

## Jordan Right $(\phi, \theta)$ -derivation of prime Rings

Farhan D. Shiya  
Department of Mathematics,  
College of Education,  
University of AL-Qudisiya

### Abstract

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free prime ring. Suppose that  $\phi, \theta$  are automorphisms of  $R$ . In the present paper it is established that if  $R$  admits non zero Jordan right  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation, then  $R$  is commutative. Further, as a corollary of this result it is shown that every Jordan right  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation on  $R$  is a right  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation on  $R$ .

Finally, in case of an arbitrary prime ring it is proved that if  $R$  admits a right  $(\phi, \theta)$ -derivation which acts also as a homomorphism on a non zero ideal of  $R$ , then  $d=0$  on  $R$  where  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is a right  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation on  $R$ .

### 1- Introduction

Throughout the present paper  $R$  will denote an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$ . Recall that  $R$  is prime if  $aRb = \{0\}$  implies that  $a=0$  or  $b=0$ . As usually  $[x, y]$  will denote the commutator  $xy - yx$ . An additive subgroup  $U$  of  $R$  is called a Lie ideal of  $R$  if  $[u, r] \in U$  for all  $u \in U$  and  $r \in R$ . Suppose that  $\phi, \theta$  are endomorphisms of  $R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called a  $(\phi, \theta)$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)\phi(y) + \theta(x)d(y)$ , and a Jordan  $(\phi, \theta)$ -derivation if  $d(x^2) = d(x)\theta(x) + d(x)\phi(x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . In this present paper we shall show that if a 2-torsion free prime ring  $R$  admits an additive mapping satisfying  $d(u^2) = 2d(u)\theta(u)$  for all  $u \in U$ , then either

$d(U)=\{0\}$  or  $U \subseteq Z(R)$  where  $U$  is a Lie ideal of  $R$  with  $u^2 \in U$  for all  $u \in U$  and  $\theta$  is automorphism of  $R$ . Further, some more related results are also obtain. Final section of the present paper deals with the study of right  $(\phi, \theta)$ -derivation which acts also a homomorphism of the ring.

### 1-1 Defintion

An additive Mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called a right  $(\phi, \theta)$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)\phi(y) + d(y)\theta(x)$  and a Jordan right  $(\phi, \theta)$ -derivation if  $d(x^2) = d(x)\phi(x) + d(x)\theta(x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

## 2- Preliminaries

(2.1) Lemma: [7, Lemma 2]

If  $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$  is a lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime ring  $R$  and  $a, b \in R$  such that  $aUb = \{0\}$ , then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

(2.2) Lemma: [8, Lemma 4]

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be additive groups and let  $R$  be a 2-trosion free ring, Let  $f: G \times G \rightarrow H$  and  $g: G \times G \rightarrow R$  be biadditive mappings Suppose that for each pair  $a, b \in G$  either  $f(a, b) = 0$  or  $g(a, b)^2 = 0$ , in this case either  $f = 0$  or  $g(a, b)^2 = 0$  for all  $a, b \in G$ .

(2.3) Lemma: [9]

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free prime ring and  $U$  a Lie ideal of  $R$  if admits a derivation  $d$  such that  $d(U)^n = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ , where  $n \geq 1$  is a positive integer then  $d(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ .

(2.4) Lemma: [10, Lemma 13]

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semi prime ring if  $U$  is a commutative Lie ideal of  $R$ , then  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ .

(2.5) Lemma:

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free ring and let  $U$  be a Lie ideal of  $R$  such that  $u^2 \in U$  for all  $u \in U$ , suppose that  $\theta$  is an endomorphism of  $R$  if  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is an additive mapping satisfying  $d(u^2) = 2d(u)\theta(u)$  for all  $u, v \in U$ , then.

