

Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



On a Subclass of Meromorphic Univalent Functions Involving Hypergeometric Function

Mazin Sh.Mahmoud^a, Abdul Rahman S.Juma^b, Raheam A. Mansor Al-Saphory^c

^a Department of Mathematics, Tikrit University Tikrit, Iraq.Email:<u>maz2004in@yahoo.com</u>

^b Department of Mathematics, University of Anbar ,Ramadi , Iraq.Email: : <u>dr-juma@hotmail.com</u>

^cDepartment of Mathematics , Tikrit University ,Tikrit , Iraq, Email: <u>saphory@hotmail.com</u>

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received: 01 /04/2019 Rrevised form: 24 /04/2019 Accepted : 29 /04/2019 Available online: 26 /05/2019

Keywords:

Hypergeometric function , meromorphic univalent function , Hadamard product" , convex function."starlike function ,

ABSTRACT

The main object of the present paper is to, introduce the. class of meromorphic univalent functions Involving! hypergeomatrc function .We obtain~ some interesting geometric properties according to coefficient inequality, growth and distortion theorems, radii of starlikeness and convexity for the" functions in our subclass.

MSC.

1. Introduction

Let Σ denoted be the class of functions of the form

$$(z) = \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
(1)

which are analytic and meromorphic univalent in punctured unit disk $U^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$.

-*A* function $f \in \sum$ is meromorphic starlike of order α , $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ if $R\left(\frac{Zf'(Z)}{f(Z)}\right) > \alpha$, $(Z \in U^*)$.

The class of all such function is denoted by $\sum^{*}(\alpha)$. A function $f \in \sum$ is meromorphic convex of order α , $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ - R $(1 + \frac{Zf^{"}(z)}{f'(z)}) > \alpha$, $(z \in \bigcup^{*})$. Let \sum_{q} be the class of function $f \in \sum_{i}$ if

Email addresses: maz2004in@yahoo.com

Corresponding author Mazin Sh.Mahmoud

Communicated by Qusuay Hatim Egaar

with $a_k \ge 0$. The subclass of \sum_q consisting of starlike functions of order α is denoted by $\sum_q^*(\alpha)$, and convex functions of order α by $\sum_q^k(\alpha)$. Various subclasses of \sum have been defined and studied by varions authors see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12].

For function f(z) given by (1) and g (z)= $\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, we define the Hadamard product or (convolution) f and g by

 $f * g = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k Z^k .$ For positive real parameters($\alpha_1, A_1, ..., \alpha_\ell, A_\ell, \beta_1, B_1, ..., \beta_p, B_p$) ($\ell, p \in N = \{1, 2, ...\}$) such that $1 + \sum_{n=1}^p B_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} A_n \ge 0$, ($z \in U^*$) The Wright generalized hypergeometric function $=_{\ell} \Psi_p [(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1, \ell}, (\beta_t, B_t)_{1, p}; Z], \Psi_p [(\alpha_1, A_1), ..., (\alpha_\ell, A_\ell); (\beta_1, B_1), ..., (\beta_n, B_n); Z]_{\ell}$

assigned by

 ${}_{\ell}\Psi_{p}[(\alpha_{t}, A_{t})_{1,\ell}, (\beta_{t}, B_{t})_{1,p}; Z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{t=0}^{\ell} \Gamma(\alpha_{1} + nA_{t}) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{t=0}^{p} \Gamma(\beta_{t} + n B_{t}) \right\}^{-1} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}.$

If $A_t = 1$, (t = 1, 2, 3, ℓ) and $B_t = 1$, (t = 1, 2, 3,, P), then

 $\Omega_{\ell}\Psi_{p}[(\alpha_{t},A_{t})_{1,\ell},(\beta_{t},B_{t})_{1,p};z] \equiv F_{p}(\alpha_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{\ell},\beta_{1},\ldots,\beta_{m};z)$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_{1})_{n}...(\alpha_{1})_{n}Z^{n}}{(\beta_{1})_{n}...(\beta_{p})_{n}n!}$$

 $(\ell \le p + 1; \ell, p \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}; Z \in \mathbb{U}\}.$

