

Microbial contamination of drinking water supplies in Basrah province

Hussein K . Abdul-Sadda and Kassim Fawzi Abdul-kareem
Department of Pharmacology and Clinical laboratory Sciences
College of pharmacy/ University of Basrah

Abstract :-

Microbial contamination of water persists to be a major problem; the usual source is human and animal fecal matters that have entered the water systems . The presence of bacteria and pathogenic organisms is of great concern when considering the safety of drinking water, as pathogenic organisms can cause intestinal infections as dysentery, cholera and hepatitis, and other serious illnesses . Water samples for laboratory examination were collected from 14 areas all over the Basra governorate. Six samples were taken from each area . The total number examined was 84 samples, which were classified as : 42 samples from Reverse Osmosis (RO) Drinking water ,and 42 samples from Tap water. Each sample was submitted for direct microscopic examination, then culture was done using appropriate media for the identification of microbial contaminants (bacterial and fungal). Tap water samples were found to be highly contaminated with many types of pathogenic bacteria such as Coliform, *Salmonella* ,*Shigella* ,*Pseudomonas*, *Candida* and other types of fungi in all samples, whereas R.O. water samples also were found contaminated with *Candida* and other types of fungi in all samples of the tanks from which the samples were collected . In conclusion the main results were that drinking water in Basrah is not safe from the microbiological point of view.

Introduction:-

Water is the most important substance on earth .It makes about 80% of our body weights, comprises about 92% of the blood and nearly 98% of gastrointestinal secretions. Water holds all nutrient factors in solution and acts as a transportation medium for these substances. One of the most important functions of water is to flush toxins from the body. (1)

Contamination of water represent a serious problem .These contaminants can be divided into two broad categories : substances that affect water taste or appearance and considered harmless, and substances that represent health hazards; these include pathogenic microorganisms, inorganic and organic chemicals and radionuclides (2).

The presence of bacteria and other pathogenic (disease-causing) organisms in water can cause intestinal infections, dysentery, hepatitis, typhoid fever, cholera, and other illnesses (3).

Bacterial contamination can result from a number of sources.Human and animal wastes are primary sources of bacteria in water. These sources of bacterial contamination include runoff

Key words: Drinking water, microbial contamination.

from feedlots, pastures, dog runs, and other land areas where animal wastes are deposited (2). Additional sources include seepage or discharge from septic tanks and sewage treatment facilities. Bacteria from these sources can enter wells that are either open at the land surface, or do not have water-tight casings or caps. (4)

Another way bacteria can enter a water supply is through inundation or infiltration by flood waters or by surface runoff. Flood waters commonly contain high levels of bacteria. Small depressions filled with flood water provide an excellent breeding ground for bacteria.(5)

During the last five to 10 years, well and water distribution system construction has improved to the point where bacterial contamination is rare in newer wells (2).

The water contamination reduction is carried out by Purification technologies, whose processes require to be evaluated . The insecurity and ignorance regarding the drinking water quality of the water utilities (6)

According to World Health Organization,(7)there were an estimate of 4 billions cases of diarrhea and 2.2 million deaths annually in these countries and(8)the consumption of unsafe water has been implicated as one of the major causes of this disease(9). In Cameroon for example, they are the most prevalent water born disease among children below five years of age. In Yaounde in particular, the prevalence of diarrhea is increasing studies conducted in the city amongst children under five years of age show that the prevalence rate has shifted from 13.01% in 2004 to 14.4% in 2005 .(10;11). The most important pathogenic pathogens transmitted by the water rout were *Salmonella typhi* , *E.coli*, *Campylobacter* ,*Shigella*, *Cryptosporidium* ,*Giardia*, the organisms causing diarrheas(12). Thus, Human pathogenic microorganisms that are transmitted by water include bacteria ,viruses and protozoa. Most of them usually grow in the human intestinal tract and reach out through the feces .Ideally, drinking water should not contain any microorganisms known to be pathogenic or any bacteria indicative of faecal pollution(13).

The aim : This microbiological study takes a glance at the current situation regarding how healthy drinking water supplies are in Basrah.

Materials and Methods:

This study was performed in Basrah province from October and November 2006 .Water samples for laboratory examinations were collected from 14 areas all over the governorate six samples were taken from each area .The total number examined was 84 samples, which were classified as seen in Table 1. The samples tested in microbiological laboratories of Pharmacy college , Basrah university .

Collection of samples:

1-One liter of water samples were collected in sterile bottles used for collection of water samples by the health authorities and the laboratory analysis was performed afterward.(14) .

