# Sutureless Total Abdominal Hysterectomy Using Marclamp® IQ: A Prospective Cross-Sectional Study.

Jackleen Ali Hussein AL-Romany\*, Khalid Saadullah Werdi\*\*, Jawad Kadhim Al-Dhahiry\*\*\*\*

## **ABSTRACT:**

## **BACKGROUND:**

Hysterectomy, the second most common surgical procedure performed in female patients, is associated with various complications like other major surgical procedure. To reduce such complications and shorten both ,the operating time and the intraoperative blood loss, sutureless hysterectomy was recently practiced using novel vessel sealing devices as marClamp® IQ and LigaSure.

#### **OBJECTIVE:**

To compare the outcomes of marClamp® total abdominal hysterectomy (TAH) versus total abdominal hysterectomies performed by LigaSure and suture ligature techniques of other studies.

#### **PATIENTS AND METHODS:**

A total of 47 female patients presenting with various benign and malignant uterine and malignant ovarian diseases were suturelessly hysterectomised using marClamp®.

**Main outcome measures:** The primary outcome measures were: the operating time and blood loss while the secondary outcome measures were: intraoperative and post-operative complications, hospital stay and histopathologic results of the removed specimens.

#### **RESULTS:**

Operating time of marClamp®: TAH only, TAH +bilateral salpingo-oopherectomy (BSO), TAH+BSO+ infracolic omentectomy and total study group were: mean $\pm$ SD = 60.91 $\pm$ 8.31minute (m) ,75.93 $\pm$ 14.61m, 83.89 $\pm$ 13.18 m and 73.94 $\pm$ 15.11m respectively (P-value =0.001, it was statistically significant ). The intraoperative blood losses of marClamp®: TAH only, TAH + BSO, TAH+BSO +infracolic omentectomy and total study group were: mean $\pm$ SD = 64.09 $\pm$ 8.61 milliliter (ml) , 85.74 $\pm$ 17.30 ml ,103.33 $\pm$ 20.62 ml and 84.04 $\pm$ 20.71 ml respectively (P-value =0.000, it was statistically significant ). Hospital stay of this study was mean $\pm$ SD =2.08 $\pm$ 0.59 day. This study had no intraoperative complications .Its postoperative complication rate was 10.63 %. Premenopausal simple endometerial hyperplasia with / without atypea was the most common histopathologic variety (51%) .This study had no mortality during the follow-up period.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The use of marClamp® IQ device can reduce operating time, intraoperative blood loss, intraoperative and postoperative complication rates and the hospital stay. Thus it is cost-effective. It allows quick and secured hemostasis compared with the conventional suture ligature technique.

KEYWORDS: marClamp® IQ, LigaSure, Conventional, Hysterectomy, Sutureless.

# **INTRODUCTION:**

Hysterectomy means surgical removal of uterus but, in practice it has a wider classification depending upon its indications. Hysterectomy may be performed without removal of the cervix (supracervical hysterectomy) or with removal of adnexa (hysterectomy with salpingo-oophorectomy).

It may be a part of staging laparotomy or radical hysterectomy. Hysterectomy can be performed abdominally, vaginally or laparoscopically. The approach depends on surgeon's preference, indication for surgery, nature of disease and patient characteristics. <sup>[1]</sup>

Hysterectomy is the second most frequent performed major surgical procedure in female patients all over the world, following to the Caesarian Section (C/S).

<sup>\*</sup>Kut Hospital of Gynaecology, Obstetrics and Pediatrics, Wasit / Iraq .

<sup>\*\*</sup> Al-Karama Teaching Hospital, Wasit/ Iraq

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>College of Medicine, Wasit University, Wasit/Iraq

In USA, approximately 600,000 hysterectomies are yearly performed. [2] Benign uterine diseases form more than 70% of the indications for hysterectomy including menstrual disorders, uterine fibroids, pelvic pain and uterine prolapse. [3]

The rapid, safe and complete sealing of vessels remains an important part of a successful hysterectomy.<sup>[4]</sup> abdominal Abdominal hysterectomy has the advantage of good intraoperative field, but the disadvantages are an abdominal wall scar and the long time for recovery. [5] As any other surgical procedure, hysterectomy is also associated intraoperative and postoperative complications. Rates of various hysterectomy complications have been reported in the range from 0.5% to 43%. [6] Most of hysterectomies are performed either for benign conditions causing ( menorrhagia, metrorrhagia, dysmenorrheal or pelvic pain), uterine fibroids ovarian cysts or for uterine and ovarian cancers.

