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#### Abstract

The variations in exchange rate, especially the sudden unexpected increases and decreases, have significant impact on the national economy of any country. Iraq is no exception; therefore, the accurate forecasting of exchange rate of Iraqi dinar to US dollar plays an important role in the planning and decision-making processes as well as the maintenance of a stable economy in Iraq. This research aims to compare spectral analysis methodology to artificial neural networks in terms of forecasting the exchange rate of Iraqi dinar to US dollar based on data provided by the Iraqi Central Bank for the period 30/01/2004 and 30/12/2014.

Based on the Mean Square Error (MSE), the Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and the Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) as criteria to compare the two methodologies, it was concluded that is artificial neural networks better than spectral analysis approach in forecasting.
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## 1- Spectral analysis methodology

The variations in time series are attributed to a specific phenomenon that is often analyzed in the time domain using autocorrelation function. However, there are many variations in time series that rely on the frequency making the analysis in the time domain not suitable anymore. Therefore, the series is analyzed using the spectral analysis methodology in the frequency domain.
The time series spectrum represents the variance distribution of the series as frequency function.

## 2- Harmonic analysis [1, 2]

If the stationary time series $W_{t}$ consists of one or more harmonic components, its harmonic model will be as follow:

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{t}=A_{i} \cos \left(\lambda_{i} t\right)+B_{i} \sin \left(\lambda_{i} t\right)+u_{t} \\
i=0,1,2, \ldots, h \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where
$A_{i}=\mathrm{G}_{i} \cos \left(\phi_{i}\right), B_{i}=-\mathrm{G}_{i} \sin \left(\phi_{i}\right), \quad G_{i}:$ Amplitude, $\phi_{i}$ : Phase, $\lambda_{i}$ : Frequency, $u_{t}$ : random errors, $h$ : number of harmonic components

Equation (1) above is called Fourier series while the frequencies $\lambda_{i}$ are often referred to as Fourier frequencies or standard frequencies that are defined in the following formula:
$\lambda_{i}=2 \pi \frac{i}{N}$
(2)

Where N is a sample size
In addition to that, $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ in equation (1) are called Fourier coefficients.

The harmonic model formula relies on the number of observations of the stationary time series as shown below:
a- If the number of observations of the stationary time series is odd, the harmonic model will be:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{t}=A_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{h}\left[A_{j} \cos \left(\lambda_{j} t\right)+\right. \\
& \left.B_{j} \sin \left(\lambda_{j} t\right)\right]+ \\
& u_{t} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Fourier coefficients can be estimated using the least squares method as shown below:
$\hat{A}_{0}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} W_{t}$
$\hat{A}_{j}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} W_{t} \cos \left(\lambda_{j} t\right)$
$\widehat{B}_{j}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} W_{t} \sin \left(\lambda_{j} t\right)$
Where $\quad j=1,2, \ldots, h$
b- If the number of observations of the stationary time series is even, the harmonic model will be:
$W_{t}=A_{0}++\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left[A_{j} \cos \left(\lambda_{j} t\right)+\right.$
$\left.B_{j} \sin \left(\lambda_{j} t\right)\right]+A_{h} \cos \left(\lambda_{h} t\right)+u_{t}$
And by using the least squares method, Fourier coefficient can be estimated using formula (4), (5), and (6) Where $j=1,2, \ldots, h-1$. for $\hat{A}_{h}$ can be calculated as follow:
$\hat{A}_{h}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} W_{t}(-1)^{t}$
And therefore, an estimation of amplitude of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ harmonic can be obtained using the following formula:
$\widehat{G}_{j}=\sqrt{\hat{A}_{j}^{2}+\hat{B}_{j}^{2}}$
and an estimation of phase of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ harmonic can be obtained using the following formula:
$\widehat{\phi}_{j}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{-\widehat{B}_{j}}{\hat{A}_{j}}\right)$

## 3- The Periodogram $[3,4,5]$

The periodogram is a tool that is used to analyze time series that consist of sine and cosine waves with different frequencies. This tool is often referred to as $I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ and can be defined in the following formula:
$I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\frac{N}{2}\left(\hat{A}_{j}^{2}+\hat{B}_{j}^{2}\right): j=1,2, \ldots, h$
This formula is used if the number of observations of the stationary time series is odd. However, if the number of observations of the stationary time series is even, the following formula can be used:
$I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\frac{N}{2}\left(\hat{A}_{j}^{2}+\hat{B}_{j}^{2}\right)+I\left(\lambda_{h}\right):$
$j=1,2, \ldots, h-1$
Where $I\left(\lambda_{h}\right)=N \hat{A}_{j}^{2}$

## 4- Spectral analysis stages

a. Determining the hidden periodicities [6, 7, 1]
In this stage, the hidden sinusoidal components (hidden periodicities) that exist in the time series are revealed through the application of Fisher test as follows :
$T=\frac{I^{(1)}\left(\lambda_{(1)}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}$
Where
$I^{(1)}\left(\lambda_{(1)}\right)=\max \left\{I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)\right\} \quad:$
$j=1,2, \ldots, m$

$$
j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

If the value of T statistic is less than the critical value g , we accept the null hypothesis and conclude that the data is random and does not contain hidden sine components.
If the critical value g is not available for $\mathrm{m}>50, \mathrm{~g}$ can be calculated at specific significance level alpha as follow:
$P\left(T>g_{\text {alpha }}\right) \approx m(1-g)^{m-1}$
(14)

Where
$\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{h}$ when $I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ is defined in formula (11) or $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{h}-1$ when $I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ is defined in formula (12).
b. Harmonic model selection $[8,1]$
In this stage, the number of significant hidden sine components that consist the harmonic model can be determined by testing the following hypothesis:
$H_{0}: \hat{A}_{k}=\widehat{B}_{k}=0$
Against
$H_{1}: \hat{A}_{k} \neq 0$ or $\quad \hat{B}_{k} \neq 0$
By using $F_{k}$ statistic that is defined in the following formula:
$F_{k}=\frac{(N-3) I\left(\lambda_{k}\right)}{2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{m} I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)} \quad: \quad k=$
$1,2, \ldots, m$
Where $F_{k}$ statistic follows the F distribution with 2 and (N-3) degrees of freedom.
If $F_{k}<F(2, N-3)$, we accept the null hypothesis and conclude that the hidden sine component is not significant.
c. Testing the suitability of the harmonic model $[9,10$ ]
In this stage, randomization of the model residuals is tested through the two following tests:

1- Ljung-Box test [9]
2- Cumulative periodogram test [10]

The statistic of cumulative periodogram test is defined in the following formula:
$C\left(\lambda_{I}\right)=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{I} I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)} \quad: I=1,2, \ldots, m$
(16)

After calculating $C\left(\lambda_{I}\right)$, Kolmogorov smirrnov test can be applied where Kolmogorov smirrnov limits can be determined as follow:
a) The theoretical line: this line is drawn from $(0,0)$ to $(\pi, 1)$
b)The upper limit: this line can be drawn using the following formula:
Upper limit $=$ theoretical line $+\frac{K_{\text {alpha }}}{\sqrt{m}}$ (17)
c) The lower limit: this line can be drawn using the following formula:
Lower limit $=$ theoretical line $-\frac{K_{\text {alpha }}}{\sqrt{m}}$ (18)

Where $\mathrm{K}_{\text {alpha }}$ can be obtained from Table (85) in [8] at alpha significance level.