2- Sample preparation:

Each sample was first prepared by centrifugation of the whole container and 10 ml from the residual of solution were collected to direct examination then the whole containers water (one liter) were filtered three times with sterile syringe single use filter unit 0.45 (Difco) and cultured three types of media(2).

3- Direct microscopic examination using the direct slide method was done in order to decide the presence or absence of microorganisms.

4- Culture for microorganisms: Filter papers of syringe unite were cultured were cultured for bacteria using Petri dishes containing nutrient agar and kept for 36 hrs. at 37 °C to isolate bacterial contaminants .Other selective media such as Salmonella-Shigella agar were used to identify *Salmonella* and *Shigella* . While Sabaurod-Dextrose agar media were used to cultivate the same samples for 7 days at 32 °C for the detection of fungal contaminants(15) .

5- Microbial examination and diagnosis was performed after the isolation of pure colonies and the following tests were done in order to identify the type of bacteria and other microorganisms in water samples :

Gram stain (for bacterial colonies)

INVIC test (for identification of Coliform bacteria)

Carbohydrates fermentation test. (for differentiation between *Salmonella* and *Shigella* and for identification of *Candida*) .

The percentage of occurrence of microbial types in area of study according to formula in below (16)

$$O\% = \frac{r}{N} \times 100$$

O% = Percentage of Occurrence

r = times of isolates appearance

N= No. of samples

Results and Discussion:

In the present study the direct primary examination of water samples revealed the presence of high numbers of microorganisms which is an indication of microbial contamination.

Table 1 shows the tap water samples according to the area from which it was collected. *Candida* and different types of fungi *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium* were present in all samples of Tap water, that means the water contaminated with soil and air source(17).

Coliform bacteria is present in almost all areas examined(64.28% in Tap and 35.71% in drinking water ,the presence of coliforms usually means that the water may be contaminated by sewage effluent(18). *E. coli* is regarded as the most dangerous polluter of coliforms and is used as a standard for the detection of water cleanliness for human use(19,20). It is well known that tap water must be clear, clean and drinkable and the presence of *E. coli* in any reserve of water denies its use for human supplies.

The detection of *Salmonella* and *Shigella* in three areas with 21.42% ,7.1% respectively is catastrophic due to the ominous outcomes of epidemics that may be caused by these organisms as these are the most dangerous types of bacteria to contaminate food or water supplies (21).A single cell of *Salmonella* that may be detected in a water reserve of a whole city renders that reserve unhealthy and refused all together (22) .

Bacillus species were found also in many areas. This soil borne contamination may be attributed to water contact with soil from the broken, old, punctured and badly maintained water pipes(23).

The pathologic *Candida* species was detected in all tap water samples examined with 100% in Tap and 57.14% in drinking water . It has a minor morbidity on adults, however it is highly symptomatic in children and causes severe gastroenteritis (17,21) .

The greenish color of tap water commonly encountered may be attributed to the presence of many fungi in that water (8).

It is worth noticing that no significant difference was found among the different areas examined for tap water contamination regarding the cultural and economic status of that area .It is concluded that the source of contamination is the same one, i.e. the main resource of the city, or may be due to the passage underground of water pipes side by side with sewage pipes (23).Therefore it is recommended that all community public water systems must submit samples for coliform bacteria testing on a regular monthly basis.

The second part of the study was performed on the drinking water prepared by reverse osmosis method (Called R.O. water).This water is prepared by ultra filtration using the reverse osmosis systems present in certain industrial plants(petrochemical plant in southern Basrah) which is then transported and distributed in the city by large tankers .Water of these tankers is sold through other containers in each community .

Table 2 shows R.O. water collected from 14 areas. Petrochemicals plant and Al-Khaleej were free from all types of microorganisms and only four area(Ashar ,Five miles, Jubaila and Hakimia)were free of Coliform bacteria in the R.O water. The results of cultured water samples consistently indicate the contamination of tanks from which water is sold and/or that people who sold water are ill themselves, since the samples which were taken from the source(i.e. petrochemical plant) were sterile .

In Hartha (a rural area) a serious contamination with salmonella was detected, which may explain high occurrence of typhoid in this area (19). *Candida* was found in eight out of 13 tanks .This yeast gives a sticky and mucous material on the walls of these water containers, which was clear during the study.

Table 3 shows that all samples of tap water were contaminated 100%and 64.28% with *Candida* and *E. coli* which reflects this ecological problem .Whereas drinking water samples showed a high occurrence of the same contaminants 57 and 35.7% for *Candida* and *E.coli* .However it should be remembered that drinking water should be colorless ,odorless and free of any microorganisms(17).

