

***n-normal weighted composition operator and n-unitary weighted composition operator on Hardy space H^2**

Received: 13/9/2015

accepted: 15/11/2015

Eiman H.Abood

Department of Mathematics

College of science

University of Baghdad

Alaa Hussein Mohammed

Department of Mathematics

College of science

University of Baghdad

alaahma@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we characterize the n-normal weighted composition operator and n-unitary weighted composition operator on Hardy space H^2

Keyword

Composition operator, weighted composition operator, normal operator, Hardy space .

Math. Sub. classifications:QA299.6-433

1-Introduction

Let U denote the open unite disc in the complex plan ,let H denote the collection of all holomorphic function on U and let H^2 is consisting of all holomorphic self-map on U such that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ whose Maclaurin coefficients are square summable (i.e)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty.$$

More precisel $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ if and only if $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty$.The inner product inducing on H^2 is define as follows $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \overline{b_n}$ for all f, g in H^2 with $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$

If $f \in H$ and φ is analytic self map of the unit disk U ,the weighted composition operator on H^2 is defined by $W_{f,\varphi} = T_f C_\varphi$, where T_f is the Toeplitz operator $T_f: H^2 \rightarrow H^2$

Defined by $T_f(h) = fh$ for h in H^2 and C_φ is the composition operator on H^2 given by $C_\varphi(h) = h \circ \varphi$,if f is bounded on the unit disk U then $w_{f,\varphi}$ is bounded on H^2 and $\|W_{f,\varphi}\| = \|T_f C_\varphi\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|C_\varphi\|$

2- Preliminaries

Theorem (2-1) [5]

The composition operator C_φ is an identity operator if and only if φ is the identity self-map

Theorem (2-2) [5]

Let φ and γ be holomorphic self – maps then $C_\varphi C_\gamma = C_{\gamma \circ \varphi}$

For each $\alpha \in U$,the reproducing kernel at α ,denoted by $K_\alpha(z)$ and defined by Shapiro [5] as follows $K_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\alpha}z}$

It is easily seen for each $\alpha \in U$ and $f \in H^2$, $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ that

$$\langle f, K_\alpha \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \alpha^n = f(\alpha)$$

Theorem(2-3) [5]

Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of U .then for all α in U $C_\varphi^* K_\alpha = K_{\varphi(\alpha)}$

Recall that for $f \in H$ the Toeplitz operator T_f is the operator $T_f: H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ and given by $T_f(h) = fh$ for h in H^2 ,and it have the properties [4]

- (a) $C_\varphi T_f = T_{f \circ \varphi} C_\varphi$
- (b) $T_g T_f = T_{gf}$
- (c) $T_{f+\gamma g} = T_f + T_{\gamma g}$
- (d) $T_f^* = T_{\bar{f}}$

Theorem(2-4)

Suppose that $W_{f,\varphi}: H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ is bounded and $\alpha \in U$ then $W_{f,\varphi}^* K_\alpha = K_{\varphi(\alpha)}$

When $\varphi(z) = (az + b)/cz + d$ is an linear fractional self mapping of U , Cowen [1] establishes $C_\varphi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*$,where the Cowen auxiliary functions g, σ and h are defined as follows :

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{-bz+d} , \sigma(z) = \frac{\bar{a}z-\bar{c}}{-bz+d} \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = cz + d .$$

If φ is linear fractional and the weight function f is both linear fractional and bounded on U then $W_{f,\varphi}^* = (T_f C_\varphi)^* = C_\varphi^* T_f^*$

Recall that the operator S is normal if $S^*S = SS^*$ where S^* is the adjoint of S and is said to be unitary if $S^*S = SS^* = I$ where I is the identity operator on H [9]

Theorem(2-5)[9]

If S is normal operator then $\|S^*f\| = \|Sf\|$ for all $f \in H$

Theorem(2-6)[9]

If S is normal operator then τ is an eigenvalue for S if and only if $\bar{\tau}$ is an eigenvalue for S^*

Theorem (2-7) [5]

Let φ be a holomorphic self-map .then C_φ is normal if and only if $\varphi = \alpha z$,

For some $|\alpha| \leq 1$

Definition (2-8)[8]

An operator $S \in B(H)$ is called an n -normal operator if $S^*S^n = S^nS^*$

Theorem(2-9)[8]

