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#### Abstract

Statistical selection procedures are used to select the best of a finite set of alternatives. This paper derives a procedure for selecting the best of two Gamma populations employing a decisiontheoretic Bayesian framework with general loss function with Exponential prior .

The numerical result of this procedure are given with different loss functions constant, linear and quadratic, where in one equation we can obtain the Bayes risk for the three types of the loss functions : constant, linear and quadratic. in this paper the numerical results are given by using Math Works Matlab ver. 7.0.1 .
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## الخلاصــة

تستخدم طرق الاختيـار الاحصـائية لاختيـار الافضل مـن بين مجموعـة محدودة مـن البدائل ـ هذا البحث يتضمن اشتقاق طريقة لاختيار الافضل من بين مجتمعيين يتوز عان توزيع كاما مستخدمين منهج القرار البيزي مع دالة خسارة مشتركة مع توزيع

سابق للتجربة متمثل بالتوزيع الآسي .
النتـائج العدديـة لهـذا الاجر اء تـم إيجادهـا لدو ال خسـارة مختلفـة ثابتـة ، خطيـة وتربيعيـة حيث في معادلــة واحدة بالالمكان الحصول على الخطورة البيزية للأنواع الثلاثة من دو ال الخسارة : الثابتة ، الخطية والتربيعية ـ وفي هذا البحث قدمنا نتائج عددية تم الحصول عليها باستخدام نظام الـ Matlab ver 7.0.1 .

## 1-Description of the Problem

The Gamma distribution has an important role for modeling the life time distribution of a variety of random phenomena. This distribution arises in many areas of application, including reliability, life - testing and survival analysis .

A common problem that arises in practice is the selection of the best of two Gamma populations with unknown parameters .

Formally, we can state the problem as follows : Consider two independent Gamma populations $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}$ with known probability density function

$$
h_{i}\left(y_{i} \mid \alpha_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)=\frac{\theta_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} y_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1} e^{-\theta_{i} y_{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}>0, \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0, \theta_{\mathrm{i}}>0
$$

With known shape parameter $\alpha_{i}$ and unknown scale parameter $\theta_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$. We consider the problem : how to find the best population (i.e. the one associated with the largest scale parameter
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$\left.\theta_{i}\right)$. Let $\theta_{[1]} \leq \theta_{[2]}$ be the ordered values of the parameters $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$. It is assumed that the exact pairing between the ordered and unordered parameters is unknown. The population $\Pi_{i}$ with $\theta_{i}=\theta_{[2]}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ is called the best population. A correct selection is defined as the selection of the population associated with $\theta_{[2]}$.

Many researchers have considered this problem under different types of formulations .Shanti S. Gupta (1962) considered the problem of selecting a subset of k Gamma populations which includes the "best" population. P.Vellaisamy, D. Sharma (1988) considered classic procedure for selected Gamma population from two Gamma populations. Neeraj Misra, et.al. (2006) consider selected Gamma population under the scale invariant squared error loos function. Paul Van Der Laan \& Constance Van Eden (1996) study the subset selection procedure for studied selecting the Best of Two Gamma population . Neeraj Misra (1994) considered subset selection procedure for selected Gamma populations . Dailami , N. ; Rao , M. Bhaskara ; Subramanyam , K. (1985) study the selection of the best Gamma population, determination of minimax sample size . Studied Nematollahi (2009) estimation of the scale parameter of the selected Gamma population under Entropy loss function .

The aim of this present paper is to derive approach for selecting the best of two Gamma populations, that is the one having the largest scale parameter $\theta_{[2]}$ by using Bayesian decision theoretic framework with exponential prior and general loss function.

## 2-Basic Definitions and Concepts

## 2-1-Statistical Decision Theory

(i) Basic Ideas

Statistics may be consider as the science of decision making in the presence of uncertainty . The problems of statistical inferences can fit into the decision theory framework, for example , testing of a hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ against a hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ may be regarded as a decision between two actions (i) accepting $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or (ii) accepting $\mathrm{H}_{1}$.

In decision problems, the state of nature is unknown, but a decision maker must be made -a decision whose consequences depend on the unknown state of nature. Such a problem is a statistical decision problem when there are data that give partial information a bout the unknown state .