During performance of hysterectomy,the vascular pedicles can be secured using usual mechanical techniques (sutures, clips or staples) or by vessel coagulation (high frequency electrocautery, ultrasound or laser). [7] For rapid and secure hemostasis, the LigasSure vessel sealing apparatus was launched by Valleylab (Boulder, CO, USA) [8] and marClamp® vessel sealing apparatus was launched by (Martin KLS ,Germany ) . MarClamp® and LigaSure [9] coagulate the vessels by recognizing the type of tissue to pass the right dose of pressure and energy. This dose alters the collagen and elastin fibers in the vessels to be sealed with minimal lateral thermal injury. MarClamp® and LigaSure are now popular in many operative procedures as thyroidectomy, splenectomy and urology procedures. They are more suitable for difficult surgical procedures because they can abandon need for vessel traction and shorten time needed for hemostasis. [10]

Research data demonstrate that application of ligation-free techniques, such as LigaSure vessel sealing system, obviously improves surgical resection rate, reduces complication rate and shortenes the operating time, so as to provide the optimal treatment and rehabilitation efficacy for patients . [11]

## **AIM OF THE STUDY:**

The objective of this study was to assess outcomes of sutureless TAH with /without BSO performed with marClamp® versus outcomes of other studies that used both, LigaSure device and conventional suture ligature technique for TAH with /without BSO.

## **PATIENTS AND METHODS:**

This study began after the Ethical Committee of College of Medicine / Wasit University / IRAQ, approved its proposal in September 2016. All patients, enrolled in this study, had signed a written informed consent form before enrollment. The study included 47 consecutive female patients presented with various benign and malignant uterine diseases and malignant ovarian diseases. They underwent a sutureless TAH with / without BSO using marClamp® (produced by KLS martin group, Germany ) in AL-Karama Teaching Hospital / College of Medicine / Wasit University/ IRAQ in cooperation with department of gynaecology and in Kut hospital for Gynaecology Obstetrics and Pediatrics / Wasit / IRAQ during the period from September 2016 to December 2018.

The preoperative preparations ,operative procedures and the postoperative follow-up were performed by the gynaecologist of the team using MarClamp under supervision the trained surgeons of the research team. A proper preoperative evaluation of the study patients was performed including: history taking, thorough physical examination investigations that included : chest X-ray, abdominal and transvaginal ultrasonography, pelvic MRI ,dilation and curettage (D&C) for patients presented with abnormal uterine bleeding, electrocardiography and laboratory investigations (complete blood picture, PT, PTT,INR, fasting blood sugar, blood urea, serum creatinine, Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C and HIV and tumor marker CA 125 for patients with postmenopausal bleeding and those with ovarian tumors).

Pfanninstiel incision was performed in patients with benign uterine diseases, while lower mid-line incision was performed for patients with malignant uterine and ovarian diseases. Intraoperative blood loss was calculated by measuring the blood on the sterile drapes, blood in the suction container and evaluating the blood in abdominal swabs and gauzes. The operating time was measured from moment of incising the abdominal skin to moment of completing skin closure.

The vaginal vault was closed with 1 Vicryl (Coated VICRYL® (polyglactin 910) Suture|Ethicon). The cardinal ligaments ,at the level of vaginal cuff, were fixed to the round ligaments with 0 Vicryl, rectus sheath was closed with 1 Nylon and the skin was subcuticularly closed with 2/0 Nylon .The tuboovarian vascular pedicle ,uterine vascular pedicle and the cervical vascular pedicle were sealed suturelessly using marClamp® IQ (Figure.1.).



