

# On Coc – Dimension Theory

Raad Aziz Hussain

Raad-64@hotmail.com

WroodHasanHweidee

wroodhasan1212@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics College of Computer science and Information Technology University of  
AL-Qadisiya

## Abstract :

In this paper we introduce and define a new type on coc–dimension theory. The concept of  $indX, IndX, dimX$ , for a topological space  $X$  have been studied. In this work, these concepts will be extended by using coc – open sets.

**Keywords:** coc – open, coc – ind  $X$ , coc – Ind  $X$ , and coc – dim  $X$ .

## 1. Introduction

The “Dimension function“ in Dimension theory is function defined on the class of topological spaces such that  $d(X)$  is an integer or  $\infty$ . Then  $d(X) = d(Y)$  if and only if  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic and  $d(\mathbb{R}) = n$  for each positive integer  $n$ . The domain of dimension function is topological space and the codomain is the set  $\{-1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ . In [A.P.Pears, 1975], The dimension functions  $ind, Ind, dim$ , Actually the dimension functions,  $S - indX, S - IndX, S - dimX$  by using  $S - open$  sets were studied in [Raad Aziz Hussain AL-Abdulla, 1992], also the dimension functions,  $b - indX, b - IndX, b - dimX$ , by using  $b - open$  sets were studied in [Sama

Kadhim Gabar, 2010], also the dimension functions,  $f - indX, f - IndX, f - dimX$ , by using  $f - open$  sets were studied in [NedaaHasanHajee, 2011]. and the dimension functions,  $N - ind, N - Ind, N - dim$  are by using  $N - open$  sets [Enas Ridha Ali, 2014] also the dimension functions  $S_\beta - ind, S_\beta - Ind, S_\beta - dim$ , by using  $S_\beta - open$  sets [Sanaa Noor Mohammed, 2015]. In this paper actually the dimension function, coc-ind, coc-Ind and coc-dim by using coc-open sets. Finally some relations between them are studied and some results relating to these concepts are proved.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition (2.1):** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called co-compact open set ( notation : coc-open set

) if for every  $x \in A$ , there exists an open set  $U$  in  $X$  and a compact set  $K \in C(X, \mathcal{T})$  such that  $x \in U - K \subseteq A$ , the complement of coc-open set is called coc-closed set. The family of all coc-open subsets of a space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  will be denoted  $\mathcal{T}^K$  and the family  $\{U - K : U \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } K \in C(X, \mathcal{T})\}$  of coc – open sets will denoted by  $B^K(\mathcal{T})$  [S.AI Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012].

**Theorem(2.2)[ S.AI Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012] :**

Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the collection  $\mathcal{T}^K$  form a topology on  $X$ .

**Example(2.3):** It is clear that every *coc* – open set is open set and every *coc* – closed set is closed, but the converse is not true in general, see the following example. Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $T = \{\emptyset, X\}$ , then  $\mathcal{T}^K = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset, X\}$ .

**Proposition(2.4)[Farah HausainJasim,2014]:**Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $Y$  any non –empty closed set in  $X$ . If  $B$  is a coc-open set in  $X$ , then  $B \cap Y$  a coc-open set in  $Y$ .

**Corollary(2.5)[Farah HausainJasim,2014]:**Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $Y$  any non –empty closed set in  $X$ . If  $B$  is a coc-closed set in  $X$ , then  $B \cap Y$  a coc-closed set in  $Y$ .

**Definition (2.6)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:**

Intersection of all coc – *closed sets containing*  $F$  is called the coc – *closure* of  $F$  is denoted by  $\bar{F}^{coc}$ .

**Theorem(2.7)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** For any subset  $F$  of a topological space  $X$ , the following statements are true .

- 1-  $\bar{F}^{coc}$  is the intersection of all coc – *closed sets* in  $X$  containing  $F$ .
- 2-  $\bar{F}^{coc}$  is the smallest coc – *closed set* in  $X$  containing  $F$ .

3-  $F$  is coc – *closed* if and only if  $F = \bar{F}^{coc}$ .

4- If  $F$  and  $E$  are any subsets of a topological space  $X$  and  $F \subseteq E$ , then  $\bar{F}^{coc} \subseteq \bar{E}^{coc}$ .

**Definitions(2.8)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:**

Let  $X$  be a topological space, Then :

1-  $A^{0coc} = \cup \{ B : B \text{ is coc-open in } X \text{ and } B \subseteq A \}$ .

