## Asst. Lect. Mahmoud Hazim Rashid\*(\*) تأريخ التقديم: ۲۰۱۷/۱۱/۲۸ تأريخ القبول: ۲۰۱۸/۹/۱۷

## I. Introduction

The objective of this study is to point out the similarities and/or differences between adverbials in English and Arabic. The results are useful in FLT (Foreign Language Teaching), Translation, and Stylistics. To reach the aims of the research, the analysis depends on Transformational Generative Grammar (TG) which allows a deep structure analysis rather than phrase structure rules (surface structure) only. This type of analysis facilitates both the examination of adverbials in each language and the comparison between them.

There are various approaches and notations in TG. The approach and kind of notation used by Paul Roberts in his <u>English Syntax</u> (1964) is followed here. It is the type closest to most approaches though some notations are replaced for simplicity.

Sometimes transformationalists interpret certain kinds of structure differently and their applications of transformational rules to the phrase structure rules are eventually different. For example, two kinds of deep structure are given for this sentence:

Ali left when the others came.

- 1. Ali past leave [ the others past come SOMETIMES]
- 2. Ali past leave SOMETIMES [ the others past come come then ]

In such a case the first structure is taken for it does not demand processes of addition and deletion of another adverbial as the second structure requires.

## **II. Background Information**

Adverb is a term which refers to a part of speech. In English we have a considerable number of kinds of adverb but restriction is made here to the most common ones namely; adverbs of time, adverbs of place, and adverbs of manner.

<sup>\*</sup> Dept. of English / College of Arts / University of Mosul .

Asst. Lect.Mahmoud Hazim Rashid

An adverbial is a term attached to the adverb when referring to its grammatical function. Prepositional phrases, clauses, and some noun phrases are called adverbials when they have the functional meaning of adverbs. Therefore, adverbs are only one type of adverbial. Thus, we have adverbials of time, place, and manner to be dealt with in this study. The following examples illustrate the four types of adverbials with their functional meanings. Mark Lester (1976); 86.

\_\_\_\_Adverbial of time: Ahmed is going soon.

(adverb)

The adverbials of place are of two types; adverbials of position which tell about the place of someone or something, and adverbials of direction which tell about the movement of someone or something to or from some place. The latter is used only with dynamic verbs. The following sentences are examples of these two types:

\_\_\_ I'll have my sandwich <u>there</u> ( position )

\_\_\_ I'll take my sandwich <u>there</u> ( direction )

#### ADAB AL-RAFIDAYN, VOL.(76)

We may account for these adverbials by the following phrase structure rules:

{ Adv of time }	{ PP }				
{ Adv of position }	{ Adverb }				
{ Adverb of direction }	{ clause }				
{ Adverb of manner }					
Adv adverbial	PP preposition + NP				
NP noun phrase	( Ibid., p. 87 )				
A relativizer like where, when, which, etc. may replace an adverbial					
in a relative clause as in the followin					
Where he was living.	( place )				
When he was talking.	(time)				
In this case such a relativizer will function as an adverbial of the sort it					
replaces. Likewise, a subordinator like because, when, where, etc.					
replaces an adverbial in a subordinate adverbial clause and it also					
functions as whatever adverbial it replaces as in the following two					
sentences:					
I know <u>where</u> he is living.	(place)				
I was there <u>when</u> he left.	( time )				
Adverbials may function as sentence modifiers. In this sense adverbials					
are optional and may come at the beginning or at the end of a sentence.					
(Liles 1971: 11)					
I went to the post office yesterday	7. { time }				
Yesterday, I went to the post office	ce. =				
I found my pen in the drawer.	{ place }				
In the drawer, I found my pen. (n	ot common) =				
The party wasn't pleasant because of the smoke. { reason )					
Because of the smoke the party wasn't pleasant. =					

It may be said that if the adverbials do not give essential material to the sentence they could be optional (Lester, Op. cit., p. 85). In other words, the adverbial can be deleted when this deletion does not affect the meaning of the sentence, it remains meaningful. This will be recalled when dealing with transformational rules.

The verb <u>to be</u> may take an adverbial as its predicate and here the adverbial is essential and without it an ungrammatical sentence will result (Liles, Op. cit., p. 15).

\_\_\_\_ He is here.

\* He is.

		Asst.	Lect.Mahmoud Hazim Rashid			
The book is there.			* The book is.			
We may account for this in the following phrase –structure rules:						
( passive )	vt		NP			
VP	vi					
	be		pred			
			NP			
be pred	be	+	Adv (place)			
			Adj			

Pred = predicate

### **III.** Adverbial Transformations

1. Adverbial Movement Transformation (T adv movement)

This kind of transformation moves the adverbials from the end of the structure to its beginning. It is a sort of rearrangement of the same structure. Let us consider the following sentence and its deep structure.

\_\_\_\_Yesterday I saw you at the festival.

NP + Tense + VP + Adv place + Adv time

I past see you some place sometime

|| T adv movement

Sometime I past see you some place

|| T affix

Sometime I see past # you someplace

Yesterday I saw you at the festival.

