A Note on Non – Singular Rings

Nazar H. Shuker

anass.youns@uomosul.edu.iq

College of Computer Sciences and Mathematics University of Mosul, Iraq

Received on: 29/03/2004

Accepted on: 13/12/2004

ABSTRACT

In this paper several new properties of singular ideals and nonsingular rings are obtained, a connection between a singular ideal and the Jacobson radical is considered, and a sufficient condition for a nonsingular ring to be reduced is given.

Keywords: Singular Ideals, Duo Ring, Jacobson Radical

ملاحظة حول الحلقات غير المنفردة

انس سالم يونس

نزار حمدون شکر

كلية علوم الحاسبات والرياضيات

جامعة الموصل

تاريخ قبول البحث: 2004/12/13

تاريخ استلام البحث: 2004/03/29

الملخص

في هذا البحث درسنا المثاليات المنفردة والحلقات غير المنفردة وأعطينا خواص جديدة لها ، ثم أعطينا العلاقة بين المثاليات المنفردة وجذر جاكوبسون. و أخيرا أعطينا الشرط اللازم لكي تكون الحلقات غير المنفردة مختزلة . الكلمات المفتاحية : المثاليات المنفردة ، حلقة ديو ، جذر جاكوسون

1. Introduction:

Throughout this work all rings are assumed to be associative rings with identity. Recall that: 1- A ring R is said to be reduced if R contains no non-zero nilpotent element; 2- A ring R is said to be a duo-ring if every right and left ideal is a two-sided ideal; 3- J(R) and N will stand respectively for the Jacobson radical ideal of R and the set of all nilpotent elements; 4-The right and left annihilators of a in R will be denoted by r(a) and l(a), respectively; 5- An ideal I is said to be a right (left) pure if , for every $a \in I$, there exists $b \in I$ such that a=ab(ba).

2. The Singular Ideals:

In this section, new properties of singular ideals are given , and a connection between singular ideals and the Jacobson radical is obtained .

Definition 2.1:

A non-zero elements a of R is said to be right singular if r(a) is an essential right ideal of R. The set of all right singular elements of R is denoted by Y(R). The set of all left singular elements will be denoted by Z(R).

Clearly Y(R) and Z(R) are ideals of R.

We shall begin this section with the following lemma .

Lemma 2.2:

If $a \in Y(R)$, then r(1-a) = 0.

<u>Proof:</u> let a be a non-zero element in Y(R), then r(a) is a non-zero essential right ideal of R. Let $x \in r(a) \cap r(1-a)$, then a .x = 0 and (1-a).x = 0, this implies that x = 0 Therefore, $r(a) \cap r(1-a) = 0$; since r(a) is a non-zero essential right ideal of R, then r(1-a) = 0.

<u>Corollary 2.3</u>: Let R be a ring and Y(R) = 0, the only idempotent element in Y(R) is zero.

<u>Proof:</u> Let $0 \neq a \in Y(R)$, and $a = a^2$, this implies that a.(1-a) = 0. Hence $a \in r$ (1-a). By [Lemma 2.2.]; a = 0.

Next, we give the following result .

Proposition 2.4 :

Let R be a ring with every right non–zero element is invertible, then $Y(R) \subseteq J(R)$.

<u>Proof</u>: let $0 \neq a \in Y(R)$. Then by Lemma 2.2 r(1-a) = 0, and hence 1-a is invertable. Whence $a \in J(R)$.

Recall the following result of Ferreno and Puczylowski in [1].

Lemma 2.5:

Let I be a sem – prime ideal of R , then : 1- $Y(I) = I \bigcap Y(R)$. 2- $Z(I) = I \bigcap Z(R)$.

In view of the above lemma, we have the following :

Proposition 2.6:

Let I and J be semi – prime ideals of R , then :

If $I \subseteq J$, then $Y(I) \subseteq Y(J)$. If $I \subseteq J$, then $Z(I) \subseteq Z(J)$.

<u>Proof:</u> Let $I \subseteq J$, and let $a \in Y(I)$, then by Lemma 2.5. $Y(I) = I \cap Y(R)$, this implies that $a \in I$ and $a \in Y(R)$, and hence $a \in J$ and Y(R). Whence $a \in J \cap Y(R) = Y(J)$.

3. Non – Singular Rings:

This section is devoted to study non-singular rings, we give condition for non-singular rings to be reduced, and we characterize non-singular rings in terms of maximal pure ideals and essential right ideals of R.

Definition 3.1:

A ring R is said to be a right (left) non-singular if Y(R) = 0, (Z(R) = 0). A ring R is said to be non - singular if Y(R) = Z(R) = 0.

Example:

The ring of integers module 6, Z_6 is a non–singular ring. Recall the following result of Ming in [2].

Lemma 3.2:

If $Y(R) \neq 0$, then there exists $y \in Y(R)$ such that $y^2 = 0$.

The following result characterizes non-singular rings in terms of maximal pure ideals .

Theorem 3.3:

Let R be a ring, such that for every nilpotent element y of R, there exists a maximal pure right ideal M such that $r(y) \subseteq M$. Then R is a right non-singular ring.

