

## **HK-Space and Separation axioms of Spectra of maximal Sub modules**

**فضاء HK وبديهيات الفصل للموديولات الجزئية العظمى**

**Habeeb Kareem Abdullah - Eman Yahya Habeeb**

**University of Kufa -College of Education for Girls**

**Department of Mathematics**

البحث مستل

### **Abstract:**

The main purpose of this research, is to study the relationship between some the topological properties of the space  $\text{Max}(M)$  and the algebraic properties of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , and vice versa.

We prove in this paper that if  $M$  is a multiplication  $R$ -module then  $M$  is semi local if and only if  $\text{max}(M)$  is an HK space. Also in our study we shall prove if  $M$  is a multiplication  $R$ -module and  $\text{max}(M)$  is an HK space then  $M$  is cyclic and we study Separation Axioms of the space maximal ( $M$ ) which that we get the following results , If  $M$  is an  $M$ -top  $R$ -module and semi local then maximal ( $M$ ) is  $T_0$  ,  $T_1$  ,  $T_2$  ,  $T_3$  ,  $T_4$  .

### **الخلاصة :**

الهدف الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو دراسة العلاقة بين بعض الصفات التوبولوجية للفضاء  $\text{max}(M)$  والصفات الجبرية للموديول  $M$  وبالعكس .

برهنا في هذا البحث انه اذا كان  $M$  موديولا جدائياً فان  $M$  موديول شبه محلي اذا فقط اذا كان  $\text{max}(M)$  هو فضاء HK . وكذلك سنبرهن في الدراسة بأنه اذا كان  $M$  موديولا جدائياً وكان الفضاء  $\text{max}(M)$  فضاء HK فان  $M$  يكون دائرياً . ودرسنا بديهيات الفصل للفضاء  $\text{max}(M)$  والتي من خلالها حصلنا على النتائج التالية انه اذا كان  $M$ -top هو  $R$  موديول وشبه محلي فان الفضاء  $\text{max}(M)$  يكون  $T_0$  ,  $T_1$  ,  $T_2$  ,  $T_3$  ,  $T_4$  .

### **0.Introduction**

A proper submodule  $N$  of an  $R$  module  $M$  is said to be prime if  $xm \in N$  for  $x \in R$  and  $m \in M$  imply that either  $m \in N$  or  $x \in (N:M)$  [1]. The set of all prime submodules of  $M$  is called the spectrum of  $M$  and denoted by  $\text{spec}M$  [1], and  $N$  is said to be a maximal submodule if does not exist as a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $K \neq M$  ,  $K \neq N$  and  $N \subset K$  [2]. The set of all maximal submodule of  $M$  is called the maximal spectrum of  $M$ , and denoted by  $\text{Max}(M)$  .

R. L. Mc Casland , M. E. Moore and P. F. Smith in [1] defined a topology on  $\text{spec}M$  for a certain class of modules in the following way : for any submodule  $N$  of an  $R$  module  $M$  , let  $V(N)$  be the set of all prime submodules of  $M$  containing  $N$  and denote the collection of all subsets  $V(N)$  of  $\text{spec}M$  by  $\lambda(M)$  . Then it can be shown that  $\lambda(M)$  contains the empty set and  $\text{spec}M$  . Moreover ,  $\lambda(M)$  is closed under arbitrary intersection . If  $\lambda(M)$  is closed under finite union , then the topology induced by  $\lambda(M)$  is called the Zariski topology on  $\text{spec}M$  and in this case  $M$  is called a top module .

In this research, we will conduct similar work on  $\text{Max}(M)$  compared to mention  $\text{spec}M$ .

### **1.Basic concepts**

In this section we introduced definitions, propositions and theorems that are included throughout the work.

#### **Definition (1.1) :-**

For any submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , the set  $\{P \in \text{Max}(M) | N \subseteq P\}$  is called 'the variety of  $N$ ' and denoted by  $V(N)$  .