The basic elements of a statistical decision problem can be formalized mathematically as follows:

A set A, the action space, consisting of all possible actions, $a \in \mathrm{~A}$, available to the decision maker ;
a set $\Omega$, the parameter space, consisting of all possible 'state of the nature', $\theta \in \Omega$, one and only one of which obtains or will obtain (this 'true' state being unknown to the decision-maker) ;
a function L , the loss function, having domain $\Omega \times \mathrm{A}$ (the set of all ordered pairs of consequences ( $\theta, a), \theta \in \Omega, a \in \mathrm{~A}$ ) and codomain R ;
a set $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}$, the space of X , consisting of all the possible realizations, $x \in R_{x}$ of a random variable X , having a distribution whose probability function (pf) belongs to a specified family $\{f(x ; \theta) ; \theta \in \Omega\}$;

A set D , the decision space, consisting of all possible decisions, $d \in D$, each such decision function $d$ having domain $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and codomain A .

## $\underline{\text { Journal of Kerbala University , Vol. } 8 \text { No. } 1 \text { Scientific . } 2010}$

## (ii) The Risk Function

For given $(\theta, a)$ the loss function depends on the outcome x and thus a random variable. Its expected value, i.e. its average over all possible outcomes is called the risk function and is denoted by

$$
R(\theta, d)=\int_{R_{x}} L(\theta, d(x)) f(x ; \theta) d x \quad \text { (X continues) }
$$

or

$$
R(\theta, d)=\sum_{x \in R_{x}} L(\theta, d(x)) f(x ; \theta) \quad(\mathrm{X} \text { discrete })
$$

## (iii) Minimax and Bayes Decision Functions

The decision function $d^{*}$ that minimizes $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{d})=\max \mathrm{R}(\theta, \mathrm{d})$ is the minimax decision function. Similarly, the function $d^{* *}$ that minimizes the Bayes risk of a decision $d$ is a Bayes decision function.

$$
B(d)=E[R(\theta, d)]=\int_{\Omega} R(\theta, d) \pi(\theta) d \theta \quad(\Theta \text { continous })
$$

or

$$
B(d)=\sum_{\Omega} R(\theta, d) \pi(\theta) \quad(\Theta \text { discrete })
$$

where $\pi(\theta)$ represents the distribution of degree of belief over $\Theta$.

## 3- Solution of the Problem

We term our problem as a two-decision problem and represent it symbolically as
$d_{1}$ : population $\Pi_{1}$ is said to be the best if $\theta_{1}>\theta_{2}$
and $\qquad$
$d_{2}$ : population $\Pi_{2}$ is said to be the best if $\theta_{1} \leq \theta_{2}$
For parameter $\underline{\theta}$ and action a the loos function is defined as :
$L_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, a_{i}\right)=k_{i}\left(\theta_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{r}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$
For $\mathrm{r}=0$, we have a constant loss function , for $\mathrm{r}=1$, we have a linear loss function and for $\mathrm{r}=2$, we have a quadratic loss function, $\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}$ give decision losses in units of costs .

Let us suppose that $\underline{y}_{i}=\left(y_{i 1}, y_{i 2}, \ldots, y_{i n}\right)$ be a random sample of size n arising from population $\Pi_{i}$. It follows that the likelihood function is
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$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{o}\left(\underline{y} \mid \theta_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{\theta_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\right)^{n} e^{-\theta_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{i j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} y_{i j}^{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}-1} \quad, \quad \theta_{\mathrm{i}}>0, \mathrm{y}>0, \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0, \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our first task in the Bayesian approach is the specification of a prior p.d.f $g(\lambda)$. we take the prior distribution to be a member of the conjugate class of Exponential priors $\operatorname{Exp}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$, where a member of this class has density function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\theta_{i} \mid \lambda_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i} e^{-\lambda_{i} \theta_{i}}, \lambda_{i}>0, \theta_{\mathrm{i}}>0 \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
By Baye's theorem the posterior probability function of $\theta$ is given by
$g\left(\theta_{i} \mid \underline{y}_{i}\right)=\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta_{i} \lambda_{i}}$ $\qquad$
Where $\lambda^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{i j}+\lambda_{i} \quad, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2$
We note, as a function of $\theta_{i}, g\left(\theta_{i} \mid \underline{y}_{i}\right)$ has the form of Exponential probability density function with parameters $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}$.

We derive the stopping (Baye's) risks of decision $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ for general loss function given in (2-2) and the stopping risk (the posterior expected looses) of making decision $d_{i}$ denoted by $R_{i}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; d_{i}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; d_{1}\right)=k_{1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{r!(-1)^{r-i}}{\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{r-i}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{i}}-\sum_{i=0}^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-i} \frac{r!(-1)^{r-i} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{-j}(r-j)!}{i!(r-i-j)!\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{r-j+1}}\right] \\
& R_{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; d_{2}\right)=k_{2}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{r!(-1)^{r-i}}{\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{r-i}\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{i}}-\sum_{i=0}^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-i} \frac{r!(-1)^{r-i} \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-j}(r-j)!}{i!(r-i-j)!\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{r-j+1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take $\mathrm{r}=0$ we find from the above equations the posterior expected looses for constant loos function for the two decisions $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$, if we take $\mathrm{r}=1$ we find from the above equations the posterior expected looses for linear loss function for the two decisions, if we take $r=2$ we find from the above equations the posterior expected looses for quadratic loos function for two decision $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$.