If the $C\left(\lambda_{I}\right)$ value falls between the lower and upper limits, we can conclude that the series does not contain hidden sinusoidal components.

## 3-Artificial neural networks [11, 12, 13]

Artificial neural network is a computational technique that simulates the way human brain uses to perform a specific task. It consists of several processing units defined as neurons or nodes that are organized in three levels (layers) including input level, hidden level that consists of one or more hidden layer, and the output level. the nodes of each level are associated with the next level through connection force called weights, which work on saving the acquired knowledge from the training of network.

The nodes at the input level are called the input nodes and so on for the other levels. In addition, there is a node called the bias $b$ that has a positive value of one and has the same constant role in the regression model.

Each node of the hidden and output levels is provided with an activation function, linear or nonlinear, that works on processing the input signal and preventing the output of the processing node from reaching high value, which may stop the network leading to a failure of the training process.

Neural networks are divided to two types including single layer and multiple layer networks. The single layer network does not have the hidden level and contains one layer of weights that connects the input level to the output level. when applying the input signal $v_{j}$ in this type of network, we can obtain the output signal $z_{i}$ through the following formula:
$z_{i}=g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{R} x_{j} v_{j}+b_{i}\right) \quad: \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$
(19)

Where
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ : weights, g : activation function, $R$ : number of input nodes, $n$ : number of output nodes

As for multi-layer networks, it has the ability to solve more complex problems because it contains the hidden level with one hidden layer or more. The output signal is obtained in this type of networks as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{Z}^{m}=\boldsymbol{g}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{m} \boldsymbol{Z}^{m-1}+\boldsymbol{b}^{m}\right): m=1,2, \ldots, M \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:
M: number of layers
$\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{m}-1}$ : output vector of the layer m-1
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{m}}$ : activation function of the layer m
$b^{m}$ : bias vector of the layer $m$
$X^{m}$ : weights matrix of the layer $m$
$\mathrm{Z}^{0}=\mathrm{V}$, and V is the input vector.

## (3-1) Backpropagation algorithm $[14,15]$

The backpropagation algorithm is a generalization of the least mean squares algorithm where it is used to train multiple layers networks. It is often referred to as BP and is considered one of the most used algorithms among the supervised learning algorithms. It consists of three stages:

## A. Feed forward propagation stage $[16,11]$

In this stage, inputs $\mathbf{Z}^{0}=\mathbf{V}$ are applied on the network and random initial weights $\mathbf{X}^{1}$ are generated in small values. In addition, this stage includes determining the learning rate $\eta$ and the momentum $\gamma$ by small value that falls within $(0,1)$ and ( 1,0 ] respectively. Data are processed starting from the input layer to the output layer throughout the hidden layers. The outputs of the network are obtained using the following formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{j}^{m}=g^{m}\left(s_{j}^{m}\right) m \\
& =1,2, \ldots, M \\
& \quad=g_{j}^{m}\left(\sum_{h=1}^{n^{m-1}} x_{j, h}^{m} z_{h}^{m-1}+b_{j}^{m}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Which can be written as a matrix
$\boldsymbol{Z}^{m}=\boldsymbol{g}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{s}^{m}\right)$
(23)

$$
=\boldsymbol{g}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{m} \boldsymbol{Z}^{m-1}+\boldsymbol{b}^{m}\right)
$$

(24)

## B. Backward Propagation Stage [17, 11]

In this stage, the sensitivities $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is calculated from the last layer, which represents the output layer, to the first layer throughout the hidden layers.
Sensitivity $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\mathrm{M}}$ in the last layer M can be calculated using the following formula:
$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{M}=-2 \dot{\boldsymbol{G}}^{M}\left(\boldsymbol{s}^{M}\right)(\boldsymbol{d}-\boldsymbol{z})$
(25)

Where
$d$ : desired output
$z$ : calculated output by network
$\dot{\boldsymbol{G}}^{M}\left(\boldsymbol{s}^{M}\right)=$
$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\dot{g}_{1}^{M}\left(s_{1}^{M}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \dot{g}_{2}^{M}\left(s_{2}^{M}\right) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \dot{g}_{n^{M}}^{M}\left(s_{n^{M}}^{M}\right)\end{array}\right]$
and
$\dot{g}_{j}^{M}\left(s_{j}^{M}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{j}^{M}} g_{j}^{M}\left(s_{j}^{M}\right) j=1,2, \ldots, n^{M}$
While sensitivity $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{m}$ in the hidden layer m , where $\mathrm{m}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{M}-1$, can be calculated using the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\delta}^{m}=\dot{\boldsymbol{G}}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{s}^{m}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{m+1}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{m+1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where
$\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{m}+1}$ : weights matrix of the layer $\mathrm{m}+1$
$\dot{\boldsymbol{G}}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{s}^{m}\right)=$
$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\dot{g}_{1}^{m}\left(s_{1}^{m}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \dot{g}_{2}^{m}\left(s_{2}^{m}\right) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \dot{g}_{n^{m}}^{m}\left(s_{n^{m}}^{m}\right)\end{array}\right]$ and
$\dot{g}_{j}^{m}\left(s_{j}^{m}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{j}^{m}} g_{j}^{m}\left(s_{j}^{m}\right)$
Where $j=1,2, \ldots, n^{m}$

## C. Updating Weights Stage $[17,16]$

After passing the feed forward propagation and the Backward Propagation stages, the stage of updating the weights and biases begins using the following formulas:
$\boldsymbol{X}^{m}(k)=\boldsymbol{X}^{m}(k-1)+\Delta \boldsymbol{X}^{m}(k-1)$ (27)
$\boldsymbol{b}^{m}(k)=\boldsymbol{b}^{m}(k-1)+\Delta \boldsymbol{b}^{m}(k-1)$ (28)

Where

3- In case of not using the momentum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \boldsymbol{X}^{m}(k-1)=-\eta \boldsymbol{\delta}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{m-1}\right)^{T} \\
& \Delta \boldsymbol{b}^{m}(k-1)=-\eta \boldsymbol{\delta}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

