

On a Q- Smarandache Implicative Ideal with Respect to an Element of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra

المثالية Q- سمرندش الاستنتاجية بالنسبة الى عنصر في جبر BH- سمرندش-Q

Assist.prof. Husein Hadi Abbass

University of Kufa

Faculty of Education for Girls

Department of Mathematics

huseinh.abbas@uokufa.edu.iq

Hayder Kareem Gatea

University of Kufa

Faculty of Education for Girls

Department of Mathematics

haidarkarime@gmail.com

بحث مستل

Abstract

In this paper, we define the concept of a Q-Smarandache implicative ideal with respect to an element of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra. We state and prove some theorems which determine the relationships among this notion and other types of ideals of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra.

الخلاصة

عرفنا في هذا البحث مفهوم (المثالية Q- سمرندش الاستنتاجية بالنسبة لعنصر في جبر BH- سمرندش-Q , وأعطينا وبرهنا بعض المبرهنات التي تحدد العلاقة بين هذا المفهوم مع بعض أنواع المثاليات في جبر BH- سمرندش-Q).

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of BCK-algebra and BCI-algebra was formulated first in 1966 by Y.Imai and K.Iseki as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculus [4]. In 1983, Q.P.Hu and X.Li introduced the notion of BCH-algebra which are generalization of BCK\BCI -algebra [5]. In 1998, Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H .S. Kim introduced the notion of BH-algebra, which is a generalization of BCH-algebra [7]. In 2009, A.B.Saeid and A.Namdar introduced the notion of a Q-Smarandache BCH-algebra and Q-Smarandache ideal of a Q-Smarandache BCH-algebra, these notion were generalized to BH-algebra in 2012 by H.H.Abass and S.J.Mohammed[2]. In 2014, H. H. Abbass and S. A. Neamah introduced the notion of an implicative ideal with respect to an element of a BH-algebra[1]. In this paper, a new type of a Q-Smarandache ideal of Q-Smarandache BH- algebra, namely a Q-Smarandache implicative ideal with respect to an element is introduced some related properties investigated .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review some basic concepts about a BCK-algebra, BCI- algebra, BCH-algebra, BH-algebra, Smarandache BH-algebra, (ideal, positive implicative and implicative ideal with respect to an element) of a BH-algebra and Q-Smarandach ideal of a Q-Smaradache BH-algebra, with some theorems and propositions .

Definition (2.1) :[8]

A **BCI-algebra** is an algebra $(X,*,0)$, where X is a nonempty set, "*" is a binary operation and 0 is a constant, satisfying the following axioms:

- i. $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.
- ii. $(x*(x*y))*y = 0$, for all $x, y \in X$.
- iii. $x * x = 0$, for all $x \in X$.
- iv. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition (2.2) :[4]

A **BCK-algebra** is a BCI-algebra satisfying the axiom: $0 * x = 0$, for all $x \in X$.

Definition(2.3):[5]

A **BCH-algebra** is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$, where X is a nonempty set, "*" is a binary operation and 0 is a constant, satisfying the following axioms:

- i. $x * x = 0, \forall x \in X$.
- ii. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y, \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Definition (2.4) :[7]

A **BH-algebra** is a nonempty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation "*" satisfying the following conditions:

- i. $x*x=0, \forall x \in X$.
- ii. $x*y=0$ and $y*x =0$ imply $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. $x*0 =x, \forall x \in X$.

Definition (2.5) :[3]

A **bounded BCK-algebra** satisfying the identity $x * (y * x) = x, \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition (2.6) :[7]

Let I be a nonempty subset of a BH-algebra X . Then I is called an **ideal** of X if it satisfies:

- i. $0 \in I$.
- ii. $x*y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Definition (2.7):[1]

A nonempty subset I of a BH-algebra X is called an **implicative ideal with respect to an element b of a BH-Algebra** (or briefly **b -implicative ideal**), $b \in X$. if

- i. $0 \in I$.
- ii. $((x*(y*x))*z)*b \in I$ and $z \in I$ imply $x \in I, \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Definition(2.8):[6]

A BH-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be a **positive implicative** if it satisfies for all x, y and $z \in X$, $(x*z)*(y*z)=(x*y)*z$.