Let $S \in B(H)$ then S is n -normal operator if S^n is normal where $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Theorem(2-10)[8]

Let $S \in B(H)$ be n -normal operator, then

- 1- S^* is n -normal
- 2- If S^{-1} exists then S^{-1} is n -normal
- 3- If $R \in B(H)$ is unitary equivalent to S ,then R is n -normal

Definition (2-11)[7]

Let φ a holomorphic self-map of U , φ is called an inner function if $|\varphi(z)| = 1$ almost every where on ∂U

Theorem(2-12) [7]

If φ is univalent inner function then φ is an automorphism of U

Theorem(2-13) [7]

Suppose that ϕ is not elliptic automorphism, then there is a unique fixed point p of ϕ with $|p| \leq 1$ and $|\phi'(p)| \leq 1$.

By Denjoy-Wolff theorem, the fixed point p in Grand Iteration theorem to which the iterates of ϕ converges is unique and it is called the Denjoy-Wolff point of ϕ or attractive fixed point for ϕ .

3- The Main results

Definition (3-1)

The operator $S \in B(H)$ is called an n -unitary operator if $S^*S^n = S^nS^* = I$

Proposition: (3-2)

Let φ be a holomorphic self-map .then C_φ is n - normal if and only if $\varphi = \gamma z$,

For some $|\gamma| \leq 1$

Proof :

Suppose C_φ is n - normal since by theorem (2-2) $C_\varphi^n = C_{\varphi_n}$

And since by theorem (2-9) we have C_{φ_n} is normal

Then by theorem (2,7) $\varphi = \gamma z$

Conversely suppose $\varphi = \gamma z$

Then $\varphi_n = \varphi \circ \varphi \circ \varphi \dots \circ \varphi$

$$= \gamma(\gamma(\gamma(\dots\gamma(\gamma(z)))) = \gamma^n z$$

Take $\gamma^n = \beta$ so $\varphi_n = \beta z$

Then $C_{\varphi_n} = C_\beta^n$ is normal, hence C_φ is n -normal.

Corollary : (3-3)

Let φ be a holomorphic self-map .then C_φ is n -normal if and only if C_φ is normal

Proof:

we have the result by theorem(2-2), theorem(2-9) and proposition(3-2)

Proposition: (3-4)

Let φ be a holomorphic self-map .then C_φ is n - unitary if and only if $\varphi_n = \beta z$,

For some $|\beta| \leq 1$

Proof:

$$C_\varphi^n C_\varphi^* K_\alpha(z) = K_\alpha(z)$$

$$C_{\varphi_n} K_\alpha(z) = C_{\varphi_n} K_{\varphi(\alpha)}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi(\alpha)}\varphi_n(z)}$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi(\alpha)}\varphi_n(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}$$

$$\overline{\varphi(\alpha)}\varphi_n(z) = 1 - \bar{\alpha}z$$

$$\overline{\varphi(\alpha)}\varphi_n(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$$

$$\varphi_n(z) = \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\varphi(\alpha)}\right)z = \beta z$$

Since $\bar{\alpha} \leq 1$ and $|\overline{\varphi(\alpha)}| \leq 1$ hence $|\beta| \leq 1$

On the other hand

$$C_\varphi^* C_\varphi^n K_\alpha(z) = K_\alpha(z)$$

$$C_\varphi^* C_\varphi^n K_\alpha(z) = C_\varphi^* C_{\varphi_n} K_\alpha(z) = C_\varphi^* K_\alpha(\varphi_n(z)) = K_{\varphi(\alpha)}(\varphi_n(z)) = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi(\alpha)}\varphi_n(z)}$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi(\alpha)}\varphi_n(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha}z}$$

$$\overline{\varphi(\alpha)}\varphi_n(z) = 1 - \overline{\alpha}z$$

$$\overline{\varphi(\alpha)}\varphi_n(z) = \overline{\alpha}z$$

$$\varphi_n(z) = \left(\frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\overline{\varphi(\alpha)}}\right)z \text{ and } \beta = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\overline{\varphi(\alpha)}}$$

Since $|\overline{\alpha}| \leq 1$ and $|\overline{\varphi(\alpha)}| \leq 1$ hence $\varphi_n = \beta z$, $|\beta| \leq 1$

Proposition: (3-5)