4- In case of using the momentum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \boldsymbol{X}^{m}(k-1)=\gamma \Delta \boldsymbol{X}^{m}(k-2) \\
&(1-\gamma) \eta \boldsymbol{\delta}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{m-1}\right)^{T} \\
& \Delta \boldsymbol{b}^{m}(k-1)= \gamma \Delta \boldsymbol{b}^{m}(k-2) \\
&-(1-\gamma) \eta \boldsymbol{\delta}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4- Data

The data used in this study is a time series of exchange rate of Iraqi dinar to the US dollar and was provided by the Iraqi Central Bank. The data consist of 132 monthly observations from 30/01/2004 to $30 / 12 / 2014$ as show in table (1) below.

| month | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1467 | 1453 | 1483 | 1323 | 1224 | 1179 | 1185 | 1185 | 1206 | 1226 | 1222 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1409 | 1459 | 1480 | 1299 | 1225 | 1178 | 1185 | 1185 | 1236 | 1231 | 1222 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1423 | 1469 | 1480 | 1290 | 1222 | 1178 | 1185 | 1185 | 1240 | 1255 | 1222 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1443 | 1474 | 1481 | 1284 | 1216 | 1179 | 1185 | 1187 | 1263 | 1267 | 1218 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1462 | 1473 | 1485 | 1275 | 1212 | 1187 | 1185 | 1196 | 1250 | 1270 | 1222 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1460 | 1468 | 1485 | 1269 | 1205 | 1180 | 1185 | 1197 | 1241 | 1237 | 1213 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1463 | 1476 | 1486 | 1261 | 1202 | 1184 | 1185 | 1197 | 1253 | 1218 | 1214 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 1463 | 1480 | 1488 | 1253 | 1196 | 1184 | 1185 | 1199 | 1248 | 1209 | 1213 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 1463 | 1481 | 1488 | 1249 | 1188 | 1183 | 1185 | 1200 | 1228 | 1211 | 1204 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1463 | 1475 | 1486 | 1245 | 1185 | 1183 | 1185 | 1200 | 1200 | 1220 | 1207 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1463 | 1477 | 1467 | 1240 | 1183 | 1183 | 1188 | 1200 | 1207 | 1218 | 1200 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 1462 | 1479 | 1394 | 1216 | 1180 | 1185 | 1195 | 1218 | 1222 | 1222 | 1205 |

## 5- spectral analysis methodology application

## The first stage:

The time series of the exchange rate data was plotted as shown in figure (1) below


Figure 1: Exchange rate of Iraqi dinar to the US dollar

By looking at figure (1), we notice that the data $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}$ does not fluctuate around constant level, and it takes a decreasing trend which indicates that the time series is not mean stationary and not variance stationary.

To check the accuracy of results about the stationary of the time series in the mean, autocorrelation function and partial autocorrelation function were plotted for the raw data as shown in figure (2) below.

## Correlogram of $Y$



Figure 2: Correlogram of raw data $\mathbf{Y}_{t}$

By looking at figure（2），we notice that the autocorrelation function is slowly decreasing toward the zero and does not cut after the first and the second lag，which indicates that the raw data is not mean stationary．

To increase accuracy in the results about the stationary of the time series in the variance，ADF，P．P，and KPSS tests were applied as shown in table（2）below．

| Test | Model | Test Statistic | Critical Values | p－Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADF | without constant | -0.837279 | $1.943304-$ | 0.3514 |
|  | With constant | -0.979861 | $2.883756-$ | 0.7591 |
|  | With constant and time trend | -1.307575 | $3.444756-$ | 0.8817 |
| P．P | without constant | -1.397307 | $1.943304-$ | 0.1504 |
|  | With constant | -1.46627 | $2.883756-$ | 0.5477 |
|  | With constant and time trend | -1.200101 | $3.444756-$ | 0.9059 |
| KPSS | With constant | 0.937165 | 0.643000 |  |
|  | With constant and time trend | 0.277682 | 0.146000 |  |

By checking the P －value of each model of the estimated models for both tests ADF and P．P at 0.05 significance level（alpha），we accept the null hypothesis and concluded that the time series has unit root meaning that it is not variance stationary．In addition，through ADF test，we concluded that the time series needs to take differences．
By comparing the calculated value of KPSS test statistic to the critical value，we accept the alternative hypothesis，which means that the time series is not variance stationary．

From the results of plots and tests，we conclude that the time series is not mean stationary and not variance stationary．Therefore，the log transformation was applied then the first difference was taken to accomplish stationary in the series in the variance and the mean respectively as shown in figure（3） which shows that the autocorrelation function of the transformed data is cut after the first lag，which indicates that the time series is mean stationary．

Correlogram of W

| Sample： 1132 <br> Included observations： 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autocorrelation | Partial Correlation |  | AC | PAC | Q－Stat | Prob |
| $1 \square$ | $1 \square$ | 1 | 0.372 | 0.372 | 18.583 | 0.000 |
| 1 口1 | 111 | 2 | 0.147 | 0.010 | 21.499 | 0.000 |
| $1 f 1$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | 3 | －0．044 | －0．118 | 21.759 | 0.000 |
| 1显 | 18 | 4 | 0.063 | 0.135 | 22.295 | 0.000 |
| 11 | 1 1 | 5 | 0.022 | －0．031 | 22.360 | 0.000 |
| $11^{1} 1$ | E1 | 6 | －0．092 | －0．144 | 23.530 | 0.001 |
| 11 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 7 | －0．009 | 0.115 | 23.541 | 0.001 |
| 11 | 111 | 8 | 0.039 | 0.034 | 23.755 | 0.003 |
| 1 目 | 111 | 9 | 0.121 | 0.058 | 25.863 | 0.002 |
| 1日1 | $11_{1}$ | 10 | 0.100 | 0.069 | 27.317 | 0.002 |
| 1 自 | 111 | 11 | 0.113 | 0.056 | 29.172 | 0.002 |
| 111 | 11 | 12 | 0.077 | －0．002 | 30.033 | 0.003 |
| 181 | 181 | 13 | －0．016 | －0．068 | 30.073 | 0.005 |
| 11 | 111 | 14 | －0．003 | 0.040 | 30.074 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 111 | 15 | 0.030 | 0.052 | 30.207 | 0.011 |
| 11 | ＂${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | 16 | －0．032 | －0．103 | 30.358 | 0.016 |
| 181 | 111 | 17 | $-0.048$ | 0.009 | 30.707 | 0.022 |
| 111 | 11 | 18 | $-0.040$ | 0.006 | 30.958 | 0.029 |
| 111 | 11 | 19 | 0.023 | －0．020 | 31.037 | 0.040 |
| 11 | 111 | 20 | 0.054 | 0.047 | 31.492 | 0.049 |
| 11 | 111 | 21 | －0．019 | －0．062 | 31.549 | 0.065 |
| 181 | 11 | 22 | －0．031 | －0．027 | 31.701 | 0.083 |
| 11 | 1. | 23 | －0．030 | 0.003 | 31.847 | 0.103 |
| 111 | 111 | 24 | 0.023 | 0.021 | 31.933 | 0.129 |

Figure 3：Correlogram of transformed data $W_{t}$

It becomes clear from the results of ADF, P.P, and KPSS tests of the transformed data, shown in table (3) below, that the time series is
variance stationary. In addition, the results of ADF indicate that the series does not need to take anymore differences, which indicates that the series is mean stationary.