Definition(2.9):[2]

A **Smarandache BH-algebra** is defined to be a BH-algebra X in which there exists a proper subset Q of X such that :

- i. $0 \in Q$ and $|Q| \geq 2$.
- ii. Q is a BCK-algebra under the operation of X .

Definition(2.10):[2]

Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra. A nonempty subset I of X is called a **Smarandache ideal of X related to Q** (or briefly, **Q -Smarandache ideal** of X) if it satisfies:

- i. $0 \in I$.
- ii. $\forall y \in I$ and $x*y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I, \forall x \in Q$.

Proposition(2.11) :[2]

Let $\{I_i, i \in \lambda\}$ be a family of Q-Smarandache ideals of a Smarandache BH-algebra X. Then $\bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X.

Proposition (2.12): [2]

Let $\{I_i, i \in \lambda\}$ be a chain of a Q-Smarandache ideals of a Smarandache BH-algebra X. Then $\bigcup_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X.

Proposition (2.13) :[2]

Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra . Then every ideal of X is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X.

Theorem (2.14):[2]

Let Q_1 and Q_2 be BCK-algebras contained in a Smarandache BH- algebra X and $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$. Then every Smarandache ideal of X related to Q_2 is a Smarandache ideal of X related to Q_1 .

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we introduce the concept of a **Q-Smarandache implicative ideal** of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra. Also, we state and prove some theorems and examples about these concepts.

Definition (3.1):

Let I be a Q-Smarandach ideal of a Q- Smarandache BH-algebra X and $b \in X$. Then I is called a **Q-Smarandache implicative ideal with respect to b** (denoted by a **Q - Smarandache b-implicative ideal**) if :

$$((x*(y*x))*z)*b \in I \text{ and } z \in I \text{ imply } x \in I, \forall x,y \in Q.$$

Example (3.2):

Consider the Q-Saramdache BH-algebra $X= \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with the binary operation " * " defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	3	2	0

where $Q = \{0,2\}$ is a BCK- algebra .

The Q-Smarandache ideal $I = \{0,1\}$ is a Q-Smarandache 0-implicative ideal of X, so I be a Q-Smarandache 1,3 - implicative ideal of X, but it is not a Q- Smarandache 2-implicative ideal of X. Since, $x=2, y=2, z= 0, ((2*(2*2))*0)*2=((2*0)*2 =2*2=0 \in I, \text{but } x=2 \notin I$.

Proposition (3.3) :

Let X be a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra. Then every b- implicative ideal of X is a Q-Smarandache b-implicative ideal of X, $\forall b \in X$.

Proof:

Let I is b - implicative ideal of X , $\forall b \in X$.
 Now, let $x,y \in Q$ and $z \in I$ such that $((x*(y*x)) * z)* b \in I$ and $z \in I$.
 Since $x,y \in Q \Rightarrow x,y \in X$. [Since $Q \subseteq X$]
 Now, we have
 $((x*(y*x))* z)*b \in I$ and $z \in I$.
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since I is b - implicative ideal of X , by Definition (2.7) (ii)]
 Therefore, I is a Q-Smarandache b - implicative ideal of X . ■

Remark (3.4) :

The following example shows that converse of Proposition(3.3) is not correct in general .

Example (3.5) :

Consider the Q-Smarandache BH-algebra $X=\{0,1,2,3\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	2	3
1	1	0	1	2
2	2	1	0	1
3	3	3	2	0

where $Q=\{0,1\}$ is a BCK - algebra.

The Q-Smarandache ideal $I=\{0,2\}$ is a Q-Smarandache 2- implicative ideal of X , but it is not an 2- implicative ideal of BH- algebra. Since, $x=3, y=0, z =2, ((3*(0*3))*2)*2 = ((3*3)*2)*2 = (0*2)*2 = 2*2= 0 \in I$, but $3 \notin I$.

Theorem (3.6) :

Let $(N,*)$ be a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra, where $N=\{0,1,2,\dots\}$, "*" be a binary operation defined on N by :

$$x*y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}, \forall x, y \in N$$

,and $Q=\{4k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a BCK- algebra. Then $I= \{2k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Q-Smarandache b - implicative ideal of $N, \forall b \in I$.