$$(i) \quad d(uv + vu) = 2d(u)\theta(v) + 2d(v)\theta(u)$$

$$(ii) \quad d(uvu) = d(v)\theta(u^2) + 3d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v) - d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v)$$

$$(iii) \quad d(u)\theta(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] = d(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(v)$$

$$(iv) \quad d[u, v][\theta(u), \theta(v)] = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U$$

$$(v) \quad d(vu^2) = d(v)\theta(u^2) + d(u)[3\theta(v)\theta(u) - \theta(u)\theta(v)] - d[u, v]\theta(u) \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Proof:

$$(i) \quad d(u + v)^2 = 2d(u + v)\theta(u + v)$$

$$= 2d(u)\theta(u) + 2d(u)\theta(v) + 2d(v)\theta(u) + 2d(v)\theta(v)$$

and on the hand

$$d(u + v)^2 = d(u^2 + v^2 + uv + vu) = d(u^2) + d(v^2) + d(uv + vu)$$

Combining two relations

$$d(uv + vu) = 2d(u)\theta(v) + 2d(v)\theta(u)$$

$$(ii) \quad d(u(vu + uv) + (vu + uv)u) \text{ by (i)}$$

$$= 2d(u)\theta(vu + uv) + 2d(vu + uv)\theta(u)$$

$$= 2d(u)[\theta(v)\theta(u) + \theta(u)\theta(v)] + 2[d(v)\theta(u) + 2d(u)\theta(u)]\theta(u)$$

$$4d(v)\theta(u^2) + 6d(u)\theta(u) + 2d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v)$$

on the other hand.

$$d(u(vu + uv) + (vu + uv)u) = d(uvu + u^2v + vu^2 + uvu)$$

$$= d(u^2v + vu^2) + 2d(uvu)$$

combining the above equation we get

$$d(uvu) = 2d(v)\theta(u^2) + 3d(u)\theta(v)\theta(u) - d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v)$$

(iii) by linearizing (i) on  $u$  we get

$$d(u + w)v(u + w)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= d(v)\theta(u+w)^2 + 3d(u+w)\theta(v)\theta(u+w) - d(u+w)\theta(u+w)\theta(v) \\
 &= d(v)\theta(u^2) + d(v)\theta(w^2) + d(v)[\theta(u)\theta(w) + \theta(w)\theta(u)] + 3d(u)\theta(v)\theta(u) + 3d(u)\theta(v)\theta(w) \\
 &+ 3d(w)\theta(v)\theta(u) + 3d(w)\theta(v)\theta(w) - d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v) - d(w)\theta(u)\theta(v) - d(u)\theta(w)\theta(v) - d(w)\theta(w)\theta(v) \\
 &\text{-----}(2)
 \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand.

$$d(u+w)v(u+w) = d(uvu) + d(wvw) + d(uvw) + d(uvw + wvu) \text{-----}(3)$$

combining ( 2 ) and ( 3 ) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(uvw + wvu) &= d(v)[\theta(u)\theta(v) + \theta(w)\theta(u)] + 3d(u)\theta(v)\theta(w) \\
 &+ 3d(w)\theta(v)\theta(u) - d(w)\theta(u)\theta(v) - d(u)\theta(w)\theta(v) \text{-----} \\
 &\text{--}(4)
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $uv + vu$  and  $uv - vu$  both belong to  $u$  we find that  $2uv \in U$  for all  $u, v \in U$ .

Hence, by our hypothesis we find that

$$d((2uv)^2) = 2d(2uv)\theta(2uv)$$

i.e.  $4d(uv)^2 = 8d(uv)\theta(uv)$  since  $\text{char } R \neq 2$

we have  $d(uv)^2 = d(uv)\theta(u)\theta(v)$ . Replace  $w$  by  $2uv$  in ---(4) and use the fact that  $\text{char } R \neq 2$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(uv(uv) + (uv)vu) &= d(v)[\theta(u)\theta(uv) + \theta(uv)\theta(u)] + 3d(u)\theta(v)\theta(uv) + 3d(uv)\theta(v)\theta(u)\theta(v) \\
 &= d(v)[\theta(u^2)\theta(v) + \theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(u)] + 3d(u)\theta(v)\theta(u)\theta(v) - d(uv)\theta(v)\theta(u) \\
 &= d(v)[\theta(u^2)\theta(v) + \theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(u)] + 3d(u)[\theta(v^2)\theta(u)] - d(uv)\theta(v)\theta(u) \text{-----}(5)
 \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand

$$d((uv)^2 + uv^2u) = 2d(uv)2\theta(u)\theta(v) + 2d(v)\theta(u^2)\theta(v) + 3d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v^2) - d(u)\theta(v^2)\theta(u) \text{-----}(6)$$

combining 5 and 6 we get

$$d(uv)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] = d(v)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(u) + \theta(v)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(v) \text{-----}(7)$$