Figure . 1. TAH using marClamp

## Exclusion criteria were:

- Unfitness for general anaesthesia .
- Cervical cancers .

Data of this study were statistically analysed using IBM SPSS ver. 22.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). P-values less than 0.05 were statistically significant.

### **RESULTS:**

This study included 47 patients whose ages ranged 38-75 years with mean±SD =49.26±7.95 y (Table.3.) .They presented with various bengin and malignant uterine diseases and various malignant ovarian tumors.

They were surgically treated according to type and the stage of the underlying uterine or ovarian disease. The study variables were statistically analyzed and tabulated in the following tables 1,2,3 and 4.

Table.1.Pathologic distribution of the study patients.

Pathology	No=	Percentage
Premenopausal simple endometerial hyperplasia with/without atypea.	24	51
Postmenopausal simple endometrial hyperplasia with / without atypea .	9	19.15
Primary ovarian cancers .	6	12.8
Uterine fibroids .	4	8.5
Premenopausal uterine carcinoma.		4.3
Metastatic ovarian cancers.		2.1
Postmenopausal uterine carcimoma.		2.1
Total	47	100.0 %

NO = number of the patients

Table.1. shows the pathological distribution of the study patients .The most common pathological types were : 24 patients out of 47 (51%) had simple premenopausal endometrial hyperplasia with / without atypea,

9 patients out of 47 (19.15%) had postmenopausal simple endometrial hyperplasia with / without atypea and 6 patients out of 47 (12.8%) had primary ovarian cancers.

Table.2. Type of TAH performed by using marClamp®.

Type of the surgical technique.	No=	Percentage	
TAH only .	11	23.40	
TAH + BSO .	27	57.45	
TAH + BSO + infracolic omentectomy.	9	19.15	
Total	47	100.0	

NO = number of the patients

Table.2. shows the distribution of the study patients according to the surgical technique :11patients (23.40%) were surgically treated by TAH only , 27 patients (57.45%) were surgically treated by TAH + BSO

and 9 patients (19.15 %) were surgically treated by TAH + BSO+infracolic omentectomy according to type and stage of the underlying disease .

table .3. Perioperative descriptive statistical analysis of the study variables

The StudyVariables	No:	Minimum	Maximum	Mean±SD or %	
Age/year .	47	38	75	49.26±7.95	
Associated co-morbidities.	47	21		44.7%	
Previous abdominal surgery.	47	16		34 %	
Operating time/m.	47	50	120	73.94±15.11	
Intraoperative blood loss/ml.	47	50	130	84.04±20.71	
Intraoperative complications.	47	0.00		0.00%	
Postoperative complications.	47	5		10.63%	
Hospital stay/day.	47	1.5	3.5	2.08±0.59	
Follow up /month.	47	1.00	34.00	18.24±9.49	

NO = number of the patients

Table.3. shows descriptive statistical analysis of the study variables. Twenty one (44.7%) patients out of 47 had chronic medical diseases including: hypertention ,diabetis mellitus and cardiac diseases that were medically controlled before surgery.

Five patients(10.6%) had preoperatively severe anemia due to severe uterine bleeding, their anemias were preoperatively corrected by blood transfusion.

Table .4. Type of previous abdominal surgery versus operating time &intraoperative blood loss of this study.

The Study Variables	Type of previous abdominal surgery	No=	Mean±SD	Range	P-value	
	Caesarian Section.	12	82.50±16.17	65-120	0.042	
Operating time / m.	Other pelvic operation.	2	85.00±21.21	70-100		
	Other non-pelvic abdominal operation.	2	80.00±14.14	70-90		
	No previous operation	31	69.52±13.13	50-100		
	Total	47	73.94±15.11	50-120		
Intraoperative blood loss/ml.	Caesarian Section	12	88.33±18.38	70-130		
	Other pelvic operation	2	100.00±28.3	80-120		
	Other non-pelvic abdominal operation	2	115.00±7.07	110-120	0.045	
	No previous operation	31	79.35±19.86	50-120		
	Total	47	84.04±20.71	50-130		

Table .4. compares between patients that had history of previous abdominal versus those that had no previous abdominal surgery in regard to the operating time and intraoperative blood loss of this study.