Table 3: The tests results of transformed data $W_{t}$

| Test | Model | Test Statistic | Critical Values | p-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADF | without constant | $8.18208-$ | $1.943304-$ | 0.0000 |
|  | With constant | $8.197312-$ | $2.883756-$ | 0.0000 |
|  | With constant and time trend | $8.149873-$ | $3.444756-$ | 0.0000 |
| P.P | without constant | $8.344295-$ | $1.943304-$ | 0.0000 |
|  | With constant | -8.382012 | $2.883756-$ | 0.0000 |
|  | With constant and time trend | $8.343332-$ | $3.444756-$ | 0.0000 |
| KPSS | With constant | 0.214289 | 0.643000 |  |
|  | With constant and time trend | 0.098436 | 0.146000 |  |

After checking the stationarty of the series, 65 frequencies were generated using formula (2). In addition, the
amplitude, the phase, and the periodogram were calculated as shown in table (4).

## 4 : Periodogram analysis of $\boldsymbol{W}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ Table

| j | Frequency | period | $\hat{A}_{j}$ | $\widehat{B}_{j}$ | Amplitude | Phase | periodogram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.04796325 | 20.8492975 | 7.079433e-04 | -3.307630e-03 | 0.0033825436 | 1.35994424 | 7.494249e-04 |
| 2 | 0.09592649 | 10.4246488 | $1.431931 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.602334 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0029702810 | -1.06776213 | $5.778783 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 3 | 0.14388974 | 6.9497658 | -1.660042e-03 | $2.361398 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0028865100 | 0.95806557 | $5.457421 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 4 | 0.19185299 | 5.2123244 | -1.057219e-03 | -1.432414e-03 | 0.0017803154 | -0.93497568 | $2.076037 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 5 | 0.23981623 | 4.1698595 | $6.907379 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -1.340426e-03 | 0.0015079326 | 1.09497412 | $1.489379 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 6 | 0.28777948 | 3.4748829 | $8.760026 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $2.201576 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0023694553 | -1.19210338 | 3.677379e-04 |
| 7 | 0.33574273 | 2.9784711 | -1.694825e-03 | $1.152857 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0020497585 | 0.59732830 | $2.751989 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 8 | 0.38370597 | 2.6061622 | -7.703295e-04 | -2.223622e-03 | 0.0023532748 | -1.23730538 | 3.627326e-04 |
| 9 | 0.43166922 | 2.3165886 | $1.112947 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $6.335595 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0.0001280644 | -0.51751207 | $1.074232 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 10 | 0.47963247 | 2.0849298 | -7.756589e-04 | $3.382998 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0034707814 | 1.34541046 | 7.890342e-04 |
| 11 | 0.52759571 | 1.8953907 | -1.071563e-03 | $2.255256 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0024968837 | 1.12723366 | $4.083551 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 12 | 0.57555896 | 1.7374415 | $1.226869 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -2.036024e-03 | 0.0023770990 | 1.02848120 | $3.701143 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 13 | 0.62352221 | 1.6037921 | $1.523896 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -1.452157e-03 | 0.0021049983 | 0.76129763 | $2.902317 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 14 | 0.67148545 | 1.4892355 | -2.849056e-03 | $5.581426 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.0029032121 | 0.19345444 | $5.520760 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 15 | 0.71944870 | 1.3899532 | -3.324620e-03 | -3.815690e-04 | 0.0033464453 | -0.11427072 | $7.335146 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 16 | 0.76741195 | 1.3030811 | -6.058610e-05 | -6.516940e-04 | 0.0006545042 | -1.47809570 | $2.805862 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 17 | 0.81537519 | 1.2264293 | $3.992304 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.720010 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0017657352 | -1.34272555 | $2.042173 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 18 | 0.86333844 | 1.1582943 | -5.347976e-04 | $1.077578 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0012029894 | 1.11011643 | $9.479051 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 19 | 0.91130169 | 1.0973314 | -3.786098e-04 | -1.707657e-03 | 0.0017491249 | -1.35261267 | $2.003932 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 20 | 0.95926493 | 1.0424649 | $3.652009 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -1.827602e-03 | 0.0018637327 | 1.37356885 | $2.275142 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 21 | 1.00722818 | 0.9928237 | -2.190613e-04 | $5.006453 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.0005464737 | 1.15833728 | $1.956050 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 22 | 1.05519143 | 0.9476953 | -2.440567e-03 | -1.415041e-04 | 0.0024446655 | -0.05791519 | 3.914535e-04 |
| 23 | 1.10315467 | 0.9064912 | -1.399170e-03 | -1.496164e-03 | 0.0020484589 | -0.81888554 | $2.748501 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 24 | 1.15111792 | 0.8687207 | $6.120694 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -3.864795e-04 | 0.0007238752 | 0.56321041 | $3.432169 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 25 | 1.19908117 | 0.8339719 | -1.507334e-03 | $7.399063 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.0016791421 | 0.45631752 | $1.846784 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 26 | 1.24704441 | 0.8018961 | -1.252173e-03 | -8.397729e-04 | 0.0015076987 | -0.59075700 | $1.488917 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 27 | 1.29500766 | 0.7721962 | $2.248463 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -1.856158e-03 | 0.0029156316 | 0.69011101 | 5.568095e-04 |
| 28 | 1.34297091 | 0.7446178 | $1.040600 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -1.651796e-03 | 0.0019522497 | 1.00862326 | $2.496388 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 29 | 1.39093415 | 0.7189413 | -1.153633e-03 | -1.196109e-03 | 0.0016617904 | -0.80347318 | $1.808813 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 30 | 1.43889740 | 0.6949766 | -2.000057e-03 | -1.215087e-04 | 0.0020037445 | -0.06067807 | $2.629820 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 31 | 1.48686065 | 0.6725580 | -1.684346e-04 | $2.189918 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.0002762746 | 0.91516007 | $4.999460 \mathrm{e}-06$ |