Replacing  $v$  by  $u+v$  in (7) we have

$$2d(u)\theta(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] + d(uv)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] = 2d(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(u) + d(v)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(u) + d(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(v)$$

Now application of ( 7 ) yields (iii)

(iv) Lineariz (iii) on  $u$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d(u)\theta(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] + d(v)\theta(v)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] + d(v)\theta(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] + d(u)\theta(v)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \\
 &= d(v)[\theta(w), \theta(v)]\theta(u) + d(v)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(u) + d(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(v) + d(v)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]\theta(v)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u, v \in U$

Now application of ( 7 ) and (ii) yields that

$$d(v)\theta(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] + d(u)\theta(v)[\theta(u), \theta(v)]$$

$$= d(uv)[\theta u, \theta v] \text{ and hence}$$

$$\{d(uv) - d(v)\theta(u) - d(u)\theta(v)\}[\theta(u), \theta(v)] = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ -----(8)}$$

combining

$$d(uv + vu) = 2d(v)\theta(u) + 2d(u)\theta(v) \text{ and (8) we find that}$$

$$\{d(uv) - d(v)\theta(u) - d(u)\theta(v)\}[\theta(u), \theta(v)] = 0 \text{ -----(9)}$$

for all  $u, v \in U$

Further, combining of ( 8 ) and ( 9 ) yields the required result.

(iv) Replace  $v$  by  $2vu$  in  $d(uv + vu)$  and use the fact  $\text{char } R \neq 2$  to get

$$d(u2vu + 2vu^2) = 2d(2vu)\theta(u) + 2d(u)\theta(2vu)$$

$$= 4(d(vu)\theta(u) + d(u)\theta(v)\theta(u))$$

$$\therefore d(uvu + vu^2) = 2(d(vu)\theta(u) + d(u)\theta(v)\theta(u)) \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ -----(10)}$$

Again me placing  $v$  by  $2uv$  in  $d(uv + vu)$

$$d(u^2v + uvu) = 2d(uv)\theta(u) + d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v) \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ -----(11)}$$

Now combining ( 10 ) and ( 11 ), we get

$$d(u^2v - vu^2) = 2d[u, v]\theta(u) + d(u)[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ -----(12)}$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u^2$  in  $d(uv + vu)$ , we have

$$d(u^2v + vu^2) = 2d(v)\theta(u^2) + 2d(u^2)\theta(v) + 2d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v)$$

$$= 2\{d(v)\theta(u^2) + 2d(u)\theta(u)\theta(v)\} \text{ -----(13)}$$

Hence, subtracting ( 12 ) from ( 13 ) and using the fact that characteristic of  $R \neq 2$  we find that

$$d(vu^2) = d(v)\theta(u^2) + d(u)\{3\theta(v)\theta(u) - \theta(u)\theta(v)\} - d[u, v]\theta(u) \text{ for all } u, v \in U .$$

### **3- Right derivation and commutativity of prime ring .**

#### **(3-1)Theorem:**

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free prime ring and let  $U$  be a lie ideal of  $R$  such that  $u^2 \in U$  for all  $u \in U$  suppose that  $\theta$  is an outomorphism of  $R$  if  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is an additive mapping satisfying  $d(u^2) = 2d(u)\theta(u)$  for all  $u \in U$  then either  $d(U) = \{0\}$  or  $U \subseteq Z(R)$

proof: suppose that  $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$  by Lemma( 2-5 ) (iii) we have

$$d(u)\{\theta(u^2)\theta(v) - 2\theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(u) + \theta(v)\theta(u^2)\} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ -----(3.1)}$$

Replacing  $[u, w]$  for  $u$  in (3.1) we get

$$d[u, w]\{\theta(u), \theta(w)\}^2 \theta(v) - 2[\theta(u), \theta(w)]\theta(v)[\theta(u), \theta(w)]\theta(v)\theta[u, w]^2 \\ d[u, w]\{[\theta(u), \theta(w)]^2 \theta(v) - 2[\theta(u), \theta(w)]\theta(v)[\theta(u), \theta(w)] + \theta(v)[\theta(u), \theta(w)]\} \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U$$