2- The coc – neighborhood of  $B$  is any subset of  $X$  which contains an coc-open set containing  $B$ . The coc – neighborhood of a subset  $\{x\}$  is also called coc-neighborho- od of the point  $x$ .

3- Let  $A$  subset of  $X$ . For each  $x$  in  $X$  is said to be coc-boudary point of  $A$  if and only if each coc – neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$ , we have  $U_x \cap A \neq \emptyset, U_x \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ . The set of all coc – boundary points of  $A$  is denoted by  $b_{coc}(A)$ .

**Proposition (2.9)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** Let  $A$  be any subset of a topological space  $X$ . If a point  $x$  is in the coc- interior of  $A$ , then there exists a coc-open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \subseteq A$ .

**Remark(2.10):**

i.  $b_{coc}(A) = \bar{A}^{coc} \cap (A^{0coc})^c$  .

ii. Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $X$ , then  $b_{coc}(A) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $A$  is both coc-open and coc-closed set.

**Definition (2.11)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** A space  $X$  is called *coc –  $\mathcal{T}_1$  space* if and only if, for each  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , there exist *coc – open* sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  and  $y \in V, x \notin V$ .

**Proposition (2.12)[S.AI Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012]:**If a space  $X$  is  $\mathcal{T}_2$  – space, then  $\mathcal{T}^K = \mathcal{T}$ .

**Proposition (2.13)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then  $X$  is  $coc$  –  $T_1$  space if and only if  $\{x\}$  is  $coc$  – closed set for each  $x \in X$ .

**Definition(2.14)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** A space  $X$  is called  $coc$  – regular space if and if for each  $x$  in  $X$  and a closed set  $F$  such that  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $coc$ -open sets  $U, V$  such that  $x \in U, F \subseteq V$ .

**Definition (2.15):** A space  $X$  is said to be  $coc^*$ –regular space if and only if, for each  $x \in X$  and  $F$  is an  $coc$  –closed sub such that  $x \notin F$ , there exist  $U, V$  disjoint open sets in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .

**Proposition(2.16)[N.J.Pervin, 1964]:** A space  $X$  is regular space if and only if for every  $x \in X$  and each open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  there exist an open set  $W$  such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$ .

**Proposition(2.17)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** A space  $X$  is  $coc$ - regular space if and only if for every  $x \in X$  and each open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  there exist a  $coc$ - open set  $W$  such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W}^{coc} \subseteq U$ .

**Proposition(2.18):** A topological space  $X$  is  $coc^*$ -regular topological space if and only if, for every  $x \in X$  and each  $coc$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  then there exists an open set  $W$  such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $X$  is  $coc^*$ -regular topological space and let  $x \in X$  and  $U$  is a  $coc$ -open set in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$ . then  $U^c$  is an  $coc$ -closed in  $X$ ,  $x \notin U^c$ . Since  $X$  is a  $coc^*$ - regular topological space then there exist disjoint open sets  $W$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in W$  and  $U^c \subseteq V$ . then it is means  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq \overline{V^c} = V^c \subseteq U$ .

Conversely; Let  $x \in X$  and  $C$  be a  $coc$ -closed set such that  $x \in C^c$ . thus there exists an open set  $W$  such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq C^c$ . Then  $x \in W, C \subseteq (\overline{W})^c$ , then we get

to  $W, (\overline{W})^c$  is an open sets,  $W \cap (\overline{W})^c = \emptyset$ . Hence  $X$  is  $coc^*$ -regular topological space.

**Definition (2.19)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** A space  $X$  is said to be  $coc$  – normal space if and only if for every disjoint  $coc$ - closed sets  $F_1, F_2$  there exist disjoint  $coc$  – open sets  $V_1, V_2$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq V_1, F_2 \subseteq V_2$ .

**Definition (2.20)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** A space  $X$  is said to be  $coc'$  – normal space if and only if for every disjoint  $coc$ -closed sets  $F_1, F_2$  there exist disjoint open sets  $V_1, V_2$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq V_1, F_2 \subseteq V_2$ .

**Example(2.21):** This example show that  $coc$ -normal space is not normal space in general. Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}^K = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{d, b, c\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}\}$ . It is clear that  $X$  is  $coc$ - normal space and not normal space, since there exists disjoint closed sets  $\{d\}, \{c\}$  but not there exist disjoint open sets contain them.

**Proposition (2.22)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** A topological space  $X$  is  $coc$ –normal topological space if and only if for every closed set  $F \subseteq X$  and each open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  then there exists an  $coc$  – open set  $W$  such that  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}^{coc} \subseteq U$ .

