# Diabetic dental problems in Mosul City

<sup>1</sup>Dept of Pedod, Orthod and Prev Dent College of Dentistry, University of Mosul

<sup>2</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery College of Dentistry, University of Mosul

Rayia J Al–Naimi<sup>1</sup> BDS, MSc (Lect)

Faraed D Salman<sup>1</sup> BDS, MSc (Lect)

**Faiz A Al–Sultan<sup>2</sup>** BSc, MSc (Assist Lect)

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to evaluate dental problems of diabetic adult patients in Mosul City center, to find if there is any variation between age and sex groups and to determine the periodontal treatment needs of the patients.

A sample of 194 adult diabetic patients (90 males, 104 females) divided into 6 age groups were examined using plaque and gingival indices by Löe and Silness (1967), Ramfjord calculus index (1959) and Community Periodontal Index of Treatment Needs (CPITN) (1997).

The results showed that the mean plaque and gingival indices for the total sample were increasing with age with highly statistically significant difference with no sex variation. Concerning Ramfjord calculus index, calculus became the most prevalent with very high means for 20–29 years old and above for both sexes, CPITN revealed that healthy sextants exhibited a very low mean of 0.8 for the youngest age group, bleeding on probing was highest in this age group with a mean of 3.4, pockets of 4–5 mm appeared at age 20–29 years and it increases with increasing age.

The results revealed that the total sam-ple needed oral hygiene instruction (100%), while prophylaxis was needed in 55.56–100%, surgical intervention and complex care were needed for old ages.

**Key Words:** Diabetes, Community Periodontal Index of Treatment Needs, periodontal health.

## الخلاصة

إن الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تقييم المشاكل الفموية لمرضى داء السكري البالغين في مدينة الموصل ولمعرفة وجود أي اختلاف بين الجنسين أو الفئات العمرية ولإيجاد معدل الحاجة لعلاج هؤلاء المرضى.

أجريت هذه الدراسة على عينة مكونة من ١٩٤ من المرضى البالغين (٩٠ ذكر و ١٠٤ أنثى) مقسمًين إلى ٦ فئات عمرية، تم فحصهم باستعمال دليل اللويحات الجرثومية والتهاب اللثة لسنة ١٩٦٧، ودليل الستكاس (القلاح) لعام ١٩٩٩ ودليل الاحتياجات العلاجية لأمراض ما حول الأسنان لعام ١٩٩٧.

لقد أظهرت النتائج أن معدل اللويحات الجرثومية والتهاب اللثة لمجموع العينة كان يزداد بزيادة العمر بدلالة إحصائية عالية بدون فرق بين الجنسين. فيما يتعلق بدليل التكلس أوضحت النتائج أن التكلس أكثر انتشاراً بمعدل عالٍ جداً للفئة العمرية بين ٢٠ – ٢٩ سنة ولكلا الجنسين. أما دليل الاحتياجات العلاجية لأمراض ما حول الأسنان فقد أظهر بأن معدل ٨.٠ الفئة كانت تشتكي من نزف اللثة بمعدل ٣٠٤، أما الفئة ذات العمق ٤ – ٥ ملم فقد ظهرت بعمر جيوب اللثة ذات العمق ٤ – ٥ ملم فقد ظهرت بعمر

أظهرت الدراسة أن مجموع العينة بنسبة ١٠٠ يحتاجون إلى تثقيف صحي فموي وحوالي ٥٥.٥٦ – ١٠٠ من مجموع العينة يحتاجون إلى علاج وقائي، أما الفئات العمرية الكبيرة فإنها تحتاج إلى التداخلات الجراحية المعقدة.

.....