That is the generalized hypergeometric function (see[8]). Here (α_k) is the Pochammer symbol and $\Omega = (\prod_{t=0}^{\ell} \Gamma(\alpha_t))^{-1} (\prod_{t=0}^{p} \Gamma(\beta_t)).$

When assign the generalized hypergeometric function, we take a Linear operator

 $W[(\alpha_1, A_t)_{1,\ell}, (\beta_t, B_t)_{1,P}]: \Sigma_q \qquad \Sigma_q \cdot \longrightarrow$

 $W[(\alpha_{t}, A_{t})_{1}, \ell, (\beta_{t}, B_{t})_{1,P}] f(z) = z^{-1} \Omega_{l} \Psi_{P}[(\alpha_{t}, A_{t})_{1,\ell} (\beta_{t}, B_{t})_{1,P}]; z] * f(z)$ (2)

for convenience ,we denote $W[(\alpha_t, A_t)_{1,\ell}, (\beta_t, B_t)_{1,p}]$ by $W[\alpha_1]$. If *f* has the from (1) then we obtain

$$W[\alpha_{I}] f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k}(\alpha_{1}) a_{k} z^{k}$$
, (3)

where

$$\sigma_k(\alpha_1) = \frac{\Omega\Gamma(\alpha_1 + A_1(k+1)) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_\ell + A_\ell(k+1))}{(k+1)! r(\beta_1 + B_1(k+1)) \dots \Gamma(\beta_\ell + B_\ell(k+1))} .$$
(4)

Definition1.1: A subclass of Σ_q by utilizing operator $W[\alpha_1]$ we let $V(\alpha, \eta)$ denote a subclass of Σ_q consisting of function in (1) satisfying the condition

$$\left|\frac{\frac{Z\left(W(\alpha_{1})f(z)\right)''}{\left(W(\alpha_{1})f(z)\right)'} + 2}{\frac{Z\left(W(\alpha_{1})f(z)\right)'}{\left(W(\alpha_{1})f(z)\right)'} + 2\alpha}\right| < \eta.$$
(5)

 $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \eta \le 1$ and $A_t=1$ (t=1,2,3,...), $B_t=1$ (t=1,2,3,...) Where Now we must prove the Coefficient Inequality

2.Coeffcient Inequality

Theorem 2.1: *f* is a function defined by (1) in the class $V(\alpha, \eta)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| [k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))] a_k \le 2\eta(1-\alpha).$$
 (6)

The result is sharp"

Proof: Let the inequity (6) holds true and let |z|=1 by (5). Then we get

$$\left|\frac{z(W(\alpha_1)f(z))''}{(W(\alpha_1)f(z))'} + 2\right| - \eta \left|\frac{z(W(\alpha_1)f(z))''}{(W(\alpha_1)f(z))'} + 2\alpha\right| < 0,$$

 $|z(W(\alpha_1)f(z))'' + 2(W(\alpha_1)f(z))'| - \eta |z(W(\alpha_1)f(z))'' + 2\alpha(W(\alpha_1)f(z))'|,$

and by utilizing (3) we have

$$(W(\alpha_1)f(z))' = \frac{-1}{z^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n \,\sigma_k(\alpha_1)a_k z^{k-1} ,$$

$$(W(\alpha_1)f(z))'' = \frac{+2}{z^3} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(k-1) \,\sigma_k(\alpha_1)a_k z^{k-2} ,$$

$$z(w(\alpha_1)f(z))'' = \frac{2}{z^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(k-1)\sigma_k(\alpha_1)a_k z^{k-1} ,$$

$$= \left| \frac{2}{z^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(k-1)\sigma_k(\alpha_1)a_k z^{k-1} - \frac{2}{z^2} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\sigma_k(\alpha_1)a_k z^{k-1} \right|$$

$$-\eta \left| \frac{2}{z^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(k-1)\sigma_k(\alpha_1)a_k z^{k-1} - \frac{2\alpha}{z^2} + 2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\sigma_k(\alpha_1)a_k z^{k-1} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} = |\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \sigma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^{k-1} (k-1+2)| &- \eta \left| \frac{2}{z^2} (1-\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \sigma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^{k-1} \right) (k-1+2\alpha) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \sigma_k(\alpha_1) a_k |z|^{k-1} (k+1) \frac{-2\eta}{|z|^2} (1-\alpha) + \eta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \sigma_k(\alpha_1) a_k |z|^{k-1} (k-1+2\alpha) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| [k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))] a_k - 2\eta(1-\alpha) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the maximum modules theorem we have $f \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, Conversely, suppose $f \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, then