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$ be a holomorphic self-maps and $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in H$ then

$$W_{f_1, \varphi_1} \cdot W_{f_2, \varphi_2} \cdots W_{f_n, \varphi_n} = T_{f_1, (f_2 \circ \varphi_1)} \cdot T_{f_2, (f_3 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1)} \cdots T_{f_n, (f_{n-1} \circ \varphi_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1)} \cdot C_{\varphi_n \circ \varphi_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1}$$

Proof :

$$W_{f_1, \varphi_1} \cdot W_{f_2, \varphi_2} \cdot W_{f_3, \varphi_3} \cdots W_{f_n, \varphi_n} = T_{f_1, C_{\varphi_1}} \cdot T_{f_2, C_{\varphi_2}} \cdot T_{f_3, C_{\varphi_3}} \cdots T_{f_n, C_{\varphi_n}}$$

$$= T_{f_1} \cdot T_{f_2 \circ \varphi_1} C_{\varphi_1} C_{\varphi_2} \cdot T_{f_3} C_{\varphi_3} \cdots T_{f_n} C_{\varphi_n}$$

=

$$T_{f_1} \cdot T_{f_2 \circ \varphi_1} C_{\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1} \cdot T_{f_3} C_{\varphi_3} \cdots T_{f_n} C_{\varphi_n}$$

=

$$T_{f_1} \cdot T_{f_2 \circ \varphi_1} T_{f_3 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1} C_{\varphi_3 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1} \cdot T_{f_4} C_{\varphi_4} \cdots T_{f_n} C_{\varphi_n}$$

=

$$T_{f_1} \cdot T_{f_2 \circ \varphi_1} T_{f_3 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1} T_{f_4 \circ \varphi_3 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1} C_{\varphi_4 \circ \varphi_3 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1} \cdot T_{f_5} C_{\varphi_5} \cdots T_{f_n} C_{\varphi_n}$$

$$= T_{f_1, (f_2 \circ \varphi_1)} \cdot T_{f_2, (f_3 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1)} \cdots T_{f_n, (f_{n-1} \circ \varphi_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1)} \cdot C_{\varphi_n \circ \varphi_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1}$$

Corollary : (3-5)

Let φ be a holomorphic self-map and $f \in H$ then

$$W_{f, \varphi}^n = T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n}$$

Proposition: (3-6)

If φ is non-constant holomorphic self-map on U and $W_{f, \varphi}$ is n -normal then either $f=0$ or f never vanishes on U

Proof :

suppose $W_{f, \varphi}$ is n -normal and $f(\beta) = 0$ for some $\beta \in U$

$$\text{Then } W_{f, \varphi}^* K_\beta = f(\beta) K_{\varphi(\beta)} = 0$$

Since $W_{f, \varphi}$ is n -normal then by theorem (2-2) $W_{f, \varphi}^n$ is normal and by theorem (2-5)

$$\text{We have } \|(W_{f, \varphi}^n)^* K_\beta\| = \|(W_{f, \varphi}^n) K_\beta\| = \|(W_{f, \varphi}^*)^n K_\beta\| = 0$$

$$(W_{f, \varphi}^n) K_\beta = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in U \text{ then } (W_{f, \varphi}^n) = 0 \text{ since the } \{K_\beta\}_{\beta \in U} \text{ span } H^2$$

$$T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n} = 0$$

Since $C_{\varphi_n} \neq 0$ then

$$f \cdot (f \circ \varphi_1) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) = 0$$

it follows that either $f=0$ or $(f \circ \varphi_1) \dots$ or $(f \circ \varphi_{n-1})$ on non-empty open sub set $\varphi(U)$ or $\varphi(\varphi(U)) \dots$ Or $\varphi(\varphi_{n-2}(U))$ but φ is non-constant function on U

hence by open mapping theorem we have either $f=0$ or f never vanishes on U

Proposition: (3-7)

Suppose $W_{f, \varphi}$ is n -normal. If φ is non-constant holomorphic self-map on U and f is not zero function then φ is univalent

Proof :

suppose φ is not univalent on U then there exists $a, b \in U$ such that $a \neq b$ and

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$$

Since $f \neq 0$ then from proposition(3-6) we have $f(a) \neq 0$ and $f(b) \neq 0$