**Proposition (2.23)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:** A topological space  $X$  is  $coc'$  – normal topological space if and only if for every  $coc$  – closed set  $F \subseteq X$  and each  $coc$  – open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  then there exists an  $coc$  – open set  $W$  such that  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}^{coc} \subseteq U$ .

### 3. On small Coc– Inductive Dimension Function

**Definition (3.1):** The  $coc$  – small inductive dimension of a space  $X$ ,  $coc$  –  $ind X$ , is defined inductively as

follows. topological space  $X$ ,  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = -1$ , and only if,  $X$  is empty. If  $n$  is a non-negative integer, then  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$  means that for each point  $x \in X$  and each open set  $G$  such that  $x \in G$  there exists an  $\text{coc} -$  open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b(U) \leq n - 1$ . We put  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = n$  if it is true that  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n - 1$ . If there exists no integer  $n$  for which  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$ , then we put  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = \infty$ .

**Definition (3.2):** The  $\text{coc}^* - \text{small}$  inductive dimension of a space  $X$ ,  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X$ , is defined inductively as follows. topological space  $X$ ,  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = -1$ , and only if,  $X$  is empty. If  $n$  is a non-negative integer, then  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n$  means that for each point  $x \in X$  and each open set  $G$  such that  $x \in G$  there exists an  $\text{coc} -$  open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b_{\text{coc}}(U) \leq n - 1$ . We put  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = n$  if it is true that  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n - 1$ . If there exists no integer  $n$  for which  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n$ , then we put  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = \infty$ .

**Theorem (3.4):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, if  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = 0$  then  $X$  is regular space.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in X$  and  $G$  an open set such that  $x \in G$ , since  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = 0$ , then there exists an  $\text{coc} -$  open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b(V) = -1$ . Thus  $b(V) = \emptyset$ , hence  $V$  is an open and closed set.

Therefore  $x \in V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq G$  by proposition (2.16), hence  $X$  is regular space.

**Theorem (3.5):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, if  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = 0$ , then  $X$  is  $\text{coc} -$ regular space.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in X$  and  $G$  an open set such that  $x \in G$ , since  $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = 0$ , then there exists an  $\text{coc} -$  open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b_{\text{coc}}(V) = -1$ . Thus  $b_{\text{coc}}(V) = \emptyset$  by Remark (2.9. ii. ), hence  $V$  is an

$\text{coc} -$ open and  $\text{coc} -$ closed set. Therefore  $x \in V \subseteq \bar{V}^{\text{coc}} \subseteq G$  by proposition (2.18), hence  $X$  is  $\text{coc} -$ regular space.

**Proposition(3.6):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, if  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X$  exists then  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq \text{ind} X$ .

**Proof:** By induction on  $n$ . If  $n = -1$ , then  $\text{ind} X = -1$  and  $X = \emptyset$ , so that  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = -1$ . Suppose the statements is true for  $n - 1$ . Now, suppose that  $\text{ind} X \leq n$ , to prove  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$ , let  $x \in X$  and  $G$  is an open set in  $X$  such that  $x \in G$  since  $\text{ind} X \leq n$ , then there exist an open set  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $\text{ind} b(V) \leq n - 1$  and since each open set is  $\text{coc} -$ open set. Then  $V$  is a  $\text{coc} -$ open set such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b(V) \leq n - 1$ . Hence  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$ .

**Theorem (3.7):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, then  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = 0$  if and only if  $\text{ind} X = 0$ .

**Proof:** By proposition (3.6) if  $\text{ind} X = 0$ , then  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq 0$  and since  $X \neq \emptyset$

then  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = 0$ . Now let  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = 0$ , let  $x \in X$  and  $G$  an open set in  $X$  such that  $x \in G$ , since  $\text{ind} X = 0$  then there exists a  $\text{coc} -$ open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b(V) = -1$ , then  $b(V) = \emptyset$ , hence  $\text{ind} b(V) = -1$ , thus  $\text{ind} X \leq 0$ , but  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\text{ind} X = 0$ .

The following example a space  $X$  with  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = \text{ind} X = 0$ .

**Example (3.8):** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $T = \{\emptyset, X\}$  be a topology on  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{T}^K = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset, X\}$ , and  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = \text{ind} X = 0$ .

**Theorem (3.9) :** If  $A$  is a clopen (closed and open ) subspace of a space  $X$ , then  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} A \leq \text{coc} - \text{ind} X$ .