<u>Proof:</u> Let $Y(R) \neq 0$, then by Lemma 3.2., there exists a non-zero element y in Y(R) such that $y^2 = 0$, then by the hypothesis there exists a maximal pure right ideal M of R such that $r(y) \subseteq M$. Since $y^2 = 0$, then $y \in r(y) \subseteq M$, and since M is a right pure, there exists $m \in M$ such that y=ym. So, y(1-m)=0. This implies that $1-m \in r(y) \subseteq M$, which means that $1 \in M$, a contradiction. Therefore, Y(R) = 0.

Next, we give another condition for R to be a non-singular ring.

Proposition 3.4:

Let Y(R) be a left pure ideal, then R is a right non – singular ring.

<u>Proof</u>: Let Y(R) be a non- zero left pure ideal , and let a be a non – zero element in Y(R) , then there exists $b \in Y(R)$ such that a = ba. Since $b \in Y(R)$, then r(b) is essential right ideal of R. We claim that $r(b) \cap aR = 0$. Let $x \in r(b) \cap aR$, then b.x = 0 and x = a.r for some $r \in R$, hence ba.r = 0. But ba = a, then we have a.r = x = 0. Now, since r(b) is an essential right ideal of R, then aR=0; and hence a = 0. Therefore Y(R) = 0.

It is well–known that if R is a reduced ring ,then R is a non–singular ring. However, the converse is not true, as the following example shows:

Example :

Let R be the ring of 2X2 upper triangular matrices with entries in Z_2 , where Z_2 is the ring of integers modulo 2, that is :

 $\mathbf{R} = \{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } : a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}_2 \}.$

Then by direct calculation ,we observe that R is a non–singular ring but it is not reduced.

The following result gives a sufficient condition for non-singular rings to be reduced

Theorem 3.5:

Let R be a right non–singular ring with $l(a)\subseteq r(a)$ for every $a\in R$. Then R is a reduced ring .

Proof: Let $a \in R$, and let $a^2 = 0$. We shall prove first that r(a) is an essential right ideal of R; if not, then there exists a right ideal I of R such that $r(a) \cap I = 0$. Since $a \in l(a)$, then i. $a \in l(a)$ for all $i \in I$, which implies that I.a $\subseteq l(a) \subseteq r(a)$. So I.a $\subseteq I \cap r(a) = 0$; this gives I. a = 0, therefore I $\subseteq l(a) \subseteq r(a)$, and hence I=0. Therefore r(a) is an essential right ideal of R. This implies that $a \in Y(R) = 0$. Thus R is reduced.

Recall that an element a is said to be regular (in the sense of Von Neumann) if $a \in aRa$.

Before closing this section, we present two additional results. **Theorem 3.6:**

Let R be duo right singular ring , then any nilpotent element of R is regular .

<u>Proof:</u> Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $a^n = 0$ for some positive integer n and let $s = a^{n-1} \neq 0$.

If aR is not an essential right ideal of R, then there exists a non-trivial right ideal K of R such that $aR \oplus K$ is an essential right ideal of R. Suppose that a is not a regular element in R, then $aR \oplus K \neq R$.Observe that $sK \subseteq K \cap aR = 0$.

This implies that $K \subseteq r(s)$.

Now, since $a^n = 0$, then s.a = 0, hence $a \in r(s)$, this gives $aR \subseteq r(s)$. This means that r(s) is an essential right ideal of R. Whence it follows that $s \in Y(R) = 0$. This is a contradicition. Therefore, a is a regular element of R.

Theorem 3.7:

A ring R is right non–singular, if and only if L (I) = 0 for every essential right ideal I of R.

Proof: Suppose that R is a right non–singular ring, then Y(R) = 0. Let I be an essential right ideal of R, such that $L(I) \neq 0$, then there exists a non– zero element a in L(I). This implies that a.I = 0, and hence $I \subseteq r(a)$. Since Y(R) = 0, then r(a) is not essential right ideal of R, and hence there exists a non- trivial right ideal K of R, such that $r(a) \cap K = 0$. Since $I \subseteq r(a)$ and $K.I \subseteq K \cap I$ then $K.I \subseteq K \cap I \subseteq K \cap r(a) = 0$, which means that $K \cap I = 0$, a contradiction.

Conversely, assume that I is an essential right ideal of R, and let L(I) = 0. Suppose that $Y(R) \neq 0$, and let a be a non – zero element in Y(R), then r(a) is an essential right ideal of R. Since L(I) = 0, then L (r(a)) = 0, then for every $y \in r(a)$, a.y. = 0, hence $a \in L(y) = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore Y(R) = 0.

REFERENCES

[1] Ferrero M. and E. Puczylowski, "The Singular Ideal and Radicals", **J. Austral. Math. Soc.** (series A), 1998, pp. 195 – 209.

[2] R.Y.C. Ming ; "On Quasi-injectivity and Von Neumann Regularity", **Monatshefle fur Math**. 95, (1983), pp.25-32.