Let $g = \frac{K_a}{f(a)} - \frac{K_b}{f(b)}$ be non-zero function on H^2

$$\begin{aligned} W_{f,\varphi}^* g &= W_{f,\varphi}^* \left(\frac{K_a}{f(a)} - \frac{K_b}{f(b)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\varphi(\bar{a})(z)} \varphi^* K_a - \frac{1}{1-\varphi(\bar{b})(z)} W_{f,\varphi}^* K_b \\ &= \frac{1}{f(a)} \overline{f(\bar{a})} K_{\varphi(a)} - \frac{1}{f(b)} \overline{f(\bar{b})} K_{\varphi(b)} \\ &= K_{\varphi(\bar{a})} - K_{\varphi(\bar{b})} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\varphi(\bar{a})(z)} - \frac{1}{1-\varphi(\bar{b})(z)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$

We have $W_{f,\varphi}^* g = 0$

Since $W_{f,\varphi}$ is n -normal then $W_{f,\varphi}^n$ is normal

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|(W_{f,\varphi}^n) g\| &= \|(W_{f,\varphi}^n)^* g\| = \\ \|(W_{f,\varphi}^*)^n g\| &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

if $\|(W_{f,\varphi}^n) g\| = 0$ we have $(W_{f,\varphi}^n) g = 0$

Then $T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n} g = 0$

$$\frac{f(z) \cdot (f(\varphi(z))) \cdot (f(\varphi_2(z))) \cdot \dots \cdot (f(\varphi_{n-1}(z))) \cdot g \circ \varphi_n(z)}{= 0}$$

Since $f \neq 0$

Then $f(z) \neq 0, f(\varphi(z)) \neq 0, \dots$ and $f(\varphi_{n-1}(z)) \neq 0$

We have $g(\varphi_n(z)) = 0$

Since φ is non-constant then φ_n is non-constant

Since $\varphi(U) \subseteq U$ and $\varphi(U)$ is open in U by open mapping theorem we have

$$g(\varphi_n(z)) = 0 \quad \forall z \in U$$

Then $g=0$ which is contradiction

Then φ is univalent

Proposition: (3-8)

If $W_{f,\varphi}$ be n -unitary then φ is an automorphism of U .

Proof:

Suppose $W_{f,\varphi}$ be n -unitary then $W_{f,\varphi}$ is norm preserving i.e $\|W_{f,\varphi} g\| = \|g\|$

Let $g(z)=1$

$$\|(W_{f,\varphi}^n) g\| = \|(W_{f,\varphi}^n) 1\| = \|1\| = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n} 1\| &= 1 \\ \|f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1})\| &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Again let $g(z)=z, \|g\| = 1$

$$1 = \|g\| = \|(W_{f,\varphi}^n) g\|$$

$$= \|T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n} g\|$$

$$= \|f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot g \circ \varphi_n\|$$

$$= \|f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot g(\varphi_n)\|$$

$$= \|f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot \varphi_n\|$$

Since $|\varphi_n(e^{it})| \leq 1$ a.e $t \in [0, 2\pi]$

And both

$$\|f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1})\| = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\|f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot \varphi_n\| = 1$$

$$|\varphi_n(e^{it})| = 1 \text{ a.e on } U$$

then φ_n is inner function and from proposition () then φ_n is automorphism

$$\text{hance } \varphi_n(z) = \gamma \alpha_p(z)$$

$$\varphi(\varphi_{n-1}(z)) = \gamma \alpha_p(z)$$

φ is automorphism

Proposition: (3-9)

Suppose $\varphi(\beta) = 0$ for some $\beta \in U$, if $W_{f,\varphi}$ be n-unitary then

$$f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) = \frac{K_\beta}{\|K_\beta\|}$$

Proof :

let $W_{f,\varphi}$ is n-unitary

Then $W_{f,\varphi}^* K_\beta = K_\beta$

$$W_{f,\varphi}^n K_{\varphi(\beta)} = K_\beta$$

Since $\varphi(\beta) = 0$ then

$$W_{f,\varphi}^n K_0 = K_\beta \text{ and we have } W_{f,\varphi}^n 1 = K_\beta$$

$$\overline{\beta} \cdot f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) C_{\varphi_n} 1 = K_\beta$$

Hence

$$f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) = \frac{K_\beta}{\|K_\beta\|}$$