#### **Definition (1.2) :-**

The collection of all subset  $V(N)$  of  $\text{Max}(M)$  will be denoted by  $\lambda(M)$  ; i.e.,  $\lambda(M) = \{V(N) | N \text{ is a submodule of } M\}$  .

#### **Proposition (1.3) :-**

1.  $V(0) = \text{Max}(M)$  ,  $V(M) = \Phi$  .

2. For any family of submodules  $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $M$   $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} V(N_\alpha) = V(\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha)$  .

**Proof :-**

1- Since  $0 \subseteq P$  for every maximal submodule  $P$  , then  $V(0)=\text{Max}(M)$ .

Let  $V(M) \neq \Phi$  ; i.e. , there exists a maximal submodule  $q$  of  $M$  such that  $M \subseteq q$  .Thus  $M=q$  that's a contradiction . Thus  $V(M) =\Phi$  .

2- Let  $P \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} V(N_\alpha)$  ,thus  $P \in V(N_\alpha)$  for every  $\alpha \in I$ , that is  $N_\alpha \subseteq P$  for

every  $\alpha \in I$  .Thus  $\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha \subseteq P$  , that is  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} V(N_\alpha) \subseteq V(\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha)$  .

Let  $p \in V(\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha)$  , thus  $\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha \subseteq P$  . ■

**Remark (1.4) :-**

From above Proposition it is noted that  $\lambda(M)$  contains the empty set and  $\text{Max}(M)$  , and  $\lambda(M)$  is closed under arbitrary intersection.

**Definition (1.5) :-**

An  $R$ -Module  $M$  is called an  $M$ -top  $R$ -Module if  $\lambda(M)$  is closed under finite unions.

**Example (1.6) :-**

Take  $Z_4$  as  $Z$ -Module is a local Module thus  $Z_4$  is an  $M$ -top Module.

**Example (1.7) :-**

Let  $M= Z_2 \oplus Z_2$  as  $Z$ -module, then the submodules of  $M$  are  $O=\langle(0,0)\rangle$  ,  $\langle(1,1)\rangle$  ,  $Z_2 \oplus \{0\}$  ,  $\{0\} \oplus Z_2$  and  $M$  .

$\text{Max}(M) =\{\langle(1,1)\rangle , Z_2 \oplus \{0\} , \{0\} \oplus Z_2 \}$ .

$V(Z_2 \oplus \{0\})=Z_2 \oplus \{0\}$ ,  $V(\{0\} \oplus Z_2)=\{0\} \oplus Z_2$  .

$\lambda(M)=\{\Phi , \text{Max}(M) , \{Z_2 \oplus \{0\}\} , \{\{0\} \oplus Z_2\} , \{\langle(1,1)\rangle\}\}$  .

note that  $V(Z_2 \oplus \{0\}) \cup V(\{0\} \oplus Z_2)=\{Z_2 \oplus \{0\}\} \cup \{\{0\} \oplus Z_2\}=\{Z_2 \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus Z_2\}$ .

But does not exist a submodule  $J$  of  $M$  such that  $\{Z_2 \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus Z_2\}=V(J)$

Thus ,  $M= Z_2 \oplus Z_2$  as  $Z$ -module is not an  $M$ -top  $R$ -module .That is , not every  $R$ -module is an  $M$ -top module .

From Example(1.7) ,it is noted that the Zariski topology on  $\text{Max}(M)$  does not always exist . Later , the condition which makes an  $R$ -module  $M$  is an  $M$ -top  $R$ -module will be given . Before giving this condition ,some needed definitions will be given:

**Definition (1.8) :-**

A submodule  $S$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  will be called semi maximal if  $S$  is an intersection of maximal submodules .

**Definition (1.9) :- [2]**

A maximal submodule  $K$  of  $M$  will be called extraordinary if whenever  $N$  and  $L$  are semi maximal submodules of  $M$  with  $N \cap L \subseteq K$  then  $N \subseteq K$  or  $L \subseteq K$  .

**Definition (1.10) :- [3]**

For any proper submodule  $N$  of  $R$ -module  $M$  , the set of the intersection of all maximal submodule containing  $N$  is called the 'Jacobson radical of  $N$ ' and denoted by  $J(N)$  .