| 32 | 1.53482389 | 0.6515405 | $1.661626 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.536203 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.0016988374 | -0.20968754 | $1.890362 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 1.58278714 | 0.6317969 | $1.703586 \mathrm{e}-05$ | -1.653322e-03 | 0.0016534096 | 1.56049267 | $1.790615 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 34 | 1.63075039 | 0.6132146 | -1.036664e-03 | -2.648826e-03 | 0.0028444593 | -1.19775397 | $5.299571 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 35 | 1.67871363 | 0.5956942 | 8.291315e-04 | -5.393994e-04 | 0.0009891465 | 0.57676847 | $6.408590 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 36 | 1.72667688 | 0.5791472 | $2.320018 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $5.888433 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.0006328991 | -1.19547665 | $2.623676 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 37 | 1.77464012 | 0.5634945 | 3.076818e-04 | -1.367872e-03 | 0.0014020490 | 1.34954405 | $1.287561 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 38 | 1.82260337 | 0.5486657 | $7.966094 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -1.493402e-03 | 0.0016925823 | 1.08077200 | $1.876467 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 39 | 1.87056662 | 0.5345974 | 3.951427e-04 | -2.576959e-04 | 0.0004717467 | 0.57789160 | $1.457669 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 40 | 1.91852986 | 0.5212324 | -3.668132e-05 | -3.362969e-04 | 0.0003382915 | -1.46215162 | 7.495895e-06 |
| 41 | 1.96649311 | 0.5085195 | $2.758703 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -7.867621e-04 | 0.0008337260 | 1.23355143 | $4.552899 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 42 | 2.01445636 | 0.4964118 | -3.849164e-05 | -3.839125e-04 | 0.0003858373 | -1.47086878 | $9.751014 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 43 | 2.06241960 | 0.4848674 | $1.228237 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -5.733382e-04 | 0.0013554644 | 0.43673462 | $1.203421 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 44 | 2.11038285 | 0.4738477 | -4.790360e-04 | -4.077087e-05 | 0.0004807679 | -0.08490562 | $1.513953 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 45 | 2.15834610 | 0.4633177 | -5.107497e-04 | -6.366272e-04 | 0.0008161859 | -0.89467013 | $4.363344 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 46 | 2.20630934 | 0.4532456 | 1.210339e-03 | -7.011997e-05 | 0.0012123689 | 0.05786945 | $9.627441 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 47 | 2.25427259 | 0.4436021 | $2.256535 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -3.054342e-04 | 0.0003797493 | 0.93450455 | $9.445724 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 48 | 2.30223584 | 0.4343604 | -1.495696e-04 | -1.186235e-04 | 0.0001908994 | -0.67051872 | $2.386990 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 49 | 2.35019908 | 0.4254959 | $1.096871 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -3.403344e-04 | 0.0011484568 | 0.30085888 | $8.639142 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 50 | 2.39816233 | 0.4169860 | $5.478249 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -2.295263e-04 | 0.0005939650 | 0.39675844 | $2.310803 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 51 | 2.44612558 | 0.4088098 | 3.180891e-04 | -5.428895e-04 | 0.0006292135 | 1.04079514 | $2.593208 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 52 | 2.49408882 | 0.4009480 | -3.528393e-04 | 6.147261e-04 | 0.0007087904 | 1.04973040 | 3.290614e-05 |
| 53 | 2.54205207 | 0.3933830 | 3.334777e-04 | 8.110359e-04 | 0.0008769188 | -1.18069359 | $5.036862 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 54 | 2.59001532 | 0.3860981 | 2.075319e-03 | 3.923407e-04 | 0.0021120793 | -0.18684569 | $2.921876 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 55 | 2.63797856 | 0.3790781 | $1.148417 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -1.121643e-03 | 0.0016052868 | 0.77360450 | $1.687899 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 56 | 2.68594181 | 0.3723089 | -5.626839e-04 | -4.030420e-04 | 0.0006921387 | -0.62157207 | 3.137817e-05 |
| 57 | 2.73390506 | 0.3657771 | $1.820756 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -1.943188e-04 | 0.0018310956 | 0.10632180 | $2.196157 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 58 | 2.78186830 | 0.3594706 | $1.727725 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -5.538987e-04 | 0.0018143426 | 0.31024183 | $2.156155 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 59 | 2.82983155 | 0.3533779 | $1.556185 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -1.921047e-03 | 0.0019273403 | 1.48996571 | $2.433090 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 60 | 2.87779480 | 0.3474883 | 3.654281e-04 | 3.333941e-04 | 0.0004946608 | -0.73959027 | $1.602715 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 61 | 2.92575804 | 0.3417918 | 1.150607e-03 | $1.125550 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.0016095833 | -0.77439011 | $1.696947 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 62 | 2.97372129 | 0.3362790 | $1.726059 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -2.961115e-04 | 0.0017512740 | 0.16989970 | $2.008859 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 63 | 3.02168454 | 0.3309412 | $1.081432 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -1.309441e-03 | 0.0016982730 | 0.88047699 | $1.889106 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 64 | 3.06964778 | 0.3257703 | -3.246632e-04 | 8.699341e-05 | 0.0003361161 | 0.26179988 | 7.399799e-06 |
| 65 | 3.11761103 | 0.3207584 | $1.507766 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -1.383658e-04 | 0.0015141012 | 0.09151244 | $1.501589 \mathrm{e}-04$ |

By examining Table (4) and the periodogram plot shown in Figure (4) below, we can conclude that there are three distinctive peaks
at $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{10}, \lambda_{15}$ frequencies, which indicate the existence of hidden sinusoidal components in the data.


To increase the results accuracy, the cumulative periodogram test was performed on the stationary data where $C\left(\lambda_{I}\right)$ was calculated using formula (16) as shown in Table (5)
below. In addition, the upper and lower limits were drawn using (17) and (18) formulas respectively as shown in figure (5).