In conclusion, the study found a constant and serious contamination threat to community health through the presence of contamination of both tap water and R.O. water. This necessitates urgent and comprehensive measures to overcome this serious problem on health of our growing society.

The use of chlorine and fluorine for water sterilization is mandatory, assuming that the water pipes are intact before reaching the consumers.

Table (1) Isolates of Tap water samples according to area

<i>Area Examined</i>	<i>Tap Water</i>
Petrochemicals Plant	<i>Bacillus spp. E.coli, Candida spp.,</i>
Junaina	<i>Bacillus spp., Klebsiella spp., E.coli Candida spp.,</i>
Jubaila	<i>Bacillus spp., Klebsiella spp., E.coli, Candida spp.,</i>
Ashar	<i>Bacillus spp., Klebsiella spp., Candida spp.,</i>
Jamhooria	<i>Bacillus spp., Shigella spp., E.coli, Candida spp.,</i>
Five miles	<i>Klebsiella spp., Candida spp.,</i>
Al-khaleej	<i>Bacillus spp., Shigella spp., E.coli, Candida spp.</i>
Hakeemia	<i>Bacillus spp., Shigella spp. E.coli, Candida spp.,</i>
Al-Jazaer	<i>Bacillus spp., Shigella spp., E.coli, Candida spp</i>
Buradhea	<i>Bacillus spp. E.coli, Candida spp.,</i>
Abu-Alkhasseb	<i>Bacillus spp., Klebsiella spp., Salmonella spp., Candida spp.</i>
Al-Hartha	<i>Klebsiella spp., Candida spp.,</i>
Al-Garma	<i>Bacillus spp, E. coli, Candida spp.,</i>
Al-Hadi	<i>Klebsiella spp., Candida spp.,</i>

Table (2): Isolates of (R.O.) water samples according to area.

<i>Area Examined</i>	<i>R.O. Water</i>
Petrochemicals Plant	Free
Junaina	<i>Klebsiella spp, E. coli , Candida spp.,</i>
Jubaila	<i>Candida spp.</i>
Ashar	<i>Cladisporium spp.</i>
Jamhooria	<i>E. coli , Cladisporium spp.</i>
Five miles	<i>Cladisporium spp., Candida spp.</i>
Al-khaleej	Free
Hakeemia	<i>Cladisporium spp., Candida spp.</i>
Al-Jazaer	<i>Klebsiella spp.</i>
Buradhea	<i>Klebsiella spp., Aspergillus spp.</i>
Abu-Alkhasseb	<i>E.coli, Klebsiella spp., Candida spp.</i>
Al-Hartha	<i>E.coli, , Salmonell spp. Candida spp. Klebsiella spp.Penicillium spp., Cladosporium spp.</i>
Al-Garma	<i>E. coli , Penicillium spp., Cladosporium</i>
Al-Hadi	<i>Klebsiella spp., Candida spp.</i>

Table (3) : The percentage occurrence of isolates in Tap and drinking water

Microbial Isolates	% Occurrence in Tap water	% Occurrence in Drinking water
<i>Bacillus</i>	78.57	0.0
<i>E .coli</i>	64.28	35.71
<i>Candida</i>	100	57.14
<i>Klebsiella</i>	50	42.85
<i>Shigella</i>	21.42	0.0
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	28.57	0.0
<i>Fusarium</i>	71.42	0.0
<i>Aspergillus</i>	78.54	7.1
<i>Alternavia</i>	50	0.0
<i>Actinomyces</i>	35.71	0.0
<i>Penicillium</i>	0.0	14.28
<i>Cladisporium</i>	7.1	14.28
<i>Salmonella</i>	7.1	7.1