**Proposition (1.11) :-**

- 1-  $V(N)=V(J(N))$  .
- 2-  $V(N)=V(K)$  if and only if  $J(N)=J(K)$

**Proof :-**

1- Since  $N \subseteq J(N)$  , then  $V(J(N)) \subseteq V(N)$  . Let  $P \in V(N)$  .Thus ,  $N \subseteq P$  .

Since  $J(N) =\bigcap \{q \mid q \text{ is a maximal submodule of } M \text{ containing } N \}$  ,then  $J(N) \subseteq P$  . That is

$P \in V(J(N))$  . Thus  $V(N) \subseteq V(J(N))$  .Thus ,  $V(N)=V(J(N))$  .

2- In this way , it would be clear . ■

**Theorem (1.12) :-**

The following statements are equivalent for an R-module M .

- 1- M is an M-top module .
- 2- Every maximal submodule of M is extraordinary .
- 3-  $V(N) \cup V(L) = V(N \cap L)$  for any semi maximal submodules N and L of M .

**Proof :-**

(1→2) Let K be any maximal submodule of M and let N and L be semi maximal submodules of M such that  $N \cap L \subseteq K$  , by hypothesis , there exists a submodule J of M such that  $V(N) \cup V(L) = V(J)$

Now ,  $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} K_i$  , for some collection of maximal submodules  $K_i$  ( $i \in I$ ).

For each  $i \in I$  ,  $K_i \in V(N) \subseteq V(J)$  .

So that  $J \subseteq K_i$  , thus ,  $J \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} K_i = N$  . Similarly  $J \subseteq L$  , thus  $J \subseteq N \cap L$  .

Now ,  $V(N) \cup V(L) \subseteq V(N \cap L) \subseteq V(J) = V(N) \cup V(L)$ .

It follows that  $V(N) \cup V(L) = V(N \cap L)$  . But  $K \in V(N \cap L)$  .

Now gives  $K \in V(N)$  or  $K \in V(L)$  , i.e. ,  $N \subseteq K$  or  $L \subseteq K$  .

(2→3) Let G and H be semi maximal submodules of M clearly  $V(G) \cup V(H) \subseteq V(G \cap H)$  . Let  $K \in V(G \cap H)$  then  $G \cap H \subseteq K$  and hence  $G \subseteq K$  or  $H \subseteq K$  , i.e.,  $K \in V(G)$  or  $K \in V(H)$ . This proves that  $V(G \cap H) \subseteq V(G) \cup V(H)$  and hence  $V(G) \cup V(H) = V(G \cap H)$  .

(3→1) Let S and T be any submodules of M . If  $V(S)$  is empty, then  $V(S) \cup V(T) = V(T)$  . Suppose that  $V(S)$  and  $V(T)$  are both non-empty , then  $V(S) \cup V(T) = V(J(S)) \cup V(J(T)) = V(J(S) \cap J(T))$ , by

(3) this proves(1) . ■

**Definition (1.13) :-**

A topological space (X,T) is called  $T_1$ -space if for any two distinct points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of X , there are two open subsets  $Y_1, Y_2$  of X such that  $x_1 \in Y_1$  ,  $x_2 \notin Y_1$  and  $x_2 \in Y_2$  ,  $x_1 \notin Y_2$  , see[4] .

**Proposition (1.14) :-[4]**

A space (X,T) is a  $T_1$ -space if and only if every one point subset of X is closed .

**Proposition (1.15) :-**

Let M be any M-top R-module , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_1$ -space .

**Proof :-**

Since  $V(P) = \{P\}$  , for every  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$  and that  $V(P)$  is a closed subset of  $\text{Max}(M)$  , then  $\{P\}$  is closed , for every  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$  . Thus , by proposition(1.14) ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_1$ -space . ■

**Proposition (1.16) :-**

Let M be any M-top R-module if M is semi-local , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is the discrete space .