Proposition: (3-10)

n-unitary on H^2 if and only if φ_n is an automorphism and

$$\varphi_n(z) \left[\frac{(1-|\alpha|^2) - \beta(1-\bar{\alpha})z}{(1-\bar{\rho}\bar{\alpha}\omega) - (\beta-\bar{\rho}\bar{\alpha}\omega)z} \right] = \frac{(1-|\alpha|^2)}{(1-\bar{\alpha}\rho z)[(1-\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}) - \bar{\rho}(\bar{\alpha}-\bar{\beta})z]}$$

Proof:

Suppose $W_{f,\varphi}$ is n-unitary

Then by Proposition (3-8) φ_n must be an automorphism and by Proposition (3-9)

$$f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) = \frac{K_\beta}{\|K_\beta\|}$$

Conversely

Since φ_n is an automorphism, $\varphi_n = \omega \frac{\beta-z}{1-\bar{\beta}z}$, $|\omega| = 1$ for some $\omega \in \partial U, \beta \in U$

Then φ must be automorphism $\varphi = \rho \frac{\alpha-z}{1-\bar{\alpha}z}$, $|\rho| = 1$ for some $\rho \in \partial U, \alpha \in U$

where the Cowen auxiliary functions g, σ and h are φ

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{\alpha-\bar{\rho}z}{1-\bar{\rho}\bar{\alpha}z} = \varphi^{-1}(z), \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\rho}\bar{\alpha}z} \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = 1 - \bar{\alpha}z.$$

$$C_\varphi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*$$

$$W_{f,\varphi}^n W_{f,\varphi}^* = T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n} C_\varphi^* T_f^*$$

$$= T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n} T_g C_\sigma T_h^* T_f^*$$

$$= T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n} T_g C_\sigma T_h T_f^*$$

$$= T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot T_{g \circ \varphi_n} C_{\varphi_n} C_\sigma T_{h\bar{f}}$$

$$= T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot T_{g \circ \varphi_n} C_{\sigma \circ \varphi_n} T_{h\bar{f}}$$

$$= T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot T_{(g \circ \varphi_n)(\bar{h}\bar{f} \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_n)} C_{\sigma \circ \varphi_n}$$

$$= f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot (g \circ \varphi_n) \cdot (\bar{h}\bar{f} \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_n) \cdot C_{\sigma \circ \varphi_n}$$

$$W_{f,\varphi}^* W_{f,\varphi}^n = C_\varphi^* T_f^* T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n}$$

$$= T_g C_\sigma T_h T_f^* T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n}$$

$$= T_g C_\sigma T_{h\bar{f}} T_f \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \cdot (f \circ \varphi_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot C_{\varphi_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= T_g T_{(f \circ \varphi_1) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1})} C_{\sigma} C_{\varphi_n} \\
 &= T_g (f \circ \varphi_1) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) C_{\varphi_n \circ \sigma} \\
 &= g \circ (f \circ \varphi_1) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) C_{\varphi_n \circ \sigma}
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g \circ \varphi_n(z) &= g(\varphi_n(z)) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha} \varphi_n(z)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{K_{\beta} [(1 - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega \beta) - (\bar{\beta} - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega) z]} \\
 \sigma \circ \varphi_n(z) &= \sigma(\varphi_n(z)) = \frac{\alpha - \bar{\alpha} \varphi_n(z)}{1 - \bar{\alpha} \varphi_n(z)} \\
 &= \frac{(\alpha - \bar{\alpha} \omega \beta) - (\bar{\beta} - \bar{\alpha} \omega) z}{(1 - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega \beta) - (\bar{\beta} - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega) z} \\
 f \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_n(z) &= f(\sigma \circ \varphi_n(z)) = \frac{f(\sigma \circ \varphi_n(z))}{K_{\alpha} (\sigma \circ \varphi_n(z))}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{f(\sigma \circ \varphi_n(z))}{K_{\alpha} (\sigma \circ \varphi_n(z))} (1 - \bar{\alpha} \frac{(\alpha - \bar{\alpha} \omega \beta) - (\bar{\beta} - \bar{\alpha} \omega) z}{(1 - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega \beta) - (\bar{\beta} - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega) z}) \\
 &= \frac{f(\sigma \circ \varphi_n(z)) (1 - |\alpha|^2 - (1 - \bar{\alpha}) \bar{\beta} - (\bar{\alpha} - \rho \bar{\alpha}) \omega) z}{K_{\alpha} [(1 - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega \beta) - (\bar{\beta} - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega) z]} \\
 &= \frac{g \circ (h \circ f \circ \sigma)(z)}{f \circ \sigma(z)} \\
 &= \frac{\|K_{\alpha}\|^2 (1 - \bar{\alpha} z) (1 - \bar{\rho} z)}{(f \circ \sigma)(z) (1 - \bar{\rho} \alpha z)} \\
 &= \frac{f \circ \sigma(z) [(1 - \alpha \bar{\beta}) - \bar{\rho} (\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}) z]}{f \circ \sigma(z)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{f, \varphi}^n &= \frac{f(\sigma \circ \varphi_n)}{f(\beta)} \left[\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2) - \beta(1 - \bar{\alpha} z)}{[(1 - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega \beta) - (\bar{\beta} - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega) z]^2} \right] C_{\sigma \circ \varphi_n} = I \\
 W_{f, \varphi}^n &= \frac{f(\sigma \circ \varphi_n)}{f(\beta)} \left[\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)}{[(1 - \bar{\alpha} \rho z) (1 - \bar{\rho} \beta) - \bar{\rho} (\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}) z]} \right] C_{\varphi_n \circ \sigma} = I
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $W_{f, \varphi}$ is n-unitary if and only if