**Proof :** By induction on  $n$ . It is clear if  $n = -1$ . Suppose that it is true for  $n - 1$ . Let  $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$ , to prove that  $\text{coc} -$

ind  $A \leq n$ , let  $x \in A$  and  $G$  an open set in  $A$  such that  $x \in G$ , thus there exist  $V$  an open set in  $X$  such that  $V = G \cap A$ , then  $x \in V$  and since  $\text{coc-ind } X \leq n$ , then there exist  $W$  a coc-open set in  $X$ , such that  $x \in W \subseteq V$  and  $\text{coc-ind } b(W) \leq n-1$ . , let  $U = W \cap A$ , then  $U$  coc-open set in  $A$  ( since  $A$  closed in  $X$  ) .  $b_A(U) \subseteq b(U) \cap A = \overline{U} \cap U^{0c} \cap A \subseteq \overline{W} \cap A \cap U^{0c} = \overline{W} \cap A \cap (W \cap A)^{0c} = \overline{W} \cap A \cap (W^{0c} \cup A^c) = b(W) \cap A \subseteq b(W)$  . We to prove that  $b_A(U)$  is closed set in  $b(W)$  , since  $b_A(U)$  a closed set in  $A$  and  $A$  closed set in  $X$  , then  $b_A(U)$  a closed set in  $X$  . Now;  
 $\overline{b_A(U)}^{b(W)} = \overline{b_A(U)} \cap b(W) = b_A(U) \cap b(W) = b_A(U)$ .  
 Since  $\text{coc-ind } b(W) \leq n-1$  , then  $\text{coc-ind } b(U) \leq n-1$  ,  
 therefore  $\text{coc-ind } A \leq n$  .

**Proposition (2.24):** A topological space  $X$  is  $\text{coc}^*$ -normal topological space if and only if for every  $\text{coc}$ -closed set  $F \subseteq X$  and each  $\text{coc}$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  then there exists an open set  $W$  such that  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $X$  is  $\text{coc}^*$ -normal space and let  $F$  is  $\text{coc}$ -closed set in  $X$  and each  $U$  is a  $\text{coc}$ -open in  $X$  such that  $F \subseteq U$ , then  $F, U^c$  are a disjoint  $\text{coc}$ -closed sets in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a  $\text{coc}^*$ -normal space, then there exist disjoint open sets  $W$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq W$  and  $U^c \subseteq V$ . then it is means  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq \overline{V^c} = V^c \subseteq U$ .  
 Conversely; Let  $F$  and  $C$  a disjoint  $\text{coc}$ -closed sets in  $X$ , then  $C^c$  is  $\text{coc}$ -open set such that  $F \subseteq C^c$ . Thus there exists an open set  $W$  such that  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq C^c$ . Then  $F \subseteq W, C \subseteq (\overline{W})^c$ , then we get to  $W, (\overline{W})^c$  is an open sets,  $W \cap (\overline{W})^c = \emptyset$ . Hence  $X$  is  $\text{coc}^*$ -normal space.

#### 4. On Large coc – Inductive Dimension Function

**Definition(4.1):** The  $\text{coc}$ -large inductive dimension of a space  $X$ ,  $\text{coc-Ind } X$ , is defined large inductively as follows. A space  $X$  satisfies  $\text{coc-Ind } X = -1$  if and only if  $X$  is empty. If  $n$  is a non-negative integer, then  $\text{coc-Ind } X \leq n$  means that for each closed set  $F$  and each open set  $G$  of  $X$  such that  $F \subset G$  there exists a  $\text{coc}$ -open set  $U$  such that  $F \subset U \subset G$  and  $\text{Ind } b(U) \leq n-1$ . We put  $\text{coc-Ind } X = n$ , its true that  $\text{coc-Ind } X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $\text{coc-Ind } X \leq n-1$ . If there exists no integer  $n$  for which  $\text{Ind } X \leq n$  then we put  $\text{coc-Ind } X = \infty$ .

**Definition (4.2):** The  $\text{coc}^*$ -large inductive dimension of a space  $X$ ,  $\text{coc-Ind } X$ , is defined large inductively as follows: A space  $X$  satisfies  $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X = -1$  if and only if  $X$  is empty. If  $n$  is a non-negative integer, then  $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X \leq n$  means that for each closed set  $F$  and each open set  $G$  of  $X$  such that  $F \subset G$  there exists open set  $U$  such that  $F \subset U \subset G$  and  $\text{coc-Ind } b_{\text{coc}}(U) \leq n-1$ . We put  $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X = n$  if it is true that  $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $\text{coc-Ind } X \leq n-1$ . If there exists no integer  $n$  for which  $\text{coc-Ind } X \leq n$  then we put  $\text{coc-Ind } X = \infty$ .