References:-

- 1-Murray, M. T. ,(1997). Stomach Ailments and Digestive Disturbances. Rocklin, CA: Prima Publishing,pp:98-101.
- 2- APHA(1998) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th ed. Washington, DC, American Public Health Association Vol.51, pp:43.
- 3-Brian O.,(2009). Water Testing Bacteria, Coliform, Nuisance Bacteria, Viruses, and Pathogens in Drinking WaterB.F. Environmental Consultants,Vol 59.pp.38.
- 4- Sobsey,M.D;Pfaender,F.K.(2002)Evaluation of the H2S method for detection of fecal contamination of drinking water. Geneva, World Health Organization(WHO/SDE/WSH/02.08).
- 5-Sharon, S.; Paul, J.; Dave, V.; DeLynn, H. 2004, Sources of Bacteria in Drinking Water, health goods , Nebraska University Cooperative Extension, pp.36-39 .
- 6-Teresa ,M. , Jorge A. and Griego E.,(2006). Evaluation of purification processes of water vending machine company in Ciudad Juarez .Instituto de Ingenieria Tecnologia ,Vol 9.,pp:233.
- 7-WHO. Healthy situation in Basra-UN .humanitarian briefing .2003.
- 8-Diab, A. M., Abdel Aziz, M. H. and Abel Hamid, S. ,(2000). Drinking Water Qualities of Different Purification Systems in Ismailia Governorate. Egypt J. Microbiol. 35, No.4, pp. 533-534.
- 9-WHO.,(2005).Guidlines for the control of shigellosis, including epidemics due to *Shigella dysenteriae* type .Child adolescent health documents. Vol.34:98
- 10-DHS-Cameroon Demographic and Health Surveym,(2004). Mother and Child Mortality.Macro International,Caverton,MD. pp:135,138.
- 11-Henock, N.Y. and Dovie D.B.,(2007). Diarrheal disease in the history of public health.Arch Med.Rese.,38:159-163.

- 12-Mahvi,A.H. and Karyab H.,(2007). Risk assessment for microbial pollution in drinking water in small community and relation to Diarrhea disease.Am. Eurasian J. Agric.Environ.Sci.,2:404
- 13-Neal R. C. , and Betty C. , Water Quality. 1998,Water journal ,Vol.23 ,pp.76.
- 14- Taha G. and Younis M.,(2009). Chemical and Bacteriological Evaluation of Drinking Water
- 15- Collins,C.H; Lyne,P.M; Grence,J.M.and Falkinham,J.,(2004).Microbiological Methods,8th ed. Hodder Arnold ,London.
- 16- WHO . Drinking water qualification in third countries .WHO references notes book ,(2001).
- 17-Paula, D., (2006).Astatistical evaluation of water quality trends in selected water bodies of Newfoundland and Labrador,J.Environ.Eng.Sci. 5:59-61 .
- 18- Feldsine, P.T., M.T. Falbo-Nelson, and D.L. Hustead.,(1994). Coli Complete Substrate-supporting disc method for confirmed detection of total coliforms and fungi in water : comparative study. J. AOAC 77:58-63.
- 19-Eaton, KK. ,(1996).World out of balance: the microbial-pollution connection. Wake-up call. 31st annual meeting. Amer Acad Environ Med (abstracts). 45-73.
- 20-Conway, P.L. ,(1995). Microbial ecology of the human large intestine. In: G.R. Gibson and Macfarlane G.T., eds. p.1-24. Human colonic bacteria: role in nutrition, physiology, and pathology. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 21- Priyanka T. Amita B. and Sukarna T.,(2009). Evaluation of Water Quality:Physico-Chemical Characteristics using Correlation Study Nature and Science ,1(6):91,93.
- 22-Jane K.M; Adward SH. and Walter Y A. Bacteria in human drinking water ,1999 ,Water Well Journal ,Vol.77 .pp.34
- 23- Ainsworth,R. ,(2004). Safe piped water: Managing microbial water quality in piped distribution systems. IWA Publishing , London, for the world Health Organization,Geneva. pp:11-16

الخلاصة :

التلوث الميكروبي للماء بقي مشكلة مستمرة ، والسبب هو التلوث بالمواد البرازية للحيوانات والانسان والتي تدخل المنظومة المائية . ان وجود البكتريا و الميكروبات المرضية في المياه المعتبرة صالحة للشرب امر خطير جدا ، لانها يمكن ان تسبب الاصابات المعوية Intestinal Infection و الدزنتري والHepatitis والكوليرا وغيرها من الاصابات الخطرة . جمعت عينات المياه للفحص المختبري من 14 منطقة موزعة على محافظة البصرة . تم اخذ عينة الى اربعة عينات من كل منطقة ، وكان عدد العينات المفحوصة 65 عينة والتي صنفت الى مياه الشرب RO ومياه الاسالة(مياه الحنفيات) . جرى على كل العينات دراسة مجهرية مباشرة وبعدها تم زرع العينات في اوساط زرعية لتشخيص البكتريا والفطريات النامية . اثبتت الدراسة ان عينات مياه الاسالة كانت ملوثة بشكل كبير بالبكتريا المعوية Coliform و الخمائر المبيضات *Candida* في كل العينات المفحوصة .بينما لوحظ ان مياه الشرب RO كانت ملوثة بالخمائر المبيضات *Candida* وغيرها من الميكروبات في اغلب الأحواض والخزانات المدروسة . والخلاصة هي ان مياه الشرب في البصرة ليست امينة لاستخدامها للشرب من الناحية الميكروبية .