Proof:

It is clear I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of N .
 Now, let $x,y \in Q$ and $z, b \in I$ such that $((x*(y*x))* z) * b \in I$ and $z \in I$.
 $\Rightarrow (x*(y*x))* z \in I$. [Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow (x*(y*x)) \in I$. [Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X]

Case 1: if $x= y$, then $x*(y*x)=x*(x*x)=x*0=x$
 [Since Q is a BCK- algebra ; $x*x=0$ and $x*0=x, \forall x \in Q$]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since $x*(y*x) \in I$]

Case 2 : if $x \neq y$, then $x*(y*x) = x*y = x$. [Since $x*y = x$]

$\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since $x^*(y^*x) \in I$ and $x^*(y^*x) = x$]

Therefore ,I is a Q-Smarandach b - implicative ideal of X, $\forall b \in I$. ■

Theorem (3.7) :

Let Q_1 and Q_2 be a two BCK-algebras contained in Q_2 -Smarandache BH-algebra X Such that $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ and $b \in X$. Then every a Q_2 -Smarandache b-implicative ideal of X is a Q_1 -Smarandache b- implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

Let I be a Q_2 - Smarandache b - implicative ideal of X.

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q_2 - Smarandache ideal of X . [By Definition (3.1)]

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q_1 - Smarandache ideal of X. [By Theorem (2.14)]

Now, let $x,y \in Q_1$ and $z \in I$ such that $((x^*(y^*x))^*z)^*b \in I$.

Since $x,y \in Q_1 \Rightarrow x,y \in Q_2$. [Since $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$]

Now, we have

$((x^*(y^*x))^*z)^*b \in I$ and $x,y \in Q_2, z \in I$.

$\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since I is a Q_2 – Smarandache b- implicative ideal of X]

Therefore, I is a Q_1 -Smarandache b- implicative ideal of X. ■

Remark (3.8) :

The converse of Theorem (3.7) is not correct in general as in the follwing example.

Example (3.9) :

Consider the Q-Smarandache BH-algebra $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ with binary operation "*" definid by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	2	0
3	3	1	3	0	3
4	4	4	4	4	0

where $Q_1=\{0,1\}$, $Q_2 =\{0,1,3\}$ are two BCK-algebras such that $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$. The Q-Smarandache ideal $I=\{0,1,4\}$ is a Q_1 -Smarandache 4- implicative ideal of X, but it is not Q_2 -Smarandache 4-implicative ideal of X. Since, $x=3, y=0, z =1, ((3^*(0^*3))^*1)^*4=((3^*0)^*1)^*4=(3^*1)^*4=1^*4=1 \in I$, but $x=3 \notin I$.

Theorem (3.10):

Let I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH–algebra X. Then I is a Q-Smarandache b–implicative ideal of X if and only if for all $x,y \in X$ and $b \in I$, $x^*(y^*x) \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Proof:

Let I be a Q -Smarandache b -implicative ideal of X , $\forall b \in I$.

Now, let $x^*(y^*x) \in I$.

Then $x^*(y^*x) = (x^*(y^*x)) * 0 = ((x^*(y^*x)) * 0) * 0$.

[Since Q is a BCK-algebra; $x*0 = x$, $\forall x \in Q$]

Then, we have

$((x^*(y^*x)) * 0) * 0 \in I$ and $0 \in I$ implies that $x \in I$. [Since I is a Q -Smarandache 0 -implicative ideal of X]

Conversely, suppose that I is a Q -Smarandache ideal of X and the condition is satisfied.

Let $x, y \in Q$ and $z, b \in I$ such that $((x^*(y^*x))^* z)^* b \in I$.