$$\frac{f(\sigma \circ \varphi_n)}{f(\beta)} \left[\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2) - \beta(1 - \bar{\alpha} z)}{[(1 - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega \beta) - (\bar{\beta} - \bar{\rho} \alpha \omega) z]^2} \right] = \frac{f(\sigma \circ \varphi_n)}{f(\beta)} \left[\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)}{[(1 - \bar{\alpha} \rho z) (1 - \bar{\rho} \beta) - \bar{\rho} (\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}) z]} \right]$$

Proposition: (3-11)

Suppose $\varphi(t) = t$ for some $t \in U$ and f is a linear fractional if $W_{f, \varphi}$ is n-normal, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) &= \frac{(f(t))^n K_t}{K_t(\varphi_n)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

Suppose that $W_{f, \varphi}$ is n-normal then $W_{f, \varphi}^n$ is normal

$$(W_{f, \varphi}^n)^* K_t = (W_{f, \varphi}^* (K_t))^n = \overline{f(t)}^n K_t$$

Hence K_t is an eigenvector of $(W_{f, \varphi}^n)^*$ with corresponding eigenvalue $(\overline{f(t)})^n$, since $W_{f, \varphi}^n$ is normal and by theorem (2-6) we have K_t is an eigenvector for $(W_{f, \varphi}^n)$ with corresponding eigenvalue $(f(t))^n$

$$W_{f, \varphi}^n K_t = (f(t))^n K_t$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) C_{\varphi_n} K_t &= (f(t))^n K_t
 \end{aligned}$$

$$f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) C_{\varphi_n} K_t = (f(t))^n K_t$$

$$f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot K_t(\varphi_n) = (f(t))^n K_t \text{ then we have}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) &= \frac{(f(t))^n K_t}{K_t(\varphi_n)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary : (3-12)

Suppose $\varphi(0) = 0$ then $W_{f, \varphi}$ is n-normal if and only if f is constant and C_{φ} is n-normal.

Notation (3-13) Let We denote to the form $f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \circ (f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1})$ by ξ

Proposition: (3-14)

Suppose $\varphi(t) = t$ for some $t \in U$ then $W_{f,\varphi}$ is n-normal if and only if $\varphi_n = \alpha_t \circ (\gamma \alpha_t)$ and $\xi = \frac{(f(t))^{nK_t}}{K_t(\varphi_n)}$ where $\alpha_t = \frac{t-z}{1-\bar{t}z}$

Proof :

Suppose that $W_{f,\varphi}$ is n-normal and let $\xi_t = \frac{(f(t))^{nK_t}}{K_t(\varphi_n)}$, since α_t is an automorphism then by proposition (3-10) W_{ξ_t, α_t} is n-unitary and by theorem (2-10) the operator $P = (W_{\xi_t, \alpha_t}^*) (W_{f,\varphi}^n) (W_{\xi_t, \alpha_t})$ is n-normal.