$$\left|\frac{\frac{Z\left(W(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)''}{W(\alpha_1)f(z))'}+2}{\frac{Z\left(W(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)''}{(W(\alpha_1)f(z))''}+2\alpha}\right|<\eta,$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| a_k z^{k-1}}{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{z^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1+2\alpha) |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| a_k z^{k-1}} < \eta.$$

Since $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \le |z|$ for all z , we get

$$Re\left\{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1)|\sigma_{k}(\alpha_{1})|a_{k}z^{k-1}}{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{z^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k-1+2\alpha)|\sigma_{k}(\alpha_{1})|a_{k}z^{k-1}}\right\} < \eta$$
(7)

on the real axis when choosing the value of z thus the value of

$$\frac{Z\left(W(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)''}{\left(W(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)'}$$

is real, therefore clearing the denominator of (7) and when $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real axis the result is sharp for the function

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{2} + |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{2\eta(1-\alpha)}{[k(1+\eta)+(1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]} z^k, k \ge 1$$
(8)

Corollary 2.1 : When $f \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, then

$$a_k \leq |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{2\eta(1-\alpha)}{[k(1+\eta)+(1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]},$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \eta \le 1$.

3. Growth and Distortion Theorems

Distortion and growth Theorems property for the function $f \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, is given as follows :

Theorem 3.1:Let *f* be a function defined by (1) is in the class $V(\alpha, \eta)$. Then for 0 < |z| = r < 1 we get

$$\frac{1}{r} - r|\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)} \le |f(z)| \le \frac{1}{r} + r\frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)}|\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1},$$

equivalences for

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)} z.$$

Proof: Since $f \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, then we get by theorem 2.1, then the inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k(\alpha_1) [k(\eta+1) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))] a_k \le 2\eta(1-\alpha)$$

Then

$$|f(\mathbf{z})| \leq \left|\frac{1}{z}\right| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k |\mathbf{z}|^k,$$

for 0 < |z| = r < 1, we get

$$|f(z)| < \frac{1}{r} + r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \le \frac{1}{r} - |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)} r.$$

In addition to

$$|f(z)| \ge \left|\frac{1}{z}\right| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k |z|^k \ge \frac{1}{r} - |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)} r, \ |z| = r.$$

Theorem 3.2:Let A function *f* defined by (1) in the class $f \in V(\alpha, \eta)$. *Then*

for 0 < |z| = r < 1 we get

$$\frac{1}{r^2} - |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)} \le |f'(z)| \le \frac{1}{r^2} + |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)}.$$

Equivalences for

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)} z.$$

proof: Form Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| [k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))] a_k \le 2\eta(1-\alpha).$$

Thus

$$|f'(z)| \le |\frac{-1}{z^2}| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_k |z|^{k-1},$$

for 0 < r = |z| < 1 we get

$$|f'(z)| \leq |\frac{-1}{r^2}| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_k$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{r^2} + |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)} .$$

And

$$|f'(z) \ge \frac{-1}{z^2}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1},$$

$$\ge |\frac{1}{r^2}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{r^2} - |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)|^{-1} \times \frac{\eta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\eta)}.$$

4.Hadamard product

Theorem 4.1: If the function $g, f \in V(\alpha, \eta)$. Then $(f * g) \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, for

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

and

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k,$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{2\eta^2 \ (1-\alpha)(k+1)}{2\eta^2 (1-\alpha)(k+2\alpha-1) - |\sigma_n(\alpha_1)|[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]^2}$$

Proof: Since $f, g \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, then by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} a_k \le 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{[n(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} b_k \le 1,$$

we must find the largest δ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{\left[k(1+\eta) + \left(1 + \eta(2\alpha - 1)\right)\right]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_k b_k} \le 1.$$
(9)

To prove the theorem it is over to show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{\left[k(1+\delta) + \left(1+\delta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]}{2\delta(1-\alpha)} a_k b_k \\ \leq |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{\left[k(1+\eta) + \left(1+\eta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_k b_k} \quad , \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{a_k b_k} \le \frac{\delta \left[k(1+\eta) + \left(1 + \eta(2\alpha - 1) \right) \right]}{\beta \left[k(1+\delta) + \left(1 + \delta(2\alpha - 1) \right) \right]}$$

.