Now, application of Lemma (2-5) (ii) yields that

$$\theta^{-1}d([u, w]\theta^{-1}[\theta(u), \theta(w)]^2)U = \{0\}$$

hence by Lemma 2.1 we find that  $R$  or each pair  $u, w \in U$  either

$$[\theta(v), \theta(w)]^2 = 0 \text{ or } d([u, w]) = 0. \text{ This implies that either}$$

$$[u, w]^2 = 0 \text{ or } d([u, w]) = 0. \text{ Note that the mappings } (u, w) \rightarrow [u, w] \text{ and}$$

$$(u, w) \rightarrow d([u, w])$$

satisfy the requirements of the Lemma ( 2-2 ).

Hence either  $[u, w]^2 = 0$  for all  $u, w \in U$  or  $d([u, w]) = 0$  for all  $u, w \in U$ . If

$$[u, w]^2 = 0 \text{ for all } u, w \in U, \text{ then for each } u \in U,$$

$$(I_n(w))^2 = 0 \text{ for all } w \in U, \text{ where } I_n \text{ is the inner derivation such that}$$

$$I_n(w) = [u, w]. \text{ Thus by the application of Lemma ( 2-3 ). we find that}$$

$$U \text{ is a commutative Lie ideal of } R, \text{ and hence by Lemma (2.4)}$$

$$U \subseteq Z(R), \text{ a contradiction. Hence we consider the remaining case}$$

$$\text{that } d([u, w]) = 0 \text{ for all } u, w \in U$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(uw) = d(wu) \text{ for all } u, w \in U, \text{ since } wu - uw \text{ and } wu + uw \text{ both belong to}$$

$$U, \text{ we find that } 2wu \in U \text{ for all } u, w \in U.$$

$$\text{This yields that } d((2wu)u) = d(u(2wu))$$

Since  $d(uv + vu)$  is valid in the present situation, we find that

$$4d((wu)u) = d((2wu)u + u(2wu))$$

$$= 4d(u)\theta(w)\theta(u) + 2d(2wu)\theta(u)$$

$$= 4d(u)\theta(w)\theta(u) + 2d(wu + uw)\theta(u)$$

$$= 4[d(u)\theta(w)\theta(u) + d(u)\theta(u)\theta(w) + d(w)\theta(u^2)]$$

since  $R$  is 2-torsion free, we obtain

$$d((wu)u) = d(w)\theta(u^2) + d(u)\theta(w)\theta(u) + d(u)\theta(w)\theta(u) \text{ for all } u, w \in U \text{ -----}$$

$$(3-2)$$

since  $d([u, w]) = 0$  for all  $u, w \in U$ , using Lemma (2-5) (iv) and (3-2), we get

$2d(u)[\theta(u), \theta(w)] = 0$  this implies that

$d(w)[\theta(u), \theta(w)] = 0$  for all  $u, w \in U$  ----- (3-3)

Now, replacing  $w$  by  $2wv$  in (3-3) and using the fact that  $\text{char } R \neq 2$  we get

$d(u)[\theta(u), \theta(w)]\theta(v) = 0$  i.e.,  $\theta^{-1}d(u)\theta^{-1}[\theta(u), \theta(w)]U = \{0\}$

thus by Lemma (2-1), we find that for each  $u \in U$ ,  $\theta^{-1}[\theta(u), \theta(w)] = 0$  or  $\theta^{-1}d(w) = 0$ .

This implies that  $[u, w] = 0$  or  $d(u) = 0$

Now let  $U_1 = \{u \in U / [u, w] = 0 \text{ for all } w \in U\}$

And  $U_2 = \{u \in U / d(u) = 0\}$ . Clearly  $U_1, U_2$  are additive subgroups of  $U$  whose union is  $U$ , but a group can not be written as a union of two of its proper subgroups and hence by Brauer's trick either  $U = U_1$  or  $U = U_2$  if  $U = U_1$ , then  $[u, w] = 0$  for all  $u, w \in U$  and by using the similar arguments as above we get  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ , again a contradiction.