Let the Cowen auxiliary functions g, σ and h of α_t are

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{t-z}{1-\bar{t}z} = \alpha_t^{-1}(z) = \alpha_t(z), \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{t}z} \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = 1 - \bar{t}z.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (W_{\xi_t, \alpha_t}^*) (W_{f,\varphi}^n) (W_{\xi_t, \alpha_t}) \\ &= C_{\alpha_t}^* T_{\xi_t}^* T_f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \circ (f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \circ C_{\varphi_n} T_{\xi_t} C_{\alpha_t} \\ &= T_g C_{\alpha_t} T_h T_{\xi_t}^* T_f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \circ (f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \circ C_{\varphi_n} T_{\xi_t} C_{\alpha_t} \\ &= T_g C_{\alpha_t} T_{\frac{1}{f(t)}} T_f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \circ (f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \circ C_{\varphi_n} T_{\xi_t} C_{\alpha_t} \\ &= T_{g(\frac{1}{f(t)})} \circ (f \circ \varphi) \circ (f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \circ \alpha_t \circ (\xi_t \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_t) C_{\alpha_t \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_t} \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$j = g \circ (\frac{1}{f(t)}) \circ (f \circ \varphi) \circ (f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \circ \alpha_t \circ (\xi_t \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_t)$$

Since $\alpha_t \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_t(0) = 0$ and $P = W_{j, \alpha_t \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_t}$ then by corollary (3-12) j is a constant map and the composition operator $C_{\alpha_t \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_t}$ is n-normal and by proposition (3-2)

$\alpha_t \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_t = \gamma z, \quad |\gamma| \leq 1$ so $\varphi_n = \alpha_t \circ (\gamma \alpha_t)$. we can see that $j = \xi(t)$.

Conversely suppose $\varphi_n = \alpha_t \circ (\gamma \alpha_t)$ and $\xi = \frac{(f(t))^{nK_t}}{K_t(\varphi_n)}$

$$\varphi_n(z) = \alpha_t(\gamma \alpha_t(z)) = \frac{t(1-\gamma) - (|p|^2 - \gamma)z}{1 - |p|^2 \gamma - \bar{t}(1-\gamma)z}$$

and $\xi = \frac{(f(t))^{nK_t}}{K_t(\varphi_n)} = \frac{1 - |p|^2}{1 - |p|^2 \gamma - \bar{t}(1-\gamma)z}$

Since $C_{\gamma z}$ is n-normal and W_{ξ_t, α_t} is n-unitary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\xi_t, \alpha_t} C_{\gamma z} W_{\xi_t, \alpha_t}^* &= T_{\xi_t} C_{\alpha_t} C_{\gamma z} C_{\alpha_t}^* T_{\xi_t}^* \\ &= T_{\xi_t} C_{\alpha_t} C_{\gamma z} T_g C_{\alpha_t} T_{\xi_t}^* \\ &= T_{\xi_t} C_{\alpha_t} C_{\gamma z} T_g C_{\alpha_t} \cdot \frac{K_t}{\|K_t\|} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|K_t\|} T_{\xi_t} T_{g \circ (\gamma z \circ \alpha_t)} C_{(\alpha_t \circ \gamma z \circ \alpha_t)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{K_t}{\|K_t\|^2} \cdot g \circ (\gamma \alpha_t) C_{(\alpha_t \circ \gamma \alpha_t)}$$

If we substituting $g(\gamma \alpha_t(z)) = \frac{1 - \bar{p}z}{1 - |p|^2 \gamma - \bar{t}(1-\gamma)z}$, $\varphi_n(z) = \alpha_t(\gamma \alpha_t(z)) = \frac{t(1-\gamma) - (|p|^2 - \gamma)z}{1 - |p|^2 \gamma - \bar{t}(1-\gamma)z}$ and

$\xi = \frac{(f(t))^{nK_t}}{K_t(\varphi_n)} = \frac{1 - |p|^2}{1 - |p|^2 \gamma - \bar{t}(1-\gamma)z}$ in the last formula we obtain that

$W_{\xi_t, \alpha_t} C_{\gamma z} W_{\xi_t, \alpha_t}^*$ is similar to $W_{f,\varphi}$ then by proposition (2-10) $W_{f,\varphi}$ is n-normal.