## **DISCUSSION:**

MarClamp® IQ is reusable bipolar electrosurgical device which can seal blood vessels with diameters up to 6mm by denaturating collagen and elastin fibers of the vessel wall and surrounding connective tissue with a minimal lateral thermal injury limited to 2 millimeters over the surgical site. The coagulation zone can withstand blood pressure up to 3 times of the systolic blood pressure. [11]

Every surgeon aims to implement the least invasive, quickest, least complicated, and most effective operative techniques necessary for the shortest operating time and hospital stay, least blood loss and the lowest surgical cost. [12] The sutureless techniques hypothetically reduce the operating time, the intaoperative blood loss, perioperative complications and hospital stay, thus they are cost effective.

Regarding the patients' ages, this study included 47 female patients whose ages ranged 38-75 y with mean±SD of 49.26±7.95 y. lgm TURKCUOĞLU *et al* [13] reported that their study patients' ages mean±SD of suture ligation TAH group and LigaSure TAH were: mean 50.4±7.3 y and 54.3 ±12.7y respectively.

Jorge MLL *et al* <sup>[14]</sup> study included 133 women treated by suture ligation TAH and 134 women treated by LigaSure TAH .Mean of age of their study patients was of 55 years (39 to 71 years).

In this marClamp® abdominal hysterectomy study the most common indication of premenopausal hysterectomy was simple endometerial hyperplasia with / without atypea 51 %, while the most indication of hysterectomy of Rinku Girija et al [15] study was uterine fibroid: suture ligation TAH and ligaSure TAH were 80% and 88.6% respectively. Aşkın YILDIZ et al [16] study included 173 women underwent TAH using LigaSure and suture ligation TAH technique the most common indication of hysterectomy was uterine fibroids, 74 out of 173 ( 42.8 %). Jorge MLL *et al* [14] study reported that the main indication of hysterectomy of their study was uterine myomatosis (91.4%) of their study patients ).

.Chen B *et al* <sup>[17]</sup> study reported that uterine fibroid was the main indication of hysterectomy ,61.8 % of patients were treated by TAH with suture ligation and 66.4 % of patients were treated by vaginal hysterectomy.

Regarding the operating time of this marClamp® abdominal hysterectomy study: TAH only, TAH+BSO, TAH+BSO+ omentectomy and total study group were: Mean $\pm$ SD = 60.91 $\pm$ 8.31m , 75.93 $\pm$ 14.61m, 83.89±13.18 m and 73.94±15.11m respectively (P-value =0.001,it is statistically significant). lgın TURKCUOĞLU et al [13] reported that, operating time of suture ligationTAH was 92. 1±21.1m and that of LigaSure TAH was 90.2±20.6m . Jorge MLL et al [14] study reported operating time of 145 m for the suture ligation TAH and 130 m for LigaSure TAH (p < 0.05) .Aşkın YILDIZ et al [16] reported that operating time (Median/Min.-Max) of LigaSure TAH was 105.0m (70-175m) while that of suture ligation TAH was 130m (60-230m). Chen B et al [17] reported that operating time of vaginal hysterectomy (VH) was 65.2±10.6m while that of suture ligation TAH was 95.6 ±15.9 m. Robert McLellan et al [18] reported operating time mean of LigaSure TAH was 30.6 m (range: 18m-62m) versus 48.2 m (range: 30m-109m) of the suture ligation TAH .Thus the mean±SD of operating time of this marClamp® hysterectomy study was shorter than both, LigaSure TAH and suture ligation TAH of the studies [13,14,16,17] but longer than those of study. [18]

Regarding intraoperative blood losses of TAH only, TAH + BSO, TAH+BSO + omentectomy and total study group of this marClamp® abdominal hysterectomy were were: Mean $\pm$ SD = 64.09 $\pm$ 8.61ml , 85.74 $\pm$ 17.30ml,  $103.33\pm20.62$ and 84.04±20.71ml ml respectively (P-value =0.00, it is statistically significant ). Igin TURKCUOĞLU et al [13] reported that intraoperative blood loss of LigSure TAH was 42.3±40.5ml while that of suture ligation TAH was 157.1±89.1ml . Jorge MLL et al [14] reported in their study that included 133 patients intraoperative blood loss mean was 475 ml in suture ligation TAH and 330 ml in LigaSure TAH (p < 0.05). Chen B et al [17] reported intraoperative blood losses of VH and TAH of 65.2±10.6ml and 95.2 ±15.9ml respectively.