From (9) we get

$$\sqrt{a_k b_k} \le |\sigma_r(\alpha_1)| \frac{2\eta(1-\alpha)}{\left[k(1+\eta) + \left(1 + \eta(2\alpha - 1)\right)\right]}$$

We must proof that

$$|\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{2\eta(1-\alpha)}{\left[k(1+\eta)+\left(1+\eta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]} \leq \frac{\delta\left[k(1+\eta)+\left(1+\eta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]}{\eta\left[k(1+\delta)+\left(1+\delta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]},$$

which gives

$$\delta \leq \frac{2\eta^2(\alpha - 1)(k+1)}{2\eta^2(1-\alpha)(k+2\alpha^{-1}) - |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)|[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]^2}.$$

Theorem 4.2: If the function f_i (i=1,2) defined by

$$f_{i}(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^{k}$$
 , $(a_{k,i} \ge 0, i = 1,2)$

be in the class $V(\alpha, \eta)$, then the function defined

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a^2_{k,1} + a^2_{k,2}) z^k ,$$

is in the class $V(\alpha, \eta)$, where

$$\beta = \frac{4\eta^2(\alpha-1)(k+1)}{4\eta^2(\alpha-1)(k+2\alpha-1) - |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)|[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]^2}$$

proof: Since $f_i \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, (i= 1, 2), then by Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{\left[k(1+\eta) + \left(1 + \eta(2\alpha - 1)\right)\right]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} a_{k,i} \le 1, (i = 1, 2).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{\left[k(1+\eta) + \left(1+\eta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)})^2 a_{k,i}^2$$

$$\leq (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{\left[k(1+\eta) + \left(1+\eta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} a_{k,i})^2 \leq 1, (i = 1, 2).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| (\frac{[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)})^2 (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2) \le 1,$$

to prove the theorem we must find the largest β such that

$$\frac{\left[k(\beta+1)+\left(1+\beta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]}{\beta} \leq \frac{|\sigma_k(\alpha_1)|\left[k(1+\eta)+\left(1+\eta(2\alpha-1)\right)\right]^{-2}}{4\eta^2(1-\alpha)}, k \geq 1,$$

so that

$$\beta \leq \frac{4\eta^2(\alpha-1)(k+1)}{4\eta^2(\alpha-1)(k+2\alpha-1) - |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)|[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]^2}.$$

Theorem 4.3: If $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, and

 $g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ with } |\mathbf{b}_k| \le 1 \text{ is in the class } V(\alpha, \eta)$ then $f(z) \cdot g(z) \in V(\alpha, \eta)$.

Proof : By Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| [k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))] a_k \le 2\eta(1-\alpha).$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \left(\frac{[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} |a_k b_k|, \right)$$

=
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \left(\frac{[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} a_k |b_k|, \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| [k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))] a_k \leq 1.$$

Thus $f(z) * g(z) \in V(\alpha, \eta)$.

Corollary 4.1: If $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in V(\alpha, \eta)$, and $g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ with $0 \le b_k \le 1$ is in the $V(\alpha, \eta)$, then $f(z) * g(z) \in V(\alpha, \eta)$.

5. Radil of starlikness and convexity

Theorem 5.1: Let f(z) be the function defined by (1) be in the subclass $V(\alpha, \eta)$. Then f is meromorphicallystarlike of order $\delta(0 \le \delta < 1)$ in the disk $|z| < r_1(\alpha, \eta, \delta)$, where

$$r_1(\alpha,\eta,\delta) = \inf_k \{ |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{[k(1+\eta)+(1+\eta(2\alpha-1))](1-\delta)}{2\eta(k+2-\delta)(1-\delta\alpha)} \}^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$$

the result is sharp for the function given by (8).