Table 5 : Cumulative periodogram of $W_{t}$

| I | Frequency | Cumulative Periodogram | I | Frequency | Cumulative Periodogram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.04796325 | 0.05662134 | 34 | 1.63075039 | 0.78135100 |
| 2 | 0.09592649 | 0.10028182 | 35 | 1.67871363 | 0.78619289 |
| 3 | 0.14388974 | 0.14151430 | 36 | 1.72667688 | 0.78817516 |
| 4 | 0.19185299 | 0.15719940 | 37 | 1.77464012 | 0.79790307 |
| 5 | 0.23981623 | 0.16845211 | 38 | 1.82260337 | 0.81208035 |
| 6 | 0.28777948 | 0.19623583 | 39 | 1.87056662 | 0.81318167 |
| 7 | 0.33574273 | 0.21702796 | 40 | 1.91852986 | 0.81374800 |
| 8 | 0.38370597 | 0.24443351 | 41 | 1.96649311 | 0.81718786 |
| 9 | 0.43166922 | 0.24451467 | 42 | 2.01445636 | 0.81792458 |
| 10 | 0.47963247 | 0.30412862 | 43 | 2.06241960 | 0.82701679 |
| 11 | 0.52759571 | 0.33498110 | 44 | 2.11038285 | 0.82816063 |
| 12 | 0.57555896 | 0.36294436 | 45 | 2.15834610 | 0.83145727 |
| 13 | 0.62352221 | 0.38487226 | 46 | 2.20630934 | 0.83873110 |
| 14 | 0.67148545 | 0.42658329 | 47 | 2.25427259 | 0.83944475 |
| 15 | 0.71944870 | 0.48200256 | 48 | 2.30223584 | 0.83962509 |
| 16 | 0.76741195 | 0.48412247 | 49 | 2.35019908 | 0.84615223 |
| 17 | 0.81537519 | 0.49955171 | 50 | 2.39816233 | 0.84789811 |
| 18 | 0.86333844 | 0.50671342 | 51 | 2.44612558 | 0.84985736 |
| 19 | 0.91130169 | 0.52185374 | 52 | 2.49408882 | 0.85234352 |
| 20 | 0.95926493 | 0.53904314 | 53 | 2.54205207 | 0.85614902 |
| 21 | 1.00722818 | 0.54052100 | 54 | 2.59001532 | 0.87822469 |
| 22 | 1.05519143 | 0.57009651 | 55 | 2.63797856 | 0.89097729 |
| 23 | 1.10315467 | 0.59086227 | 56 | 2.68594181 | 0.89334800 |
| 24 | 1.15111792 | 0.59345538 | 57 | 2.73390506 | 0.90994064 |
| 25 | 1.19908117 | 0.60740840 | 58 | 2.78186830 | 0.92623105 |
| 26 | 1.24704441 | 0.61865762 | 59 | 2.82983155 | 0.94461378 |
| 27 | 1.29500766 | 0.66072628 | 60 | 2.87779480 | 0.94582468 |
| 28 | 1.34297091 | 0.67958725 | 61 | 2.92575804 | 0.95864564 |
| 29 | 1.39093415 | 0.69325339 | 62 | 2.97372129 | 0.97382318 |
| 30 | 1.43889740 | 0.71312249 | 63 | 3.02168454 | 0.98809596 |
| 31 | 1.48686065 | 0.71350021 | 64 | 3.06964778 | 0.98865504 |
| 32 | 1.53482389 | 0.72778247 | 65 | 3.11761103 | 1.00000000 |
| 33 | 1.58278714 | 0.74131112 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 5 : Cumulative periodogram of $W_{t}$

By examining figure (5), we notice that $C\left(\lambda_{I}\right)$ values fall out of the upper limit, which indicates the existence of hidden sine components in the stationary data. This result emphasizes that the data is not completely random as shown in figure (4).

## The second stage

Based on the values of $I\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ periodogram shown in table (4), $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ statistic, defined in formula (15), was applied as shown in table (6) below. periodogram shown in table (4), $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$

Table 6 : $F_{k}$ Statistic application results

| k | Frequency | F-Statistic | $\mathrm{F}(2,128)$ | K | Frequency | F -Statistic | $\mathrm{F}(2,128)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.04796325 | 3.841263532 | 3.00 | 34 | 1.63075039 | 2.669436583 | 3.00 |
| 2 | 0.09592649 | 2.921839197 | 3.00 | 35 | 1.67871363 | 0.311388428 | 3.00 |
| 3 | 0.14388974 | 2.752365939 | 3.00 | 36 | 1.72667688 | 0.127117126 | 3.00 |
| 4 | 0.19185299 | 1.019842640 | 3.00 | 37 | 1.77464012 | 0.628702526 | 3.00 |
| 5 | 0.23981623 | 0.728369720 | 3.00 | 38 | 1.82260337 | 0.920394664 | 3.00 |
| 6 | 0.28777948 | 1.828973830 | 3.00 | 39 | 1.87056662 | 0.070561791 | 3.00 |
| 7 | 0.33574273 | 1.358951182 | 3.00 | 40 | 1.91852986 | 0.036266160 | 3.00 |
| 8 | 0.38370597 | 1.803378278 | 3.00 | 41 | 1.96649311 | 0.220910593 | 3.00 |
| 9 | 0.43166922 | 0.005194758 | 3.00 | 42 | 2.01445636 | 0.047184775 | 3.00 |
| 10 | 0.47963247 | 4.057155804 | 3.00 | 43 | 2.06241960 | 0.587240968 | 3.00 |
| 11 | 0.52759571 | 2.037417789 | 3.00 | 44 | 2.11038285 | 0.073289431 | 3.00 |
| 12 | 0.57555896 | 1.841133116 | 3.00 | 45 | 2.15834610 | 0.211682804 | 3.00 |
| 13 | 0.62352221 | 1.434848268 | 3.00 | 46 | 2.20630934 | 0.468935871 | 3.00 |
| 14 | 0.67148545 | 2.785700301 | 3.00 | 47 | 2.25427259 | 0.045706437 | 3.00 |
| 15 | 0.71944870 | 3.754928909 | 3.00 | 48 | 2.30223584 | 0.011544125 | 3.00 |
| 16 | 0.76741195 | 0.135962744 | 3.00 | 49 | 2.35019908 | 0.420481252 | 3.00 |
| 17 | 0.81537519 | 1.002945982 | 3.00 | 50 | 2.39816233 | 0.11931911 | 3.00 |
| 18 | 0.86333844 | 0.461655906 | 3.00 | 51 | 2.44612558 | 0.125638041 | 3.00 |
| 19 | 0.91130169 | 0.983876631 | 3.00 | 52 | 2.49408882 | 0.159510775 | 3.00 |
| 20 | 0.95926493 | 1.119362529 | 3.00 | 53 | 2.54205207 | 0.244482597 | 3.00 |
| 21 | 1.00722818 | 0.094722730 | 3.00 | 54 | 2.59001532 | 1.444736099 | 3.00 |
| 22 | 1.05519143 | 1.950520308 | 3.00 | 55 | 2.63797856 | 0.826708920 | 3.00 |
| 23 | 1.10315467 | 1.357191961 | 3.00 | 56 | 2.68594181 | 0.152086419 | 3.00 |
| 24 | 1.15111792 | 0.166390435 | 3.00 | 57 | 2.73390506 | 1.079846238 | 3.00 |
| 25 | 1.19908117 | 0.905629647 | 3.00 | 58 | 2.78186830 | 1.059851496 | 3.00 |
| 26 | 1.24704441 | 0.728141247 | 3.00 | 59 | 2.82983155 | 1.198527339 | 3.00 |
| 27 | 1.29500766 | 2.810633769 | 3.00 | 60 | 2.87779480 | 0.077591585 | 3.00 |
| 28 | 1.34297091 | 1.230307102 | 3.00 | 61 | 2.92575804 | 0.831197742 | 3.00 |
| 29 | 1.39093415 | 0.886751384 | 3.00 | 62 | 2.97372129 | 0.986333087 | 3.00 |
| 30 | 1.43889740 | 1.297400132 | 3.00 | 63 | 3.02168454 | 0.926683895 | 3.00 |
| 31 | 1.48686065 | 0.024183504 | 3.00 | 64 | 3.06964778 | 0.035800975 | 3.00 |
| 32 | 1.53482389 | 0.927308872 | 3.00 | 65 | 3.11761103 | 0.734409593 | 3.00 |
| 33 | 1.58278714 | 0.877707418 | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |

By examining table (6), we conclude the existence of three significant hidden sinusoidal components at $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{10}, \lambda_{15}$ frequencies because the calculated F value at these frequencies is higher than the critical value $F(2,128)$, which indicate to the unacceptability of the null hypothesis at this frequencies. Therefore, the harmonic model of the data can be written in the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{W}_{t}= & \sum_{l=1,10,15}\left[\hat{A}_{l} \cos \left(\lambda_{l} t\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\widehat{B}_{l} \sin \left(\lambda_{l} t\right)\right]+u_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\mathrm{t}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$

## The third stage

In this stage, residuals of the selected harmonic model from the second stage were tested through the application of Ljung- Box Test and the cumulative periodogram test as shown in table (7) and figure (6) below.

Table 7 : Ljung- Box Test

| $\left(Q_{L B}\right)$ | $x^{2}(d f=18$, alpha $=0.05)$ | p -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25.244 | 28.869 | 0.3926 |
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Figure 6 : Cumulative periodogram of residuals

By examining table (7), we conclude that the residuals are random and represent the white noise. By examining figure (6), we notice that the cumulative priodogram values fall between the lower and upper limits, which indicates that the residuals do not contain hidden sinusoidal components.

## 6- Artificial neural network methodology application

The main step in designing the neural network model of a specific time series is determining the number of input variables. By applying Box-Jenkins methodology to the series of exchange rate, we find the best model to represent the data is ARIMA $(1,1,0)$. This mean that the input variables include only the variable $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$. therefore, the number of input nodes is equal to one. Therefore, the number of input nodes equal to one. Because the goal
here is to predict one-step-ahead, one output node was set in the output layer, which include
one variable Yt. In addition, one hidden layer was determined for the hidden level. By choosing backpropagation algorithm to train the network, a 0.5 learning speed and 0.9 momentum were selected, and we include $100 \%$ of the data for the training due to the small sample size. Because there is no constant rule to select the activation functions in both the hidden and output layers, 5 models including $\quad$ ANN (1), $\quad$ ANN(2), $\quad$ ANN(3), ANN(4), and ANN(5) were built with different activation functions as shown in table (*). Based on the activation function in the output layer of each model, the processing formula was determined. The number of hidden nodes in each models were also determined based on the try and error approach and the following formulas:

Table 8 : Artificial neural networks models

|  | Activation function for hidden layer Activation function for output layer |  |  | Hyperbolic tangent |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | sigmoid |  |  |  |  |
|  | Data preprocessing formula |  |  | normalized |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
|  | 1 | 355.3003 | 13.8103 | 1.0669 | 6 | 244.4384 | 10.8591 | 0.8442 |
|  | 2 | 239.2133 | 10.7915 | 0.8421 | 7 | 240.6842 | 10.4926 | 0.8174 |
|  | 3 | 314.3509 | 12.3766 | 0.96 | 8 | 320.4959 | 12.2783 | 0.9625 |
|  | 4 | 363.1231 | 14.0481 | 1.0874 | 9 | 268.5468 | 11.936 | 0.9279 |
|  | 5 | 299.6979 | 12.6116 | 0.9751 | 10 | 276.1918 | 11.8453 | 0.9259 |
|  | Activation function | or hidden la |  |  | sigmo |  |  |  |
|  | Activation function | or output la |  |  | sigmo |  |  |  |
| $0$ | Data preprocess | ng formula |  |  | normaliz |  |  |  |
| 2 | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
| ㄴ | 1 | 308.7995 | 12.6732 | 0.98 | 6 | 400.6688 | 13.8814 | 1.0779 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 2 | 399.0621 | 14.5312 | 1.0978 | 7 | 366.3151 | 14.5746 | 1.1027 |
| 3 | 3 | 337.6484 | 12.7363 | 1.0029 | 8 | 272.8888 | 9.5703 | 0.7261 |
| $Z$ | 4 | 246.7104 | 10.5956 | 0.8088 | 9 | 297.0384 | 12.5679 | 0.9652 |
|  | 5 | 256.438 | 11.5061 | 0.8838 | 10 | 272.4871 | 11.658 | 0.9101 |
|  | Activation function | r hidden 1 |  |  | Hyperbolic | angent |  |  |
| . | Activation function | or output la |  |  | Identity |  |  |  |
|  | Data preprocess | ng formula |  |  | normaliz |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
| $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | 1 | 177.339 | 7.6599 | 0.5865 | 6 | 184.5194 | 8.0842 | 0.6196 |
| 2 | 2 | 173.3501 | 7.6115 | 0.5843 | 7 | 196.4128 | 8.754 | 0.6751 |
| Z | 3 | 198.175 | 8.6334 | 0.6629 | 8 | 179.8835 | 7.9118 | 0.6056 |
| ↔ | 4 | 183.9683 | 8.0917 | 0.6195 | 9 | 182.1894 | 7.9497 | 0.6101 |
|  | 5 | 183.2493 | 7.9365 | 0.6103 | 10 | 184.5148 | 8.1362 | 0.6227 |
|  | Activation func | ion for hidd | n layer |  |  | moid |  |  |
| $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | Activation funct | ion for outp | t layer |  |  | entity |  |  |
| $=$ | Data prepro | cessing form |  |  |  | malized |  |  |
| 3 | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
| $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | 1 | 211.7407 | 9.3136 | 0.7118 | 6 | 182.8886 | 8.0308 | 0.6238 |
| 8 | 2 | 222.3407 | 9.6718 | 0.7421 | 7 | 198.721 | 8.6888 | 0.6715 |
| Z | 3 | 185.2495 | 8.2581 | 0.6293 | 8 | 181.8466 | 7.9717 | 0.6123 |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | 4 | 195.651 | 8.7934 | 0.6728 | 9 | 220.4262 | 9.1451 | 0.7009 |
| $\smile$ | 5 | 191.5193 | 8.686 | 0.6657 | 10 | 185.0319 | 8.1435 | 0.6259 |
|  | Activation func | ion for hidd | n layer |  | Hyperb | lic tangent |  |  |
| T | Activation funct | ion for outp | t layer |  | Hyperb | lic tangent |  |  |
| $\pm$ | Data prepro | cessing form |  |  | Adjuste | normalize |  |  |
| 2 | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE | Number of Hidden Nodes | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
| - | 1 | 298.7304 | 12.7281 | 0.9971 | 6 | 307.0816 | 12.5883 | 0.992 |
| B | 2 | 183.2822 | 8.6717 | 0.6709 | 7 | 284.4868 | 11.752 | 0.9224 |
| 3 | 3 | 175.6466 | 8.3228 | 0.6452 | 8 | 267.8135 | 11.5292 | 0.899 |
| 云 | 4 | 274.034 | 11.7873 | 0.9162 | 9 | 281.4044 | 11.748 | 0.923 |
| $\smile$ | 5 | 283.5786 | 11.9748 | 0.9335 | 10 | 304.3154 | 12.8228 | 1.0107 |