Robert McLellan *et al* <sup>[18]</sup> reported that blood loss was significantly less in the LigaSure TAH with an average of 74.2 ml (range: 32-50ml) versus 118.7 ml (range: 25-250 ml) in suture ligation TAH (p-value = 0.02). Thus, the intraoperative blood loss of this marClamp® hysterectomy study was more than intraoperative blood losses of LigaSure TAH of the studies <sup>[13,18]</sup> but less than that of study <sup>[14]</sup>. The mean±SD of intraoperative blood loss of this marClamp® hysterectomy study was less than that of the suture ligation TAH of the studies . <sup>[13,14,17,18]</sup>

Hospital stay of this marClamp® hysterectomy study was 2.08±0.59 day . Igin TURKCUOĞLU et al  $^{[13]}$  reported hospital stay of suture ligation TAH was 3.2 ±1.0 day while that of LigaSure TAH was 3.6 ±2.4day (p-value =0.53). Aşkın YILDIZ et al  $^{[16]}$  reported hospital stay (Median/Min.-Max) of LigaSure TAH was 5day (2-17day) and that of suture ligation TAH was 5days (3-17day). Chen B et al  $^{[17]}$  reported hospital stay of VH andTAH were 4.5±0.5day and 6.3 ±1.5 days respectively .Mean±SD of hospital stay of this marClamp® hysterectomy study was less than those of ligaSure TAH and suture ligation TAH of the studies  $^{[13,16]}$  and that of suture ligation TAH of the studys  $^{[17]}$ .

Regarding patients with history of previous abdominal surgery, this marClamp® hysterectomy study had 16 patients (34%) out of 47 patients with previous pelvic surgery, 12 patients had Caeserian Section (C/S), 2 patients had other pelvic operations and 2 patients had upper abdominal operations . Aşkın YILDIZ *et al* [16] reported 85 patient out of 173(49.1%) had previous abdominopelvic surgery,20 patients (11.6 %) had caesarian section.

This marClamp® hysterectomy study had no intraoperative complications. Its postoperative complication rate was 10.63 % (5 patients out of 47 patients) . Aşkın YILDIZ *et al* [16] reported total complications of LigaSure TAH was 1.2% and of suture ligation TAH was 6.5%. Complication rate of this marClamp® hysterectomy study was higher than that of the study [16] .

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The use of marClamp® IQ device in performance of total abdominal hysterectomy can reduce operative time ,intraoperative blood loss, intraoperative and postoperative complication rates and the hospital stay. It also reduces consumption of surgical sutures .Thus it is cost-effective . It allows quick and secured hemostasis compared with the conventional suture ligation techniques . MarClamp® IQ device is technically comparable with LigaSure but it is economically superior to both; suture ligation technique and LigaSure because it is reusable while ligaSure is disposable .

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

We are authors of this study like to express our sincere gratitude to our patients who trusted us and opted for participation in this study.

Funding: We had no funding sources .

Conflict of interest: We declare we have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval:** The study was approved by Ethical Committee of College of Medicine / Wasit University/ IRAQ.