**Proof :-**

By proposition(1.15),  $\text{Max}(M)$  is  $T_1$ -space and since M is semi-local, thus  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a finite set . Thus ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is the discrete space . ■

**Definition (1.17) :-**

An R-module M is Notherian (Artinian) if every ascending (descending) chain of submodules of M is finite ,[5] .

**Corollary (1.18) :-**

Let M be any M-top R-module if M is Notherian and Artinian , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is the discrete space .

**Proof :-** Since M is Notherian and Artinian , M has a composition series. Thus,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a finite set; that is , M is semi-local , thus by Proposition(1.16)  $\text{Max}(M)$  is the discrete space . ■

**Proposition (1.19) :-**

Let  $M$  be an  $M$ -top  $R$ -module . If  $M$  is Notherian, then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is Notherian space .

**Proof :-**

Let  $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$  be ascending chain of open subsets of  $\text{Max}(M)$  . Thus, there exists a submodule  $N_i$  of  $M$  such that  $X_i = X(N_i)$  for every  $i=1,2,\dots$  Hence  $X(N_1) \subseteq X(N_2) \subseteq \dots$  Thus by Proposition(1.7) [2] , the ascending chain  $J(N_1) \subseteq J(N_2) \subseteq \dots$  of submodules of  $M$  has been got , since  $M$  is Notherian , then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $J(N_n) = J(N_{n+1}) = \dots$  . Hence by proposition[2],  $X(N_n) = X(N_{n+1}) = \dots$  . This completes the proof . ■

**2. HK-Spaces in Maximal Spectra of M-Top Module**

Recall that a space  $X$  will be called an HK-space if given any family  $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i = \Phi$  , then there exists a finite subset  $J$  of  $I$  such that  $\bigcap_{i \in J} X_i = \Phi$  , see[6] .

In this section , me studied the algebraic properties of the Top  $R$ -module  $M$  whenever the space  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space.

**Definition (2.1) :-** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is a multiplication module if for each submodule  $N$  of  $M$  , there exists an ideal  $A$  of  $R$  such that  $N = AM$  .

**Proposition (2.2):-**

Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space if and only if there exists

$P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \text{Max}(M)$  such that  $J(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  .

**Proof :-**

→) Since  $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup V(P)$  ,  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$  , then  $\bigcap X(P) = \Phi$  ,  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$  . Hence  $P_1, P_2, \dots,$

$P_n \in \text{Max}(M)$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n X(P_i) = \Phi$  ( $\Phi = X(0)$ ) and since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n X(P_i) = X(\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i) = \Phi$  ,[Theorem(1.5)]

, then  $J(0) = J(\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i)$  [Proposition[(1.4),2]] . Now  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i \subseteq J(0) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  , then  $J(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  .

←) Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \text{Max}(M)$  such that  $J(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  and let  $\{N_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of submodules

of  $M$  such that  $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i \in I} V(N_i)$  . By theorem(1.5) ,  $V(\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(P_i)$  , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V(P_i) =$

$\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i \in I} V(N_i)$ . Hence  $\forall 1 < i < n, \exists N_i$  such that  $P_i \in V(N_i)$  , then  $V(P_i) \subseteq V(N_i)$  . Now ,

$\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(P_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(N_i) \subseteq \text{Max}(M)$  . Therefore ,  $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(N_i)$  . ■

**Proposition (2.3):-**

Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space if and only if  $M$  is a semi-local module .

**Proof :-** →) Notice that  $\forall P \in \text{Max}(M)$  ,  $\{P\}$  is a closed subset of  $\text{Max}(M)$  . So  $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup \{P\}$

,  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$  , then  $\exists P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \text{Max}(M)$  such that  $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$  . Hence  $\text{Max}(M) = \{ P_1,$

$P_2, \dots, P_n \}$  .

←) Let  $\text{Max}(M) = \{ P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \}$  , then  $J(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  . Hence , by Proposition(2.2) ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an

HK-space . ■

**Proposition (2.4):-**

Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module , then  $M$  is Notherian and Artinian if and only if  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space .