**Proposition(4.3):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, if  $\text{coc-Ind } X = 0$ , then  $X$  is normal.

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a closed set in  $X$  and  $G$  is an open set such that  $F \subseteq G$ . Since  $\text{coc-Ind } X = 0$ , then there exist  $\text{coc}$ -open  $W$  such that  $\text{coc-Ind } b(W) = -1$ , hence  $W$  is open and closed set therefore  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$ , then  $X$  is normal.

**Proposition(4.4):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, if  $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X = 0$ , then  $X$   $\text{coc}$ -normal space.

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a closed set in  $X$  and  $U$  is a open set such that  $F \subseteq U$ . Since  $\text{coc}^* - \text{Ind}X = 0$ , then there exist  $\text{coc}$ -open  $W$  such that  $\text{coc-Ind}b_{\text{coc}}(W) = -1$ , hence  $W$  is  $\text{coc}$ -open and  $\text{coc}$ -closed set therefore  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}^{\text{coc}} \subseteq U$  by proposition (2.23)  $X$  is  $\text{coc}$  -normal space.

**Proposition(4.5):** Let  $X$  be a topological space.  $\text{coc} - \text{Ind}X$  is exists, then  $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq \text{Ind}X$ .

**Proof:** By induction on  $n$ . It is clear that  $n = -1$ .

Suppose that it is true for  $n-1$ . Now, suppose that  $\text{Ind}X \leq n$ , to prove that  $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n$ , let  $F$  be a closed set in  $X$  and  $G$  is an open set in  $X$  such that  $F \subseteq G$ , since  $\text{Ind}X \leq n$ , then there is open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$  and  $\text{Ind}b(U) \leq n-1$ , since each open set is  $\text{coc}$ -open set. Then  $U$  is  $\text{coc}$ -open set such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc-Ind}b(U) \leq n-1$ . Hence  $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n$ .

**Theorem (4.6):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, then  $\text{Ind}X = 0$ , if and only if  $\text{coc} - \text{Ind}X = 0$ .

**Proof:** By proposition (4.5) If  $\text{Ind}X = 0$ , then  $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq 0$ , and since  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$ .

Now, Let  $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$  and Let  $F$  is closed set in  $X$  and each open set  $G$  in  $X$  such that  $F \subseteq G$ . Since  $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$ , then there exists a  $\text{coc}$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc-Ind}b(U) \leq -1$ . Then  $b(U) = \emptyset$ , therefore  $U$  is both open and closed set, then  $U$  open such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$ , and  $\text{Ind}b(U) = -1$ , hence  $\text{Ind}X \leq 0$  and since  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{Ind}X = 0$ .

**Theorem (4.7):** If  $A$  is a clopen (closed and open) subspace of a space  $X$ , then  $\text{coc-Ind}A \leq \text{coc-Ind}X$ .

**Proof :** By induction on  $n$ . It is clear if  $n = -1$ . Suppose that it is true for  $n-1$ . Let  $\text{coc-ind}X \leq n$ , to prove that  $\text{coc-Ind}A \leq n$ , let  $F$  is closed set in  $A$  and  $G$  an open set in  $A$  such that  $F \subseteq G$ , thus there exists  $V$  an open set in  $X$  such that  $V = G \cap A$ , then  $F \subseteq V$  and since  $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n$ , then there exist  $W$  a  $\text{coc}$ -open set in  $X$ , such that  $F \subseteq$

$W \subseteq V$  and  $\text{coc-Ind}b(W) \leq n-1$ , let  $U = W \cap A$ , then  $U$   $\text{coc}$ -open set in  $A$  ( since  $A$  closed in  $X$  ).  $b_A(U) \subseteq b(U) \cap A = \overline{U} \cap U^{0c} \cap A \subseteq \overline{W} \cap A \cap U^{0c} = \overline{W} \cap A \cap (W \cap A)^{0c} = \overline{W} \cap A \cap (W^{0c} \cup A^c) = b(W) \cap A \subseteq b(W)$ . We to prove that  $b_A(U)$  is closed set in  $b(W)$ , since  $b_A(U)$  a closed set in  $A$  and  $A$  closed set in  $X$ , then  $b_A(U)$  a closed set in  $X$ . Now;  $\overline{b_A(U)}^{b(W)} = \overline{b_A(U)} \cap b(W) = b_A(U) \cap b(W) = b_A(U)$ . Since  $\text{coc-Ind}b(W) \leq n-1$ , then  $\text{coc-Ind}b(U) \leq n-1$ , therefore  $\text{coc-Ind}A \leq n$ .