Hence we have the remaining possibility that  $d(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ . i.e

$d(u) = \{0\}$  this completes the proof of the theorem.

### (3-2) Theorem :

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free prime ring and  $U$  a Lie ideal of  $R$  such that

$u^2 \in U$  for all  $u \in U$ . Suppose that  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $R$ . if  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is an additive mapping satisfying  $d(u^2) = 2d(u)\theta(u)$  for all  $u \in U$ , then

$d(uv) = d(v)\theta(u) + d(u)\theta(v)$  for all  $u, v \in U$ .

Proof: suppose that  $d = 0$  on  $U$ . Since  $2uv \in U$ ,  $uv - vu$  both belong to  $U$ , we find that  $2d(uv) = d(2uv) = 0$ . This implies that  $d(uv) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in U$ .



Hence the result is obvious in the present case. Therefore now assume that  $d(U) \neq \{0\}$ . Then by above theorem  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ . This  $R$  satisfies the property

$d(u^2) = d(u)\theta(u) + \theta(u)d(u)$  for all  $u \in U$ . By theorem (3-2) of [3] we find that  $d(uv) = d(u)\theta(v) + \theta(u)d(v)$  for all  $u, v \in U$ . Further since  $\theta(u) \subseteq Z(R)$ .

We find that  $d(uv) = d(v)\theta(u) + d(u)\theta(v)$  holds for all  $u, v \in U$ .

### (3-3) Corollary :

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free prime ring if  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is a Jordan right derivation, then  $d$  is right derivation.

### (3-4) Theorem:

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free ring and  $U$  a lie ideal of  $R$  such that  $u^2 \in U$  for all  $u \in U$ . Suppose that  $\theta$  is an endomorphism of  $R$  and  $R$  has a commutator which is not a zero divisor. If  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is an additive mapping satisfying  $d(u^2) = 2d(u)\theta(u)$  for all  $u \in U$ , then  $d(uv) = d(v)\theta(u) + d(u)\theta(v)$

Proof: for any  $u, v \in U$ , define a map  $f: U \times U \rightarrow R$ ,  $f(u, v) = d(uv) - d(v)\theta(u) - d(u)\theta(v)$  since  $\theta$  and  $d$  both are additive,  $f$  is additive in both the arguments and is zero if  $J$  is a right  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation.

Not that (8) is still valid in the present situation and hence we have

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)]f(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ -----(3-4)}$$

let  $a, b$  be an elements of  $U$  such that

$$[\theta(a), \theta(b)]c = 0 \text{ limits that } c = 0$$

application of (3-4) yields that

$$f(a, b) = 0 \text{ -----(3-5)}$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u+a$  in (3-4) and using (3-4), we find that

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)]f(a, v) + [\theta(a), \theta(v)]f(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ -----(3-6)}$$

Replacing  $v$  by  $b$  in (3-6) and using (3-6) we have

$$f(u, b) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \text{ -----(3-7)}$$

Further, substituting  $v+b$  for  $v$  in (3-6) and using (3-5) and (3-7) we get

$$[\theta(u), \theta(b)]f(a, v) + [\theta(a), \theta(b)]f(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ -----(3-8)}$$

Now replacing  $u$  by  $a$  in (3-8) and using the fact  $\text{char } R \neq 2$ , we have

$$f(a, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in U \text{ -----(3-9)}$$

combining of (3-8) and (3-9) yields that  $[\theta(a), \theta(b)]f(u, v) = 0$  this implies that  $f(u, v) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in U$ . i.e.  $d$  is a right  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation.

(3-5)Theorem: [2.Theorem 3-2]:

Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $k$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ , and let  $\theta, \phi$  be automorphisms of  $R$ .

Suppose that  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is a  $(\theta, \phi)$ -derivation of  $R$ .

- (i) if  $d$  acts as a homomorphism on  $k$  then  $d = 0$  on  $R$ .
- (ii) if  $d$  acts as anti-homomorphism on  $k$  then  $d = 0$  on  $R$ .

In the present section our objective is to extend the above study to the right derivation of a prime ring  $R$  which acts either as a homomorphism or as an anti-homomorphism of  $R$ .