We may notice that the surface structures of the two sentences are identical. The following modified rule of the process accounts for adverbial movement transformation:

NP Tense VP (Adv) ------ (Adv) NP Tense VP.

One more point to mention here is that only for emphasis do most adverbials of manner undergo this transformation (<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 62).

In Arabic, the sentence

\_\_ البارحة رأيتك

في المهرجان .

is identical with the English one in meaning and in the position of the adverbials. The deep structure of the sentence is :

NP + Tense + VP + Adv place + Adv time

أنا ماضي رءا I past see you some place sometime مكان ما زمان ما

Which is the same deep structure of the English sentence. When applying the  $\underline{T}$  adv Movement Transformation to the Arabic sentence, the following structure results:

زمن ما أنا Sometime I past see you some place ماضى رءا مكان ما

#### ADAB AL-RAFIDAYN, VOL.(76)

This shows that this transformation is applicable to both languages and the meaning is the same in both, i.e. emphasis.

2. <u>The Adverbial of Direction Deletion Transformation ( T adv of direction deletion )</u>

\_\_\_\_He came<u>*into*</u> the room.

If we examine the adverbial of direction in the above sentence we notice that it has a double ( or two-element ) preposition, <u>in</u> and <u>to</u>. Deleting this adverbial results in an ungrammatical sentence. However, with such an adverbial of direction, the second element of the preposition (<u>to</u> in the example) and the NP may be deleted. The deep structure of the above sentence is as follows:

```
He came into the room.
        he past come into the room
                     || T Adv of direction deletion
         he past come in
                     || T affix
         he come past # in
        he came in
The transformational rule for the above process is :
       NP1 + VP [v + prep1 + prep2 + NP2]
                             || ( T Adv of direction deletion)
NP1 + VP [V + prep 1]
(Lester, ) Op. cit., pp, 99-100)
The Arabic version of the above sentence has one-element
   ذهب إلى الغرفة.
Preposition. It can have a two-element preposition but
       ذهب إلى داخل الغرفة.
this is not common in Arabic. Here, again, the second element of the
preposition can be deleted but not the following NP as in English. The
deletion of the NP in Arabic results in ungrammatical sentence.
                                                    * ذهب إلى .
To illustrate this point furthermore, another example is given.
He jumped down from the chair.
       قفز من فوق الكرسي
he past jump down from the chair
                                                                      ھو
ماضى ق ف ز من فوق الكرسى
              || T Adv of direction deletion
```

Adverbial Transformations in English	n and Arabi
	Asst. Lect.Mahmoud Hazim Rashid

he past jump down ماضي ق ف ز من الكرسي He jumped down.

قفز من الكرسي

In Arabic there is another possibility of deleting the first element of preposition and keeping the second:

\_\_\_ قفز فوق الكرسي

ھو

It will indicate a different meaning ( He jumped over the chair ). This is, in fact, a property not existing in English.

3. The Relative Transformation ( T relative )

Adverbials may come in relative clauses and may be replaced by a relativizer in the surface structures by a process called <u>the relative transformation</u>. This process allows a relativizer to stand for the repeated adverbial in the deep structure and shifts this relativizer directly after NP. (Roberts, 1964 : 267)

The following example is given to illustrate this transformation.

\_\_\_\_ The time when he came was last week.

the time [ he past come at the time ] was last week.

|| T relative

the time when he past come was last week

|| T affix

the time when he come past # was last week

The time when he came was last week.

The following relativizer may replace adverbials in the surface structure:

Adv of time		$\rightarrow$ when
Adv of direction	}	$\rightarrow$ where
Adv of position	}	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Adv of reason		$\rightarrow$ because

Adv of manner  $\rightarrow$  that , which

The rule generating the T relative may be started like this:

NP1 [ NP2 VP Adv ]  $\rightarrow$  NP1 relativizer NP2 VP .....

The position of the main verb is after the relative clause.

#### ADAB AL-RAFIDAYN, VOL.(76)

In Arabic the deep structure of the sentence (The time when he came was last week) is كان وقت مجيئه الأسبوع الماضي the time [ he past come at the time ] was last week الوقت ( هو ماضي ج ا ء زمان ما ) كان الأسبوع الماضي the time [ he past come at the time ] was last week || T relative || T relative || T relative || الوقت عندما هو ماضي ج ا ء كان الأسبوع الماضى the time when he past come was last week Here two other transformations are needed to reach the surface structure of this sentence: a main verb movement and relativizer deletion transformation. Ш Т main verb movement كان الوقت عندما هو ماضى ج ا ء الأسبوع الماضى II was the time he past com last week Т relativizer deletion كان الوقت هو ماضي ج ا ء was time he past come last week الأسبوع الماضى Т Affix کان وقت ماضی ج ا ء ہو + was time he came last week الأسبوع الماضي كان وقت مجبئه الأسبوع الماضي

Thus the position of the main verb can be before and after the relative clause in the Arabic sentence

وقت مجيئه كان الأسبوع الماضى

while in the English sentence there is only one position which is after the relative clause. This needs the presence of an additional transformation; the T main verb movement. Another optional transformation is the T relativizer deletion which is also applicable to English: The time he came was last week. Here <u>when</u> is deleted.