Al–Rafidain Dent J Vol. 4, No. 2, 2004

## **INTRODUCTION**

Oral health complications associated with diabetes that may be encountered by dental practitioners may include xerostomia, tooth loss, gingivitis, periodontitis in addition to soft tissue lesions of the tongue and mucosa.<sup>(1-3)</sup>

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic metabolic disorder that affects nearly 100 million people worldwide.<sup>(4)</sup>

Diabetes is commonly categorized as insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (IDD-M) and non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM), about 10–20% of all diabetic patients are insulin dependent or type 1. These patients usually have rapid onset of symptoms and are characterized by a virtually inability to produce insulin, nearly 90% of type 1 patients are diagnosed before the age of 21 years. Noninsulin dependent diabetes mellitus is most common and is characterized by slow onset of symptoms usually after 40 years of age.<sup>(5)</sup>

When compared to healthy subjects, gingival and periodontal diseases are often reported to be more prevalent in IDDM and NIDDM.<sup>(3, 6, 7)</sup>

The aim of the current study was to describe the most common dental problems in diabetic patients in Mosul City.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The target population of the study was 194 patients attending for general treatment of diabetes at Al–Wafaa Center for the investigation and treatment of diabetic patients in Mosul City (10 individuals were IDDM and 184 were NIDDM). Because of the limited number of type 1 diabetes, they were all included with the same Table and they mostly were in the first age group.

Clinical examination was performed under natural daylight using sharp sickle shaped caries explorer, plane mouth mirrors and CPI probe. General information regarding name, age, sex were gathered from the patients in a special case sheet.

Plaque and gingival conditions were assessed according to Löe and Silness 1967,<sup>(8)</sup> Ramfjord calculus index 1959<sup>(9)</sup> was used to determine calculus while the Community Periodontal Index of Treatment Needs (CPITN) was used to determine the periodontal treatment needs of the patient.<sup>(10)</sup> Teeth lost were also recorded with the exception of the third molars.

Data were analyzed using t-test; results were considered statistically significant when  $p \le 0.05$ , and highly significant when  $p \le 0.01$ .

## RESULTS

Distribution of the sample by age and gender is shown in Table (1). The sample was composed of 194 patients (90 males and 104 females) distributed into 6 age groups.

Table (1): Distribution of the sample
by age and gender

Age	Male	Female	Total			
< 20	4	6	10			
20-29	2	4	6			
30-39	20	6	26			
40-49	40	34	74			
50-59	16	40	56			
<u>&gt; 60</u>	8	14	22			
Total	90	104	194			

The mean tooth loss per individual is shown in Table (2), which was increased with increasing age with a statistically significant age difference as there was no tooth loss for individuals under 20 years of age and it increased to more than 7 teeth for age groups over 60 years. Although the females showed slightly more tooth loss than males for all age groups, there was no statistically significant difference between them.

Table (3) displays the mean plaque, gingival and calculus indices for the total sample, the 3 indices were increasing with age with a highly statistically significant age difference ( $p \le 0.01$ ) but no significant difference in mean plaque, gingival and calculus indices was found between males and females in the different age groups.

Table (4) illustrates the mean number of sextants for each stage of the disease for the sample.

Al–Rafidain Dent J Vol. 4, No. 2, 2004 It could be seen that the healthy sextants exhibited a very low figure with a mean of only 0.8 for the youngest age group while it was negligible and absent in the oldest groups. Bleeding on probing was the most prevalent and highest mean in the young age group (3.4) and it became less as the age increased, while on the other hand calculus became the most prev-

alent with very high means for age groups 20–29 years and above. Also pockets of 4– 5 mm appeared at this age and increased with increasing age, pockets of 6 mm or more began to appear in age groups 30–39 years and above, while excluded sextants (having 2 or less teeth) appeared in the age group 40–49 years and increased with increasing age.

Age	Gender (Mean <u>+</u> Standard Deviation)					
Group	Male	Female	Total			
< 20	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	0 <u>+</u> 0			
20-29	3 <u>+</u> 2.8	3.5 <u>+</u> 2.1	3.33 <u>+</u> 3.0			
30-39	3.5 <u>+</u> 2.15	3.2 <u>+</u> 2.7	3.41 <u>+</u> 3.1			
40-49	4.2 <u>+</u> 3.05	4.3 <u>+</u> 3.6	4.25 <u>+</u> 3.8			
50-59	5.7 <u>+</u> 3.8	5.9 <u>+</u> 4.6	5.74 <u>+</u> 4.4			
<u>&gt; 60</u>	7.75 <u>+</u> 3.30	8.5 <u>+</u> 3.39	8.35 <u>+</u> 3.99			
Gender:	t= 1.372, df= 5	Not signifi	cant			
Age: t= 3	8.663, df= 5	, Significar	nt			