## 5. On Coc- Covering Dimensiaton Function

**Definition(5.1) [A.P. Pears, 1975]:** Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{A}$  a family of subsets of  $X$ , by an order of the family  $\mathcal{A}$  we mean the largest integer  $n$  such that the family  $\mathcal{A}$  contains  $n+1$  sets with a non- empty intersection, if no such integer exists, we say that the family  $\mathcal{A}$  has order  $\infty$ . The order of a family  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\text{ord } \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition(5.2) [Stephen Willard, 1970]:** If  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are covers of  $X$ , we say  $\mathcal{U}$  refines  $\mathcal{V}$ , iff each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  is contained in some  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ .

**Definition (5.3):** The  $\text{coc}$ -covering dimension ( $\text{coc-dim}X$ ) of a topological  $X$  is the least integer  $n$  such that every finite open covering of  $X$  has open refinement of order not exceeding  $n$  or  $\infty$  if there is no such integer. Thus  $\text{coc-dim}X = -1$  if and only if  $X$  is empty, and  $\text{coc-dim}X \leq n$  if each finite open covering of  $X$  has  $\text{coc}$ - open refinement of order not exceeding  $n$  that  $\text{coc-dim}X \leq n-1$ . Finally  $\text{coc-dim}X = \infty$  if for every integer  $n$  it is false that  $\text{coc-dim}X \leq n$ .

**Definition (5.4):** The  $\text{coc}'$ -covering dimension ( $\text{coc}' - \text{dim}X$ ) of a topological space  $X$  is the least integer  $n$  such that every finite  $\text{coc}$ -open covering of  $X$  has  $\text{coc}$ -open refinement of order not exceeding  $n$  or  $\infty$  if there is no such integer. Thus  $\text{coc}' - \text{dim}X = -1$  if and only if  $X$  is

empty, and  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim}X \leq n$  if each finite open covering of  $X$  has coc-open refinement of order not exceeding  $n$ . We have  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim}X = n$  if it is true that  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim}X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim}X \leq n - 1$ . Finally  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim}X = \infty$  if for every integer  $n$  it is false that  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim}X \leq n$ .

**proposition(5.5) :** Let  $X$  a topological space, then  $\text{coc-dim}X \leq \text{dim}X$ .

**proof :** Suppose that  $\text{dim}X \leq n$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  a finite open cover of  $X$ , then there exist  $\mathcal{V}$  an open refinement of order  $\leq n$ . Since every open set is coc-open set, then  $\mathcal{U}$  has  $\mathcal{V}$  a coc-open refinement of order  $\leq n$ , therefore  $\text{coc-dim}X \leq n$ .

**proposition(5.6) :** Let  $X$  a topological space, then  $\text{coc-dim}X \leq \text{coc}'\text{-dim}X$ .

**proof :** suppose that  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim}X \leq n$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  a finite open cover of  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  a finite coc-open cover of  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  a coc-open cover. Since  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim}X \leq n$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{V}$  a coc-open refinement of order  $\leq n$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}$  has  $\mathcal{V}$  a coc-open refinement of order  $\leq n$ , therefore  $\text{coc-dim}X \leq n$ .

**Lemma (5.7) :** If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a CC space, then  $\text{coc-dim}X = \text{coc}'\text{-dim}X = \text{dim}X$ .

**Proof :** By [S.Al Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012] the statement are equivalent : (i)  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a CC space. (ii)  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^K$ .

**Lemma(5.8):** If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a  $\text{coc}\mathcal{T}_2$ -space, then  $\text{coc-dim}X = \text{coc}'\text{-dim}X = \text{dim}X$ .

**Proof :** By [S.Al Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012] if  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a  $\text{coc}\mathcal{T}_2$ -space, then  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^K$ .

**Definition (5.9) :** A coc-base for the topology of a space  $X$  is a set  $\beta$  of coc-open sets such that for every point  $x \in X$  and every neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$ , there exists  $B$  such that  $x \in B \subseteq N$ . Equivalently  $\beta$  is a coc-base if each open set is a union of some members of  $\beta$ .

**Definition(5.10):** A coc\*-base for the topology of a space  $X$  is a set  $\beta$  of coc-open sets such that for every point  $x \in X$  and every coc-neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$ , there exists  $B$  such that  $x \in B \subseteq N$ . Equivalently  $\beta$  is a coc\*-base if each open set is a union of some members of  $\beta$ .