4. The subordinate Adverbial transformation (T sub Adv)

Subordinate adverbial clauses are embedded in matrix sentences. So they involve subordinators replacing adverbials in the surface structure. The adverbials in the deep structure are not fully specified, i.e. they are

Asst. Lect.Mahmoud Hazim Rashid

PRO constituents. The subordinate adverbial transformation moves the PRO constituent to the front of the embedded deep structure. Therefore, a subordinator is applied to replace the PRO constituent according to the following criteria :

بدأ حين انتهى الأخرون and بدأ حيث انتهى الأخرون

The deep structures of the above Arabic sentences are :

هو ماضي ب د ء (ماضي ا ن ت ه الآخرون <u>زمن ما)</u> and هو ب د ء (ماضي ا ن ت ه الآخرون مکان ما )

he past start [ others past end SOMETIME ] and

he past start [ others past end SOMETIME ]

When applying the T sub Adv the following structures can be generated :

هو ماضي ب د ء زمن ما ماضي ا ن ت ه الآخرون

2019/1440

ADAB AL-RAFIDAYN, VOL.(76)

and هو ماضي ب د ء مکان ما\_ ماضي ا ن ت ه الاخرون

he past start SOMEPLACE others past end and he past start SOMETIME others end

Again when applying the T affix the following structures can be generated :

he start past # SOMETIME others end past #

هو ب د ۽ ماضي ++ <u>زمن ما</u> ان ت ، ماضي الآخرون he start past # SOMEPLACE others end past # هو ب د ۽ ماضي ++ <u>مکان ما</u> ان ت ، ماضي الآخرون He started when others ended.

بدأ حين انتهى الأخرون.

He started where others ended.

بدأ حيث انتهى الأخرون .

It is clear after this illustration that the  $\underline{T}$  sub Adv is applicable to the subordinate adverbial clauses in both languages which, in turn, means that English and Arabic manifest a similar construction to express the same meaning.

## **IV.** Conclusion

This study has showed that the first two transformations namely, the adverbial movement and the adverbial of direction transformation, are optional in both Arabic and English. In other words they are only stylistic variants. The other two adverbial transformations, the relative and the subordinate, are obligatory in both languages too.

Nevertheless, there are some differences between the two languages in two transformations. In the ( T Adv of direction deletion) the adverbial of direction can be deleted together with the following noun phrase in English while this is not possible in Arabic. Only the preposition can be deleted. Either one of the two prepositions might be deleted whereas in English only the direction preposition can be deleted. The deletion of either element of preposition in Arabic results in a different meaning. In the third transformation ( the relative ), the English sentence allows only one position of the main verb, which is after the relative clause, while the Arabic sentence allows two positions, one preceding the relative clause and the other following it. This implies the presence of another transformation for the Arabic relative adverbial clause which might be called <u>T main verb movement</u>, and it is optional. Both Arabic and English allow T relativizer deletion.

Asst. Lect.Mahmoud Hazim Rashid

Out of the foregone analysis, it is clear that there are some areas where Arabic and English are the same and some areas where they are different. Understanding these similarities and differences helps in commencing better achievements in FLT and translation in what concerns the two languages. Further studies of these similarities and differences can also help illustrating stylistic issues and enhance FLT courses and translation rules concerning Arabic and English.

## **Bibliography**

Lester, Mark. 1976. <u>Introductory transformational Grammar of English</u>. New York : Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Liles, Bruce L. 1971. <u>An Introductory Transformational Grammar</u>. London : Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Quirk, R., S. Greenbaum, G. Leech, and J. Svartvik. 1972. <u>A grammar of Contemporary English.</u> London : Longman.

Roberts, Paul. 1964. <u>English Syntax</u>. Alternate Edition. New York : Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc.

Wardaugh, Ronald . 1972. <u>Introduction to Linguistics</u> . New York : Mc Graw Hill.

## التحويلات النحوية الظرفية في الانكليزية والعربية

# م.م.محمود حازم رشيد \*

## المستخلص

يهدف هذا البحث إلى تشخيص أوجه التشابه والاختلاف بين اللغة العربية

والانكليزية في ما يتعلق بالعبارات الظرفية وفق المدرسة النحوية التحويلية. بينت مقدمة البحث سبب اختيار هذا النوع من النحو في تحليل العبارات الظرفية في كلا اللغتين كما وضحت حدود الدراسة ومجالها اضافة إلى فوائد نتائجها لمختلف المجالات اللغوية. مهد للدراسة مبحث يتضمن معلومات أساسية عن الظرفية بالإطار اللازم لإجراء التحليل النحوي. أجريت الاختبارات التحويلية الظرفية على جمل من اللغتين العربية والانكليزية. توضح من خلال فحص الجمل ان بعض هذه التحويلات تعطي تشابها كليا أو جزئيا وبعضها الآخر ينتج اختلافا كليا أو جزئيا أيضا في اللغتين فيما يتعلق بالعبارات الظرفية موضوعة التحليل. وأخيرا توضح خلاصة البحث النتائج المستخلصة وتناقش ما تحصل منها بشكل يبين تشابهها أو اختلافها في اللغتين العربية والانكليزية.