Table (2): Mean tooth loss per individual  $\pm$  standard deviation

Table (3): Mean plaque, gingival and calculus indices for the groups

		Plaque	Gingival	Calculus		
Age	Gender _	Index	Index	Index		
(Years)		Mean	viation			
	Male	1 <u>+</u> 0.45	1.03 <u>+</u> 0.35	0.15 <u>+</u> 0.16		
< 20	Female	1.06 <u>+</u> 0.39	1.26 <u>+</u> 0.27	0.83 <u>+</u> 0.64		
	Total	1.04 <u>+</u> 0.39	1.16 <u>+</u> 0.29	0.56 <u>+</u> 0.60		
	Male	1.61 <u>+</u> 0.3	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.21	1.11 <u>+</u> 0.27		
20-29	Female	1.33 <u>+</u> 0.13	1.56 <u>+</u> 0.06	0.75 <u>+</u> 0.02		
	Total	1.42 <u>+</u> 0.16	1.54 <u>+</u> 0.27	0.87 <u>+</u> 0.55		
	Male	1.54 <u>+</u> 0.46	1.49 <u>+</u> 0.34	0.9 <u>+</u> 0.57		
30-39	Female	1.37 <u>+</u> 0.08	1.35 <u>+</u> 0.25	1.10 <u>+</u> 0.63		
	Total	1.46 <u>+</u> 0.32	1.42 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.95 <u>+</u> 0.58		
	Male	1.41 <u>+</u> 0.21	1.44 <u>+</u> 0.26	0.79 <u>+</u> 0.44		
40–49	Female	1.49 <u>+</u> 0.28	1.66 <u>+</u> 0.54	1 <u>+</u> 0.52		
	Total	1.44 <u>+</u> 0.15	1.54 <u>+</u> 0.42	0.9 <u>+</u> 0.49		
	Male	1.62 <u>+</u> 0.26	1.52 <u>+</u> 0.12	0.96 <u>+</u> 0.66		
50-59	Female	1.52 <u>+</u> 0.19	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.20	1.01 <u>+</u> 0.56		
	Total	1.55 <u>+</u> 0.21	1.51 <u>+</u> 0.18	0.99 <u>+</u> 0.57		
	Male	1.53 <u>+</u> 0.21	1.57 <u>+</u> 0.40	0.90 <u>+</u> 0.41		
<u>&gt; 60</u>	Female	1.56 <u>+</u> 0.14	1.51 <u>+</u> 0.13	1.01 <u>+</u> 0.20		
	Total	1.60 <u>+</u> 0.18	1.53 <u>+</u> 0.26	0.97 <u>+</u> 0.30		
Gender: Plaque Index: t= 0.74, df= 5, Not significant.						
<b>Gingival Index:</b> t= 0.78, df= 5, Not significant.						
<b>Calculus Index:</b> $t = -1.087$ , df = 5, Not significant						
Age: Plac	jue index: t vival Index:	= 10.92,  df = 5,  S1 t = 22.30 df = 5.9	gnificant			
<b>Calculus Index:</b> $t = 22.50$ , $df = 5$ , Significant						

Age (Years)	Gender	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Male	2	4				
< 20	Female		3	3			
	Total	0.8	3.4	1.8			
	Male		1	5			
20-29	Female		1.5	2.5	2		
-	Total		1.33	3.33	1.34		
	Male		0.9	4	0.44	0.66	
30-39	Female		2	3	1		
-	Total		1.17	3.75	0.58	0.5	
	Male	0.05	1.54	3.45	0.82		0.14
40-49	Female	0.07	1.63	2.93	1.18	0.19	
-	Total	0.06	1.58	3.23	0.97	0.08	0.08
	Male	0.12	1.38	2.13	1.87		0.5
50-59	Female		1.15	2.7	1.4	0.5	0.25
	Total	0.05	1.18	2.54	1.54	0.36	0.33
	Male		0.25	4	1.25	0.25	0.25
<u>&gt; 60</u>	Female		0.43	2.86	1.28	0.29	1.14
	Total		0.36	3.27	1.27	0.27	0.83

Table (4): Mean number of sextants for each stage of the disease

0= Healthy.