**Theorem(5.11):** Let  $X$  be a topological space. If  $X$  has a coc-base of sets which are both coc-open and coc-closed, then  $\text{coc-dim}X = 0$  for an  $\mathcal{T}_1$ -space, the converse is true.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $X$  has coc-base of sets which are both coc-open and coc-closed. Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be a finite open cover of  $X$ , it has a coc-open and coc-closed refinement  $\mathcal{W}$ , if  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , then  $w \subset U_i$  for some  $i$ . Let each  $w \in \mathcal{W}$  be associated with one of the  $U_i$  sets containing it and let  $U_i$  be the union of these members of  $\mathcal{W}$ , thus associated with  $U_i$ . Thus  $V_i = W_j \setminus \bigcup_{r < j} W_r$  is coc-open set and hence  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$  forms disjoint coc-open refinement of  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$ , then  $\text{coc-dim}X = 0$ . Conversely; Suppose that  $X$  is  $\text{coc}\mathcal{T}_1$  space such that  $\text{coc-dim}X = 0$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $G$  be an open set in  $X$  such that  $x \in G$ . Then  $\{x\}$  is closed set, then  $\{G, X - \{x\}\}$  is finite open cover of  $X$ . Since  $\text{coc-dim}X = 0$ , then there exists coc-open refinement  $\{V, W\}$  of order 0 such that  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ ,  $V \cup W = X$ ,  $V \subset G$  and  $W \subset X - \{x\}$ . Then  $V$  is coc-open and coc-closed set in  $X$  such that  $x \in W^c \subseteq V \subseteq G$  and hence  $X$  has coc-base of coc-open and coc-closed.

**Remark(5.14) [A.P. Pears, 1975]:** Let  $X$  be a topological space with  $\text{dim}X = 0$ . Then  $X$  is normal space.

**Theorem (5.15):** Let  $X$  be a topological space. If  $\text{coc-dim}X = 0$ , then  $X$  is coc-normal space.

**Proof:** Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint closed sets of  $X$ . Then  $\{X - F_1, X - F_2\}$  is open cover of  $X$ . Since  $\text{coc-dim}X = 0$ ,

then it has coc-open refinement of order 0, hence So that coc-open sets  $H$  and  $G$  such that  $H \cap G = \emptyset$ ,  $H \cup G = X$ , therefore  $H \subset X - F_1$  and  $G \subset X - F_2$ . Thus  $F_1 \subset H^c = G$ ,  $F_2 \subset G^c = H$  and since  $H \cap G = \emptyset$ , then is  $X$  is coc-normal space.

**Theorem (5.16):** Let  $X$  be a topological space. If  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim } X = 0$ , then  $X$  is  $\text{coc}'\text{-normal}$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint coc-closed sets of  $X$ . Then  $\{X - F_1, X - F_2\}$  is coc-open covering of  $X$ . Since  $\text{coc}'\text{-dim } X = 0$ , then it has coc-open refinement of order 0, hence there exists coc-open sets  $H$  and  $G$  such that  $H \cap G = \emptyset$ ,  $H \cup G = X$ , so that  $H \subset X - F_1$  and  $G \subset X - F_2$ . Thus  $F_1 \subset H^c = G$ ,  $F_2 \subset G^c = H$  and since  $H \cap G = \emptyset$ , then is  $X$  is  $\text{coc}'\text{-normal}$  space.

**Theorem (5.18):** If  $A$  closed subset of a topological space  $X$ , then  $\text{coc-dim } A \leq \text{coc-dim } X$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\text{dim } X \leq n$ , let  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$  be an open cover of  $A$ , then for each  $i$ ,  $U_i = A \cap V_i$ , where  $V_i$  is an open set in  $X$ . The finite open covering  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k, X - A\}$  of  $X$  has coc-open refinement  $\mathcal{W}$  in  $X$  of order  $\leq n$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{W \cap A : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ , by proposition (2.4), then  $\mathcal{V}$  is coc-open refinement of  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$  of order  $\leq n$ . Thus  $\text{coc-dim } A \leq n$ .

## 6. Relation between the dimensions Coc-ind and Coc-Ind

**Theorem (6.1):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, if  $X$  is regular, then  $\text{coc-ind } X \leq \text{coc-Ind } X$ .

**Proof:** By induction on  $n$ , if  $n = -1$ , then the statement is true.

Suppose that the statement is true for  $n - 1$ .