(3-6)Theorem:

Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $k$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ , and let  $\theta$  be automorphisms of  $R$  suppose  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is a right  $(\theta, \phi)$ -derivation of  $R$ .

- (i) if  $d$  acts as an anti-homomorphism on  $K$ , then  $d = 0$  on  $R$ .
- (ii) if  $d$  acts as a homomorphism on  $K$ , then  $d = 0$  on  $R$ .

proof:

(i) Let  $d$  act as an anti-homomorphism on  $k$  by our hypothesis we have

$$d(yx) = d(x)\theta(y) + d(y)\phi(x) \text{ -----(3-10)}$$

in (3-10) replacing  $y$  by  $yx$

$$d(x)d(yx) = d(yx)\theta(x) = d(x)\theta(yx) + d(yx)\phi(x) \text{ -----(3-11)}$$

Now multiplying (3-10) in the left by  $d(x)$

$$d(x)d(yx) = d(x)d(x)\theta(y) + d(x)d(y)\phi(x)$$

$$d(x)d(yx) = d(x)d(x)\theta(y) + d(yx)\phi(x) \text{-----(3-12)}$$

combining (3-11) and (3-12) we get

$$d(x)d(x)\theta(y) = d(x)\theta(y)\theta(x) \text{-----(3-13)}$$

in (3-13) replace  $y$  by  $yr$  to get

$$d(x)d(x)\theta(y)\theta(r) = d(x)\theta(y)\theta(r)\theta(x) \text{-----(3-14)}$$

for all  $x, y \in K$ , and  $r \in R$ .

multiplying (3-13) on right by  $\theta(r)$  and combining with (3-14), we obtain

$$d(x)\theta(y)[\theta(r), \theta(x)] = 0 \text{-----(3-15)}$$

in (3-15) replacing  $y$  by  $ys$  we get

$$d(x)\theta(y)\theta(s)[\theta(r), \theta(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in K \text{ and } r, s \in R.$$

And hence

$\theta^{-1}d(x)yR[r, x] = \{0\}$  for all  $x, y \in K$  and  $r \in R$ . Thus for each  $x \in K$ , the primeness of  $R$  forces that either  $[r, x] = 0$  or  $d(x)\theta(y) = 0$

let  $K_1 = \{x \in K / d(x)\theta(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in K \text{ and } r \in R\}$ . Thus for each  $x \in K$ , the prime ness of  $R$  forces that either  $[r, x] = 0$  or  $d(x)\theta(y) = 0$ .

Let  $K_1 = \{x \in K / d(x)\theta(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in K\}$  and  $K_2 = \{x \in K / [r, x] = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R\}$

Then clearly  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are additive subgroups of  $K$  whose union is  $K$  by braur's trick, we have

$$d(x)\theta(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in K \text{ or } [r, x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in K \text{ and } r \in R,$$

if  $[r, x] = 0$ , replace  $x$  by  $sx$  to get  $[r, s]x = 0$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $r, s \in R$ , this implies that  $[r, s]Rx = \{0\}$ .

The prime ness of  $R$  forces that either  $x = 0$  or  $[r, s] = 0$ , but  $K \neq \{0\}$ .

We have  $[r, s] = 0$  for all  $r, s \in R$ , i.e.  $R$  is commutative so

$d(xy) = d(x)\phi(y) + \theta(x)d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ , i.e.  $d$  is a  $(\phi, \theta)$ -derivation which acts as an anti-homomorphism on  $K$ . Hence by theorem (3-5)

(ii) we have  $d = 0$  on  $R$ .

Hencefor, we have remaining possibility that

$$d(x)\theta(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in K.$$

Replace  $y$  by  $ry$  in (3-17), to get

$d(x)\theta(r)\theta(y)=0$  for all  $x, y \in K$  and  $r \in R$ , and hence

$\theta^{-1}d(x)Ry = \{0\}$  this implies that

$\theta^{-1}(d(x))=0$  that is  $d(x)=0$  for  $x \in K$  (3-18)

Replace  $x$  by  $sx$  in (3-18) to get

$\theta(x)d(s)=0$  for all  $x \in K$ ,  $s \in R$ ------(3-19)

Replacing  $x$  by  $xr$  in (3-19) we get

$\theta(x)\theta(r)d(s)=0$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $r, s \in R$ , and hence  $xR\theta^{-1}d(s) = \{0\}$

Since  $R$  is prime, and  $K$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ , we find that  $d=0$  on  $R$ .