#### REFERENCES:

- Harjinder S, Monika J, Monika G, S. P. S. Goraya, Manisha B, Tanjeet . Comparative evaluation of abdominal hysterectomy by LigaSure and conventional method . *Int J* Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2017 Dec;6(12):5587-5591
- 2. Wu JM, Wechter ME, Geller EJ, Nguyen TV, Visco AG. Hysterectomy rates in the United States . *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;110(5):1091-5.
- Bansal V, Bansal A, Bansal AK, Kumar A. Comparison between bipolar vessel sealer (LigaSure vessel sealer) and harmonic scalpel in total laparoscopic hysterectomy. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol* 2014;3:1006.
- I.E. PetrakisT, K.G. Lasithiotakis, G.E. Chalkiadakis. Use of LigaSure<sup>TM</sup> vessel sealer in total abdominal hysterectomy. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics 2005; 89: 303-304.
- 5. Zubke W, Hornung R, Wässerer S, Hucke J, Füllers U, Werner C, et al. Bipolar coagulation with the BiClamp® forceps versus conventional suture ligation: a multicenter randomized controlled trial in 175 vaginal hysterectomy patients. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2009;280(5):753-60.

- **6.** Lee NC, Dicker RC, Rubin GL, Ory HW. Confirmation of the preoperative diagnoses for hysterectomy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1984;150(3):283-7
- 7. Gizzo S, Burul G, Di Gangi S, Lamparelli L, Saccardi C, Nardelli GB, et al. LigaSure sealing vessel system in vaginal hysterectomy: safety, efficacy and Gvnecold Obstet limitations. Arch 2013;288(5):1067-74.
- 8. Hagen B, Eriksson N, Sundset M. Randomised controlled trial of LigaSure versus conventional suture ligature for abdominal hysterectomy. *Int J Obstet Gynaecol* 2005;112(7):968-70.
- Janssen PF, Brölmann HA, van Kesteren PJ, Bongers MY, Thurkow AL, Heymans MW, et al. Perioperative outcomes using LigaSure™ compared to conventional bipolar instruments in laparoscopic salpingo-oophorectomy: a randomized controlled trial. Surgical Endoscopy 2012;26(10):2884-91.
- **10.** Levy B, Emery L. Randomized trial of suture versus electrosurgical bipolar vessel sealing in vaginal hysterectomy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;102(1):147-51.
- 11. Al-Dhahiry JKS . Maxium Sutureless Closed Haemorrhoidectomy for Symptomatic Haemorrhoids Grades III and IV: An Observational Cross-sectional Study. Int J Surg Res Pract . 2018 ;5:062. doi.org/10.23937/2378-3397/1410062
- 12. Mohamed A, Ashraf M, Hanaa A . LigaSure versus Conventional Suture Ligature for Vaginal Hysterectomy: a Randomized Controlled Trial . The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine 2011; 44: 344 –53.
- 13. Ilgin TURKCUOĞLU, Ayşe KAFKASLI, Cağdaş DOĞAN, Emrullah TANRIKUT. Tissue damage in abdominal hysterectomy performed with a vessel sealing system. Turk J Med Sci 2012; 42 (3): 471-476.
- **14.** Jorge M. L. L, Erich O. B. K, Vicente G. R, Heriberto R .R, Rafael H .G. Sutureless abdominal hysterectomy . *Cir Gen* 2007; 29:269-273

- 15. Rinku G, Geethu K, Krishna P, Sujatha Y. Effectiveness of scheduled ambulation on early postoperative outcome among patients who have undergone abdominal hysterectomy. *J. Evid. Based Med. Healthc* 2017; 4 (30):473-77.
- 16. Aşkın Y, Hakan Y, Mustafa S, Dilek U, İncim B, Derya K S, et al .Comparison of the Electrosurgical Bipolar Vessel Sealing with the Standard Suture Technique in Patients with Diverse Benign Indications for Abdominal Hysterectomy: A Controlled Trial . Turkiye Klinikleri J Med Sci 2013;33(5):1316-21.
- 17. Chen B, Ren DP, Li JX, Li CD. Comparison of vaginal and abdominal hysterectomy: A prospective nonrandomized trial. Pak J Med Sci 2014;30(4):875-879. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.304.443
- **18. Robert** M ,Carol A, Melinda B, Raffaele B ,Joseph K.H . LigaSure versus sutures in total abdominal hysterectomy .*Obstetrics &Gynecology* April 2001;97 (4) : S7-S8 . https://doi.org/10.1016/S0029-7844(01)01146-2 .