**Proof :-**

→) Since  $M$  is Notherian and Artinian , then  $M$  has a composition series by Corollary (1.18) . Thus ,  $M$  is semi-local .Hence ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space .

←) Let  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space , then by proposition(2.3) ,  $M$  is semi-local . That is ,  $M$  has a composition series,  $M$  is Notherian and Artinian . ■

**Definition (2.5) :-** A topological space  $(X)$  is Artinian if every a descending chain of open subsets of  $X$  is finite ,[3] .

**Corollary (2.6):-**

Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module .If  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is Notherian and Artinian space .

**Proof :-**

Let  $\text{Max}(M)$  be an HK-space , then by Proposition(2.4)  $M$  is Notherian and Artinian , thus by proposition(1.11) ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is Notherian and Artinian . ■

**Definition (2.7) :-** A topological space  $(X,T)$  is called locally compact if and only if every point in  $X$  has a compact neighborhood ,[7] .

**Definition (2.8) :-** A subspace  $Y$  of a topological space  $(X,T)$  is compact if every open cover  $\delta = \{U_i \in T \mid i \in I\}$  of  $Y$  has a finite subcover . If  $Y=X$  , then ,  $X$  is called a compact space .(see[4]) .

**Remark (2.9) :-**

Every finite subspace is compact .

**Proposition (2.10) :-[2]**

Every compact space is locally compact.

**Corollary (2.11):-**

Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module .If  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space , then there is the following cases :

- 1-  $\text{Max}(M)$  is the discrete space .
- 2-  $\text{Max}(M)$  is compact .
- 3-  $\text{Max}(M)$  is locally compact .

**Proof :-**

1- Since  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space . Then ,  $M$  is semi-local by Proposition(2.3) . Thus , by Proposition(1.16) ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is the discrete space .

2- Since  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space . Then ,  $M$  is semi-local by Proposition(2.3) . Thus ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is compact [ by Remark (2.9) ] .

3- Since  $\text{Max}(M)$  is compact. Thus ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is locally compact. [Proposition(2.10)] . ■

**Proposition (2.12):-[8]**

Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module and has a finite number of maximal submodules , then  $M$  is cyclic .

**Proposition (2.13):-**

Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module .If  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space then  $M$  is a cyclic module .

**Proof :-**

Let  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space , then by Proposition(2.3) it has been stated that  $M$  is semi-local; that is ,  $M$  has a finite number of maximal submodules . Thus , by [Proposition(2.12)] ,  $M$  is a cyclic module .

The converse of this proposition is not true in general and the following example has been investigated . ■

**Example (2.14):-**

The ring  $Z$  as a  $Z$ -module .  $Z$  is a cyclic module but  $\text{Max}(Z)$  is not HK-space , since  $Z$  is not semi-local module because  $\text{Max}(Z)$  is infinite .

**Definition (2.15) :-** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called faithful if  $rM=0$  then  $r=0$  .

**Proposition (2.16):-**

Let  $M$  be a multiplication faithful finitely generated , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space if and only if  $\text{Max}(R)$  is an HK-space .

**Proof :-**

Since  $M$  be a multiplication faithful finitely generated  $R$ -module, then  $\text{Max}(M) \approx \text{Max}(R)$  . Thus ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is an HK-space if and only if  $\text{Max}(R)$  is an HK-space. ■

**3. Separation Properties of  $\text{Max}(M)$**

**Definition (3.1) :-** A space  $(X,T)$  is said to be a  $T_2$ -space if given any two distinct points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  , there are open subsets ,  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x_1 \in U$  ,  $x_2 \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \Phi$  , see[4] .

**Proposition (3.2) :-** Let  $M$  be any  $M$ -top  $R$ -module , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_2$ -space if and only if for every two distinct maximal submodules  $P$  and  $q$  of  $M$  , there are two submodules  $N$  and  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $N \not\subseteq P$  ,  $K \not\subseteq q$  and  $J(N) \cap J(K) = J(0)$  .