For the two - decision problem considered a above, the Bayesian selection procedure is given as follows :

Make decision $d_{1}$ that is selecting $\Pi_{1}$ as the best population if $R_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; d_{1}\right)<R_{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; d_{2}\right)$ and

Make decision $d_{2}$ that is selecting $\Pi_{2}$ as the best population if $R_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; d_{1}\right) \geq R_{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; d_{2}\right)$
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## 4- Numerical Results and Discussions

This section contains some numerical result about this procedure, we take various sample size n and various priors. We write a program for this procedure from which we give three types of Risk for three types of loss functions (constant, linear and quadratic). from this numerical result we note that :

1-the procedure is well defined, as we seen in table (1).
2-as sample size n increase, the Bayes risk decreases .
3-The Bayes risk for quadratic loss function is less than the Bayes risk for linear and constant loss functions .

| $\theta_{1}=10, \theta_{2}=2, \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior Prob. $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ | n | Bayes Risk | Constant Loss | Linear Loss | Quadratic Loss |
| $(4,7)$ | 10 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.4197 | 0.0016 | $2.0194 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.5803 | 0.0183 | $8.3546 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3637 | $5.4201 \mathrm{e}-041$ | $2.4397 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6363 | 0.0111 | $1.4006 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 30 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3343 | $3.2460 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $6.4979 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6657 | 0.0086 | $1.0006 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 40 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3416 | 3.8191e-004 | $6.2400 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6584 | 0.0055 | $6.8677 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
|  | 50 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3281 | $2.0458 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $4.3678 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6719 | 0.0048 | $2.3776 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
| $(6,10)$ | 10 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.4522 | $9.5929 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $1.7892 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.5478 | 0.0222 | $3.9764 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3402 | $3.9715 \mathrm{e}-0051$ | $4.4915 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6598 | 0.0137 | $1.4732 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 30 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3295 | $4.4710 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $1.2465 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6705 | 0.0077 | $9.4003 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
|  | 40 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3388 | $2.6590 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $4.6549 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6612 | 0.0071 | $8.2271 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
|  | 50 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.2911 | $1.8176 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $2.5639 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.7089 | 0.0059 | $2.6276 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
| $(8,12)$ | 10 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.4474 | $7.2249 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $3.8048 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.5526 | 0.0220 | $5.1106 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3379 | $4.2249 \mathrm{e}-0041$ | $1.4942 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6621 | 0.0123 | $1.9099 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 30 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3890 | $2.9478 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $9.1622 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 0.3546 | 0.0089 | $6.5576 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
|  | 40 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3546 | $2.4135 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $3.8832 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6454 | 0.0069 | $4.5099 \mathrm{e}-005$ |


|  | 50 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3458 | $1.6914 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $2.1242 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6542 | 0.0053 | $2.9020 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
| $(10,14)$ | 10 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.4164 | 0.0022 | $7.9105 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  | 10 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.5836 | 0.0146 | $4.5275 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3864 | $5.0357 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $2.5672 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6136 | 0.0155 | $1.7845 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 30 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3943 | $4.0063 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $1.1416 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  | 30 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6057 | 0.0077 | $1.0671 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | 40 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3683 | $3.0555 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $7.3245 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  | 40 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6317 | 0.0061 | $5.0115 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
|  | 50 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ | 0.3177 | 1.4337e-004 | $2.9984 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  | 50 | $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ | 1.6823 | 0.0062 | $3.5540 \mathrm{e}-005$ |

Table (1)


Figure (1) : the influence of the sample size on the posterior expected loss for constant loss function
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Figure (2) : the influence of the sample size on the posterior expected loss for linear loss function


Figure (3) : the influence of the sample size on the posterior expected loss for quadratic loss function
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## Conclusions

In this paper we derives a procedure for selecting the best of two Gamma populations employing a decision - theoretic Bayesian frame work with general loss function with Exponential prior . From this paper we note that :

1- the procedure is well defined, as we seen in table (1)
2- as sample size n increase, the Bayes risk decreases with all loss functions .
3- the Bayes risk for quadratic loss function is less than the Bayes risk for linear and constant loss function.
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