(ii) if  $d$  acts as a homomorphism on  $K$  then we have

$d(y)d(x)=d(yx)=d(x)\theta(y)+d(y)\phi(x)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ ------(3-20)

Replacing  $x$  by  $yx$  in (3-20) we get

$d(xy)d(y)=d(y)\theta(x)\theta(y)+d(xy)\theta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K$

$d(y)d(yx)=d(yx)\theta(y)+d(y)\phi(y)\phi(x)$

Now application of (3-20) yields  $d(y)\phi(y)\phi(x)=d(y)\phi(y)\phi(x)$  this implies

$d(y)(d(y)-\phi(y))\phi(x)=0$  for all  $x, y \in K$ ------(3-21)

Replace  $x$  by  $rx$  in (3-21) to get

$d(y)d(y)-\phi(y)\phi(r)\theta(x)=0$  for all  $x, y \in K$  and  $r \in R$ , and hence

$\theta^{-1}(d(y)(d(y)-\phi(y)))Rx = \{0\}$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

The prime ness of  $R$  forces that either  $x=0$  or  $\theta^{-1}d(y)(d(y)-\phi(y))=0$

Since  $K$  is a non-zero ideal of  $R$ , we have  $\theta^{-1}d(y)(d(y)-\phi(y))=0$  this yields that

$d(y)(d(y)-\phi(y))=0$  this is  $d(y^2)=d(y)\theta(y)$ , since  $d$  is a right  $(\theta, \phi)$ -derivation we find that  $d(y)\theta(y)=0$ .

Linear zing the latter relation we have

$d(y)\theta(x)+d(x)\theta(y)=0$  for all  $x, y \in K$ ------(3-22)

Replace  $x$  by  $xy$  in (3-22) to get

$d(y)\theta(x)\theta(y)=0$  for all  $x, y \in K$ ------(3-23)

Substituting  $xs$  for  $x$  in (3-23) we get

$d(y)\theta(x)\theta(s)\theta(y)=0$  for all  $x, y \in K$  and  $s \in R$ , and hence  $\theta^{-1}d(y)xRy = \{0\}$ .

This for each  $y \in K$ , the prime ness of R forces that either  $y = 0$  also implies that

$$\theta^{-1}d(y)x=0 \text{ that is } \theta(x)d(y)=0 \text{ -----(3-24)}$$

Now using similar techniques as used to get (I) from (3-17) we get the required result.

## REFERENCES

- 1- Ashraf,M.and .Rehman,N."on Lie ideals and Jordan derivation of prime rings" Arch.Math.36(2000),201-206.
- 2- Ashraf,M. ,.Rehman,N. and QuadriS,M.A,"on  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -derivations incertain clss of rings,Rad.Math.9(1999),187-192.
- 3- Ashraf,M. ,.Rehman,N. and QuadriS,M.A"on Lie ideals and  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan derivations on rime rings,Tamkang J.Math.32(2001),247-252.
- 4- Ashraf,M. ,.Rehman,N. and Shakir Ali"on Jordan left derivations of Lie ideals in rime rings,Southeast Asan Bull.Math.25(2001),375-382.
- 5- Awtar,R" Lie and Jordan structures in prime rings with derivations,Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.41(1973),67-74.
- 6- Awtar ,R "Lie ideals and Jordan derivations of prim rings with derivation" proc,Amer. Math so ,11,1973,67-74.
- 7- Bergen,J.,Herstein,I.N and Kerr,J.W,"Lie ideals and derivation of primr rings.J Algebra 71(1981),259-267.
- 8- Bresar,M. and VUK Man,J.,Jordan  $(\phi,\theta)$ -derivation ,Glas,Math EEE.,ser.26(1991),13-17.
- 9- Cairini ,L aqnd Criambrun,A,Lie ideals and nil derivations ,Boll.Un.mat.Later 6(1985), 487-503.
- 10- Herstein,I.N.Topics in ring theory.Univ. of chicay press. Chicago 1969.