**Proof :-**

The proof is simple and hence is omitted . ■

**Proposition (3.3) :-**

Let  $M$  be any  $M$ -top  $R$ -module if  $M$  is semi-local , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_2$ -space .

**Proof :-**

Since  $M$  is semi-local . Then by Proposition(1.16) it indicates that  $\text{Max}(M)$  is the discrete space . Thus ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_2$ -space .[The discrete space is a  $T_2$ -space ] .[2] ■

**Corollary (3.4) :-**

Let  $M$  be any  $M$ -top  $R$ -module if  $M$  is Notherian and Artinian. Then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_2$ -space.

**Definition (1.3) :-** A space  $(X,T)$  is said to be a  $T_3$ -space if given any closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  and any point  $x$  of  $X$  which is not in  $F$  , there are open subsets ,  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \Phi$  , see[4] .

**Proposition (3.5) :-**

Let  $M$  be any  $M$ -top  $R$ -module , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_3$ -space if and only if for every maximal submodule  $P$  of  $M$  and any submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $N \not\subseteq P$  ,there exist two submodules  $K$  and  $L$  such that  $L \not\subseteq P$  ,  $J(K) \cap J(L) = J(0)$  and  $J(N+K) = M$  .

**Proof :-** The proof is simple and is left to the reader . ■

**Proposition (3.6) :-**

Let  $M$  be any  $M$ -top  $R$ -module if  $M$  is semi-local , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_3$ -space .

**Proof :-**

Since  $M$  is semi-local ,then by Proposition(1.16) , it is indicated that  $\text{Max}(M)$  is the discrete space . Thus  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_3$ -space . ■

**Corollary (3.7) :-** Let  $M$  be any  $M$ -top  $R$ -module if  $M$  is Notherian and Artinian. Then ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_3$ -space.

**Definition (3.8) :-** A topological space  $(X,T)$  is said to be a  $T_4$ -space if given any two disjoint closed subsets  $F$  and  $F'$  of  $X$  there are disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $F' \subseteq V$  , see[4] .

**Proposition (3.9) :-**

Let  $M$  be any  $M$ -top  $R$ -module , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_4$ -space if and only if for every two submodules  $N$  and  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $J(N+K) = M$  there exist two submodules  $I$  and  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $J(N+I) = M$  ,  $J(K+L) = M$  and  $J(I) \cap J(L) = J(0)$  .

**Proof :-**

The proof is simple and hence is omitted . ■

**Proposition (3.10) :-**

Let  $M$  be any  $M$ -top  $R$ -module if  $M$  is semi-local , then  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_4$ -space .

**Corollary (3.11) :-**

Let  $M$  be an  $M$ -top  $R$ -module if  $M$  is Notherian and Artinian. Then ,  $\text{Max}(M)$  is a  $T_4$ -space.

**References**

- [1] Mccasland R. L. , Moore M. E. & Smith P. F. , " On The Spectrum Of Module Over a Commutative " *Comm. in Algebra* , 25(1997), 79-103 .
- [2] ....., " Some Topological Properties Of The Spectrum Of a Multiplication Module " , 2006, *Journal of College of Education* , 103-111 .
- [3] Atiyah M. F. & Macdonald I. G. , " Introduction To Commutative Algebra " , 1969 , Addison-Wesley Publishing , Company, London.
- [4] Gemignani M. C. , " Elementary Topology " , 1972 , Addison-Wesley Publishing , Company, London.
- [5] Abdullah H. K. , AL-Janabi S. H. & Refeeg E. , " On The Space Of Maximal Submodules Of Multiplication Modules " , 2006 , *Journal of College of Education* , 125-131 .
- [6] ....., " HK-Spaces in Specra Of Top Module " , 2002, *College of Education*, 1-5 .
- [7] Lipschutz S. , " Schaums Outline Series Topology " , 1965 Mccraw-Ith a book Company , New york .
- [8] AL-Mothfar N. S., " Z-Regular Modules " , 1993 M.Sc. Thesis , College of Science , University of Baghdad.