Proposition: (3-15)

Let $\varphi(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ be a linear fractional self map and $f(z) = K_{\sigma(0)}(z) = \frac{\bar{a}}{cz+d}$ then $W_{f,\varphi}$ is n-normal if and only if $\frac{1}{-\bar{b}z+d} (\xi o \sigma). C_{\varphi_n o \sigma} = \frac{r+sz}{(rd-\bar{b}m)+(sd-\bar{b}r)z} \cdot \xi. C_{\varphi_n o \sigma}$

Proof :

Suppose the Cowen auxiliary functions g, σ and h of are φ then

$$W_{f,\varphi}^n W_{f,\varphi}^* = f \circ (f \circ \varphi) \circ (f \circ \varphi_2) \circ \dots \circ (f \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \circ (g \circ \varphi_n) \circ \dots \circ (f \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_n) \circ C_{\sigma \circ \varphi_n}$$

And

$$W_{f,\varphi}^* W_{f,\varphi}^{n+1} = \overline{(f \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_n)} \circ (f \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_{n-1}) \circ (f \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_{n-2}) \circ \dots \circ (f \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_2) \circ (f \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_1) \circ C_{\varphi_n o \sigma}$$

$$\overline{(h f \sigma \circ \varphi_n)}(z) = \overline{(h f \sigma)}(\varphi_n(z)) = \overline{h}(\sigma(\varphi_n(z))) \cdot \overline{f}(\sigma(\varphi_n(z))) = \bar{d}$$

$$\overline{(f \circ \sigma \circ \varphi_n)}(z) = \frac{r+sz}{(rd-\bar{b}m)+(sd-\bar{b}r)z}$$

$$\overline{(h f \sigma \circ \varphi_n)}(z) = \overline{(h \sigma)}(z) \cdot \overline{(f \sigma \circ \varphi_n)}(z) = \bar{d}$$

$$g \cdot \overline{(h f \sigma \circ \varphi_n)}(z) = \frac{\bar{d}}{-\bar{b}z+d} \text{ then we have}$$

$$\frac{1}{-\bar{b}z+d} (\xi o \sigma). C_{\varphi_n o \sigma} = \frac{r+sz}{(rd-\bar{b}m)+(sd-\bar{b}r)z} \cdot \xi. C_{\varphi_n o \sigma}$$

References

[1] C.C.Cowen, "Linear fractional composition operator on H^2 ". Integral Equations Operator theory 11,151-160(1988).
 [2] C.C.Cowen and E.Ko, "Hermitian weighted composition operator on H^2 ". Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.135(2007)

[3] E.H.Al-Janabi, " The composition operator on Hardy space H^2 ", M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad, (1996).
 [4] J.A .Dednes., "Analytic Toeplitz and Composition Operators", Con. J. Math., vol(5), pp. 859-865, (1972).
 [5] J.H Shapiro, "Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory", Springer-Verlage, New York, (1993).
 [6] M.J. Appell, Bourdon, P.S. & Thrall,J.J., "Norms of Composition Operators on the Hardy Space", Experimented Math., pp. 111-117, (1996).
 [7] P.L.Duren, "Theory of H^p Space", Academic press, New York, (1970)
 [8] S.A.Alzurairqi and A.B.Pate, "On n-Normal Operators", General Math. Notes, Vol.1, No.2, pp.61-73, (2010)
 [9] S.K.Berberian, "Introduction to Hilbert Space", Sec. Ed., chelesa publishing Com., New York, N.Y., (1976).

*المؤثر التركيبي الموزون السوي-n والمؤثر التركيبي الموزون الوجدوي -n على فضاء هاردي

تاريخ القبول: 2015\11\15

تاريخ الاستلام: 2015\9\13

الاء حسين محمد

جامعة بغداد

كلية العلوم

قسم الرياضيات

alaahma@yahoo.com

ايمان حسن عبود

جامعة بغداد

كلية العلوم

قسم الرياضيات

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث قمنا بتعريف على فضاء هاردي n -المؤثر التركيبي الموزون الوجدوي- n المؤثر التركيبي الموزون السوي وبرهان بعض الخواص والمبرهنات الخاصة بهما

الكلمات المفتاحية : المؤثر التركيبي .المؤثر التركيبي الموزون.المؤثر السوي .فضاء هاردي

:

Math. Sub. classifications:QA299.6-433

*** The Research is a part of M.Sc. thesis in case of the Second researcher**