1= Bleeding on probing.

2= Supra- or sub- gingival calculus.

3 = Pocket 4 - 5 mm deep.

4= Pocket 6 mm or more.

5= Excluded sextant.

Table (5) shows the percentage of the mean number of sextants according to the gender for the total sample. Calculus was the most highest percentage and prevalent feature for both males and females in the sample, while females tended to have less healthy and more shallow pockets and excluded sextants compared to males.

Table (6) demonstrates the periodontal treatment needs expressed as percentages for the sample, the total sample needed oral hygiene instructions (100%), prophylaxis was needed at a percentage between 55.56–100%, while surgical intervention and complex care were needed for old age groups (40–49 years and above).

according to the sex of total sample					
Sextant	Male	Female	Total		
Healthy	6	0.2	3.2		
Bleeding	25	27	26		
Calculus	51.5	48	49.2		
Shallow Pockets	12.5	20	16.2		
Deep Pockets	2.6	1	2.1		
Excluded	2.4	3.8	3.3		

Table (5): Percentage of mean number of sextant

.....

Age (Years)	Gender	Healthy	Oral Hygiene Instruction	Prophylaxis	Surgery
< 20	Male		100	100	
< 20	Female		100	100	
20.20	Male		100	100	
20–29	Female		100	100	
20.20	Male		100	55.56	
30-39	Female		100	66.67	
40 40	Male		100	100	
40-49	Female		100	83.33	16.67
50–59 M Fei	Male		100	100	
	Female		100	76.2	23.8
> 60	Male		100	75	25
<u>&gt;</u> 00	Female		100	85.7	14.3

Table (6): Periodontal treatment needs expressed as percentage

#### DISCUSSION

This is the first study in Mosul about some of the oral health problems of diabetic patients.

Results showed that there was a high tooth loss per individual which was increased with increasing age with a statistically significant age difference. This is in agreement with other studies.<sup>(6, 11, 12)</sup> There was no significant difference in mean tooth loss for both genders; this contradicts the results of another study that found females to have more tooth loss than males.<sup>(12)</sup>

The mean plaque, gingival and calculus indices were high and also increased with increasing age with a highly statistically significant age difference. These figures were much higher than that reported in another study,<sup>(6)</sup> while no differences in the mean indices were found between males and females, compared to normal individuals. These indices were nearly the same as that reported in another study carried out in Mosul,<sup>(13)</sup> but it contradicts the findings of a study that found diabetic patients had higher indices and more severe periodontal disease compared to normal individuals.<sup>(14)</sup>

The mean number of healthy sextants per person was nearly absent and was found mostly in the youngest age group. Elevated blood glucose with subsequent med-

.....

ical complications may be the common etiologic factor for the pathophysiology of dental disease or may be viewed as surrogates for poor health behaviours. As individuals became older bleeding tendency from the gingiva became less while the nu-mber of sextants with calculus and pockets increased, deep pockets of 6 mm and over were found in age group 30–39 years and older ages, while excluded sextants (less than 2 teeth present) began to appear at 40-49 years of age and older groups, although it has been reported that the prevalence of edentulism is higher in diab-etic population compared to normal indiv-iduals<sup>(15)</sup> but these results are similar to the findings of another study carried out on normal individuals in a rural area in Nine-vah, with the exception that the former study tended to have more healthier sex-tants than this one for all age groups.<sup>(16)</sup>

The percentage of the mean number of sextants according to gender for the total sample shows that males tended to have a more healthier gingiva with less shallow pockets compared to females that had less healthy, more shallow pockets and excluded sextants compared to males.