Regardless the number of the hidden nodes, by examining table (8) and based on MSE, MAE, and MAPE criteria, the best model among the designed models is ANN (3). Therefore, we conclude that the best activation function for the hidden layer is the bipolar function and the linear function for the output layer. In addition, by testing the third model, it is apparent that the best number of nodes for the hidden layer is 2 . Therefore, we conclude that the best
formula to determine the number of hidden nodes is $2 R$.

Based on the third model ANN (3) with 2 nodes and constant requirements of the other network except the sample size, data was divided to two sets including the training and the testing with specific portions as shown in table(9). The network was retrained again and the results are shown in table (9).

Table 9 : Values of the criteria when data partitioning

| Training Data | Testing Data | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0 | 173.3501 | 7.6115 | 0.5843 |
| 90 | 10 | 184.357 | 8.2431 | 0.6318 |
| 80 | 20 | 183.1978 | 7.2871 | 0.5619 |
| 70 | 30 | 180.9971 | 7.763 | 0.5966 |
| 60 | 40 | 188.8886 | 7.575 | 0.5855 |
| 50 | 50 | 179.1047 | 7.9445 | 0.6062 |
| 40 | 60 | 198.5476 | 9.2811 | 0.7166 |
| 30 | 70 | 189.0676 | 8.8814 | 0.674 |
| 20 | 80 | 204.1265 | 8.046 | 0.6266 |
| 10 | 90 | 185.3909 | 7.3814 | 0.573 |

By examining table (9), we conclude that the inclusion of all the data in the training leads to the lowest potential error. This is clear through the MSE criterion that reach its lowest value when $100 \%$ of the data is included in the training, which indicates a safe primary selection of the data size.

Based on the third model with 2 hidden nodes and constant network requirements except the momentum value, different models were designed with different momentum values as shown in table (10) below.

Table 10 : Values of the criteria during momentum change and fixed learning rate

| Learning Rate | Momentum | Time | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 0.9 | $0: 00: 00.09$ | 173.3501 | 7.6115 | 0.5843 |
| 0.5 | 0.8 | $0: 00: 00.08$ | 184.3754 | 8.1804 | .6289 |
| 0.5 | 0.7 | $0: 00: 00.05$ | 171.2072 | 7.4626 | .5748 |
| 0.5 | 0.6 | $0: 00: 00.05$ | 170.5924 | 7.5583 | .5800 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | $0: 00: 00.17$ | 188.2508 | 8.3374 | .6406 |
| 0.5 | 0.4 | $0: 00: 00.37$ | 180.5767 | 7.9174 | .6067 |
| 0.5 | 0.3 | $0: 00: 00.08$ | 173.5609 | 7.5861 | .5816 |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | $0: 00: 00.03$ | 171.6374 | 7.3010 | .5630 |
| 0.5 | 0.1 | $0: 00: 00.09$ | 197.1893 | 8.9972 | .6925 |

By examining table (10), we conclude that the momentum value affects the training time and the error calculated by the network. We also conclude that the best value of momentum that gives the lowest possible error at appropriate time with 0.5 learning rate is 0.6 .

Based on the last modifications of the third model of the network, a comparison was conducted between the network training without data processing and the network training with data processing by using normalized formula. The results are shown in table(11).

Table 11: Values of criteria for final model without processing

| Final Model | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Without Processing | 13345.0652 | 99.8602 | 7.5583 |
| With Processing | 170.5924 | 7.5583 | .5800 |

By examining table (11), we conclude that data processing is a crucial step before providing the network with data. This is apparent through the values of MSE, MAE, and MAPE criteria as shown in table (11).

Therefore, the best model of the neural network that can be used in the estimation is the third model with 2 hidden nodes and 0.6 momentum at 0.5 learning rate.

By comparing the calculated values of MSE, MAE, and MAPE for both methodologies, we conclude that the best methodology in forecasting the exchange rate is artificial neural network methodology as shown below.

| methodology | MSE | MAE | MAPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spectral analysis | 394.7326 | 11.2156 | 0.81900 |
| artificial neural networks | 170.5924 | 7.5583 | .5800 |

## 7- Conclusions:

1- The series of exchange rate of Iraqi dinar to the US dollar is non-stationary in the mean and the variance.
2- The best model of the artificial neural network to forecast the exchange rate using backpropagation algorithm is the network designed with one variable $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$, hyperbolic activation function in the hidden layer and linear activation function in the output layer, learning rate of ( 0.5 ), ( 0.6 ) momentum, and two hidden nodes in one hidden level.
3- The stationary exchange rate series contain hidden periodicities
4- Based on the MSE, MAE and MAPE criterion, it is apparent that artificial neural networks methodology is better than the spectral analysis in forecasting the exchange rate of Iraqi dinar to the US dollar.

## 8- Recommendations:

1- Compare the backpropagation networks and the Jordan or Elman networks in predicting the exchange rate.

2- Conducting a research on choosing the truncation point in the spectral windows and the lag windows
3- Apply the hybrid methodology to predict the exchange rate.
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ان النقلبات التي تحدث في سعر الصرف ولا سيما الارتفاعات والانخفاضـات المفاجئة و غبر المنوقعة لها تأثنبر كبير على الاقتصـاد القومي لأي دولة ومنها العر اق. لذا فأن التنبؤ الدقيق بسعر صرف الاينار العر اقي مقابل الدو لار الامريكي له اثر كبير في عملية التخطيط و اتخاذ القرار بالإضـافة الى المحافظة على النو ازن والاستقرار الاقتصـادي للعر اق .

يهدف هذا البحث الى المقارنة بين اسلوبي التحليل الطيفي و الثبكات العصبية الاصطناعية في النتبؤ بسعر صرف الدينار العر اقي مقابل الدو لار الامريكي بالاعتماد على البيانات المأخوذة من البنك المركزي العر اقي للفترة من 30/01/2004 الى
. 30/12/2014
 احصـائية للمفاضلة بين الاسلوبين تم التوصل الى ان اسلوب الشبكة العصبية أفضل من اسلوب التحليل الطيفي في التنبؤ.