Proof: We show that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| &\leq 1 - \delta, \\ \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)a_k z^k}{z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)a_k |z|^{k+1}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This will be bounded by $1 - \delta$,

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1)a_k|z|^{k+1}}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k|z|^{k+1}} \le 1-\delta \,,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2+k-\delta)a_k |z|^{k+1} \le 1-\delta,$$

from Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \left(\frac{[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))]}{2\eta(1-\alpha)} a_k \le 1. \right)$$

Hence

$$|z|^{k+1} \le |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta(2\alpha-1))](1-\delta)}{2\eta(k+2-\delta)(1-\alpha)}$$

$$|\mathbf{Z}| \leq \left\{ |\sigma_k(\alpha_1)| \frac{[k(1+\eta) + (1+\eta \ (2\alpha-1))](1-\delta)}{2\eta(k+2-\delta)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$$

Theorem 5.2: Let the function f(z) defined by (1)be in the subclass $V(\alpha, \eta)$. Then f is meromorphically convex of order $r(0 \le r \le 1)$ in the disk $|z| \le r_2(\eta, \alpha, r)$, where

$$r_{2}(\eta, \alpha, \gamma) = \inf \{ |\sigma_{k}(\alpha_{1})| \frac{[k(1+\eta)+(1+\eta(2\alpha-1))](1-\gamma)}{2\eta(k+2-\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \}^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \}.$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (7). **Proof**: By utilizing the same way in the proof of theorem 5.1 we can get this

$$\left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}+2\right| \le 1-\mathfrak{r}, \left((0\le \mathfrak{r}<1)\right).$$

For $|z| < r_2$ depending on the help of the Theorem 2.1, lead to confirmed of theorem 5.2.

References

[1] M.K.Aoufand H. E.Darwish, Certain meromorphically starlike functions with positive and fixed second coefficient *,Turkish J.Math* ,21(3 (1997) ,311-316.

[2] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, Subclass of Meromorphic Function with Positive Coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh Derivative I, J. Rajasthan Academy of Physical Scieces, 6(2)(20), 129-140.

[3] N. E. Cho, S. H. Lee and S. Owa, Aclass of meromorphicunivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Kobe J.Math.*,4(1)(1987),43-50.

[4] J. Clunie , On meromorphicschlicht functions , J. London Math . Soc. , 34(1959) , 215-216 .

[5] J. Dziok ,G. Murugusundaramoorthyand J. Sokol, On certain class of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *ActaMath.Sci.Ser*. *BEngl. Ed.*, *32*(4)(2012),1376-1390.

[6] F. Ghanimand M. Darus, On acertain subclass of meromorphicunivalent functions with fixed second positive coefficients *,Surv .Math. Appl .*,5(2010) ,49-60.

[7] F. Ghanimand M. Darus, On class of HypergeometricMeromorphic with fixed second positive coefficients *,GenMath* . 17(4)(2009), 1328.

[8] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, On acertain subclass of meromorphicunivalent functions with fixed second positive coefficients, *Surv.Math*. *Appl.*, 5 (2010), 49 - 60.

[9] A. R. S. Juma and H. I. Dhayea, On a subclass of Meromorphic univalent function with Fixed Second Coeffcient Involving Fox-Wright's HyPergeometric function, Gen. Math, vol 28 (2015), PP.30-41.

[10] A. R. S. Juma and H. Zirar, On a Class of Meromorphic univalent function defined by Hypergetric Function, Gen.Math, vol 17 (2013), pp.63-73.

[11] S. Kavitha ,S. Sivasubramanianand K. Muthunagai, Anew subclass of Meromorphicfunction with positive coefficients, *Bull. Math. Anal .Appl.*, 2 (3) (2010) , 109-121.

[12] J. Ma, Extreme points and minimal outerareaproblem forMeromorphicunivalent functions, *J. Math.Anal.Appl.*,220(2)(1998),769-773.

[13] N. Magesh, N. B. Gattiand S. Mayilvaganan, On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions with positive and fixed second coefficients involving the Liu-Srivastava linear operator, *ISRNMath. Anal.*, ArtileID 698307 (2012),11pages.

[14] S. Owaand N. Pascu, Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of Meromorphicallystarlike and meromorphicallyconvex functions, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl.Math.*, 4(1)(2003), 6.