E and F
Table (1) The eign values of the characters

| A | $\begin{aligned} & 495.67263 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158.57327 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 146.8987 | $\begin{aligned} & 123.82020 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123.82020 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 58.107667 | 54.092931 | 41.171547 | 2.662942 | 7.424035 | 7.424035 | 7.661362 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 1050.6134 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 164.43105 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 197.3289 | $\begin{aligned} & 134.56743 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 23.865256 | 26.513256 | 28.503150 | 28.503150 | 22.664176 | 10.035364 | 4.926073 | 0.152360 |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 552.25911 } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 320.85597 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 245.2524 | $\begin{aligned} & 107.36623 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 57.074155 | 46.483631 | 32.513676 | 23.939316 | 23.939316 | 19.668711 | 14.391300 | 12.044999 |
| Z | $\begin{aligned} & 520.29470 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 198.82015 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 202.5428 | $\begin{aligned} & 141.77812 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 49.658491 | 39.982060 | 39.313920 | 39.313920 | 25.298985 | 25.298985 | 21.662273 | 5.360285 |
| a | $\begin{aligned} & 495.67263 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158.57327 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 146.8987 | $\begin{aligned} & 123.82020 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 58.107667 | 54.092931 | 41.171547 | 41.171547 | 2.662942 | 7.424035 | 7.424035 | 7.661362 |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 1050.6134 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 164.43105 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 197.3289 | $\begin{aligned} & 134.56743 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 23.865256 | 26.513256 | 28.503150 | 22.664176 | 10.035364 | 4.926073 | 4.926073 | 0.152360 |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| z | $\begin{aligned} & 520.29470 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 198.82015 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 202.5428 | $\begin{aligned} & 141.77812 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 49.658491 | 39.982060 | 39.313920 | 39.313920 | 25.298985 | 25.298985 | 21.662273 | 5.360285 |
| 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 765.92923 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $153.00330$ | 43.87356 | 27.057177 | 20.270030 | 13.849998 | 6.981071 | 6.667279 | 6.082227 | 2.034915 | 0.478420 | 0.079458 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 495.67263 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158.57327 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 146.8987 | $\begin{aligned} & 123.82020 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 58.107667 | 54.092931 | 54.092931 | 41.171547 | 2.662942 | 7.424035 | 7.424035 | 7.661362 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1010.7059 \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1010.7059 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 187.8087 | 15.108846 | 15.108846 | 6.886475 | 4.864172 | 2.174813 | 2.174813 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |

Also different sizes of same character were studied to see the effect of the character size. (Fig. 3) show the eigen value of character [E] with wide range of size.

(Figure-3) character $E$ in different sizes
To get standardization for the proposed algorithm far away from the problems of character size, a fixed size for different character size was adopted, i.e. the proposed algorithm arranges the character size to be of [ $64 \times 64$ ] with this suggestion, the result goes for high rate of recognition.

In the appendix, the algorithm tries to cover the most closed characters and to show clear difference in the values of their Eigen values. The curves given in the appendix for character $\mathrm{Q} \& \mathrm{O}$ can be adopted as reasonable to recommend the proposed algorithm for character recognition.
$\qquad$

## Conclusion and future work:

The proposed algorithm which was tested on most of the printed Latin characters does not register any negative result.

The applied algorithm can be developed by adding Neural Networks to work as automatic classifier, also can be used to evaluate the value of numeric image.
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## Appendix

Tested character (E\&F), (d\& b), (O\&Q) and (I\& l)


Character E and F


Character d and b


Character O and O


Character I and 1