Now ; Suppose that  $\text{coc-Ind } X \leq n$ , to prove  $\text{coc-ind } X \leq n$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $G$  be an open set such that  $x \in G$ . Since  $X$  is regular space, then there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq G$  by proposition (2.17). Also since  $\text{coc-Ind } X \leq n$  and  $\overline{U}$  is closed,  $\overline{U} \subseteq G$  then there exists a coc-open set  $V$  such that  $\overline{U} \subseteq V \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc-Ind } b(V) \leq n - 1$ , then  $\text{coc-ind } b(V) \leq n - 1$  and  $\text{coc-ind } X \leq n$ , then  $\text{coc-ind } X \leq \text{coc-Ind } X$ .

**Proposition (6.3):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, if  $X$  is  $\mathcal{T}_1$ -space, then  $\text{coc}^*\text{-ind } X \leq \text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X$ .

**Proof:** By induction on  $n$ , if  $n = -1$ , then the statement is true.

Suppose that the statement is true for  $n - 1$ .

Now, Suppose that  $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X \leq n$ , to prove  $\text{coc}^*\text{-ind } X \leq n$ . Let  $x \in X$  and each open set  $G \subseteq X$  of the point  $x$ , since  $X$  is  $\mathcal{T}_1$ -space, then  $\{x\}$  is closed set and  $\{x\} \subseteq G$ . Since  $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X \leq n$ , then there exists an  $\text{coc}$ -open set  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $\{x\} \subseteq V \subseteq G$  and  $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } b_{\text{coc}}(V) \leq n - 1$ . Hence  $\text{coc}^*\text{-ind } b_{\text{coc}}(V) \leq n - 1$  and  $x \in V \subseteq G$ . Thus  $\text{coc}^*\text{-ind } X \leq n$ .

**Proposition (6.4):** Let  $X$  be a topological space, if  $X$  is coc-regular space, then  $\text{coc}^*\text{-ind } X \leq \text{coc}^*\text{-Ind } X$ .



**Proof:** By the same way in proposition(6.1).

## References

- [ 1 ] Ra'ad Aziz Hussain AL-Abdulla "On Dimension Theory", M.Sc. thesis university of Baghdad, college of science, (1992).
- [ 2 ] Atallah Themer AL-Ani "On Compactification, Mapping and Dimension Theory" Ph.D. Dissertation university of London, Queen Elizabeth college, (1974).
- [ 3 ] S.Al Ghour and S. Samara "Cocompact Open Sets and Continuity ", Abstract and Applied analysis, Volume 2012 ,Article ID 548612 ,9 Pages , 2012. .
- [ 4 ] Enas Ridha Ali " On Dimension Theory by Using N-Open Set", M.Sc. thesis University of AL – Qadisiyacollege of mathematics and computer science, (2014).
- [ 5 ] Bourkaki. N, Elements of Mathematics "General Topology" Chapter 1-4 Springer verlog , Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, (1989).
- [ 6 ] Sama Kadhim Gaber "On b-Dimension Theory" M.Sc.thesis university AL – Qadisiyacollege of mathematics and computer science, (2010).
- [ 7 ] R. Engelking "General Topology", Sigma Seres in pure mathematics, VI,6 ISBN 3-88538-006-4,(1989).
- [ 8 ] Nedaa Hasan Hajee "On Dimension Theory by Using Feebly Open Set", M.Sc. thesis university AL – Qadisiyacollege of mathematics and computer science, (2011).
- [ 9 ] Farah Hausain Jasim " On Compactness Via Coc-Open sets " , M.Sc. thesis University of AL – Qadisiyacollege of mathematics and computer science, (2014).
- [ 10 ] Sanaa Noor Mohamid " on  $S_\beta$ - Dimension Theory " M.Sc. thesis university AL – Qadisiyacollege of mathematics and computer science, (2015).
- [ 11 ] A.P.Pears "On Dimension Theory of General Spaces" Cambridge university press, (1975).
- [ 12 ] S. Willard " General Topology" University of Albera, (1970).

حول نظرية البعد باستخدام المجموعات المفتوحة من النمط -coc

رعد عزيز حسين العبد الله، ورود حسن هويدي شروم

قسم الرياضيات، كلية علوم الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات ، جامعة القادسية

ملخص :

في هذا البحث نقدم ونعرف نوع جديد وعام حول نظرية البعد من النمط - coc لقد درسنا المفاهيم ind ,Ind,dim وسوف نعرف في هذا البحث تلك المفاهيم باستخدام المجموعات المفتوحة من النمط - coc وايجاد بعض العلاقات فيما بينها .