The periodontal treatment needs were massive, the group needed oral hygiene instructions at a percentage of 100% regardless of their age groups. Scaling and polishing was needed at a percentage between 55.56–100%, while surgical intervention was needed in 40–49 years and above and mostly in females compared to males. This result contradicts the results of other studies on normal individuals which found that females tended to have a more healthier and less pocket involvement so needed less treatment when compared to males.<sup>(17, 18)</sup>

The consequences of periodontal disease and subsequent tooth loss are not only important considerations for the quality of life of a diabetic patient but may signifi-cantly impact an overall health by compro-mising a patient's ability to maintain a healthy diet and proper cont-rol.<sup>(19)</sup> Therefore, glycemic an efficient dental health care programme should be constructed in the center to achieve an acceptable stand-ard of oral hygiene. Also dental education programme for these individuals is an essential activity for promoting their oral health and reducing oral disease in diabetic patients.

#### CONCLUSIONS

This study has shown that dental and periodontal problems are common and severe in diabetic patients. Further studies are needed to compare diabetics to age and sex matched controls in order to determine the role of diabetes in the development of these problems; but even this study shows that these problems are serious enough to warrant more attention in patient care, oral hygiene need to be emphasized in diabetic education and regular examination of the oral cavity should be included in the follow–up of patients.

#### REFERENCES

- 1) Galii D, Mordechi F, Garfunkel AA. Oral and dental complications asso-ciated with diabetes and their treat-ment. *Compend Continuing Educ Dent.* 1984; 15: 496-508.
- 2) May OA. Management of the diabetic dental patient. *Quintessence Int*. 1990; 21: 491-494.
- 3) Löe H. Periodontal disease: The

sixth complication of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 1993; 16: 329-334.

- Harris MI. Diabetes in America / National Diabetes Data Group. NIH Publication. 1995.
- 5) The Expert Committee on the Diag-nosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Report of the expert comm-ittee of the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 1997; 20: 1183-1197.
- Bacic M, Cigar I, Granic M, Plancak D, Sutalo J. Dental status in a group of diabetic patients. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1989; 17: 313-316.
- 7) Oliver RC, Tervonen T. Periodontitis and tooth loss: Comparing diabetics with the general population. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1993; 124: 71-76.
- 8) Löe H. The gingival index, the plaque index and the retention index. *J Peri-odontol.* 1967; 38: 610-616.
- Ramfjord SP. Indices for prevalence and incidence of periodontal disease. J Periodontol. 1959; 30: 51-56.
- World Health Organization. Oral Hea-lth Survey, Basic Methods. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Wo-rld Health Organization. Geneva, Sw-itzerland. 1997.
- Emrich LJ, Sholssman M, Genco RJ. Periodontal disease in non insulin dep-endent diabetes mellitus. J Period-ontol. 1991; 62: 123-131.
  - 12) Yohannes B, Surur SA. A study of dental problems in diabetic patients. *Ethiop Med J*. 1992; 30: 95-103.
  - Al–Sayagh GhDh. Prevalence and severity of root surface caries in rel-ation to periodontal status and edu-cational levels in adult population in Mosul City, Iraq. MSc thesis. Uni-versity of Mosul9. College of Dent-istry. 2000.
  - 14) Raylander H, Ramberg P, Blohme G, Lindhe J. Prevalence of periodontal disease in young diabetics. *J Clin Per-iodontol*. 1986; 14: 38-43.
  - 15) Moore PA, Weyant RJ,

Al–Rafidain Dent J Vol. 4, No. 2, 2004 Mongelluzzo MB. Type 1 diabetes mellitus and oral health: Assessment of tooth loss and edentulism. *J Dent Public Health*. 1998; 58: 135-142.

- 16) Khamrco TY. Assessment of periodontal disease using the CPITN In-dex in a rural population in Ninevah, Iraq. *East Mediterr Hlth* J. 1999; 5(3): 549-555.
- 17) Ghali RF. Oral health status and treatment needs among students of

Received: 15/3/2004

Baghdad University. MSc thesis. Uni-versity of Baghdad. College of Dent-istry. 1989.

- Al–Beiruti N, Tayfour MT, Poulos W. Oral health status of students in Syrian Arab Republic. *East Mediterr Hlth J*. 1996; 2: 304-310.
- 19) Joshipura KJ, Willett WC, Douglass CW. The impact of edentulous-ness on food and nutrient intake. J Am Dent Assoc. 1996; 127: 459-467.

#### Accepted for Publication: 11/9/2004

----