$\Rightarrow (x^*(y^*x))^* z \in I$. [Since I is a Q -Smarandache ideal of X , by Definition (2.10)(ii)]

$\Rightarrow x^*(y^*x) \in I$. [Since I is a Q -Smarandache ideal of X , by Definition (2.10)(ii)]

$\Rightarrow x \in I$. [By hypothesis]

Therefore, I is a Q -Smarandache b -implicative ideal of X . ■

Theorem (3.11) :

Let X be a positive implicative Q -Smarandache BH-algebra and I be a Q -Smarandache ideal of X such that $Q * I \subseteq I$. Then I is a Q -Smarandache b -implicative ideal of X , $\forall b \in I$.

Proof:

Let I be a Q -Smarandache ideal of X such that $Q * I \subseteq I$.

Now, let $x, y \in Q$ and $z, b \in I$ such that $((x^*(y^*x))^* z)^* b \in I$.

$\Rightarrow (x^*(y^*x))^* z \in I$. [Since I is a Q -Smarandache ideal of X , by Definition (2.10)(ii)]

But $(x^*(y^*x))^* z = (x^*z) * ((y^*x)^* z)$. [Since X is a positive implicative BH-algebra]

Now $x, y \in Q \Rightarrow y^*x \in Q$, so $(y^*x)^* z \in I$. [Since $Q * I \subseteq I$]

So, we have

$(x^*z) * ((y^*x)^* z) \in I$ and $((y^*x)^* z) \in I$.

$\Rightarrow x^*z \in I$. [Since I is Q -Smarandache ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since I is Q -Smarandache ideal of X]

Therefore, I is a Q -Smarandache b -implicative ideal of X . ■

Theorem (3.12):

Let X be a bounded Q -Smarandache BH-algebra such that Q is a bounded BCK-algebra and I be a Q -Smarandache ideal of X . Then I is a Q -Smarandache b -implicative ideal of X , $\forall b \in I$.

Proof :

Let I be a Q -Smarandache ideal of X .

Now, let $x, y \in Q$ and $z, b \in I$ such that $((x^*(y^*x))^* z)^* b \in I$.

$\Rightarrow (x^*(y^*x))^* z \in I$. [Since I is a Q -Smarandache ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow (x^*(y^*x)) \in I$. [Since I is a Q -Smarandache ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since Q is a bounded BCK algebra, by Definition (2.5)]

Therefore, I is a Q -Smarandache b -implicative ideal of X . ■

Theorem (3.13):

Let X be a Q -Smarandache BH-algebra and satisfies the following condition:

$$\forall x, y \in Q, x^*y = x \quad \text{with} \quad x \neq y$$

,and I be a Q -Smarandache ideal of X . Then I is a Q -Smarandache b -implicative ideal of X , $\forall b \in I$.

Proof:

Let I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of X .
 Now, let $x, y \in Q$ and $z, b \in I$ such that $((x*(y*x))*z)*b \in I$.
 $\Rightarrow (x*(y*x))*z \in I$. [Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow x*(y*x) \in I$. [Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X]
 Now, we have two cases:
Case 1: if $x=y$, then $x*(x*x) = x*0 = x$.
 [Since Q is a BCK-algebra; $x*x=0$ and $x*0=x$]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since $x*(y*x) \in I$]
 $\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache b- implicative ideal of X .
Case 2: if $x \neq y$, then $x*(y*x) = x*y = x$. [Since $x*y=x$]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since $x*(y*x) \in I$]
 Therefore, I is a Q-Smarandache b- implicative ideal of X . ■

Theorem (3.14):

Let X is a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra X and satisfies the condition:
 $\forall x, y \in Q ; x = x*(y*x)$
 , and I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of X . Then I is a Q-Smarandache b- implicative ideal of $X, \forall b \in I$.

Proof:

Let I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of X .
 Now, let $x, y \in Q$ and $z, b \in I$ such that $((x*(y*x))*z)*b \in I$.
 $\Rightarrow (x*(y*x))*z \in I$. [Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow x*(y*x) \in I$. [Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X]
Case 1: if $y=0$, then $x*(0*x) = x*0 = x$.
 [Since Q is a BCK-algebra; $x*0=x, 0*x=0, \forall x \in Q$]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$.
 Hence I is a Q-Smarandache implicative ideal of X . ■
Case 2: if $y \neq 0$, then $x*(y*x) = x$. [By condition; $x = x*(y*x)$]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$. [Since $x*(y*x) \in I$]
 Therefore, I is a Q-Smarandache b- implicative ideal of X . ■

Proposition (3.15):

Let $\{I_i ; i \in \lambda\}$ be famiy of a Q-Smarandache b- implicative ideals of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra. Then $\bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache b- implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

Let $x, y \in Q$ and $z \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ such that $(x*(y*x)) * z)*b \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$.
 $\Rightarrow ((x*(x,y)) * z) * b \in I_i$ and $z \in I_i, \forall i \in \lambda$.
 $\Rightarrow x \in I_i, \forall i \in \lambda$.
 [Since I_i is a Q-Smarandache b- implicative ideal of $X, \forall i \in \lambda$]
 $\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$.
 Therefore , $\bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache b- implicative ideal of X . ■

Remark (3.16):

The union of a Q-Smarandache implicatives ideals with respect to an element b of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra may not be a Q-Smarandache implicative ideal of X as in the following example .

Example (3.17) :

Consider the Q-Smarandache BH-algebra $X=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	0	1	1
3	3	2	1	0	1	1
4	4	4	4	4	0	1
5	5	5	5	5	5	0

where $Q=\{0,2\}$ is a BCK-algebra. $I=\{0,1\}$ and $J=\{0,5\}$ are two a Q-Smarandache 0-implicative ideals of X, but $I \cup J=\{0,1,5\}$ is not a Q-Smarandache 0-implicative ideal of X, since $x=2, y=0, z=5, ((2*(0*2))*5)*0=((2*0)*5)*0=(2*5)*0=1*0=1 \in I$, but $2 \notin I \cup J$.

Proposition (3.18) :

Let $\{I_i, i \in \lambda\}$ be chain of a Q-Smarandache b-implicative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra X. Then $\bigcup_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache b-implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

Since $\{I_i, i \in \lambda\}$ is a be chian of a Q-Smarandache ideal of X. Then $\bigcup_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X .

Let $x, y \in Q$ and $z \in \bigcup_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ such that $((x*(y*x)) * z)*b \in \bigcup_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ and $z \in \bigcup_{i \in \lambda} I_i$.

There exist $I_j, I_k \in \{I_i, i \in \lambda\}$ such that $((x*(y*x)) * z)*b \in I_j$ and $z \in I_k$.

\Rightarrow either $I_j \subseteq I_k$ or $I_k \subseteq I_j$. [Since $\{I_i\}_{i \in \lambda}$ is chain]

\Rightarrow either $((x*(y*x))* z)*b \in I_j$ and $z \in I_k$ or $((x*(y*x))* z)*b \in I_k$ and $z \in I_j$.

\Rightarrow either $x \in I_j$ or $x \in I_k$. [Since I_j and I_k are Q-Smarandache b-implicative ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{i \in \lambda} I_i$.

Therefore, $\bigcup_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache b-implicative ideal of X .■

References :

- [1]H. H. Abbass and S. A. Neamah. , "A New Type of Implicative Ideals of a BH-Algebra", European Journal scientific Research Vol. 126, No3. pp.255-268, 2014 .
- [2]H. H. Abbass and S. J. Mohammed. , " On a Q-Smarandache Completely Closed Ideal with Respect To An Element of a Smarandache BH -algebra", Journal of kerbala university, Vol. 11 No.3, pp.147-157, 2013.
- [3]J. Meng and Y.B. Jun, "BCK-algebras", Kyung Moon SA, Seoul, 1994.
- [4]K. Iseki , "An algebra related with a propositional calculus", Proc. Japan Acad. 42, 2629,1966.
- [5]Q.P. Hu and X. Li," On BCH-algebras", Math. Seminar Notes, Vol.11, pp.313-320 ,1983.
- [6]S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim, "R-maps and L-maps in BH-algebras", Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, Vol.13, No. 2, pp.53-59, 2000.
- [7]Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim , "On BH-algebras", Scientiae Mathematicae Vol. 1, No 3, pp.347–354, 1998.
- [8]Y. Imai and K. Iseki, "On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi XIV, Proc. Japan Acad. 42 , pp. 19-20, 1966 .